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#### Abstract

The synthesis of stereochemically pure oximes, amines, saturated and unsaturated cyanomethyl compounds, and methylaminomethyl compounds at the C9 position in 3-hydroxy-$N$-phenethyl-5-phenylmorphans provided $\mu$-opioid receptor (MOR) agonists with varied efficacy and potency. One of the most interesting compounds, (2-((1S,5R,9R)-5-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile), was found to be a potent partial MOR agonist ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.5 \mathrm{nM}$, $\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=89.6 \%$ ), as determined in the forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation assay. Others ranged in potency and efficacy at the MOR, from nanomolar potency with a C9 cyanomethyl compound $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.85 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ to its totally inactive diastereomer, and three compounds exhibited weak MOR antagonist activity (the primary amine 3 , the secondary amine 8 , and the cyanomethyl compound 41). Many of the compounds were fully efficacious; their efficacy and potency were affected by both the stereochemistry of the molecule and the specific C9 substituent. Most of the MOR agonists were selective in their receptor interactions, and only a few had $\delta$-opioid receptor (DOR) or к-opioid receptor (KOR) agonist activity. Only one compound, a C9-methylaminomethyl-substituted phenylmorphan, was moderately potent and fully efficacious as a KOR agonist (KOR EC $50=18 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=103 \%\right)$ ).
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## 1. Introduction

Over the past several decades, opioid abuse in the United States has steadily increased, resulting in a large number of dependent individuals and opioid-related deaths [1,2]. These circumstances ultimately led to the declaration of an opioid crisis as a "public health emergency" by the United States Federal Government in 2017. We are pursuing several approaches to help combat this public health problem, one of which focuses on developing safer opioid alternatives that could also be used as medications for Opioid Use Disorder (OUD). To achieve this goal, we are synthesizing low-efficacy compounds based on the 5-phenylmorphan structure. Depending on the stereochemistry of the molecule and the specific substituent at C9 in the $N$-phenethyl-5-phenylmorphans (Figure 1), we
found full or partial agonists with varied potency [3-7] at the $\mu$-opioid receptor (MOR). Different ligands at the C9 position of the 5-phenylmorphan or compounds with a specific stereochemistry can interact with an active conformation of the receptor as a MOR agonist and turn on involved intracellular pathways [8] or act at the inactive conformation of the MOR as an opioid antagonist [5]. One signaling molecule recruited by the MOR in its active conformation is $\beta$-arrestin2, which has been proposed to play a role in manifesting the negative side effects associated with opioids [9]. However, the importance of $\beta$-arrestin2 has been challenged, and an attempt to reproduce studies that indicate biased ligands do not induce respiratory depression was unsuccessful [10]. Gillis et al. have proposed a different theory based on intrinsic efficacy. This concept indicates that agonists with higher intrinsic efficacy will produce increased downstream signaling and show well-known opioid-like side effects. As a result, an ideal opioid would need to provide a balance between inducing sufficient signaling, which may be correlated to its efficacy in the cAMP assay, to enable significant pain reduction in vivo but not so much as to cause negative side effects [11]. We attempt to measure that balance using in vitro assays (the forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation assay and the $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTPgS}$ assay) [5] and in vivo assays [12,13]. However, advocates for biased agonism have argued that the understanding of interactions at the MOR is still incomplete, and disregarding $\beta$-arrestin2 completely may not be correct.


Figure 1. Four structural isomers for the C9 amino, cyanomethyl, and methylaminomethyl substituents (R).

The 5-phenylmorphan structure is the template for our new compounds. It contains a minimal structure of morphine that can induce antinociceptive activity [14]. The 5-phenylmorphans are sufficiently different from morphine and the opioid-like family of analgesics (e.g., oxymorphone, oxycodone, hydromorphone) that some of these 5phenylmorphans, those with certain C9 substituents bearing specific stereochemistry, have been found to have a different pharmacological profile, enabling antinociception with less respiratory depression and minimal gastrointestinal effects. The side effects appear to be related to the efficacy of the compounds; those with minimal efficacy do not induce respiratory depression or show GI effects, but they retain some antinociceptive activity, depending on the in vitro or in vivo assay. Previous work by our group has shown that some C9-substituted derivatives containing an $N$-phenethyl moiety exhibit little or no $\beta$-arrestin2 recruitment [4]; others act as potent partial MOR agonists. Because of the promise this family of molecules holds as a new type of analgesic, we have designed 5-(3-hydroxphenyl)-$N$-phenethyl-phenylmorphan derivatives containing varied substituents at the C9 position and have found suitable synthetic paths to obtain sufficient material for pharmacological evaluation (Figure 1). The C9 position was chosen for our initial exploration because our early work indicated that potent MOR agonists could be obtained with chiral substituents at that position [3], and there appeared to be a minimal amount of previous synthetic work based on that area of the molecule.

In this work, we discuss the synthesis and in vitro functional activity of chiral 3-hydroxy- $N$-phenethyl-5-phenylmorphans with various nitrogen-containing substituents at C9. These substituents were oximes, amines, unsaturated and saturated cyanomethyl compounds, and methylaminomethyl substituted compounds (Figure 1).

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis of Chiral C9-Substituted 5-Phenylmorphans

We have developed a library of $N$-phenethyl-5-(3-hydroxyphenyl)morphan stereoisomers with a variety of substituents at the C9 position [4-7]. As additions to this library, we
have now synthesized amines separated from the C9 position by zero or one carbon atom, as well as two enantiomeric oximes at C9 and unsaturated and saturated cyano derivatives at C9. The general approach to the amine target compounds involved either a reductive amination or a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE) reaction on 5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-9-oxo-2-phenylethyl-2-azabicyclo93.3.1)nonane (9-keto phenylmorphan) (Scheme 1) with known stereochemistry.




Scheme 1. General derivatization strategy.

### 2.2. Synthesis of $1 S, 5 S, 9 R$ - and $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-C 9$ Amino and Methylamino Enantiomers

The enantiomeric ( $1 S, 5 S$ - and $1 R, 5 R$-) 9-keto-m-methoxyphenylmorphans were obtained using the literature procedure [7,15]. Reductive amination was found to allow the synthesis of C9 amino derivatives with zero carbons at C9, while the HWE reaction facilitates the formation of unsaturated and saturated cyano derivatives. A Wittig reaction to the aldehyde, followed by reductive amination and O-demethylation, gave N-methyl compounds with one carbon at C9. We began with an exploration of the use of a titaniummediated reductive amination on the enantiomeric 9 -keto compounds $1 S, 5 S-1$ and $1 R, 5 R-4$ (Scheme 2).

This approach was successful with both ammonia (Scheme 2) and methyl amine (Scheme 3) as the nucleophiles. This titanium-mediated reaction gave a product with the C9 amino group cis to the tertiary amine.

While the reaction with ammonia proceeded at room temperature, methylamine required heat. In addition, we discovered that a pressure tube is needed for the latter transformation, as the methylamine is lost to the atmosphere faster than it can react with $\mathbf{1}$ if the reaction is not performed in a sealed container.

Despite the success of these reactions, they only gave one of the diastereomers for each of the 9 -keto enantiomers. This was likely due to the titanium (IV) coordinating with the tertiary nitrogen in 1, effectively blocking one side of the molecule from the hydride approach during the reduction step.

Based on the success of the transformation in Schemes 2 and 3, we considered accessing the two remaining diastereomers of the C9 amino compound via the reduction of the corresponding hydrazine (Scheme 4).





Scheme 2. Titanium-mediated reductive amination to obtain enantiomeric C9 amino compounds 3 and 6.

We found that reacting 1 with hydrazine followed by a reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave a single isomer of $\mathbf{1 1}$, as suggested by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Scheme 4). However, attempts at cleaving the nitrogen-nitrogen bond under basic conditions were unsuccessful.

We also considered the possibility of accessing other diastereomers via an azide. We began this process by performing a diastereoselective reduction of $1 R, 5 R-4$ with superhydride to form the alcohol 12, followed by mesylating the alcohol 12 to give $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Scheme 5).

Attempts at a substitution with sodium azide at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were unsuccessful (giving only unreacted starting material), while heating the transformation up to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was also unsuccessful, and the desired product was not obtained from this reaction. Next, we subjected the chiral alcohol $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-12$ to the Mitsunobu reaction in the hope of obtaining the desired $1 R, 5 R, 9 R$-isomer via complete inversion of stereochemistry at the C9 center. This approach was not successful either.

To access the two remaining C9 amino diastereomers, several other reductive amination conditions were attempted. Unfortunately, various Brønsted acids, reductants, and ammonia sources gave no evidence for product formation. Possibly the steric hindrance around the C 9 position in $\mathbf{1}$ required a strong Lewis acid catalyst to facilitate a nucleophilic
attack. We next investigated the use of benzylamine as the nucleophile, hoping that this might force the opposite configuration at C9 due to its larger size. Unfortunately, that did not give us the desired chiral product.





Scheme 3. Titanium-mediated reductive amination to obtain enantiomeric $C 9$ aminomethyl compounds 8 and 10 .


Scheme 4. Attempted hydrazine reduction.


$1 R, 5 R, 9 S-13$
Scheme 5. Attempted azide substitution.

### 2.3. Synthesis of Oxime Precursors to the Remaining Set of C9 Amino Enantiomers

We then considered accessing the two remaining C9 amino compounds through their oximes. This approach was successful, and we obtained the desired oximes $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 17 in sufficient quantity to enable an examination of their functional activity (Scheme 6).





Scheme 6. Synthesis of phenolic enantiomeric oximes $1 S, 5 S-15$, and $1 R, 5 R-17$ for functional assay.

