

Product Convolution of Generalized Subexponential Distributions

Gustas Mikutavičius [†]  and Jonas Šiaulys ^{*,†} 

Institute of Mathematics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

* Correspondence: jonas.siaulys@mif.vu.lt

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Assume that ξ and η are two independent random variables with distribution functions F_ξ and F_η , respectively. The distribution of a random variable $\xi\eta$, denoted by $F_\xi \otimes F_\eta$, is called the product-convolution of F_ξ and F_η . It is proved that $F_\xi \otimes F_\eta$ is a generalized subexponential distribution if F_ξ belongs to the class of generalized subexponential distributions and η is nonnegative and not degenerated at zero.

Keywords: tail function; closure property; product-convolution; generalized subexponential distribution; heavy-tailed distribution

MSC: 60E05; 60G70; 91G10; 26A21

1. Introduction

The distribution of the product of two independent random variables (r.v.s) is considered in this paper. If ξ and η are two real-valued independent r.v.s with distribution functions (d.f.s) $F_\xi(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq x)$ and $F_\eta(x) = \mathbb{P}(\eta \leq x)$, then the d.f. of the product $\xi\eta$ is

$$F_\xi \otimes F_\eta(x) := \mathbb{P}(\xi\eta \leq x) = \int_{(-\infty, 0)} \left(1 - F_\xi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right) dF_\eta(y) + \int_{(0, \infty)} F_\xi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) dF_\eta(y) + (F_\eta(0) - F_\eta(0-)) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x),$$

see, e.g., Section 1.2 of [1]. The d.f. $F_\xi \otimes F_\eta$ is called the *product-convolution* of d.f.s F_ξ and F_η . In the case of a nonnegative r.v. η , we have $F_\eta(0-) = 0$ implying that

$$F_\xi \otimes F_\eta(x) = \int_{(0, \infty)} F_\xi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) dF_\eta(y) + F_\eta(0) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x).$$

Our interest lies in the closure properties under multiplication of independent r.v.s. More exactly, we focus on the closure under multiplication of generalized subexponential distributions.

A d.f. F is said to be *generalized subexponential* or *O-subexponential*, denoted by $F \in \mathcal{OS}$, if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F * F}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} < \infty.$$

Here and further, the notation $\overline{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the tail of the d.f. F , and for any two d.f.s F_1 and F_2 , the symbol $F_1 * F_2$ denotes their convolution:

$$F_1 * F_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_1(x - y) dF_2(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$



Citation: Mikutavičius, G.; Šiaulys, J. Product Convolution of Generalized Subexponential Distributions. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 248. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11010248>

Academic Editor: María del Carmen Valls Martínez

Received: 30 November 2022

Revised: 21 December 2022

Accepted: 31 December 2022

Published: 3 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

If F_ξ and F_η are d.f.s of two independent r.v.s ξ and η , then

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi + \eta \leq x) = F_\xi * F_\eta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Generalized subexponential distributions were firstly mentioned by Klüppelberg [2] as weakly idempotent distributions. Later, class \mathcal{OS} was studied in [3–8]. Class of d.f.s \mathcal{OS} is the generalization of the standard class of subexponential distributions.

A d.f. F , satisfying $F(0-) = 0$, is said to be subexponential, denoted $F \in \mathcal{S}$, if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F * F}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 2.$$

In the general case, F is said to be subexponential if F^+ is subexponential, where

$$F^+(x) := F(x) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x)$$

is the positive part of d.f. F .

The concept of subexponentiality was introduced by Chistyakov [9]. Later, subexponential distributions, together with O-subexponential distributions, found numerous applications in applied probability including financial mathematics, risk theory, actuarial mathematics, branching processes, queueing theory, etc., see, for instance, [10–25]. It is well known that class \mathcal{S} represents a subset of the class of long-tailed d.f.s \mathcal{L} , see [9] or Section 3 of [26] for details.

A d.f. F is said to be long-tailed, denoted $F \in \mathcal{L}$, if for any positive y ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x - y)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 1.$$

Similarly, as in the case of classes $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{OS}$, one can introduce the O-version of class \mathcal{L} according to [3].

