



Prticle p-Numerical Semigroups of Generalized Fibonacci Triples

Takao Komatsu ^{1,*}, Shanta Laishram ² and Pooja Punyani ³

- ¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Science, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, China
- ² Department of Mathematics, Theoretical Statistical & Mathematics Unit (SMU), Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi 110016, India; shanta@isid.ac.in
- ³ Department of Applied Sciences, The NorthCap University, Gurugram 122001, Haryana, India; poojapunyani@ncuindia.edu
- * Correspondence: komatsu@zstu.edu.cn

Abstract: For a nonnegative integer p, we give explicit formulas for the p-Frobenius number and the p-genus of generalized Fibonacci numerical semigroups. Here, the p-numerical semigroup S_p is defined as the set of integers whose nonnegative integral linear combinations of given positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are expressed in more than p ways. When p = 0, S_0 with the 0-Frobenius number and the 0-genus is the original numerical semigroup with the Frobenius number and the genus. In this paper, we consider the p-numerical semigroup involving Jacobsthal polynomials, which include Fibonacci numbers as special cases. We can also deal with the Jacobsthal–Lucas polynomials, including Lucas numbers accordingly. An application on the p-Hilbert series is also provided. There are some interesting connections between Frobenius numbers and geometric and algebraic structures that exhibit symmetry properties.

Keywords: Frobenius problem; Fibonacci numbers; Lucas numbers; Jacobsthal polynomials; Apéry set; denumerants; Hilbert series

MSC: 11D07; 20M14; 05A17; 05A19; 11D04; 11B68; 11P81



Citation: Komatsu, T.; Laishram, S.; Punyani, P. *p*-Numerical Semigroups of Generalized Fibonacci Triples. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 852. https:// doi.org/10.3390/sym15040852

Academic Editors: Tidjani Negadi and Michel Planat

Received: 15 March 2023 Revised: 28 March 2023 Accepted: 29 March 2023 Published: 3 April 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Given the set of positive integers $A := \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\}$ $(k \ge 2)$, for a nonnegative integer p, let S_p be the set of integers whose nonnegative integral linear combinations of given positive integers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ are expressed in more than p ways. For some backgrounds of the number of representations, see, e.g., [1-5]. For a set of nonnegative integers \mathbb{N}_0 , the set $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S_p$ is finite if and only if $gcd(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k) = 1$. Then there exists the largest integer $g_p(A) := g(S_p)$ in $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S_p$, which is called the *p*-*Frobenius number*. The cardinality of $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S_p$ is called the *p*-genus and is denoted by $n_p(A) := n(S_p)$. The sum of the elements in $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S_p$ is called the *p*-Sylvester sum and is denoted by $s_p(A) := s(S_p)$. This kind of concept is a generalization of the famous Diophantine problem of Frobenius ([6–8]) since p = 0 is the case when the original Frobenius number $g(A) = g_0(A)$, the genus $n(A) = n_0(A)$ and the Sylvester sum $s(A) = s_0(A)$ are recovered. S_p can then be called the *p*-numerical semigroup. Strictly speaking, when $p \ge 1$, S_p does not include 0, since the integer 0 has only one representation, so it satisfies simply additivity and the set $S_p \cup \{0\}$ becomes a numerical semigroup. For numerical semigroups, we refer to [9–11].

Additionally, there exist different extensions of the Frobenius number and genus, even in terms of the number of representations called denumerant. For example, some consider S_p^* as the set of integers whose nonnegative integral linear combinations of given positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are expressed in *exactly p* ways (see, e.g., [12,13]). Consequently, the corresponding *p*-Frobenius number $g_p^*(A)$ is the largest integer that has *exactly p distinct* representations. However, in this case, $g_p^*(A)$ does not necessarily increase as *p* increases. For example, when $A := \{2, 5, 7\}$, $g_{17}^*(2, 5, 7) = 43 > g_{18}^*(2, 5, 7) = 42$. In addition, for some p, g_p^* may not exist. For example, $g_{22}^*(2, 5, 7)$ does not exist because there is no positive integer whose number of representations is exactly 22. Similarly, the p-genus may be also defined in different ways. For example, $n_p^*(A)$ can be defined as the cardinality of $[\ell_p(A), g_p(A) + 1] \setminus S_p(A)$, where $\ell_p(A)$ is the least element of $S_p(A)$. However, in our definition of $n_p(A)$ as the cardinality of $[0, g_p(A) + 1] \setminus S_p(A)$, one can use the convenient formula arising from the p-Apéry set in order to obtain $n_p(A)$. See the next section for detail.

In [14], numerical semigroups generated by $\{a, a + b, aF_{k-1} + bF_k\}$ are considered. Using a technique of Johnson [15], the Frobenius numbers of such semigroups are found as a generalization of the result by Marin et al. [16].

In this paper, for a positive integer v, we treat with Jacobsthal polynomials $J_n(v)$, defined by the recurrence relation $J_n(v) = J_{n-1}(v) + vJ_{n-2}(v)$ ($n \ge 2$) with $J_0(v) = 0$ and $J_1(v) = 1$ (see, e.g., [17] (Chapter 44)). When v = 1, $F_n = J_n(1)$ are Fibonacci numbers. When v = 2, $J_n = J_n(2)$ are Jacobsthal numbers. Then, we give explicit formulas of p-Frobenius numbers for $A := \{a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)\}$, where a and b are positive integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 and $a, k \ge 3$. If $a = J_i(v)$ and $b = J_{i+1}(v)$, then by $vJ_i(v)J_{k-1}(v) + J_{i+1}(v)J_k(v) = J_{i+k}(v)$, we get $A = \{J_i(v), J_{i+2}(v), J_{i+k}(v)\}$. Hence, the results in [18] are recovered as special cases. In addition, if v = 1, the results in [16,19] are recovered as special cases.