It was not necessary to convert the C9 keto methoxy compounds 1 and 4 to their phenolic relatives. Oximes could be prepared directly from the phenolic ether to obtain the methoxy-substituted oximes 18 and 19 (Scheme 7). The E/Z stereochemistry of the oximes $15,17,18$, and 19 was not established.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of enantiomeric oximes 18 and 19.

### 2.4. Synthesis of the $1 S, 5 S, 9 S$ - and $1 R, 5 R, 9 R-C 9$ Amino Enantiomers

The reduction of the methoxy ether oxime 19 was tested under three conditions: using Red-Al, hydrogenation via an H-cube flow reactor, and using LAH. While all three conditions were found to give a mixture of diastereomers, the yields and ratios between the products varied (Table 1).

Table 1. Oxime reduction.


| Reductant | Diastereomeric Ratio (20:5) | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red-Al | $1: 2$ | $15 \%$ (pure 20) |
| H-Cube $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) | $1: 1$ | $52 \%$ (mixture) |
| LAH | $1.5: 1$ | $37 \%$ (pure 20) |

Despite the low yield that was observed in this initial LAH reduction, likely due to a loss of product from coordination with aluminum salt byproducts, we were able to access the desired phenolic amino compounds 22 and 23 after O-demethylation (Scheme 8).



Scheme 8. Synthesis of enantiomeric phenolic amines 22 and 23-the diastereomers of 3 and 6.

### 2.5. Stereochemistry of the C9 Amino and Cyanomethyl Compounds

### 2.5.1. Chirality Determined via X-ray Crystallography

The stereochemistry of these C9-substituted phenylmorphans was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2).



Cl 2
C11


Figure 2. X-ray crystallographic structure of 3-(( $1 R, 5 R, 9 R)-9$-amino-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1] nonan-5-yl)phenol (23) dihydrochloride. The thermal ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level.

### 2.5.2. Chirality Determined via NMR Analysis

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data were indicative of the stereochemistry shown in Table 1 and in the schemes. The rationale for this comes from the chemical shift of the proton at the C9 position in the formerly reported [3] diastereomeric compounds $1 R, 5 R, 9 \mathrm{R}$ - and $1 R, 5 R, 9 \mathrm{~S}-9$-hydroxy-5-(3-hydroxyphenyl-2-phenylethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, with stereochemistry determined by X-ray crystallography. The C9 hydroxyl group in the $1 R, 5 R, 9 \mathrm{R}$ compound was trans to the tertiary amine, and the C9 proton was found at 4.40 ppm . In comparison, in the diastereomeric $1 R, 5 R, 9$ compound, where the C 9 hydroxyl group was cis to the tertiary amine, the C9 proton was found upfield at 4.06 ppm . A similar effect was seen with the

C 9 trans and cis amino-containing compounds. When the $\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group was trans to the tertiary amine, the C9 proton was found at 3.58 ppm (in compounds 22 and 23), and when cis, it was found at 3.50 ppm (in compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and 6). The trans and cis C9 cyanomethyl compounds followed the same pattern. When the C9 cyanomethyl was trans to the tertiary amine, the C9 proton was found at 3.43 ppm (compounds 34 and 35), and when the C9 cyanomethyl group was cis to the tertiary amine, the C9 proton was upfield at 3.31 ppm (compounds 38 and 41).

The stereochemistry of the starting materials, the 5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-9-oxo-2-phenylethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-oxo phenylmorphans), has been established [15]. There is, then, only the stereochemistry of the C9 substituent to be determined. That became known via the X-ray spectroscopic data on compound 23 (Scheme 8). Compound 22 was its enantiomer-thus establishing the stereochemistry at C9 for compound 22. The NMR chemical shifts of these known compounds were followed by all of the others. That is, the C9 substituent was trans to the nitrogen atom in the piperidine ring for the amino compounds in Scheme 8, and this gave us the chemical shift of the C9 proton in the trans series. The titanium reactions shown in Schemes 2 and 3 gave products that were cis for the C9 substituent and the nitrogen atom in the piperidine ring. This was established because the amino compounds 3 and 6 were different from 22 and 23, and given the known stereochemistry of the starting material, they had to have the cis configuration. Similarly, for the cyanomethyl compounds, the trans configuration of 34 and 35 and the cis configuration of 38 and 41 were assigned tentatively based on the chemical shift of the C9 proton in the NMR. With these compounds, a clear and consistent correlation was found with the chemical shift of the C9 proton in the NMR. The exceptions to this were the methylaminomethyl compounds. The stereochemistry of these compounds was determined via their synthesis from the aldehyde in a series of reactions that led to known stereochemistry (proven by another X-ray [5]).

### 2.6. Synthesis of the Four C9 Cyanomethyl Diastereomers

To access the C9 cyanomethyl compounds, with the nitrogen atom at the end of the twocarbon chain derivative at C9, (1S,5S)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1] nonan-9-one [15] was N -demethylated to obtain 1S,5S-24. Subjecting the Boc-protected compound 25 to the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction gave rise to an unsaturated nitrile, 26, that could be carried through Boc removal and alkylation to give 27, followed by the O-demethylation of 27 to access the unsaturated nitrile 28. Similar procedures using 1S,5S-29 gave rise to the enantiomeric unsaturated nitrile 33 (Scheme 9).

To obtain the saturated nitriles, we needed to reduce the alkene in 28 and 33 while leaving the nitrile intact. Employing traditional conditions with hydrogen and palladium on carbon as the catalyst resulted in a complex mixture of both alkene and partial nitrile reduction. However, using an H-cube hydrogenation flow reactor, we were able to develop conditions that gave the desired enantiomeric saturated nitriles $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-34$ and $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-35$ in low-to-moderate yield (Scheme 10).

To access the enantiomers 38 and 41, we used the Boc-protected amines 26 and 32 (Scheme 11).

The reduction of 26 using an H-cube hydrogenation flow reactor gave rise to the saturated nitrile 36 with the opposite stereochemistry at C9 to that seen in 34 (Scheme 10). The subsequent N -alkylation of 36 gave rise to the $N$-phenethyl ether 37 , and the $\mathrm{O}-$ demethylation of 37 provided the saturated cyanomethyl diastereomer $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$. Starting with $\mathbf{3 2}$, the enantiomer of $\mathbf{2 6}, 1 R, 5 S, 9 S-\mathbf{4 1}$, was obtained using similar procedures.





Scheme 9. Synthesis of unsaturated nitrile enantiomers 28 and 33.



Scheme 10. Reduction of unsaturated nitriles 28 and 33 to obtain the saturated cyanomethyl enantiomers $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-34$ and $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-35$.





Scheme 11. Synthesis of cyanomethyl enantiomers $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$ and 1R,5S,9S-41.

### 2.7. Synthesis of the C9 Methylaminomethyl Diastereomers

Access to one pair of the C9 methylaminomethyl diastereomers was obtained from the N-phenylethyl ketone 1. 1S,5S-1 was subjected to a Witting reaction with methoxymethyl triphenylphosphonium chloride to give rise to the corresponding enol ether 42 (Scheme 12).

The two C9 methylaminomethyl analogs 44 and 45 were prepared by converting the enol ether 42 to the corresponding aldehyde 43 by treating it with 6 N HCl . The aldehyde 43 was not isolated. It was subjected to reductive amination with methylamine using titanium (IV) isopropoxide as the catalyst [16]. Sodium borohydride was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reduce the formed imine. The reaction was carried out in a pressure vessel to prevent the methylamine from escaping. This resulted in a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture of the amines 44 and 45 with a $75 \%$ yield (Scheme 12). The chromatographic separation of the secondary amines and the O-demethylation of the phenolic ethers gave rise to the desired methylaminomethyl diastereomers $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-46$ and $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-47$ in $65 \%$ and $81 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme 12).



Scheme 12. Synthesis of the $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-C 9$ methylaminomethyl phenylmorphan (46) and $1 S, 5 R, 9 R$-C9 methylaminomethyl phenylmorphan (47) diastereomers.

The enantiomers of 46 and 47 were prepared using the methods described above. The C9 secondary amines 50 and 51 were O-demethylated to give rise to $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-52$ and $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-53$ in $96 \%$ and $66 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme 13).



Scheme 13. Synthesis of the $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-C 9$ methylaminomethyl phenylmorphan (52) and $1 R, 5 S, 9 S$-C9 methylaminomethyl phenylmorphan (53) diastereomers, the enantiomers of 46 and 47.

The assigned stereochemistry of the methylaminomethyl compounds came from the known stereochemistry of C9 analogs obtained from the same common aldehyde intermediate, 49 . The structure of the product of that reaction of the aldehyde was proven by X-ray crystallographic structure analysis [5,6].

### 2.8. Forskolin-Induced cAMP Accumulation Assay for In Vitro Determination of the Potency and Efficacy of the C9 Amino, Methylamino, Cyanomethyl, and Methylaminomethyl Compounds

Compounds were evaluated using the inhibition of the forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation assay: functional activity for cAMP (HitHunter Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO-K1) that express the human $\mu$-opioid receptor (OPRM1)) (Table 2).