A d.f. F is said to belong to the class \mathcal{OL} of generalized long-tailed distributions if for any positive y

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x - y)}{\overline{F}(x)} < \infty.$$

Similarly to the inclusion $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$, it holds that $\mathcal{OS} \subset \mathcal{OL}$, see, e.g., Proposition 2.1 in [3]. Examples of d.f.s $F \in \mathcal{OL} \setminus \mathcal{OS}$ can be found in [27,28]. Some useful characterizations of class \mathcal{OL} are given in [29]. For instance, according to results by Albin and Sundén [29], an absolutely continuous d.f. F belongs to the class \mathcal{OL} if and only if

$$\overline{F}(x) = \exp \left\{ - \int_{-\infty}^x (a(y) + b(y)) dy \right\}$$

for some measurable functions $a = a(x)$ and $b = b(x)$ with $a(x) + b(x) \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |a(x)| < \infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^x a(y) dy = \infty, \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x b(y) dy \right| < \infty.$$

In this work, the closure problem of the class \mathcal{OS} with respect to the product-convolution is actually solved. Any class of d.f.s \mathcal{K} is called closed with respect to some operation, if for any element from the set \mathcal{K} , the result remains in the same class after the operation. The classes of d.f.s $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{OL}, \mathcal{OS}$ defined in this section, together with other classes of

d.f.s not defined in this paper, are closed with respect to certain operations. Let us limit ourselves to the d.f. class \mathcal{OS} , the main class considered in this paper.

Watanabe and Yamamuro ([6] Lemma 3.1) proved that the class \mathcal{OS} is closed with respect to the weak tail equivalence (see also [12] and [30] (Proposition A1)) for more detailed proof). The closure of class \mathcal{OS} with respect to the weak tail equivalence means the following statement:

- If a d.f. $F \in \mathcal{OS}$ and $\bar{F} \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\asymp} \bar{G}(x)$ for a d.f. G , then $G \in \mathcal{OS}$.

In the same Lemma 3.1 of [6], it is proved that the class \mathcal{OS} is closed with respect to the convolution. The closure of O-subexponential distributions with respect to the convolution means the following statement:

- If d.f.s F_{ξ} and F_{η} of two independent r.v.s ξ and η belong to the class \mathcal{OS} , then the convolution $F_{\xi} * F_{\eta}$ is O-subexponential as well.

The closure with respect to the minimum was established by Lin and Wang in [8] (Lemma 3.1) by proving the following statement:

- If independent r.v.s ξ and η are O-subexponentially distributed, then d.f. $F_{\xi \wedge \eta}$ of minimum $\xi \wedge \eta$ is also O-subexponential.

One of the closure properties is the closure with respect to the product-convolution. In such a case, the goal is to find minimal conditions for the r.v. η so that the distribution of the product $\xi\eta$ is O-subexponential if the distribution of the first multiplier is O-subexponential. Theorem 5 presented in Section 3 below is the last result in such a direction. In that theorem, there is an additional technical requirement for the r.v. η , which is not necessary. In this paper, we improve the result of Theorem 5 by removing the additional requirement for the second random multiplier η .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the main result of the paper is formulated. In Section 3 several related results are reviewed. In Section 4, the proof of the main result is given. Section 5 provides several examples to demonstrate the theoretical meaning of the obtained results. Finally, in Section 6, possible applications of the obtained results to insurance and financial models are discussed.

2. Main Result

As mentioned above, the main result of the paper is on the product-convolution for generalized subexponential distributions.

Theorem 1. Let ξ and $\eta \geq 0$ be two independent r.v.s with d.f.s F_{ξ} and F_{η} . If d.f. F_{ξ} belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} and r.v. η is not degenerated at zero, then the d.f. of the product $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} as well.

If we consider only positive random variables belonging to the class \mathcal{OS} , then Theorem 1 shows that the class \mathcal{OS} is closed under the product-convolution. That is, by multiplying two independent r.v.s having generalised subexponential d.f.s with at least one of them being positive, we will always get an r.v. with a generalised subexponential d.f. Among other things this property gives the ability to generate a lot of new r.v.s with d.f.s from class \mathcal{OS} .