For k = 2, that is, the case of two variables, closed formulas are explicitly given for $g_0(A)$ ([8]), $n_0(A)$ ([6]) and $s_0(A)$ ([20]; its extension [21]). However, for $k \ge 3$, the Frobenius number cannot be given by any set of closed formulas which can be reduced to a finite set of certain polynomials ([22]). For k = 3, various algorithms have been devised for finding the Frobenius number ([15,23,24]). Some inexplicit formulas for the Frobenius number in three variables can be seen in [25]. Even in the original case of p = 0, it is very difficult to give a closed explicit formula of any general sequence for three or more variables (see, e.g., [24,26–28]). Indeed, it is even more difficult when p > 0. However, finally, we have succeeded in obtaining the *p*-Frobenius number in triangular numbers [29] and repunits [30] as well as Fibonacci and Lucas triplets [19] and Jacobsthal triples [18] quite recently.

It is well-known that the Fibonacci sequence exhibits a certain symmetry property known as self-similarity, where the pattern of the sequence repeats itself in smaller and smaller scales. There are some interesting connections between Frobenius numbers and geometric and algebraic structures that exhibit symmetry properties ([31–33]), some of which are found in this paper. In the context of Lotka–Volterra models, the Frobenius number may be relevant in determining the stability of equilibria or the number of limit cycles in the system. This can in turn affect the occurrence of bifurcations. In addition, the Frobenius number may be used in models that seek to predict the behavior of financial markets based on historical data.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prepare a concept for the *p*-Apéry set and convenient formulas using its elements, which we will use afterwards. In Section 3, we prove the main theorem about the *p*-Frobenius number on Jacobsthal polynomials. We first set up the structure of the *p*-Apéry set when p = 0 and, based on it, we determine the structures of the *p*-Apéry set when p = 1, 2, ... Once the structure of the *p*-Apéry set is known, the formula prepared in Section 2 is used to find the *p*-Frobenius number. By looking at the tables in Section 3, one will have a better understanding of how the *p*-Frobenius number is found. In Section 4, by using the structure of the *p*-Apéry set discussed in Section 3 and the formula prepared in Section 2, we find the *p*-genus. In a similar manner, we can also find the *p*-Sylvester number but we leave it out as the result will only be complicated. In Section 4, we show the corresponding results with respect to the Jacobsthal–Lucas polynomials. In Section 5, we give an application concerning the *p*-Hilbert series that play an important role in the numerical semigroup. In Section 6, we discuss future works.

2. Preliminaries

We introduce the Apéry set (see [34]) below in order to obtain the formulas for $g_p(A)$, $n_p(A)$ and $s_p(A)$ technically. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a_1 = \min(A)$.

Definition 1. Let *p* be a nonnegative integer. For a set of positive integers $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\}$ with gcd(A) = 1 and $a_1 = min(A)$ we denote by

$$\operatorname{Ap}_{p}(A) = \operatorname{Ap}_{p}(a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{k}) = \{m_{0}^{(p)}, m_{1}^{(p)}, \dots, m_{a_{1}-1}^{(p)}\},\$$

the p-Apéry set of A, where $m_i^{(p)}$ is the least positive integer of $S_p(A)$, satisfying $m_i^{(p)} \equiv i \pmod{a_1}$ $(0 \le i \le a_1 - 1)$ (that is, $m_i^{(p)} \in S_p(A)$ and $m_i^{(p)} - a_1 \notin S_p(A)$). Note that $m_0^{(0)}$ is defined to be 0.

It follows that, for each p,

$$Ap_n(A) \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, a_1 - 1\} \pmod{a_1}.$$

Even though it is hard to find any explicit form of $g_p(A)$ as well as $n_p(A)$ and $s_p(A)$ when $k \ge 3$, by using convenient formulas established in [35,36], we can obtain such values for some special sequences $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k)$ after finding any regular structure of $m_j^{(p)}$ is hard enough in general. One of the applicable formulas is on the power sum

$$s_p^{(\mu)}(A):=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0\setminus S_p(A)}n^\mu$$

by using Bernoulli numbers B_n defined by the generating function

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \,$$

and another applicable formula is on the weighted power sum ([37,38])

$$s^{(\mu)}_{\lambda,p}(A) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S_p(A)} \lambda^n n^\mu$$

by using Eulerian numbers $\langle {n \atop m} \rangle$ appearing in the generating function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^n x^k = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} {\binom{n}{m}} x^{m+1} \quad (n \ge 1)$$

with $0^0 = 1$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$. Here, μ is a nonnegative integer and $\lambda \neq 1$. From these formulas, many useful expressions are yielded as special cases. Some useful ones are given as follows. Formulas (2) and (3) are entailed from $s_{\lambda,p}^{(0)}(A)$ and $s_{\lambda,p}^{(1)}(A)$, respectively.