Table 2. Opioid receptor activity measured in the forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation assay ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Compd\# | Molecular Structure | MOR |  | DOR |  | KOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agonist | Antagonist ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Agonist | Antagonist ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Agonist | Antagonist ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max } \pm \mathrm{SEM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{I}_{\max } \pm \mathbf{S E M}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max } \pm \mathbf{S E M}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{I}_{\max } \pm \mathbf{S E M}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max } \pm \mathrm{SEM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathbf{S E M} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathbf{I}_{\max } \pm \mathbf{S E M}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1S,5S,9R-3 |  | >10,000 | $\begin{aligned} 709 & \pm 248 \\ (114.8 & \pm 5.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | N/D | N/D | >10,000 | N/D |
| 1R,5R,9S-6 |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \pm 8 \\ (91 \pm 6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 690 \pm 188 \\ (39.4 \pm 3.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | >10,000 |
| 1S,5S,9R-8 |  | >10,000 | $\begin{gathered} 178 \pm 81 \\ (136.5 \pm 20.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | N/D | >10,000 | N/D |
| 1R,5R,9S-10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 82.8 \pm 27.5 \\ & (27.5 \pm 8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | >10,000 | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D |
| 1S,5S-15 |  | $\begin{gathered} 229 \pm 67 \\ (90.6 \pm 4.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | N/D | N/D | >10,000 | N/D |
| $1 R, 5 R-17$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22.12 \pm 10.42 \\ & (99.3 \pm 3.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 157 \pm 72 \\ (32.2 \pm 5.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1077.30 \pm 463.66 \\ (66.5 \pm 6.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146 \pm 19 \\ (21.2 \pm 4.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | >10,000 |
| 1S,5S,9S-22 |  | $\begin{gathered} 94.1 \pm 47.8 \\ (53.2 \pm 12.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D |

Table 2. Cont.

| Compd\# | Molecular Structure | MOR |  | DOR |  | KOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agonist | Antagonist ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Agonist | Antagonist ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Agonist | Antagonist ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max } \pm \mathrm{SEM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{I}_{\max } \pm \mathrm{SEM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max } \pm \mathrm{SEM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{I}_{\max } \pm \mathbf{S E M}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max } \pm \mathrm{SEM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \\ \left(\% \mathrm{I}_{\max } \pm \mathbf{S E M}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| $1 R, 5 R, 9 R-23$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 26.1 \pm 6.18 \\ (80.7 \% \end{array}{ }^{ \pm} 12.9 \%\right)$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 186 \pm 12.5 \\ (46.6 \pm 1.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | >10,000 | >10,000 |
| 1S,5S-28 |  | $\begin{gathered} 27.2 \pm 4.1 \\ (100.4 \% \pm 2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 1518 \pm 385 \\ (51.4 \pm 3.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | >10,000 | >10,000 |
| 1R,5R-33 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \pm 0.7 \\ (100.1 \% \pm 1.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \pm 1.8 \\ (69.3 \pm 6.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{aligned} & >10,000 \\ & \text { (observed max } \\ & \text { response } \\ & >50 \% \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | N/D |
| 1S,5R,9S-34 |  | $\begin{gathered} 27.3 \pm 1.1 \\ (100.8 \pm 0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 575 \pm 127 \\ (61.5 \pm 1.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | >10,000 | >10,000 |
| 1R,5S,9R-35 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.85 \pm 0.14 \\ (98.8 \pm 0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \pm 2.6 \\ (35.4 \% \pm 10.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.52 \pm 29.46 \\ & (35.1 \pm 2.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 |
| 1S,5R,9R-38 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \pm 1.2 \\ (89.6 \pm 3.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 55.0 \pm 3.9 \\ (46.8 \pm 8.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | >10,000 | $\begin{gathered} 44 \pm 4 \\ (97 \pm 0.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1R,5S,9S-41 |  | >10,000 | $\begin{gathered} 318 \pm 143 \\ (124.7 \pm 13.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D |
| 1S,5R,9S-46 |  | $\begin{aligned} 2.4 & \pm 0.3 \\ (102.7 & \pm 0.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 126 \pm 40 \\ (77.7 \pm 2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{aligned} 17.9 & \pm 6.99 \\ (103.2 & \pm 6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | N/D |
| 1S,5R,9R-47 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.66 \pm 0.26 \\ (101.9 \pm 0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{gathered} 416.45 \pm 21.07 \\ (84.7 \pm 3.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | N/D | $\begin{aligned} & 95.69 \pm 16.99 \\ & (93.4 \pm 3.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | N/D |

Table 2. Cont.


The C9 amino compounds $\mathbf{3 , 6}, \mathbf{2 2}$, and $\mathbf{2 3}$ in Table 2 illustrate the importance of stereochemistry on MOR agonist activity. It is well known that enantiomers can greatly differ in their pharmacology, with one showing much more of an effect at the MOR than the other. Thus, $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-6$ was a moderately potent and almost fully efficacious MOR agonist (MOR $\left.E C_{50}=24 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=91 \%\right)\right)$, and its enantiomer, $1 S, 5 S, 9 R-3\left(\mathrm{MOR} \mathrm{EC}_{50}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, had no effect as an agonist and little potency as an MOR antagonist ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=709 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Less difference was noted in the potencies and efficacies of the C9 amino enantiomeric pair $1 S, 5 S, 9 S-22$ and $1 R, 5 R, 9 R-23$, where $1 R, 5 R, 9 R-23$ was a moderately potent MOR partial agonist $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=26 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=81 \%\right)\right)$ and was about three times as potent as $1 S, 5 S, 9 S$ 22. The latter compound had much less potency as an MOR agonist and little efficacy $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=94 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=53 \%\right)\right)$. There was a major difference in functional activity between 5-phenymorphans with a C9 amino moiety and those with a C9 hydroxyl substituent. The comparable chiral compound in the C9 hydroxy series, with $1 R, 5 R, 9 S$ stereochemistry, was extremely potent in vitro and in vivo [3]. Although a direct comparison cannot be made because the amino compounds were examined using the cAMP assay and the hydroxy compounds were evaluated with MOR receptor binding in a $\left.{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTPgS}$ assay, it was obvious that the $1 R, 5 R, 9 S$-amino compound had much less potency and efficacy. Possibly the amino compound was less capable of the hydrogen bonding that apparently enabled
the high potency of the hydroxy stereoisomer, as postulated through molecular modeling using energy-minimized structures [3].

An effect of the C9 substituent on activity could be clearly discerned in the C9 methylamino enantiomers $1 S, 5 S, 9 R-8$ and $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-10$, where the former secondary amine was a weak antagonist with a high degree of antagonism (MOR IC $50=178 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{I}_{\max }=136 \%\right)$ ), and the latter was a weak MOR agonist with slight efficacy ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=83 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=28 \%\right)$ ).

The conversion of a primary amine at C9 in $1 S, 5 S, 9 R-3$ to a secondary amine (1S,5S,9R8) had little effect; both compounds were weak antagonists. However, the activity of a different secondary amine, the $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-\mathbf{1 0}$ stereochemical relative of the primary amine $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-6$, showed a clear difference in activity; the primary amine was more potent and efficacious than the secondary amine $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-10\left(\operatorname{MOR} \mathrm{EC}_{50}=83 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=28 \%\right)\right.$ ). The secondary amine $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-10$ had little efficacy as an MOR agonist. Obviously, the conversion to a secondary amine was not advantageous.

Unlike the secondary amines $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, the enantiomeric oximes $1 S, 5 S-\mathbf{1 5}$ and $1 R, 5 R-\mathbf{1 7}$ were almost fully efficacious, and the latter was about 10 -fold more potent than $1 S, 5 S$ $15\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=22 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=99 \%\right)\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=229 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=91 \%\right)\right)$ for 17 and 15 , respectively. The oxime $1 R, 5 R-17$ was a moderately potent, fully efficacious MOR agonist. Very much like the oximes in efficacy, the unsaturated cyano enantiomers 1S,5S-28 and $1 R, 5 R-33$ were fully efficacious, and $1 R, 5 R-33$ was more than 10 -fold more potent than $1 S, 5 S-28$ and was about three times more potent than morphine (MOR $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.8 \mathrm{nM}$ $\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=100 \%\right)$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{MOR} \mathrm{EC}_{50}=27 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=101 \%\right)\right.$ ) for 33 and 28, respectively.

It was only among the saturated C9 cyano compounds that a potent partial MOR agonist was found. In the enantiomeric pair $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$ and $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-41$, the former compound, $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$, was about twice as potent as morphine and had efficacy at or below $90 \%$ in this assay, making it a potentially interesting partial agonist $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.5 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ $\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=89.6 \%\right)$ ). $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$ was a selective MOR agonist, having only little potency as a DOR partial agonist and KOR antagonist. Again, stereochemistry dictated agonist activity, and $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-41$, the enantiomer of $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$, was a weak antagonist $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=318 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. In the enantiomeric pair $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-34$ and $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-35$, both were fully efficacious, and $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-35$ was the most potent compound of all the C9-nitrogen-containing compounds. It had nanomolar potency and was about seven times more potent than morphine $\left(E C_{50}=27 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=101 \%\right)\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.85 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=99 \%\right)\right)$ for 34 and 35, respectively. It was uncommon in this series of compounds to find one diastereomer with no potency as an MOR agonist ( $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-41$ ), but that is not unusual among opioid enantiomers, where one of the enantiomers is found to have all of the potency and the other displays little or no potency at the MOR (e.g., (-) and (+)-naloxone) [18].

Three compounds exhibited weak MOR antagonist activity (the primary amine $1 S, 5 S, 9 R$ 3 , the secondary amine $1 S, 5 S, 9 R-8$, and the cyanomethyl compound $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-41$ ). One compound, the methylaminomethyl compound $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-46$, had more than a negligible effect at the KOR. It was a moderately potent full-efficacy KOR agonist (KOR EC $50=18 \mathrm{nM}$ $\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=103 \%\right)$ ). Two of the compounds, the unsaturated cyano compound $1 R, 5 R-33$ and the saturated cyano compound $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-35$, were found to be potent at the DOR (DOR $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=8$ and 4 nM , respectively), but neither of them were efficacious ( $\mathrm{DOR} \% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=69 \%$ and $35 \%$, respectively).