3. Related Results

In this section, a brief review is given of the related results found in the literature, regarding the product-convolution of distributions belonging to classes close to generalized subexponential distributions. The following conditions for the product-convolution closure for d.f.s from class \mathcal{L} was obtained by Tang [31] (Theorem 1.1).

Theorem 2. Let ξ and η be two independent r.v.s with d.f.s $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}$ and F_{η} . Let η be nonnegative and not degenerated at zero. Then,

(i) $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{L} \otimes F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if either the set $\mathcal{D}(F_{\xi})$ of all positive points of discontinuity of d.f. F_{ξ} is empty, or $\mathcal{D}(F_{\xi}) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\bar{F}_{\eta}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) - \bar{F}_{\eta}\left(\frac{x+1}{a}\right) = o\left(\overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta}}(x)\right) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{D}(F_{\xi}),$$

(ii) If $F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}$, then $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}$.

A similar assertion holds for class \mathcal{S} . The following results was proved by Xu et al. [32] (Theorem 1.3).

Theorem 3. Let ξ and η be two independent r.v.s with d.f.s $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{S}$ and F_{η} . Let, in addition, $\eta \geq 0$ and $\bar{F}_{\eta}(0) > 0$. Then,

(i) $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if either $\mathcal{D}(F_{\xi}) = \emptyset$, or $\mathcal{D}(F_{\xi}) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\bar{F}_{\eta}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) - \bar{F}_{\eta}\left(\frac{x+1}{a}\right) = o\left(\overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta}}(x)\right) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{D}(F_{\xi}),$$

(ii) If $F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}$, then $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{S}$.

The assertion below on the class \mathcal{OL} was recently proved by Cui and Wang [27] (Theorem 1).

Theorem 4. Let ξ and η be two independent nonnegative r.v.s with d.f.s F_{ξ} and F_{η} . If $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OL}$ and η is not degenerate at zero, then $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{OL}$.

To our knowledge, the assertion below is the latest known result on the product-convolution closure of d.f.s from class \mathcal{OS} . The proof of the following theorem can be found in [33] (Theorem 3).

Theorem 5. Let ξ and $\eta \geq 0$ be two independent r.v.s with d.f.s F_{ξ} and F_{η} . If $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$, η is not degenerated at zero and

$$\sup_{y>0} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}_{\eta}(yx)}{\overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta}}(x)} < \infty,$$

then $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta} \in \mathcal{OS}$.

The main theorem of this paper improves on the last statement. Our theorem asserts that the d.f. of the product of two r.v.s remains in the class \mathcal{OS} if the d.f. of the first r.v. belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} . The second r.v. should satisfy only the natural requirements.

4. Proofs

This section provides a detailed proof of the main result. Our proof is related with cutting off the second random multiplier. A similar approach was used by Cui and Wang [27] in the proof of Theorem 4. Before the direct proof, we present two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let F and G be two d.f.s. If $F \in \mathcal{OS}$ and $\bar{F}(x) \asymp_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{G}(x)$, then $G \in \mathcal{OS}$.

Proof of Lemma 1. In fact, the statement of the lemma was proved by Watanabe and Yamamuro in [6] (Lemma 3.1). For the sake of completeness, we give here a short proof of the lemma with the additional comments useful for the future.

By definition of the class \mathcal{OS} , we get

$$F \in \mathcal{OS} \Leftrightarrow c_F := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{F} * \bar{F}(x)}{\bar{F}(x)} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

In addition,

$$\overline{F}(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\asymp} \overline{G}(x) \Leftrightarrow 0 < \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} < \infty,$$

implying that

$$\overline{F}(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\asymp} \overline{G}(x) \Leftrightarrow c_* := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{G}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} > 0, \quad c^* := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{G}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} < \infty.$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G * G}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{G}(x-y) dG(y) \leq c^* \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}(x-y) dG(y) \\ &= c^* \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{G}(x-y) dF(y) \leq (c^*)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{F}(x-y) dF(y) \\ &= (c^*)^2 \overline{F * F}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\overline{G * G}(x)}{\overline{G}(x)} \leq \frac{(c^*)^2}{c_*} \frac{\overline{F * F}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq \frac{(c^*)^2}{c_*} c_F.$$