Lemma 1. Let k, p and μ be integers with $k \ge 2$, $p \ge 0$ and $\mu \ge 1$. Assume that $gcd(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k) = 1$. We have

$$g_p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \left(\max_{0 \le j \le a_1 - 1} m_j^{(p)}\right) - a_1,$$
(1)

$$n_p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \frac{1}{a_1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} m_j^{(p)} - \frac{a_1-1}{2} , \qquad (2)$$

$$s_p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \frac{1}{2a_1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} (m_j^{(p)})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} m_j^{(p)} + \frac{a_1^2 - 1}{12}.$$
 (3)

Remark 1. When p = 0, the Formulas (1)–(3) reduce to the formulas by Brauer and Shockley [39] [Lemma 3], Selmer [40] [Theorem], and Tripathi [41] [Lemma 1] (there was a typo but it was corrected in [42]), respectively:

$$g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \left(\max_{0 \le j \le a_1 - 1} m_j\right) - a_1,$$

$$n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \frac{1}{a_1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1 - 1} m_j - \frac{a_1 - 1}{2},$$

$$s(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \frac{1}{2a_1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1 - 1} (m_j)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1 - 1} m_j + \frac{a_1^2 - 1}{12},$$

where $m_j = m_j^{(0)}$ $(1 \le j \le a_1 - 1)$ with $m_0 = m_0^{(0)} = 0$.

3. Main Results

Determine integers *q* and *r* by $a = qJ_k(v) + r$ with $0 \le r < J_k(v)$. The function $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that does not exceed *x*.

Theorem 1. Let *a* and *b* be positive integers with $a \ge 3$ and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then, for a positive integer $k \ge 3$, and $0 \le p \le \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor$ we have

$$g_{p}(a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_{k}(v)) = \begin{cases} (a - 1)b + a(v(r - 1) - 1) + \frac{va(a - r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_{k}(v)} + p(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_{k}(v)) \\ if a < J_{k}(v) \text{ or } (va + b)r > va(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v)); \\ (a - r - 1)b + va(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v) - 1) - a + \frac{va(a - r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_{k}(v)} \\ + p(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_{k}(v)) \quad if a \ge J_{k}(v) \text{ and } (va + b)r < va(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v)), \end{cases}$$

where $r = a - \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor J_k(v)$.

For example, if k = 3 and v = 1, then for $0 \le p \le \lfloor a/2 \rfloor$ we have

$$g_{p}(a, a + b, aF_{2} + bF_{3}) = \begin{cases} (a-1)b + \frac{a(a-3)}{2} + p(a+2b) & \text{if } a \text{ is odd;} \\ (a-1)b + \frac{a(a-2)}{2} + p(a+2b) & \text{if } a \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

3.1. *The Case* p = 0

In this triple $\{a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)\}$, we can use the similar framework to one in [18] to construct the elements of the *p*-Apéry set. Nevertheless, it is very important to see that such a framework is not always possible. For example, referring to [29] may call for a different structure. No structure has been analyzed for most other triples, so no explicit formula has been found for them.

Consider the expression

$$t_{y,z} := y(va+b) + z(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)).$$

We see that $q = \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor$. Then all the elements in the 0-Apéry set are represented as in Table 1.

Table 1. Ap₀($a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)$).

t _{0,0}	• • •	• • •	•••	$t_{J_k(v)-1,0}$
t _{0,1}	•••	• • •	•••	$t_{J_k(v)-1,1}$
:				:
$t_{0,a-1}$				$t_{I_{1}(v)-1}a^{-1}$
$t_{0,q}$		$t_{r-1,q}$		$J_K(v) = J_K(v)$

Since $t_{i+1,j} - t_{i,j} \equiv b \pmod{a}$ and $t_{0,j+1} - t_{J_k(v)-1,j} \equiv b \pmod{a}$, the sequence

$$t_{0,0}, t_{1,0}, \dots, t_{J_k(v)-1,0}, t_{0,1}, t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{J_k(v)-1,1}, \dots$$

$$t_{0,q-1}, t_{1,q-1}, \dots, t_{J_k(v)-1,q-1}, t_{0,q}, \dots, t_{r-1,q}$$

is equivalent to the sequence $\{\ell b \pmod{a}\}_{\ell=0}^{a-1}$ in a way that keeps this order completely. Since gcd(a, b) = 1 (otherwise, gcd(A) > 1), all the elements appearing in Table 1 constitute a complete residue system modulo $a(=qJ_k(v) + r)$.

It is clear that the largest element in Ap₀(*A*), where $A := \{a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)\}$, is $t_{r-1,q}$ or $t_{J_k(v)-1,q-1}$. If $a < J_k(v)$, by q = 0, the largest element is $t_{r-1,q} = t_{a-1,q}$. Otherwise, by q > 0, compare two values. The inequality $t_{r-1,q} > t_{J_k(v)-1,q-1}$ holds if and only if $(va + b)r > va(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))$. Hence, if $(va + b)r > va(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))$, then by Lemma 1 (1) we have

$$g_0(A) = t_{r-1,q} - a$$

= $(a-1)b + a(v(r-1)-1) + \frac{va(a-r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_k(v)}.$

If $(va + b)r < va(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))$, then we have

$$g_0(A) = t_{J_k(v)-1,q-1} - a$$

= $(a - r - 1)b + va(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v) - 1) - a + \frac{va(a - r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_k(v)}$.

Note that $(va + b)r \neq vs.a(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))$ because gcd(a, b) = 1.

3.2. The Case p = 1

We assume that $a \ge J_k(v)$ from now on. If $a < J_k(v)$, the situation becomes more and more complicated by requiring a lot of case-by-case discussion for $p \ge 1$. So, the discussion that follows does not apply.

All the elements in $Ap_1(A)$ can be determined from those in $Ap_0(A)$. Only those elements that have the same residue modulo *a* as those in the top row of $Ap_0(A)$ are arranged in order in the form of filling gaps under the same block. Elements that have the same residue modulo *a* as the other elements of $Ap_0(A)$ are arranged in a row shift up to the immediately adjacent block. This is shown in Table 2.