Lastly, among the four diastereomers of the C9-methylaminomethyl-substituted compounds, the diastereomers $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-46$ and $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-47$ were both more potent than morphine and were fully efficacious $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.4 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=103 \%\right)\right.$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=3.7 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ $\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=102 \%\right)$ ) for $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-46$ and $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-47$, respectively. The remaining two diastereomers, $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-52$ and $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-53$, were almost fully efficacious and had less potency at the MOR $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=43 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=94 \%\right)\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=16 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=97 \%\right)\right.$ ), respectively. Except for $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-52$, all the methylaminomethy compounds were more potent and efficacious than the primary amines or the methylamines.

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. General Information

All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere, unless otherwise noted. Proton ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) and carbon ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini-400 spectrometer at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 101 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The spectra have been shown in the Supplementary Materials. Mass spectra (HMS) were recorded on a Waters (Mitford, MA, USA) Xevo G2-XS QTof. Ions were produced using positive ion electrospray (ESI) at a capillary voltage of 2.8 KV . The ESI source temperature was $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The optical rotation data were obtained on a PerkinElmer polarimeter model 341, and melting points were obtained using Thomas Hoover capillary melting point (mp) apparatus. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on a 250 mm Analtech GHLF. Visualization was accomplished under UV or by staining in an iodine chamber. Flash column chromatography was performed with Fluka silica gel 60 (mesh 220-400). The solvents used were $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CMA}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{OH}(50: 45: 5)\right)$, usually using a gradient of $0 \rightarrow 10 \%$ or $15 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, or hexane and ethyl acetate at various gradients. Elemental analyses were performed by Robertson Microlit Laboratories, NJ, USA.

### 3.2. Synthesis

(1S,5S,9R)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-amine (2). In a dry flask, the ketone $1(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(26 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2 M solution in $\mathrm{EtOH}, 51.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv), and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}(3.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were combined and stirred overnight at room temperature. The following morning, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 292 mg , $7.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h before it was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and filtered through celite with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography with $0 \rightarrow 10 \%$ $\mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to produce 2 as a colorless oil $(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 7.27-7.18 (m, 6H), 7.03-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.74-6.72 (m, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 3.05-2.85 $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.77,150.25$, $140.32,129.42,128.74,128.38,126.07,118.07,112.24,110.77,57.64,57.51,55.32,55.13,49.70$, 39.98, 39.95, 34.37, 27.77, 21.66, 18.12. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 351.2436, found: $351.2434,[\mathrm{a}]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+31.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.04, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

3-((1S,5S,9R)-9-Amino-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (3). In a dry flask, the ether 2 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.571 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 13 mL ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(274.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) was added. After stirring the reaction for 15 min , the cooling bath was removed, and the contents were stirred for an additional 2 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum conditions, and the residue was taken up in 1 N HCl and heated to reflux for 1 h . After cooling to room temperature, the pH was adjusted to 8 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The aqueous solution was extracted with dichloromethane (3x). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography with $2 \rightarrow$ $15 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, which produced 3 as a white foam ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). The HCl salt was prepared by dissolving the solid ( 40 mg ) in $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dichloromethane and adding 2 N HCl (4 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, resulting in a white solid ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.77-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.51-1.48 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 158.31,149.57,140.62$, $130.39,128.84,128.46,126.06,116.70,114.47,111.41,56.77,56.27,55.11,48.50,42.36,40.87$, 34.66, 29.04, 26.12, 23.31. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : calc: 337.2280, found: 337.2285, mp 70-73 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+8.74^{\circ}\left(c 1.12, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right), \mathrm{CHN}$ calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - $1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.82 \%$; H, $7.55 \%$; N, $6.55 \%$; found: C, $61.50 \%$; H, $7.24 \%$; N, $6.30 \%$.
(1R,5R,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-amine (5). Using the ketone $1 R, 4 R-4$, the procedure followed the preparation of 2 (on a 473 mg scale) to obtain 5 as a yellow oil ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.28-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6$, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.83$, $150.97,140.83,129.48,128.76,128.28,125.89,117.99,112.29,110.52,57.41,56.81,55.36,55.19$, $48.55,41.49,41.29,34.64,28.91,25.76,23.21$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 351.2436, found: 251.2441, $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-31.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.10, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

3-((1R,5R,9S)-9-Amino-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (6). Using the ether 5, the procedure followed the preparation of 3 on a 353 mg scale, obtaining the phenol as a white foam ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). Salt formation to a hydrochloride produced a white solid (223 mg, 86\% recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.70(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 6.69-6.68 (m, 1H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 3.12-2.95 (m, 3H), 2.85-2.74 (m, 4H), 2.33-2.21 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 158.31,149.57,140.62,130.39,128.84,128.46,126.06,116.70$, 114.47, 111.41, 56.77, 56.27, 55.11, 48.50, 42.36, 40.87, 34.66, 29.04, 26.12, 23.31. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 337.2280$, found: 337.2284, mp $71-73{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-10.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.08, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.84 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.43 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 6.77 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 63.77 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.22 \%$; $\mathrm{N}, 6.63 \%$.
(1S,5S,9R)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-N-methyl-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-amine (7). In a pressure flask, the oxide $\mathbf{1}\left(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.858 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(232 \mathrm{mg}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4 equiv), triethylamine ( $480 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv), $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}(1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4 equiv), and $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined and heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature, and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(49 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and filtered through celite with EtOAc, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography with $0 \rightarrow 15 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to produce 7 as a yellow oil ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.27-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98-2.75 (m, 5H), 2.35-2.24 (m, 2H), $2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.51,151.57,140.85$, $129.12,128.65,128.20,125.81,117.71,111.97,110.16,63.84,56.57,55.08,52.47,48.67,42.42$, $40.49,34.89,34.31,29.81,25.92,23.19$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 365.2593 , found: $365.2588,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+15.1^{\circ}$ ( $c 1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

3-((1S,5S,9R)-9-(Methylamino)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (8). In a dry flask, ether $7(245 \mathrm{mg}, 0.672 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( $320 \mathrm{uL}, 3.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) was added dropwise. After the reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , the cooling bath was removed, and the contents were allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was taken up in $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to reflux for 1 h . After cooling to room temperature, the pH was adjusted to 8 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 x)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography with $2 \rightarrow$ $20 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to produce 8 as a yellow oil, which solidified upon drying ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). The HCl salt was prepared by dissolving the free base $(80 \mathrm{mg})$ in a minimal amount of dichloromethane and adding 2 M HCl (4 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, resulting in a pink solid ( 77 mg , $80 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 157.28,149.90,140.79,128.99,128.74$, $128.37,126.06,115.68,114.04,113.28,64.61,56.63,53.19,48.14,42.45,39.86,34.41,34.23,29.49$, 26.06, 23.09. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 351.2436$, found: 351.2430, $\mathrm{mp} 60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+3.79^{\circ}$ (c 1.12, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.38 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, $61.62 \%$; H, $7.82 \%$; N, $6.25 \%$; found: C, $61.81 \%$; H, $7.73 \%$; N, $6.04 \%$.
(1R,5R,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-N-methyl-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-amine (9). Using the oxide 4 , the procedure followed the preparation of 7 on a 300 mg scale, obtaining

9 as a colorless oil ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.27-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), $1.65-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.51,151.57$, $140.85,129.12,128.65,128.20,125.81,117.71,111.97,110.16,63.84,56.57,55.08,52.47,48.67$, $42.42,40.49,34.89,34.31,29.81,25.92,23.19$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 365.2593, found: 365.2587, $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}^{-19.1^{\circ}}$ (c 0.99, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