According to relation (1), $G \in \mathcal{OS}$. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2. Let ξ and η be two independent r.v.s with d.f.s F_ξ and F_η . In addition, let $F_\eta(0-) = 0$ and $\overline{F}_\eta(d) > 0$ for some $d > 0$. Then, $F_\xi \otimes F_\eta \in \mathcal{OS}$ if and only if $(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d \in \mathcal{OS}$, where

$$(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi \max(\eta, d) \leq x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. According to Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\overline{(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d}(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\asymp} \overline{F_\xi \otimes F_\eta}(x). \quad (2)$$

The simple estimate

$$\overline{F_\xi \otimes F_\eta}(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi \eta > x) \leq \mathbb{P}(\xi \max(\eta, d) > x) = \overline{(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

gives that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F_\xi \otimes F_\eta}(x)}{\overline{(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d}(x)} \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, for positive x

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F_\xi \otimes F_\eta}(x) &= \mathbb{P}(\xi \eta > x) \geq \mathbb{P}(\xi \eta > x, \eta > d) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi \max\{\eta, d\} > x, \eta > d) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi \max\{\eta, d\} > x) - \mathbb{P}(\xi \max\{\eta, d\} > x, \eta \leq d) \\ &= \overline{(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d}(x) - \mathbb{P}(\xi d > x, \eta \leq d) \\ &\geq \overline{(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d}(x) - F_\eta(d) \mathbb{P}(\xi \max\{\eta, d\} > x) \\ &= \overline{F}_\eta(d) \overline{(F_\xi \otimes F_\eta)_d}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta}}(x)}{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d(x)} \geq \bar{F}_{\eta}(d) > 0. \quad (4)$$

Estimates (3) and (4) imply relation (2). The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. R.v. η is nonnegative and not degenerated at zero. Hence, there exists $d > 0$ such that $\bar{F}_{\eta}(d) > 0$. By means of Lemma 2, it is sufficient to prove that $(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d \in \mathcal{OS}$ where

$$(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi\eta_d \leq x)$$

with $\eta_d = \max\{\eta, d\}$. It is clear that

$$\overline{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d}^{*2}(x) = \overline{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d * (F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d}(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_1\eta_{d1} + \xi_2\eta_{d2} > x),$$

where $\eta_{d1} = \max\{\eta_1, d\}$, $\eta_{d2} = \max\{\eta_2, d\}$ and random vectors (ξ_1, η_1) , (ξ_2, η_2) are supposed to be independent copies of the vector (ξ, η) . Temporally denote

$$\xi_1^+ = \max\{\xi_1, 0\} \text{ and } \xi_2^+ = \max\{\xi_2, 0\}.$$

For a positive x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d}^{*2}(x) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+\eta_{d1} + \xi_2^+\eta_{d2} > x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+\eta_{d1} + \xi_2^+\eta_{d2} > x, \eta_{d1} \leq \eta_{d2}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+\eta_{d1} + \xi_2^+\eta_{d2} > x, \eta_{d2} < \eta_{d1}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}((\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+)\eta_{d2} > x, \eta_{d1} \leq \eta_{d2}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}((\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+)\eta_{d1} > x, \eta_{d2} \leq \eta_{d1}) \\ &= 2\mathbb{P}((\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+)\eta_{d2} > x, \eta_{d1} \leq \eta_{d2}) \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{P}((\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+)\eta_{d2} > x) \\ &= 2 \int_{[0, \infty)} \overline{F_{\xi^+}^{*2}}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) dF_{\eta_d}(y) \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{d \leq y < \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi^+}^{*2}}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{\bar{F}_{\xi^+}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)} \int_{[d, \infty)} \bar{F}_{\xi^+}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) dF_{\eta_d}(y) \\ &= 2 \sup_{d \leq y < \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi^+}^{*2}}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{\bar{F}_{\xi^+}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)} \overline{F_{\xi^+} \otimes F_{\eta_d}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where F_{ξ^+} denotes the d.f. of r.v. $\xi^+ = \max\{\xi, 0\}$, and F_{η_d} denotes the d.f. of r.v. η_d .