This fact is supported by the congruence relationships

$$\begin{split} t_{y,z} &\equiv t_{y+J_k(v),z-1} \pmod{a} \\ &(0 \leq y \leq J_k(v) - 1, 0 \leq z \leq q-1; 0 \leq y \leq r-1, z = q), \\ &t_{y,0} \equiv t_{y+r,q} \pmod{a} \quad (0 \leq y \leq J_k(v) - r - 1), \\ &t_{J_k(v)-r+y,0} \equiv t_{y,q+1} \pmod{a} \quad (0 \leq y \leq r-1). \end{split}$$

Table 2. $\operatorname{Ap}_1(A)$ from $\operatorname{Ap}_0(A)$.

t _{0,0}	<i>t</i> _{1,0}			$t_{J_k(v)-1,0}$	$t_{J_k(v),0}$	$t_{J_k(v)+1,0}$		 $t_{2J_k(v)-1,0}$
t _{0,1}	$t_{1,1}$			$t_{J_k(v)-1,1}$	$t_{J_k(v),1}$	$t_{J_k(v)+1,1}$		 $t_{2J_k(v)-1,1}$
t _{0,2}	$t_{1,2}$			$t_{J_k(v)-1,2}$:	:		:
:	÷			÷	$t_{J_k(v),q-2}$	$t_{J_k(v)+1,q-2}$		 $\left(t_{2J_k(v)-1,q-2}\right)$
t _{0,q-1}	$t_{1,q-1}$			$t_{J_k(v)-1,q-1}$	$t_{J_k(v),q-1}$		$t_{J_k(v)+r-1,q-1}$	
t _{0,q}		$t_{r-1,q}$	$t_{r,q}$ · · ·	$\left(t_{J_k(v)-1,q}\right)$				
$t_{0,q+1}$		$(t_{r-1,q+1})$			-			

In addition, each element of $Ap_1(A)$ has two representations in terms of a, va + b and $vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)$, because

$$\begin{split} t_{y+J_k(v),z-1} &= (y+J_k(v))(va+b) + (z-1)(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_k(v)) \\ &= v(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))a + y(va+b) + z(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_k(v)) , \\ t_{y+r,q} &= (y+r)(va+b) + q(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_k(v)) \\ &= (va+b-q(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v)))a + y(va+b) , \\ t_{y,q+1} &= y(va+b) + (q+1)(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_k(v)) \\ &= (va+b-(q+1)v^2J_{k-2}(v))a + (y+J_k(v)-r)(va+b) . \end{split}$$

Notice that $(va + b - q(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v)) > 0$ and $va + b - (q+1)v^2J_{k-2}(v) > 0$ because $a = qJ_k(v) + r$ and $J_k(v) = J_{k-1}(v) + vJ_{k-2}(v)$.

There are four candidates to take the largest value in $Ap_1(A)$:

$$t_{r-1,q+1}, t_{J_k(v)-1,q}, t_{J_k(v)+r-1,q-1}, t_{2J_k(v)-1,q-2}.$$

However, since $2vs.aJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v) > vaJ_k(v)$, we can see that $t_{r-1,q+1} > t_{J_k(v)+r-1,q-1}$ and $t_{J_k(v)-1,q} > t_{2J_k(v)-1,q-2}$. In addition, $t_{r-1,q+1} > t_{J_k(v)-1,q}$ if and only if $(va + b)r > va(J_k(v) - J_{k-2}(v))$. Hence, if $(va + b)r > a(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))$, then by Lemma 1 (1) we have

$$g_1(A) = t_{r-1,q+1} - a$$

= $(a-1)b + a(v(r-1)-1) + \frac{va(a-r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_k(v)} + (vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)).$

If $(va + b)r < a(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))$, then we have

$$g_1(A) = t_{J_k(v)-1,q} - a$$

= $(a - r - 1)b + va(J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v) - 1) - a$
+ $\frac{va(a - r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_k(v)} + (vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)).$

3.3. The Case $p \ge 2$

When $p \ge 2$, in a similar manner, each element of $Ap_p(A)$ is determined by the corresponding element with the same residue modulo *a* in $Ap_{p-1}(A)$. In each block with a lateral length of $J_k(v)$, the elements in the top row in $Ap_{p-1}(A)$ are arranged in order to fill the gap below the left-most block in $Ap_p(A)$. The other elements of $Ap_{p-1}(A)$ are shifted directly to the right block by one in $Ap_p(A)$.

In Table 3, (*n*) denotes the area of elements in $Ap_n(A)$. Here, each $m_j^{(n)}$, satisfying $m_j^{(n)} \equiv j \pmod{a}$ ($0 \le j \le a - 1$), can be expressed in at least n + 1 ways but $m_j^{(n)} - a$ in at most n ways. Each element of $Ap_3(A)$ existing in the second block to the fourth block corresponds to each element having the same residue of $Ap_2(A)$ existing in the block

immediately to the left thereof in a form of shifting up one step. The $J_k(v)$ elements of $Ap_3(A)$ existing over two rows (or one row) at the bottom of the first block correspond to the $J_k(v)$ elements with the same residue of $Ap_2(A)$ at the top of the third block. Therefore, since all the elements in $Ap_2(A)$ form a complete remainder system, so is $Ap_3(A)$. It can be shown that all the elements of $Ap_3(A)$ have exactly four ways of being expressed in terms of a, va + b and $vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)$. Within each region of $Ap_3(A)$, one of the two leftmost (lower left) elements $t_{r-1,q+3}$ and $t_{J_k(v)-1,q+2}$ is the largest so, by comparing these elements, the largest element of $Ap_3(A)$ can be determined.

Table 3. Ap_{*p*}(*A*) (p = 0, 1, 2, 3) for $q \ge 3$.