3-((1R,5R,9S)-9-(Methylamino)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (10). Using the ether 9 , the procedure followed the preparation of 8 on a 148 mg scale, obtaining an off-white foam ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). Hydrochloride salt formation produced a white solid ( 55 $\mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 157.28,149.90,140.79,128.99$, $128.74,128.37,126.06,115.68,114.04,113.28,64.61,56.63,53.19,48.14,42.45,39.86,34.41$, 34.23, 29.49, 26.06, 23.09. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 351.2436$, found: 351.2433, mp $62-65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-1.86^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.01, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.8$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.09 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.74 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 6.40 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 63.09 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.55 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 6.22 \%$.
(1S,5S)-9-Hydrazineyl-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (11). The combined oxide 1 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), hydrazine hydrate ( $28 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.572 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), acetic acid ( $8.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene ( 4 mL ) were combined in a flask. The flask was heated to reflux under Dean-Stark conditions overnight. The following day, TLC showed no starting material. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated; the residue was taken up in $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$; and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was added ( 11 mg , $0.286 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Upon the addition of the reductant, hydrogen gas evolution was observed, and once this ceased, the reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min longer to ensure the complete reduction of the hydrazone. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and filtered through celite with EtOH , and the filtrate was concentrated into a yellow oil. It was used directly in the next step without purification. Hydrazine 11 did not react with titanium trichloride in basic media. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 6.98-6.94 (m, 2H), $6.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(1R,5R,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ol (12) [3]. The compound 4 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 12.5 mL ) in a flame-dried flask. After cooling to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, superhydride $(2.16 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1 M solution, $2.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.51$ equiv) was added dropwise. After stirring for 1 h , TLC showed no remaining starting material. The mixture was quenched with MeOH , concentrated, and purified by chromatography with 0 to $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ dichloromethane, yielding the alcohol 12 as a yellow oil ( $416 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). Characterization data agreed with the literature. The product was used in the next step without further purification.
(1R,5R,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl methanesulfonate (13). We dissolved 12 in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a dry flask and cooled the contents to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding TEA ( $181 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), followed by $\mathrm{MsCl}(138 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv). After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, TLC showed no starting material. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3x); and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated into an orange oil. The product 13 was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.46 (d, $J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.61,148.41,140.56,129.29,128.78,128.29,125.94,117.82$, $111.98,111.28,84.68,56.81,56.11,55.23,48.20,41.18,39.80,38.59,34.12,29.54,25.69,21.91$.
(1S,5S)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one (14). In a dry flask, ketone 1 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.743 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 17 mL ), and the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this mixture was added $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(360 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and the reaction was stirred for 15 min before the cooling bath was removed. After 2 h at room temperature, the reaction was analyzed by TLC ( $5 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), and no starting material was present. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was taken up in $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to reflux for 1 h . After cooling to room temperature, the pH was adjusted to 8 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and the aqueous solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (3x). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with $0 \rightarrow 5 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to produce 14 as a yellow solid ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). Characterization data agreed with the literature [4].
(1S,5S)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one oxime (15). In a flask, 14 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.432 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaOAc}(71.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.519 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $36.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.519 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were combined and heated to reflux for 2 h . After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 x)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product 15 was purified by flash chromatography with $2 \rightarrow 15 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to produce a white solid ( 119 mg , $79 \%$ ). The HCl salt was prepared by dissolving the free base ( 90 mg ) in minimal EtOAc and adding 2 M HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv), resulting in a white solid ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.83(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 2.83-2.64 (m, 5H), 2.29-2.23 (m, 1H), 2.18-2.11 (m, 1H), 2.09-1.98 (m, 3H), 1.97-1.89 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz; DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right): \delta 162.20$, $156.88,148.58,140.85,129.09,128.62,128.48,126.23,118.23,115.38,112.92,58.66,56.47,51.36$, $48.44,43.90,38.89,34.22,30.55,19.77$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 351.2073, found: $351.2075, \mathrm{mp} 206-208^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+26.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.02, MeOH). CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.14 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.16 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 7.01 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 66.25 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.97 \%$; N , 6.76\%.
(1R,5R)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one (16). Using 4, the procedure followed that of 14 on a 2.01 g scale to obtain 16 as a yellow oil ( $664 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ). Characterization data agreed with the literature [3].
(1R,5R)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one oxime (17). Using 16, the procedure followed that of $\mathbf{1 4}$ on a 664 mg scale to obtain 17 as a white solid ( 549 mg , $79 \%$ ). The HCl salt was prepared by dissolving the free base ( 200 mg ) in minimal EtOAc and adding 2 M HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv), resulting in a white solid ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.57$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 7.06-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.75-6.74 (m, 2H), 6.56-6.54 (m, 1H), 4.42-4.41 (m, 1H), 3.12-2.83 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.89(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 1.59-1.48 (m, 1H), 1.41-1.37 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz; DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.20,156.88$, $148.58,140.85,129.09,128.62,128.48,126.23,118.23,115.38,112.92,58.66,56.47,51.36,48.44$, $43.90,38.89,34.22,30.55,19.77$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 351.2073, found: 351.2076, mp 208-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 67.51 \% ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.08 \%$; N, $7.16 \%$; found: C, $67.65 \%$; H, $7.05 \%$; N, $6.98 \%$.
(1S,5S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one oxime (18). Using ketone 1 , the procedure followed that of compound 19 on a 1.34 g scale to obtain 18 as a white solid ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54$ (bs, 1H), 3.74 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.20(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.69-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.01,147.31,140.32$, $128.78,128.62,128.34,126.01,119.84,113.82,110.95,58.66,55.13,50.92,48.62,44.05,39.27$,
38.50, 34.30, 30.37, 19.64. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 365.2229$, found: $365.2231, \mathrm{mp} 122.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+31.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(1R,5R)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one oxime (19). Ketone 4 ( $361 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $86.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and sodium acetate trihydrate ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were placed in a flask and suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The contents were heated to reflux, during which time all of the solids dissolved. After heating for 2 h , no starting material remained as seen through TLC. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3x). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to produce 19 as a white solid ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.35$ (bs, 1H), 7.32-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.18 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.60,159.03,147.33,140.33,128.79,128.62,128.34,125.99,119.83,113.81$, $110.97,58.68,55.13,50.89,48.61,44.06,39.27,38.44,34.32,30.40,19.63$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z)$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 365.2229, found: 365.2225, mp 119-121 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-30.7^{\circ}$ (c $\left.1.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(1R,5R,9R)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-amine (20). Using 19, the procedure followed the preparation of 21 on a 1.09 g scale; the only change was in the reaction workup procedure. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(460 \mathrm{~mL}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(460 \mathrm{uL})$, and an additional portion of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for $15 \mathrm{~min}, 2.30 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, and the contents were stirred for an additional 15 min . The solids were filtered off and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the filtrate was concentrated and purified as described previously to obtain 20 as a yellow oil ( $361 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 6.77-6.75 (m, 1H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.76,150.36$, $140.46,129.41,128.73,128.36,126.03,118.08,112.24,110.74,57.69,57.46,55.46,55.15,49.71$, $40.09,40.02,34.50,27.81,21.70,18.17$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 351.2436, found: $351.2435,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-37.3^{\circ}\left(c 1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(1S,5S,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-amine (21). We dissolved the oxime 15 ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 0.801 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) and added LAH ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) gradually to avoid excessive foaming. The contents were heated to reflux overnight then cooled to room temperature the following day and quenched slowly with 1 NHCl to pH 1 . The pH was then adjusted to 9 by the addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and the suspension was filtered through celite with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography with a gradual gradient of $1 \rightarrow 8 \%$ $\mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (the slower the gradient and the longer the column, the better the separation of the diastereomers) to produce 21 as a pale-yellow oil ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{bs}$, 1H), 3.13 (bs, 1H), 3.13-3.05 (m, 2H), 3.05-2.91 (m, 4H), 2.28-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.02-1.77 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.76,150.36,140.46,129.41,128.73,128.36,126.03$, $118.08,112.24,110.74,57.69,57.46,55.46,55.15,49.71,40.09,40.02,34.50,27.81,21.70,18.17$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 351.2436$, found: 351.2433, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{-32.4^{\circ}(c)}$ $\left.0.98, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
3-((1S,5S,9S)-9-Amino-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (22). Using the ether 21, the procedure followed the preparation of 23 on a 361 mg scale. The phenol 22 was obtained as a white foam ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). Hydrochloride salt formation produced a white powder ( 208 mg , $90 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.28-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.87$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz} ;$
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 157.33,149.26,139.79,129.76,128.72,128.41,126.15,117.29,114.33,112.61,57.51$, $57.04,55.00,49.56,39.53,39.44,33.78,27.57,21.28,18.05$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 337.2280$, found: 337.2281, mp 72-73 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-21.4^{\circ}\left(c 1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
3-((1R,5R,9R)-9-Amino-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (23). We dissolved the ether 20 ( $538 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in dichloromethane $(9 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and cooled the solution to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( $739 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) was added. After 15 min , the cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h , at which point TLC showed no starting material. The reaction was quenched with MeOH , concentrated, and refluxed in 9 mL of 1 N HCl for 1 h to break up boron complexes. After cooling to room temperature, the pH was adjusted to 9 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3x). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography with $2 \rightarrow$ $15 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ afforded $142 \mathrm{mg}(28 \%$ yield) of 23 as a white foam. A salt was made by dissolving 142 mg of the free base in a minimal amount of MeOH and adding concentrated HCl (2 equiv per amine, 4 equiv total). After the solution was stirred for 1 h , the solvent was removed under vacuum conditions, and the pasty material recrystallized from EtOH to yield 23 as a fluffy white solid ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $7.28-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02-2.99 (m, 2H), 2.89-2.80 (m, 4H), 2.25-2.11 (m, 2H), 1.98-1.74 (m, 5H), 1.68-1.62 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 157.33,149.26,139.79,129.76,128.72,128.41,126.15,117.29$, 114.33, 112.61, $57.51,57.04,55.00,49.56,39.53,39.44,33.78,27.57,21.28,18.05$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 337.2280$, found: 337.2280, mp 79.6-81.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+20.9^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.01, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 3.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 55.3 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.93 \%$; N, $5.86 \%$; found: C, $55.24 \%$; H, $7.80 \%$; N, $5.75 \%$.
(1S,5S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one (25). In a flask, the secondary amine 24 [4] ( $3.32 \mathrm{~g}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and DMAP ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100$ equiv) were dissolved in dichloromethane ( 34 mL ). The contents were cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and TEA ( 2.83 mL , $20.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added, followed by $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). After stirring overnight, a spatula tip's worth of imidazole was added, and the solution was stirred for another 1 h to quench excess $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The contents were transferred to a separatory funnel and washed with dilute HCl and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the aqueous layer was back extracted with dichloromethane (2x); and the organic layers dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The removal of the solvent in vacuo, followed by purification by flash chromatography with 0 to $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, produced 25 as a viscous yellow oil ( $3.69 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.30-7.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.56-2.48 (m, 2H), 2.39-2.31 (m, 2H), 2.21-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.80-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 211.81,159.25,154.62,145.59,129.05,119.36,113.52,111.31$, 80.30, 63.62, 55.09, 52.81, 40.98, 40.64, 38.09, 35.54, 28.39, 17.56. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ : 368.1838, found: $368.1833[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-37.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.08, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
tert-Butyl (1S,5S)-9-(cyanomethylene)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2-carboxylate (26). To a flame-dried flask was added NaH ( $452 \mathrm{mg}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv, $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil). The solid was washed with hexane 3 times under nitrogen conditions before THF ( 10 mL ) was added. To this suspension, diethyl (cyanomethyl)phosphonate ( $1.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added dropwise. Once gas evolution ceased and a clear solution formed, $N$-Boc ketone 25 ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 3.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) as a solution in THF ( 7 mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux overnight. After cooling to room temperature, EtOH was added dropwise to quench excess NaH , and the contents were concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was purified by chromatography with 0 to $20 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to yield 26 as a white solid ( $961 \mathrm{mg}, 69^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.23$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.66$ (m, 1H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 171.58,159.77,155.04,147.40,129.75$, $119.59,116.06,113.94,111.56,94.92,80.61,55.64,55.38,45.79,40.55,39.89,38.45,34.22,28.59$,
18.06. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ : 391.1998, found: 391.1997, mp $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-44.3^{\circ}\left(c 1.10, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
2-((1S,5S)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile (27). A solution of $\mathbf{2 6}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(4.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before TFA ( $2.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv) was added dropwise. After 30 min , TLC showed no evidence of starting material. The pH was adjusted to 9 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 x)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to provide 2-((1S,5S)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile as a golden oil. This secondary amine was used directly in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.27-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.22(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), 2.15-2.07 (m, 4H), 1.88-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.69 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 175.31,159.51,147.07,129.36,119.51,116.54,113.80,111.26,92.18,55.22,53.91,46.09,41.81$, 41.51, 39.51, 34.61, 20.04. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 269.1654$, found: 269.1653, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-69.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.11, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