It is clear that for a positive x

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F_{\xi^+} \otimes F_{\eta_d}}(x) &= \mathbb{P}(\xi^+\eta_d > x, \xi > 0) + \mathbb{P}(\xi^+\eta_d > x, \xi \leq 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi\eta_d > x, \xi > 0) \leq \mathbb{P}(\xi\eta_d > x) \\ &= \overline{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the estimate (5) implies that

$$\frac{\overline{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d}^{*2}(x)}{\overline{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d}(x)} \leq \sup_{z > 0} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi^+}^{*2}}(z)}{\bar{F}_{\xi^+}(z)} \quad (6)$$

for all positive x 's.

If $z > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F_{\xi^+}^{*2}}(z) &= \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+ > z,) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+ > z, \xi_1 \geq 0, \xi_2 \geq 0) + \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+ > z, \xi_1 \geq 0, \xi_2 < 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(\xi_1^+ + \xi_2^+ > z, \xi_1 < 0, \xi_2 \geq 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi_1 + \xi_2 > z, \xi_1 \geq 0, \xi_2 \geq 0) + \mathbb{P}(\xi_1 > z, \xi_1 \geq 0, \xi_2 < 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(\xi_2 > z, \xi_1 < 0, \xi_2 \geq 0) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\xi_1 + \xi_2 > z) + 2\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 > z) \\ &= \overline{F_{\xi}^{*2}}(z) + 2\overline{F_{\xi}}(z),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F_{\xi^+}}(z) &= \mathbb{P}(\xi^+ > z, \xi \geq 0) + \mathbb{P}(\xi^+ > z, \xi < 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\xi > z, \xi \geq 0) = \mathbb{P}(\xi > z) \\ &= \overline{F_{\xi}}(z).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{z>0} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi^+}^{*2}}(z)}{\overline{F_{\xi^+}}(z)} \leq 2 + \sup_{z>0} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi}^{*2}}(z)}{\overline{F_{\xi}}(z)} < \infty \quad (7)$$

by (1) because of $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$. The inequality (6) and the last estimate (7) imply that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{\left((F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d\right)^{*2}}(x)}{(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d(x)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, $(F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta})_d \in \mathcal{OS}$ as required. The theorem is proved. \square

5. Examples

In Section 2, it was mentioned that with the help of Theorem 1, the new d.f.s belonging to the class \mathcal{OS} can be constructed using the product-convolution. In this section, we present two examples that demonstrate this procedure.

Example 1. Let ξ be the classical Peter and Paul r.v., i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi = 2^k) = 2^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For this r.v., the tail of the d.f. is

$$\overline{F_{\xi}}(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 2)}(x) + 2^{-\lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor} \mathbb{1}_{[2, \infty)}(x),$$

where the symbol $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of the real number a . It follows from this (for details see [34]) that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{\overline{F_{\xi}}(x)} < \infty$$

implying $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$, because for a positive x

$$\frac{\overline{F_{\xi} * F_{\xi}}(x)}{\overline{F_{\xi}}(x)} \leq 2 \frac{\overline{F_{\xi}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{\overline{F_{\xi}}(x)}.$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that the d.f. of the product $\xi\eta$ belongs to the class of O-subexponential distributions for each r.v. $\eta \geq 0$ with condition $\mathbb{P}(\eta = 0) < 1$.

In particular, if η_1 is an independent copy of ξ , then the d.f. $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta_1}$ of r.v. $\xi\eta_1$ with local probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi\eta_1 = 2^{n+1}) = \frac{n}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the tail function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta_1}}(x) &= \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 4)}(x) + ([\log_2 x] + 1)2^{-[\log_2 x]} \mathbb{1}_{[4, \infty)}(x) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 4)}(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{2^k} \mathbb{1}_{[2^k, 2^{k+1})}(x) \end{aligned}$$

belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} .