Such a structure of $\operatorname{Ap}_p(A)$ continues as long as $p \leq \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor = q$. Eventually, the largest element in $\operatorname{Ap}_p(A)$ is $t_{r-1,q+p}$ or $t_{J_k(v)-1,q+p-1}$. However, when $p = \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor + 1$, this kind of regularity is broken. Therefore, regularity cannot be maintained even for the largest value of $\operatorname{Ap}_p(A)$. Therefore, Theorem 1 is proved. Table 4 shows the arrangement of the *p*-Apéry sets ($p = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$) when $\lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor = 5$. One can see that there will be a deficiency in the arrangement of the lower left for the 6-Apéry set.

Table 4. Ap_{*p*}(*A*) ($p = \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor$).



See [18,19], etc. for a detailed explanation that the elements located within the entire specified areas actually constitute the elements of the *p*-Apéry set. That is, they form a complete residue system modulo *a* and each element is represented by *a*, va + b, $vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)$ in at least p + 1 ways. The rough structure is similar to that in [18,19], though the structures of the *p*-Apéry set in other cases are not necessarily similar or have not been known yet.

4. *p*-Genus

The elements of $Ap_{p}(A)$ in the area of the 2*p* staircase parts are

$$t_{0,q+p}, \dots, t_{r-1,q+p}, \quad t_{r,q+p-1}, \dots, t_{J_k(v)-1,q+p-1}, \\t_{J_k(v),q+p-2}, \dots, t_{J_k(v)+r-1,q+p-2}, \quad t_{J_k(v)+r,q+p-3}, \dots, t_{2J_k(v)-1,q+p-3}, \\t_{2J_k(v),q+p-4}, \dots, t_{2J_k(v)+r-1,q+p-4}, \quad t_{2J_k(v)+r,q+p-5}, \dots, t_{3J_k(v)-1,q+p-5}, \\\dots \\t_{(p-1)J_k(v),q-p+2}, \dots, t_{(p-1)J_k(v)+r-1,q-p+2}, \quad t_{(p-1)J_k(v)+r,q-p+1}, \dots, t_{pJ_k(v)-1,q-p+1}, \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

in order from the lower left and the elements of $Ap_p(A)$ in the right-most main area are

$$t_{pJ_k(v),0}, \dots, t_{pJ_k(v)+r-1,0}, \quad t_{pJ_k(v)+r,0}, \dots, t_{(p+1)J_k(v)-1,0}, \\ t_{pJ_k(v),1}, \dots, t_{pJ_k(v)+r-1,1}, \quad t_{pJ_k(v)+r,1}, \dots, t_{(p+1)J_k(v)-1,1}, \\ \dots \\ t_{pJ_k(v),q-p-1}, \dots, t_{pJ_k(v)+r-1,q-p-1}, \quad t_{pJ_k(v)+r,q-p-1}, \dots, t_{(p+1)J_k(v)-1,q-p-1}, \\ t_{pJ_k(v),q-p}, \dots, t_{pJ_k(v)+r-1,q-p}.$$

Hence, by $a = qJ_k(v) + r$, we have

$$\sum_{w \in Ap_{p}(A)} w$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} t_{iJ_{k}(v)+j,q+p-2i} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_{k}(v)-r-1} t_{iJ_{k}(v)+r+j,q+p-2i-1}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{J_{k}(v)-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-p-1} t_{pJ_{k}(v)+i,j} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} t_{pJ_{k}(v)+i,q-p}$$

$$= \frac{a}{2} \left(-v(a-r^{2}) + b(a-1) + v((a+r)q - a+r) J_{k-1}(v) + v(a-r) J_{k}(v) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} a J_{k}(v) \left(2(va+b) - v(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v)) - \frac{p^{2}}{2} a vs. J_{k}(v) \left(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v) \right).$$
(4)

Thus, by Lemma 1 (2), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} n_{p}(a, va + b, aJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_{k}(v)) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{w \in Ap_{p}(A)} w - \frac{a-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-v(a-r^{2}) + b(a-1) + v((a+r)q - a+r) J_{k-1}(v) + v(a-r) J_{k}(v) \right) \\ &+ \frac{p}{2} aJ_{k}(v) \left(2(va + b) - v(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v)) \right) \\ &- \frac{p^{2}}{2} avs. J_{k}(v) \left(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v) \right) - \frac{a-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-v(a-r^{2}) + (a-1)(b-1) + \frac{v(a+r)(a-r) J_{k-1}(v)}{J_{k}(v)} \right) \\ &+ v(a-r) \left(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v) \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{p}{2} aJ_{k}(v) \left(2(va + b) - v(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v) \right) - \frac{p^{2}}{2} avs. J_{k}(v) \left(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v) \right) \right) \end{split}$$

Theorem 2. Let *a* and *b* be coprime integers. Then, for a positive integer $k \ge 3$ and $0 \le p \le \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor$ we have

$$n_{p}(a, a + b, aJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_{k}(v))$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \left(-v(a - r^{2}) + (a - 1)(b - 1) + \frac{v(a + r)(a - r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_{k}(v)} + v(a - r)(J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v)) \right)$

$$+\frac{p}{2}aJ_{k}(v)(2(va+b)-v(J_{k}(v)-J_{k-1}(v))-\frac{p^{2}}{2}avs.J_{k}(v)(J_{k}(v)-J_{k-1}(v)),$$
where $r = a - \lfloor a/J_{k}(v) \rfloor J_{k}(v).$