To a flask were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(375 \mathrm{mg}, 2.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), the secondary amine obtained above ( $364 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), phenethyl bromide ( $278 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv), and MeCN ( 3.2 mL ). The suspension was heated to reflux overnight, and the solids were filtered off and washed with MeCN. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by chromatography with 0 to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to obtain the tertiary amine 27 as a colorless oil ( $449 \mathrm{mg}, 8{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (101 MHz; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.79,159.51,147.46,140.15,129.35,128.77,128.36,126.03,119.68$, $116.75,113.92,111.27,93.40,60.44,58.82,55.24,48.28,45.79,39.58,38.77,34.49,32.58,19.49$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 373.2280$, found: $373.2281,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-11.6^{\circ}(c$ $\left.1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
2-((1S,5S)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile (28). A solution of $27(782 \mathrm{mg}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(47 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) was added dropwise. After 15 min , the cooling bath was removed, and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for an additional 2 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was taken up in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The pH was adjusted to 9 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and the contents were stirred for 30 min to break up borane complexes. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(3 x)$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solids were filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated then purified by chromatography using 0 to $20 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to produce a white foam $(487 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$. Crystallization was obtained by dissolving 100 mg of product in minimal aq MeOH then adding 2 N HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv) to produce 28 as a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta$ $7.32-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.74(\mathrm{~m} 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101$ $\mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.76,155.83,147.79,140.15,129.72,128.91,128.54,126.24,119.66,116.85$, 114.65, 114.08, $93.65,60.51,58.89,48.39,45.86,39.70,38.71,34.54,32.47,19.74$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 359.2123$, found: 359.2122, mp 152-153 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}$ $-11.0^{\circ}$ ( с $0.99, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 72.49 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.92 \%$; N , $7.04 \%$; found C, $72.48 \%$; H, $6.90 \%$; N, $7.07 \%$.
tert-Butyl (1R,5R)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-9-oxo-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2-carboxylate (30). The procedure followed that of 25 on a 3.88 g scale to obtain 30 as a yellow oil ( $4.26 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 211.81,159.25$,
$154.62,145.59,129.05,119.36,113.52,111.31,80.30,63.62,55.09,52.81,40.98,40.64,38.09$, $35.54,28.39,17.56$. HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}: 368.1838$, found: $368.1833[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+42.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.13, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
tert-Butyl (1R,5R)-9-(cyanomethylene)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2-carboxylate (31). The procedure followed that of 26 on a 4.26 g scale to obtain 31 as a white solid ( $2.91 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101$ $\mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.58,159.77,155.04,147.40,129.75,119.59,116.06,113.94,111.56,94.92$, 80.61, 55.64, 55.38, 45.79, 40.55, 39.89, 38.45, 34.22, 28.59, 18.06. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ : 391.1998, found: 391.1998, mp 123-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+44.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.02, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
2-((1R,5R)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile (32). Using the $N$-Boc 31 to obtain the secondary amine ( $1 R, 5 R$ )-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile on a 2.50 g scale, the procedure followed that of 26 to give rise to the secondary amine, leading to 27 . The secondary amine $2-((1 R, 5 R)-$ 5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile was obtained as a yellow oil and was used directly in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.27-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 175.31,159.51,147.07,129.36,119.51,116.54,113.80,111.26$, $92.18,55.22,53.91,46.09,41.81,41.51,39.51,34.61,20.04$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 269.1654$, found: $269.1654,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+66.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.98, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Using the $1 R, 5 R$ secondary amine above, the procedure for the tertiary amine 32 followed that of the preparation of 27 on a 1.89 g scale. A colorless oil ( $\mathbf{3 2}, 2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ) was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.62$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (101 MHz; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.79,159.51,147.46,140.15,129.35,128.77,128.36,126.03,119.68$, $116.75,113.92,111.27,93.40,60.44,58.82,55.24,48.28,45.79,39.58,38.77,34.49,32.58,19.49$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 373.2280$, found: 373.2275, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+12.3^{\circ}(c$ $\left.1.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
2-((1R,5R)-5-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-ylidene)acetonitrile (33). Using 32, the procedure followed that of the preparation of 28 on a 500 mg scale. A white foam ( $292 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ) was obtained. Crystallization produced 33 as a white solid ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.30-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 173.58,155.79,147.52,139.93$, $129.55,128.74,128.39,126.1,119.39,116.69,114.54,113.98,93.49,60.29,58.67,48.22,45.69$, 39.54, 38.47, 34.33, 32.17, 19.64. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 359.2123$, found: 359.2122, mp $154^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+11.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.02, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. $1.45 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 68.46 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.16 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 6.65 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 68.19 \%$; H, $6.87 \%$; N, $6.44 \%$.
2-((1S,5R,9S)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile (34). We dissolved the unsaturated nitrile 28 ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.474 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(33 \mathrm{~mL})$ and passed it through the H-cube flow reactor under the following conditions: $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50$ bar $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by chromatography with 0 to $8 \% \mathrm{CMA} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to give rise to a white foam ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ). Crystallization was performed by dissolving 30 mg of product in minimal aq MeOH , then ${ }^{1}$ adding 2 N HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv) to produce 34 as a white solid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.99-2.89 (m, 5H), 2.41-2.39 (m, 1H), 2.39-2.38 (m, 1H), 2.13-1.61 (m, 7H), 1.55-1.49 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 156.49,149.10,139.63,129.89,128.72,128.48,126.25,118.73$, $117.20,113.83,112.86,57.82,54.76,49.46,42.09,40.25,37.62,33.90,27.93,21.09,18.11,16.52$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 361.2280$, found: $361.2282, \mathrm{mp} 63-66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-2.43^{\circ}$ (c 1.30, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 72.62 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.36 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 7.06 \%$; found C, $72.69 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.24 \%$; N, $7.11 \%$.
2-((1R,5S,9R)-5-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile (35). Using 33, the saturated cyanomethyl compound was prepared analogously to 34 on a 479 mg scale to obtain 35 as a white foam ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). Hydrochloride salt formation produced the $1 R, 5 S, 9 R$-saturated nitrile 35 as a white solid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.31-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ (dd, $J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99-2.89 (m, 5H), 2.41-2.39 (m, 1H), 2.39-2.38 (m, 1H), 2.13-1.61 (m, 7H), 1.55-1.49 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 156.49,149.10,139.63,129.89,128.72,128.48,126.25,118.73$, $117.20,113.83,112.86,57.82,54.76,49.46,42.09,40.25,37.62,33.90,27.93,21.09,18.11,16.52$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): ~[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 361.2280$, found: $361.2280, \mathrm{mp} 63-66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+3.75^{\circ}\left(c 0.99, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 72.14 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.20 \%$; $\mathrm{N}, 6.99 \%$; found C, $72.29 \%$; H, $7.38 \%$; N, $7.03 \%$.
tert-Butyl (1S,5R,9R)-9-(cyanomethyl)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2-carboxylate (36). The procedure followed that of the preparation of 34 on a 475 mg scale to obtain 36 as a white solid ( $453 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.58-3.49 (m, 1H), 2.43 (d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.93,155.77,148.94,129.87,119.19,117.14,111.92,110.79$, 80.21, 55.19, 48.96, 42.46, 41.76, 40.54, 38.22, 31.17, 28.42, 27.34, 21.69, 16.64. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}: 393.2154$, found: 393.2152, mp $143-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-63.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.09, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
2-((1S,5R,9R)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile (37). We combined 36 ( 453 mg , 1.22 mmol , 1 equiv), dichloromethane ( 4.5 mL ), and TFA ( 1.88 mL , $24.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv) in a flask and stirred them at room temperature. After 30 min , TLC showed no starting material. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$; the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane 3 times; and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The drying agent was filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated to obtain the secondary amine, 2-((1S,5R,9R)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9yl )acetonitrile, as a golden oil, which was used directly in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{td}, J=12.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.82,150.24,129.66$, $120.12,117.28,111.89,110.55,55.18,48.97,42.46,41.98,41.67,38.53,34.04,28.85,22.95,16.72$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 271.1810, found: 271.1806, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-8.6^{\circ}(c$ $\left.1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