If r.v. $\eta_2 = \mathcal{U}$ is uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$, then the d.f. $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\mathcal{U}}$ with the tail function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\mathcal{U}}}(x) &= \int_0^{\min\{1, x/2\}} 2^{-[\log_2(\frac{x}{u})]} du + \int_{[0, 1] \cap (x/2, \infty)} du \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) + \left(1 - \frac{x}{3}\right) \mathbb{1}_{[0, 2)}(x) + x \mathbb{1}_{[2, \infty)}(x) \int_x^{\infty} 2^{-[\log_2 y]} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) + \left(1 - \frac{x}{3}\right) \mathbb{1}_{[0, 2)}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(1 - \frac{x}{3 \cdot 2^k}\right) \mathbb{1}_{[2^k, 2^{k+1})}(x) \end{aligned}$$

belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} as well.

Example 2. Let ξ be an r.v. with tail function

$$\overline{F_{\xi}}(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 1)}(x) + \frac{e}{x^2} e^{-x} \mathbb{1}_{[1, \infty)}(x).$$

According to the results presented in [12,35,36], the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi} * F_{\xi}}(x)}{\overline{F_{\xi}}(x)}$$

exists, implying that $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$.

It follows from Theorem 1 that the d.f. of the product $\xi\eta$ is O-subexponential if $\eta \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\eta = 0) < 1$.

If η_1 is an independent copy of ξ , then the d.f. of $\xi\eta_1$ belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} . In this case, the tail function is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\xi\eta_1 > x) &= \overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta_1}}(x) = \int_{(1, \infty) \cap (x, \infty)} \frac{e}{y^2} e^{-y} \left(1 + \frac{2}{y}\right) dy + \frac{e^2}{x^2} \int_1^x e^{-(y+\frac{x}{y})} \left(1 + \frac{2}{y}\right) dy \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 1)}(x) + \frac{e}{x^2} \left(e^{-x} + \int_1^x \left(1 + \frac{2}{y}\right) e^{1-y-x/y} dy \right) \mathbb{1}_{[1, \infty)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

If η_2 is a discrete uniform r.v. with parameter three, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta_2 = 0) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_2 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_2 = 2) = \frac{1}{3},$$

then the d.f. $F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta_2}$ is also O-subexponential with the tail function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta_2}}(x) &= \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) + \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{1}_{[0, 1)}(x) + \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{e}{x^2} e^{-x} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[1, 2)}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{e}{3x^2} (e^{-x} + e^{-x/2}) \mathbb{1}_{[2, \infty)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

6. Conclusions

In this work, we established that O-subexponential distributions satisfied the closure property with respect to the product convolution. This means that for any independent random variables ξ and η with a d.f. $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$, the product d.f. $F_{\xi\eta} = F_{\xi} \otimes F_{\eta}$ also belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} , only if the r.v. η is nonnegative and not degenerated at zero. Since the class \mathcal{OS} is also closed with respect to the usual convolution, see [6] (Lemma 3.1), it follows from the obtained results that the distribution function of the sum

$$S_n^{\theta_{\xi}} := \theta_1 \xi_1 + \theta_2 \xi_2 + \dots \theta_n \xi_n \quad (8)$$

remains in the class \mathcal{OS} for any fixed n , if r.v.s $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$ are independent, $F_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{OS}$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and the r.v.s $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\}$ are nonnegative and not degenerated at zero.

The sums of random variables (8) are usually applied in risk theory. From the point of view of insurance risk theory, the sum (8) describes the so-called discrete-time stochastic risk model with insurance and financial risks. In such a model, each ξ_k is interpreted as the net loss (the total claim amount minus the total premium income) of an insurance company during period k , θ_k is the corresponding stochastic discount factor to the origin and the sum $S_n^{\theta_{\xi}}$ represents the stochastic present value of the aggregate net losses. For details, see [37–43].

From a financial point of view, the sum (8) describes the behaviour of an investment portfolio consisting of n distinct asset classes or lines of business. In such a case, r.v. ξ_k , $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, could correspond to the loss incurred from the k th instrument. As for the role of random weights, there could be different viewpoints: θ_k , $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, could be treated as a stochastic discount factor of the k th asset class or, for instance, as a weight corresponding to the k th instrument in the portfolio. Then, the random sum $S_n^{\theta_{\xi}}$ would correspond to the present value of the total loss of a portfolio at the present moment in the former case, and the total weighted portfolio loss in the later case. For details, see [34,44–50].