p-Sylvester Sum

In this subsection, we shall show a closed formula for the Sylvester sum. By $a = qJ_k(v) + r$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ap}_{p}(A)} w^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (t_{iJ_{k}(v)+j,q+p-2i})^{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_{k}(v)-r-1} (t_{iJ_{k}(v)+r+j,q+p-2i-1})^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{J_{k}(v)-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-p-1} (t_{pJ_{k}(v)+i,j})^{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (t_{pJ_{k}(v)+i,q-p})^{2} \\ &= \frac{a}{6} \left((va+b)^{2} + (va+b)(2vs.r^{2} - 3ab - 3vs.r^{2}) + 2ab(ab + 3vs.r^{2}) \\ &+ v^{2}a(2q^{2}(a+2r) - (a-r)(3q-1))J_{k-1}(v)^{2} \\ &- 3v(a-r)(va+b-b(a-r))J_{k}(v) + v(a-r)(2vs.a+b)J_{k}(v)^{2} \\ &+ v(3(a-r)((a-r)(va-b) + va+b) + q(a+r)(4ab - 3(va+b))) \\ &+ 2qr^{2}(3vs.a-b) - (a-r)(3vs.a+b)J_{k}(v))J_{k-1}(v) \right) \\ &+ \frac{ap}{6} \left(6((va+b)(ab+vr^{2}) - (va+b)^{2} - b^{2})J_{k}(v) \\ &+ 3v((va+b)(2r+1) + 2vs.a^{2})J_{k}(v)^{2} - v(2vs.a-b)J_{k}(v)^{3} \\ &+ v(6(a^{2} - r^{2})(av+b) + 3((va+b)(2r-1) - 4vs.a^{2})J_{k}(v) \\ &+ (5vs.a-b)J_{k}(v)^{2})J_{k-1}(v) + 3v^{2}a(2a-J_{k}(v))J_{k-1}(v)^{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{ap^{2}}{2} \left((v(va+b)(2a+1) + 2b^{2} - v(2vs.a+b)J_{k}(v))J_{k}(v)^{2} \\ &- v(2a(va-b) + av+b)J_{k-1}(v)J_{k}(v) + v^{2}aJ_{k-1}(v)^{2}(2a-J_{k}(v)^{2}) \right) \\ &- \frac{2av(va+b)p^{3}}{3} (J_{k}(v) - J_{k-1}(v))(J_{k}(v))^{2}. \end{split}$$

Thus, by Lemma 1 (3), together with $\sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ap}_p(A)} w$ in (4), we obtain that

$$s_{p}(a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_{k}(v))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{w \in Ap_{p}(A)} w^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in Ap_{p}(A)} w + \frac{a^{2} - 1}{12}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \left((a - r)v(2av + b)J_{k}(v)^{2} - (a - r)v(3(va + b - b(a - r) + a)) + (3vs.a + b)J_{k-1}(v) J_{k}(v) + v^{2}a(a - r)J_{k-1}(v)^{2} + 3(a - r)v(va + b + (a - r)(va - b) + a)J_{k-1}(v) + 3(vr^{2}(va + b) + var^{2}(2b - 1) - va^{2}(b - 1) - ab(a + b - 1))) + (va + b)^{2} + (a^{2} - 1) + 2(vr^{3}(va - b) + a^{2}b^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{2v^2a(a-r)(a^2+ar-2r^2)J_{k-1}(v)^2}{J_k(v)^2} - \frac{3v^2a(a-r)^2J_{k-1}(v)^2}{J_k(v)} \\ + \frac{v(a-r)J_{k-1}(v)}{J_k(v)} (4ab(2a+3r)+2r^2(3v-b) \\ - 3(a^2+r(v+b+1)) - 3a(va+b))) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{p}{12} \left(-v(2vs.a-b)J_k(v)^3 + 3v(-(2r-1)(va+b) + a(2vs.a+1))J_k(v)^2 \\ + 6(va+b)(vr^2 - (va+b) + a(b-1))J_k(v) \\ + v(5vs.a-b)J_{k-1}(v)J_k(v)^2 \\ + 3v(-(2r-1)(va+b) + a(4vs.a+1))J_{k-1}(v)J_k(v) \\ + 6v(a^2 - r^2)(va+b)J_{k-1}(v) + 3v^2a(2a - J_k(v))J_{k-1}(v)^2) \right) \\ + \frac{p^2}{4} \left(v(a(2vs.a-2b+1) + va+b - (3vs.a+b)J_k(v))J_{k-1}(v)J_k(v) \\ + (v(2a+1)(va+b) + va+2b^2 - v(2vs.a+b)J_k(v))J_{k-1}(v)J_k(v)^2 \\ + v^2aJ_{k-1}(v)^2J_k(v)^2 \right) \\ \frac{v(va+b)p^3}{3} (J_k(v) - J_{k-1}(v))J_k(v)^2.$$

Here, again $q = \lfloor a/J_k(v) \rfloor$ and $r = a - qJ_k(v)$.

5. Jacobsthal–Lucas Polynomials

The same discussion as Jacobsthal polynomials can be applied to Jacobsthal–Lucas polynomials $j_n(v)$. Here, $j_n(v) = j_{n-1}(v) + j_{n-2}(v)$ ($n \ge 2$) with $j_0(v) = 2$ and $j_1(v) = 1$ (see, e.g., [17], Chapter 44). When v = 1, $L_n = j_n(1)$ are Lucas numbers. When v = 2, $j_n = j_n(2)$ are Jacobsthal–Lucas numbers. Similarly, determine integers q and r by $a = qj_k(v) + r$ with $0 \le r < j_k(v)$. If $a = j_i(v)$ and $b = j_{i+1}(v)$, then the numerical semigroup $\langle j_i(v), j_{i+2}(v), j_{i+k}(v) \rangle$ in [18] can be reduced as a special case.