The conversion of the secondary amine to the tertiary amine followed the preparation of 27 on a 331 mg scale to obtain 37 as a yellow oil ( $345 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 400 MHz ; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 3.09-2.96 (m, 2H), 2.86-2.72 (m, 5H), $2.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.83,150.13$, $140.56,129.66,128.67,128.29,125.91,120.48,117.44,112.00,110.56,56.48,55.19,54.13,48.25$, $43.85,42.29,38.45,34.35,29.17,25.57,23.06,16.37$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 375.2436$, found: $375.2437[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-9.5^{\circ}$ ( c 1.05, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
2-((1S,5R,9R)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile (38). Using 37, the procedure followed that of the preparation of 8 on a 345 mg scale to obtain 38 as a white foam ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ). Crystallization was performed by dissolving 100 mg of
product in minimal aqueous MeOH and then adding 2 N HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv) to produce a white solid ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.32-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.94-2.82 (m, 4H), 2.73-2.69 (m, 1H), 2.29-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.14-1.77 (m, 7H), 1.50-1.49 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 156.83,149.29,139.88,130.03,128.74,128.50,126.25$, 118.95, 117.03, 114.09, 113.39, 57.92, 54.59, 49.41, 42.27, 40.43, 37.68, 33.99, 27.99, 21.29, 18.11, 16.58.HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 361.2280$, found: $361.2282, \mathrm{mp}$ $73-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+14.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 71.01 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.45 \%$; N, $6.90 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 71.10 \%$; H, $7.33 \%$; N, $6.76 \%$.
tert-Butyl (1R,5S,9S)-9-(cyanomethyl)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2-carboxylate (39). The procedure followed that of the preparation of 34 on a 155 mg scale to obtain 39 as a white foam ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.57-3.49 (m, 1H), 2.43 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 2.27-2.20 (m, 1H), 2.19-1.69 (m, 9H), 1.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.93,155.78,148.95,129.87,119.20,117.14,111.92,110.79$, 80.22, 55.19, 48.97, 42.47, 41.77, 40.55, 38.23, 31.17, 28.42, 27.34, 21.69, 16.65. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ : 393.2154, found: 393.2151, mp $144-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+64.6^{\circ}\left(c 0.99, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
2-((1R,5S,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile (40). The procedure to obtain the intermediate secondary amine, 2-((1R,5S,9S)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile, followed that of the preparation of 37 on a 156 mg scale to obtain a golden oil, which was used directly in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{td}, J=12.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.82,150.24,129.66$, $120.12,117.28,111.89,110.55,55.18,48.97,42.46,41.98,41.67,38.53,34.04,28.85,22.95,16.72$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 271.1810, found: $271.1810[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.81^{\circ}(c$ $\left.1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

The procedure to convert the secondary amine to the tertiary amine 40 followed that of the preparation of 37 on a 114 mg scale to obtain the tertiary amine 40 as a yellow oil ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.32-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.83 (s, 1H), $6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.89-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 7H), 1.59-1.52 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.84,150.13,140.56,129.67,128.68$, 128.29, 125.92, 120.47, 117.44, 112.00, 110.58, 56.47, 55.20, 54.13, 48.26, 43.85, 42.28, 38.45, 34.35, 29.17, 25.56, 23.06, 16.38. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 375.2436$, found: $375.2436,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+8.8^{\circ}\left(\right.$ c 1.07, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
2-((1R,5S,9S)-5-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)acetonitrile (41). Using 40, the procedure followed that of the preparation of 8 on a 116 mg scale to obtain the phenol 41 as a white foam ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). Crystallization was performed by dissolving 63 mg of product in minimal aqueous MeOH and then adding 2 N HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv) to afford a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ recovery). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.32-7.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.08-3.04 (m, 2H), 2.94-2.82 (m, 4H), 2.73-2.69 (m1H), 2.29-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.14-1.77 (m, 7H), 1.50-1.49 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 156.83,149.29,139.88,130.03,128.74$, $128.50,126.25,118.95,117.03,114.09,113.39,57.92,54.59,49.41,42.27,40.43,37.68,33.99$, 27.99, 21.29, 18.11, 16.58. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 361.2280$, found: 361.2283, mp $73-76^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-10.5^{\circ}\left(c 0.93, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. CHN calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.35$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 71.48 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.42 \%$, N, $6.95 \%$; found C, $71.49 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.28 \%$, N, $6.83 \%$.
1-(1S,5R,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)-N-methylmethanamine (44) and 1-(1S,5R,9R)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)-Nmethylmethanamine (45). Enol ether 42 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ equiv, 2.65 mmol ) was dissolved in tetrahy-
drofuran $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred under argon at room temperature overnight. The reaction was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and basified with 7 N $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ in methanol ( pH 8.5 ). The aqueous solution was then extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum conditions to give rise to the mixture of aldehydes (43) as a bluish green oil. The aldehydes were dried under high vacuum for 30 min and used immediately in the next step without purification. The mixture of aldehydes was dissolved in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a pressure flask. Titanium(IV) isopropoxide ( $1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2 equiv, 5.30 mmol ) and $33 \%$ methylamine solution in ethanol ( $2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 3.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 10$ equiv, 26.5 mmol ) were added to the flask. The pressure flask was flushed with argon, sealed, and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium borohydride ( 200 mg , 2 equiv, 5.30 mmol ) was then added portionwise, and the reaction was stirred for 2 h . Water was added to quench the reaction, and the pH was adjusted to 9 by adding $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed several times with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was transferred to a separatory funnel. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum conditions to yield a dark-yellow residue. The residue was separated and purified by flash chromatography (slow gradient $0-20 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) to give rise to the diastereomers $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-44$ and $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-45$ in a $75 \%$ yield for the combined diastereomers.

For $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-44$, the product was isolated as a pale-yellow oil ( 437.5 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.05 (dd, $J=11.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.6,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 1.82-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.62 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.42 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $159.46,151.76,141.96,129.02,128.67,128.10,125.76,117.86,112.03,109.94,56.69,55.11,52.96$, 49.37, 48.81, 45.83, 42.86, 38.21, 36.87, 34.04, 30.14, 25.81, 23.31. HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calc for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 379.2749$; found, 379.2747 .

For $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-45$, the product was obtained as a pale-yellow oil ( 314.5 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.30-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.81-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.51,151.56,140.69$, $129.09,128.73,128.31,125.91,117.99,112.08,110.31,58.26,55.15,53.57,50.00,49.76,45.48$, $41.57,37.84,36.70,34.65,29.32,21.72,18.58$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 379.2749; found, 379.2747.

1-((1S,5R,9S)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)-N-methylmethanamine (46). The ether $44(320 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, $845 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this cooled solution was added boron tribromide ( $1.06 \mathrm{mg}, 400 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5$ equiv, 4.23 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed up to room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with MeOH , and stirred for 30 min . Then, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and distilled at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to remove the volatile solvents) under nitrogen conditions for 1 h . The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and basified to pH 10 using aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give rise to a pale-yellow oil. The crude sample was purified using flash chromatography ( $0-20 \%$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ), and 46 was obtained as a pale-yellow oil ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.27-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (bs, 1H), 3.10-3.02 (m, 2H), 2.93 (s, 1H), 2.84-2.73 (m, 4H), 2.67 (dd, $J=11.7,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.85(\mathrm{~m}$,

2H), 1.84-1.72 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.45-1.38 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $157.32,151.11,140.82,129.26,128.63,128.17,125.85,116.32,113.51,112.78,56.58,53.58,49.70$, $48.74,45.04,42.86,38.07,36.30,33.92,29.98,25.73,23.28$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 365.2593 ; found, 365.2593 . $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+8.8$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), mp (dioxalate salt): 143.4-145.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CHN calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \bullet 0.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 60.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.77 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.03$. Found: C, 60.29; H, 6.72; N, 5.12.

3-((1S,5R,9R)-9-((Methylamino)methyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (47). The ether 45 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, $475 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this cooled solution was added boron tribromide ( $596 \mathrm{mg}, 225 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5$ equiv, 2.38 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed up to room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with MeOH , and stirred for 30 min . Then, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and distilled at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to remove the volatile solvents) under nitrogen conditions for 1 h . The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and basified to pH 10 using aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give rise to a pale-yellow foam. The crude sample was purified using flash chromatography ( $0-20 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ), and 47 was obtained as an off-white foam ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 7.28-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{td}, J=12.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58$ (dd, $J=12.1,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.95(\mathrm{~m}$, 4), 1.84-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.72 (ddd, $J=14.1,4.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 157.65,150.96,140.34,129.28,128.71,128.34,125.99,116.40,114.01,113.08$, $58.26,55.12,50.67,49.39,44.88,40.54,37.51,36.08,34.14,29.14,21.39,19.08$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 365.2593; found, 365.2594. [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-30.3$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). mp: $134.2-135.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CHN calc for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet 0.03 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet 0.24 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 73.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.27 ; \mathrm{N}$, 7.12. Found: C, 74.09 ; H, 8.36; N, 6.95.
(1R,5R)-9-(Methoxymethylene)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (48) [5]. $1 R, 5 R-4(2.20 \mathrm{~g}$, 1 equiv, 2.86 mmol$)$ was transferred to an oven-dried flask charged with (methoxymethyl)triphenylphosphonium chloride ( $6.47 \mathrm{~g}, 3$ equiv, 8.58 mmol ). The solution was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to which was added lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( 4.21 g , $25.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1$ molar, 4 equiv, 11.4 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction was gradually allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was then cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with MeOH , and stirred for 10 min . The reaction was concentrated under vacuum conditions, and the residue was taken up in water and chloroform. The pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to 9 with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with 9:1 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum conditions. The residue was purified using flash chromatography (gradient 0-100\% EtOAc in hexanes) to give rise to 48 as a mixture of $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ isomers ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ) that were immediately used in the next step.
1-(1R,5S,9R)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)-N-methylmethanamine (50) and 1-((1R,5S,9S)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)-Nmethylmethanamine (51). The enol ether $48(0.700 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ equiv, 1.85 mmol$)$ was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 5 mL ), and $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to it. The reaction was stirred under nitrogen conditions at room temperature overnight. The reaction was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and basified with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The aqueous solution was then extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)(5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum conditions to give rise to a mixture of aldehydes as a bluish green oil. The aldehydes 49 were immediately used in the next step without purification. The mixture of aldehydes, methylamine ( $2.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 33 \%$ in EtOH , 10 equiv, 18.5 mmol ), and titanium(IV) isopropoxide
$(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2 equiv, 3.71 mmol ) was added to a clean, dry-pressure vial. The reaction was stirred under argon at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and sodium borohydride ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 2$ equiv, 3.71 mmol ) was added. The reaction was stirred for 2 h , quenched with water, and basified to pH 9 with an $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution. The solids were filtered off over celite, and the filtrate was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give rise to a yellow residue, a mixture of diastereomers that were separated using flash chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) to give $50(1 R, 5 \mathrm{~S}, 9 \mathrm{R})$ and $51(1 \mathrm{R}, 5 \mathrm{~S}, 9 \mathrm{~S})$ as pale-yellow oils $(0.301 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.28-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.94(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.92-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.82-1.64 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.41 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $159.46,151.78,140.97,129.02,128.67,128.10,125.76,117.87,112.03,109.93,56.69,55.11,52.95$, $49.38,48.82,45.87,42.87,38.21,36.90,34.05,30.15,25.82,23.32$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calc for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 379.2749$; found, 379.2756 .