It should be noted that for both actuarial and financial models, it is not enough to know which regularity class the d.f. of $S_n^{\theta_{\xi}}$ belongs to. We still need to find asymptotic formulas for distributions of large values of such a sum. The results obtained in this paper simplify the research on the behaviour of the large values of sum $S_n^{\theta_{\xi}}$.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.Š.; methodology, G.M. and J.Š.; software, G.M.; validation, J.Š.; formal analysis, G.M.; investigation, G.M. and J.Š.; writing—original draft preparation, J.Š.; writing—review and editing, J.Š.; visualization, G.M.; supervision, J.Š.; project administration, J.Š.; funding acquisition, J.Š. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to express deep gratitude to four anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments which have helped to improve the previous version of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Galambos, J.; Simonelli, I. *Product of Random Variables: Applications to Problems of Physics and to Arithmetic Functions*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2004.
- Klüppelberg, C. Asymptotic ordering of distribution functions and convolution semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **1990**, *40*, 77–92. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Shimura, T.; Watanabe, T. Infinite divisibility and generalized subexponentiality. *Bernoulli* **2005**, *11*, 445–469. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Baltrūnas, A.; Omei, E.; Van Gulk, S. Hazard rates and subexponential distributions. *Publ. Inst. Math. Nouv. Sér.* **2006**, *80*, 29–46. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Watanabe, T. Convolution equivalence and distribution of random sums. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **2008**, *142*, 367–397. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Watanabe, T.; Yamamuro, K. Ratio of the tail of an infinitely divisible distribution on the line to that of its Lévy measure. *Electron. J. Probab.* **2010**, *15*, 44–74. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cheng, D.; Wang, Y. Asymptotic behavior of the ratio of tail probabilities of sum and maximum of independent random variables. *Lith. Math. J.* **2012**, *52*, 29–39. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Lin, J.; Wang, Y. New examples of heavy-tailed O-subexponential distributions and related closure properties. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2012**, *82*, 427–432. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chistyakov, V.P. A theorem on sums of independent, positive random variables and its applications to branching processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **1964**, *9*, 640–648. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Athreya, K.B.; Ney, P.E. *Branching Processes*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- Gerber, H.U. *An Introduction to Mathematical Risk Theory*; S.S. Huebner Foundation Monograph Series; S.S. Huebner Foundation for Insurance Education: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1979; Volume 8.
- Klüppelberg, C. Subexponential distributions and characterization of related classes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **1989**, *82*, 259–269. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Embrechts, P.; Klüppelberg, C.; Mikosch, T. *Modelling Extremal Events: For Insurance and Finance*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- Rolski, T.; Schmidli, H.; Cchmidt, V.; Teugels, J.E. *Stochastic Processes for Insurance and Finance*; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 1999.
- Zwart, A.P. Queueing Systems with Heavy Tails. Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 2001.
- Asmussen, S. *Applied Probability and Queues*, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- Markovich, N. *Nonparametric Analysis of Univariate Heavy-Tailed Data*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Foss, S.; Korshunov, D.; Zachary, S. Convolution of long-tailed and subexponential distributions. *J. Appl. Probab.* **2009**, *46*, 756–767. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Asmussen, S.; Albrecher, H. *Ruin Probabilities*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2010.
- Schmidli, H. *Risk Theory*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017.
- Chen, Y. A renewal shot noise process with subexponential shot marks. *Risks* **2019**, *7*, 63. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Watanabe, T. Subexponential densities of infinitely divisible distributions on the half-line. *Lith. Math. J.* **2020**, *60*, 530–544. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Adécambi, F.; Essiomié, K. Asymptotic tail probability of the discounted aggregate claims under homogeneous, non-homogeneous and mixed Poisson risk model. *Risks* **2021**, *9*, 122. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hägele, M.; Lehtamaa, J. Large deviations for a class of multivariate heavy-tailed risk processes used in insurance and finance. *J. Risk Financ. Manag.* **2021**, *14*, 202. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Holhoç, A. On the approximation by Balazs-Szabadas operators. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1588.
- Foss, S.; Korshunov, D.; Zachary, S. *An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions*, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Cui, Z.; Wang, Y. On the long tail property of product convolution. *Lith. Math. J.* **2020**, *60*, 315–329. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Xu, H.; Foss, S.; Wang, Y. Convolution and convolution-root properties of long-tailed distributions. *Extremes* **2015**, *18*, 605–628. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Albin, J.M.P.; Sundén, M. On the asymptotic behaviour of Lévy processes, Part I: Subexponential and exponential processes. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **2009**, *119*, 281–304. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yu, C.; Wang, Y. Tail behavior of supremum of a random walk when Cramér’s condition. *Front. Math. China* **2014**, *9*, 431–453. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tang, Q. From light tails to heavy tails through multiplier. *Extremes* **2008**, *11*, 379–391. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Xu, H.; Cheng, F.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, D. A necessary and sufficient condition for the subexponentiality of product distribution. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **2018**, *50*, 57–73. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Konstantinides, D.; Leipus, R.; Šiaulyš, J. A note on product-convolution for generalized subexponential distributions. *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* **2022**, *27*, 1054–1067. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Dirma, M.; Paukštys, S.; Šiaulyš, J. Tails of the moments for sums with dominatedly varying random summands. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 824. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cline D.B.H. Convolutions tails, product tails and domains of attraction. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **1986**, *72*, 529–557. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