Theorem 3. Let *a* and *b* be positive integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 and $a \ge 3$. Then, for a positive integer $k \ge 3$ and $0 \le p \le \lfloor a/j_k(v) \rfloor$ we have

$$g_{p}(a, va + b, vaj_{k-1}(v) + bj_{k}(v))$$

$$= \begin{cases} (a-1)b + a(v(r-1)-1) + \frac{va(a-r)j_{k-1}(v)}{j_{k}(v)} + p(vaj_{k-1}(v) + bj_{k}(v))) \\ if a < j_{k}(v) \text{ or } (va + b)r > va(j_{k}(v) - j_{k-1}(v)); \\ (a-r-1)b + va(j_{k}(v) - j_{k-1}(v) - 1) - a + \frac{va(a-r)j_{k-1}(v)}{j_{k}(v)} \\ + p(vaj_{k-1}(v) + bj_{k}(v)) \\ if a \ge j_{k}(v) \text{ and } (va + b)r < va(j_{k}(v) - j_{k-1}(v)), \end{cases}$$

where $r = a - \lfloor a/j_k(v) \rfloor j_k(v)$.

Theorem 4. Let a and b be coprime integers. Then, for a positive integer $k \ge 3$ and $0 \le p \le \lfloor a/j_k(v) \rfloor$ we have

$$\begin{split} n_p \big(a, a+b, a j_{k-1}(v) + b j_k(v) \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg(-v(a-r^2) + (a-1)(b-1) + \frac{v(a+r)(a-r)j_{k-1}(v)}{j_k(v)} \end{split}$$

$$+ v(a-r)(j_{k}(v) - j_{k-1}(v))) + \frac{p}{2}aj_{k}(v)(2(va+b) - v(j_{k}(v) - j_{k-1}(v))) - \frac{p^{2}}{2}avs.j_{k}(v)(j_{k}(v) - j_{k-1}(v))$$

where $r = a - \lfloor a/j_k(v) \rfloor j_k(v)$.

6. *p*-Hilbert Series

There are some applications, due to the *p*-Apéry set. One of them is on the *p*-Hilbert series ([36]) of $S_p(A)$, which is defined by

$$H_p(A; x) := H(S_p; x) = \sum_{s \in S_p(A)} x^s$$
,

When p = 0, the 0-Hilbert series is the original Hilbert series, which plays an important role in the numerical semigroup (see, e.g., [9]). In addition, the *p*-gaps generating function is defined by

$$\Psi_p(A;x) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S_p(A)} x^s$$
 ,

satisfying $H_p(A; x) + \Psi_p(A; x) = 1/(1-x)$ (|x| < 1). Moreover, according to the same arguments of Chapter 5 in [9], we can express the *p*-Hilbert series as

$$H_p(A;x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^a} \sum_{w \in Ap_p(A;a)} x^w,$$
(5)

where $a = \min{A}$. When $A = {a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v)}$, similarly to (4), we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ap}_{p}(A)} x^{w} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^{t_{iJ_{k}(v)+j,q+p-2i}} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_{k}(v)-r-1} x^{t_{iJ_{k}(v)+r+j,q+p-2i-1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{J_{k}(v)-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-p-1} x^{t_{pJ_{k}(v)+i,j}} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} x^{t_{pJ_{k}(v)+i,q-p}} \\ &= \frac{(1-x^{r(va+b)})(x^{2p(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))} - x^{p(va+b)J_{k}(v)})}{x^{(p-q-2)(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))(1-x^{va+b})(x^{2(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))} - x^{(va+b)J_{k}(v)})} \\ &+ \frac{(x^{r(va+b)} - x^{(va+b)J_{k}(v)})(1-x^{va+b})(x^{2(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))} - x^{(va+b)J_{k}(v)})}{x^{(p-q-1)(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))(1-x^{va+b})(x^{2(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))})} \\ &+ \frac{(x^{p(va+b)J_{k}(v)} - x^{(p+1)(va+b)J_{k}(v)})(1-x^{(q-p)(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v)))})}{(1-x^{va+b})(1-x^{vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v)})} \\ &+ \frac{x^{pvs.a(J_{k}(v)-J_{k-1}(v))+q(vaJ_{k-1}+bJ_{k}(v))}(1-x^{r(va+b)})}{1-x^{va+b}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by (5)

$$\begin{split} H_p(a, va + b, vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v); x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - x^a} \left(\frac{(1 - x^{r(va+b)})(x^{2p(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v))} - x^{p(va+b)J_k(v)})}{x^{(p-q-2)(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v))}(1 - x^{va+b})(x^{2(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v))} - x^{(va+b)J_k(v)})} \right. \\ &+ \frac{(x^{r(va+b)} - x^{(va+b)J_k(v)})(x^{2p(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v))} - x^{p(va+b)J_k(v)})}{x^{(p-q-1)(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v))}(1 - x^{va+b})(x^{2(vaJ_{k-1}(v) + bJ_k(v))} - x^{(va+b)J_k(v)})} \end{split}$$

$$+\frac{(x^{p(va+b)J_{k}(v)} - x^{(p+1)(va+b)J_{k}(v)})(1 - x^{(q-p)(vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v))})}{(1 - x^{va+b})(1 - x^{vaJ_{k-1}(v)+bJ_{k}(v)})} + \frac{x^{pvs.a(J_{k}(v)-J_{k-1}(v))+q(vaJ_{k-1}+bJ_{k}(v))}(1 - x^{r(va+b)})}{1 - x^{va+b}}\Big).$$

7. Future Works

In this paper, as well as in [18,19,29,30], the *p*-numerical semigroup with three variables has been studied. However, that with four variables is very difficult to deal with. In fact, even for p = 0, no algorithm to calculate the Frobenius number has been discovered yet.