For $1 R, 5 S, 9 S-51$, the product was obtained as a pale-yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.30-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.50,151.61,140.73,129.08,128.73$, $128.30,125.90,117.99,112.07,110.29,58.29,55.15,53.54,50.03,49.78,45.45,41.62,37.86$, 36.76, 34.70, 29.33, 21.74, 18.59.

3-((1R,5S,9R)-9-((Methylamino)methyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (52). The ether $50(0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ equiv, $343 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this cooled solution was added boron tribromide ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 162 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5$ equiv, 1.72 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed up to room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with MeOH , and stirred for 30 min . Then, 1 N HCl $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and distilled at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to remove the volatile solvents) under nitrogen conditions for 1 h . The reaction was allowed to cool down and basified to pH 8.5 . The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give rise to 52 as a pale-yellow oil ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.28-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 157.48,151.03$, $140.82,129.26,128.63,128.18,125.86,116.20,113.58,112.85,56.58,53.59,49.64,48.73,44.85$, $42.85,38.04,36.19,33.89,29.95,25.68,23.26$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 365.2593; found, 365.2591 . $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-7.7^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Dioxalate salt: mp $150-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CHN calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 61.75; H, 6.66; N, 5.14. Found: C, 61.98; H, 6.93; N, 5.29.
3-((1R,5S,9S)-9-((Methylamino)methyl)-2-phenethyl-2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-5-yl)phenol (53). The ether 51 ( $630 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, 1.66 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this cooled solution was added boron tribromide ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 787 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5$ equiv, 8.32 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed up to room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with MeOH , and stirred for 30 min . Then, $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and distilled at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to remove the volatile solvents) under nitrogen conditions for 1 h . The reaction was allowed to cool down and basified to pH 8.5 . The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give rise to 53 as a white foam ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.05(\mathrm{td}, J=12.1,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{t}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 157.57,150.99$, $140.36,129.28,128.71,128.34,125.99,116.46,113.99,113.04,58.27,55.15,50.71,49.39,44.92$, $40.54,37.52,36.12,34.17,29.14,21.39,19.10$. HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 365.2593; found, $365.2595 .[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+31.9^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{Mp}: 138.1-139.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CHN}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet 0.05 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet 0.35 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : C, 71.82; H, 8.03; N, 6.88. Found: C, 71.71; H, 7.93; N, 7.06.

### 3.3. In Vitro Assay

### 3.3.1. Cell Lines and Cell Culture

Cell lines and cell culture: cAMP Hunter ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO-K1) that express the human $\mu$-opioid receptor (OPRM1), human k-opioid receptor (OPRMK1), and human $\delta$-receptor (OPRMD1) were purchased from Eurofins DiscoverX (Fremont, CA, USA) and used for the forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation assays [17]. All cell lines were maintained in F-12 media with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), 1\% penicillin/streptomycin/L-glutamine (Life Technologies), and 800 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of Geneticin (Mirus Bio, Madison, WI, USA). All cells were grown at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified incubator.

### 3.3.2. Forskolin-Induced cAMP Accumulation Assays

Assays were performed as previously described [4]. Briefly, 10,000 cells/well of cells were plated in 384 -well tissue culture plates and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Stock solutions of the compound were prepared in DMSO at a 5 mM concentration, and then 9 to 10 concentrations of 100X working solutions were prepared by serial dilution using DMSO. Furthermore, 5 X working solutions were subsequently prepared using forskolincontaining assay buffer (consisting of HBSS and HEPES). For the agonist assay, cells were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with compounds for 30 min at a 1 X final concentration. For the antagonist assay [17], cells were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with compounds for 15 min before 30 min of incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the selected agonist at their $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{EC}_{90}$ doses. Detection was carried out by using the HitHunter cAMP Assay for Small Molecules by DiscoverX according to the manufacturer's directions, and the BioTek Synergy H1 hybrid plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) and Gen5 Software version 2.01 were used to quantify luminescence (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). To determine the \% efficacy in forskolin-induced cAMP assays, background readouts of the vehicle control were subtracted from all treatment readouts and then normalized to the forskolin control. Data were then analyzed in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad, LaJolla, CA, USA) using nonlinear regression. Values are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM of at least three independent experiments. The degree of antagonism ( $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ ) was normalized to naltrexone (MOR, DOR) or nor-BNI (KOR).

### 3.3.3. X-ray Crystal Data

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data on compound 23 were collected using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation and a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD area detector. The crystal was prepared for data collection by coating it with high-viscosity microscope oil. The oil-coated crystal was mounted on a micromesh mount (MiTeGen, Inc., Ithaca NY, USA) and transferred to the diffractometer, where a dataset was collected at $100(2) \mathrm{K}$. The $0.341 \times 0.046 \times 0.030 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ crystal was orthorhombic in space group $\mathrm{P}_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ values using the programs found in the SHELXL suite (Bruker, SHELXL v2014.7, 2014, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA). Corrections were applied for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects. The parameters refined included atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. The H atoms were included using riding models and direct assignment. The hydrogen atoms could not be found when the solvent, methanol, was
used for recrystallization. This is likely due to the positional disorder that was modeled. The stereochemistry of $C 1(R), C 5(R)$, and $C 9(S)$ was determined via the orientation of the molecules. It is worth noting that there are A and B alerts observed in the cif. Each will be addressed here: The rotational disorder of a solvent water molecule resulted in an "alert $A^{\prime \prime}$, a CCDC qualifier which, in this molecule, suggests short hydrogen bonding distances to the protonated amine. The hydrogen bonding is likely due to rotations of the amine as well. The residual electron density observed in alert B is due to a further positional disorder of the methanol mentioned above. Attempts to resolve this disorder with additional parts were not successful. The proton on the water without an acceptor is due to the water molecule having a rotational disorder between two molecules that it interacts with, as discussed in alert A . The outlier reflections were checked, and there were no major missing or overly intense peaks ( $\sim 10$ sigma). Nothing is out of the ordinary with each reflection, and no changes were made. None of the alert $C$ or $G$ errors were a cause for concern, but they were checked on a case-by-case basis to verify there were no major problems. Tables of the X-ray spectroscopic data were included in the Supplementary Materials.

The atomic coordinates for 23 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, deposition number 2336627. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, upon sending an application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44(0)-1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## 4. Conclusions

None of the C9 amino compounds were as potent as the formerly reported stereoisomers bearing a $1 R, 5 R, 9 S-\mathrm{OH}$ or a $1 R, 5 S, 9 R$-methyl substituent at $C 9$ in 5-phenylmorphan [3]. Only the cyanomethyl compound $1 R, 5 S, 9 R-35$ had nanomolar potency among the various compounds with a nitrogen atom; it was about 7 x more potent than morphine and was found to have high MOR efficacy. An amino substituent directly attached at C9 had lowered potency in comparison with the generally more potent methylaminomethyl compounds with a secondary amino function situated one carbon away from C9. Both the stereochemistry of the molecule and that of the C9 substituent were found to affect potency and efficacy. Most of these new compounds were either fully efficacious or had little or no efficacy at the MOR, with two exceptions: the primary amine partial agonist $1 R, 5 R, 9 R-23$ and the saturated cyanomethyl compound $1 S, 5 R, 9 R-38$. The former was only moderately potent, while the latter was twice as potent as morphine. That compound, $1 S, 5 S, 9 R-38$, had potency and efficacy like those of a compound that had been previously noted, bearing a C9 hydroxyethyl substituent at C9. That compound was found to have fewer adverse side effects than those displayed by analgesics like fentanyl [13]. Among the enantiomers, it was common to have a ten-fold difference in potency. Only a few compounds were weak MOR antagonists (compounds 3, 8, and 41), and only one compound, $1 S, 5 R, 9 S-46$, was found to be a potent KOR agonist (KOR $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=18 \mathrm{nM}\left(\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=103 \%\right)$ ). Two compounds, 33 and 35, were potent DOR agonists, but neither was efficacious at DOR (DOR $\% \mathrm{E}_{\max }=69 \%$ and $35 \%$, respectively).

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at https:/ / www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules29091926/s1. Figures S1-S37: 1H and 13C-NMR spectra of novel compounds; Tables S1-S6: X-ray spectroscopic data.
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## Abbreviations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { MOR } & \text { mu-opioid receptor } \\
\text { DOR } & \text { delta-opioid receptor } \\
\text { KOR } & \text { kappa-opioid receptor } \\
\text { cAMP } & \text { cyclic adenosine monophosphate }
\end{array}
$$
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