36. Watanabe, T. The Wiener condition and the conjectures of Embrechts and Goldie. *Ann. Probab.* **2019**, *47*, 1221–1239. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Tang, Q.; Tsitsiashvili, G. Randomly weighted sums of subexponential random variables with application to ruin theory. *Extremes* **2003**, *6*, 171–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Chen, Y.; Yuen, K.C. Sum of pairwise quasi-asymptotically independent random variables with consistent variation. *Stoch. Model.* **2009**, *25*, 76–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Gao, Q.; Wang, Y. Randomly weighted sums with dominated-varying-tailed increments and application to risk theory. *J. Korean Statist. Soc.* **2010**, *39*, 305–314. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Chen, Y.; Ng, K.W.; Yuen, K.C. The maximum of randomly weighted sums with long tails in insurance and finance. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **2011**, *29*, 1033–1044. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Tang, Q.; Vernic, R.; Yuan, Z. The finite-time ruin probability with dependent insurance and financial risks. *J. Appl. Probab.* **2011**, *48*, 1035–1048.
42. Yang, Y.; Leipus, R.; Šiaulys, J. Tail probability of randomly weighted sums of subexponential random variables under dependence structure. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2012**, *82*, 1727–1736. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Yang, Y.; Leipus, R.; Šiaulys, J. Closure property and maximum of randomly weighted sums with heavy-tailed increments. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2014**, *91*, 162–170. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Asimit, A.V.; Furman, E.; Tang, Q.; Vernic, R. Asymptotics for risk capital allocations based on conditional tail expectation. *Insur. Math. Econ.* **2011**, *49*, 310–324. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Hua, L.; Joe, H. Strength of tail dependence based on conditional tail expectation. *J. Multivar. Anal.* **2014**, *123*, 143–159. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Tang, Q.; Yuan, Z. Randomly weighted sums of subexponential random variables with application to capital allocation. *Extremes* **2014**, *17*, 467–493. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Yang, Y.; Ignatavičiūtė, E.; Šiaulys, J. Conditional tail expectation of randomly weighted sums with heavy-tailed distributions. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2015**, *105*, 20–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Wang, S.; Hu, Y.; Yang, L.; Wang, W. Randomly weighted sums under a wide type of dependence structure with application to conditional tail expectation. *Commun. Stat. Theory Methods* **2018**, *47*, 5054–5063. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Leipus, R.; Paukštys, S.; Šiaulys, J. Tails of higher-order moments of sums with heavy-tailed increments and application to Haezendonck-Goovaerts risk measure. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2021**, *170*, 108988. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Jaunė, E.; Šiaulys, J. Asymptotic risk decomposition for regularly varying distributions with tail dependence. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2022**, *427*, 127164. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.