In [43], the numerical semigroup of $A := (a, a + b, 2a + 3b, ..., F_{2k-1}a + F_{2k}b)$ is studied for relatively prime integers *a* and *b* when p = 0:

$$g_0(A) = a\left(\left\lfloor \frac{F_{2k-1}(a-1)}{F_{2k}} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + (a-1)b$$

and

$$n_0(A) = \sum_{y=1}^{a-1} \left\lfloor \frac{F_{2k-1}y}{F_{2k}} \right\rfloor + \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2} \,.$$

However, for $p \ge 1$, it is very difficult to find an explicit formula for the case with more than three variables. One wants to study a more general number U_n , satisfying $U_n = uU_{n-1} + vU_{n-2}$, but nothing is known even for the numerical semigroup of Pell numbers P_n , satisfying $P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2}$ ($n \ge 2$) with $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 1$, because the structure is rather different.

Author Contributions: Validation, T.K.; Investigation, T.K., S.L. and P.P.; Writing—original draft preparation, T.K.; Writing—review and editing, S.L. and P.P.; Supervision, T.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The most of this paper has been completed when the first named author stayed in Delhi in February 2023. He thanks the discussions and hospitality by the second and third named authors. All the authors thank the reviewers for careful reading of the manuscript and for giving constructive comments, which made this paper so sophisticated.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Binner, D.S. The number of solutions to ax + by + cz = n and its relation to quadratic residues. J. Integer Seq. 2020, 23, 20.6.5.
- 2. Cayley, A. On a problem of double partitions. *Philos. Mag.* 1860, 20, 337–341. [CrossRef]
- 3. Komatsu, T. On the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation of Frobenius–General case. *Math. Commun.* 2003, *8*, 195–206.
- 4. Sylvester, J.J. On the partition of numbers. Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 1857, 1, 141–152.
- 5. Tripathi, A. The number of solutions to ax + by = n. Fibonacci Quart. **2000**, 38, 290–293.
- 6. Sylvester, J.J. On subinvariants, i.e., semi-invariants to binary quantics of an unlimited order. *Am. J. Math.* **1882**, *5*, 119–136. [CrossRef]
- 7. Ramirez Alfonsin, J.L. *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem;* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications 30; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2005.
- 8. Sylvester, J.J. Mathematical questions with their solutions. *Educ. Times* **1884**, *41*, 21.
- 9. Assi, A.; D'Anna, M.; Garcia-Sanchez, P.A. *Numerical Semigroups and Applications*, 2nd ed.; RSME Springer Series 3; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020.
- 10. Rosales, J.C.; Garcia-Sanchez, P.A. *Finitely Generated Commutative Monoids*; Nova Science Publishers, Inc.: Commack, NY, USA, 1999.
- 11. Rosales, J.C.; Garcia-Sanchez, P.A. Numerical Semigroups. In Developments in Mathematics; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2009.

- 12. Brown, A.; Dannenberg, E.; Fox, J.; Hanna, J.; Keck, K.; Moore, A.; Robbins, Z.; Samples, B.; Stankewicz, J. On a generalization of the Frobenius number. *arXiv* **2010**, arXiv:1001.0207.
- 13. Fukshansky, L.; Schurmann, A. Bounds on generalized Frobenius numbers. Eur. J. Comb. 2011, 32, 361–368. [CrossRef]
- 14. Matthews, G.L. Frobenius numbers of generalized Fibonacci semigroups. In Proceedings of the 3rd Integers Conference 2007, Carrollton, GA, USA, 24–27 October 2007.
- 15. Johnson, S.M. A linear diophantine problem. Can. J. Math. 1960, 12, 390–398. [CrossRef]
- 16. Marin, J.M.; Ramirez Alfonsin, J.L.; Revuelta, M.P. On the Frobenius number of Fibonacci numerical semigroups. *Integers* **2007**, 7, A14.
- 17. Koshy, T. Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2019; Volume 2.
- 18. Komatsu, T.; Pita-Ruiz, C. The Frobenius number for Jacobsthal triples associated with number of solutions. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 98 . [CrossRef]
- 19. Komatsu, T.; Ying, H. The *p*-Frobenius and *p*-Sylvester numbers for Fibonacci and Lucas triplets. *Math. Biosci. Eng.* **2023**, *20*, 3455–3481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Brown, T.C.; Shiue, P.J. A remark related to the Frobenius problem. Fibonacci Quart. 1993, 31, 32–36.
- 21. Rødseth, Ø.J. A note on Brown and Shiue's paper on a remark related to the Frobenius problem. Fibonacci Quart. 1994, 32, 407–408.
- 22. Curtis, F. On formulas for the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup. Math. Scand. 1990, 67, 190–192. [CrossRef]
- 23. Fel, L.G. Frobenius problem for semigroups $S(d_1, d_2, d_3)$. Funct. Anal. Other Math. **2006**, 1, 119–157. [CrossRef]
- 24. Rosales, J.C.; Garcia-Sanchez, P.A. Numerical semigroups with embedding dimension three. *Arch. Math.* **2004**, *83*, 488–496. [CrossRef]
- 25. Tripathi, A. Formulae for the Frobenius number in three variables. J. Number Theory 2017, 170, 368–389. [CrossRef]
- Robles-Pérez, A.M.; Rosales, J.C. The Frobenius number for sequences of triangular and tetrahedral numbers. J. Number Theory 2018, 186, 473–492. [CrossRef]
- Rosales, J.C.; Branco M.B.; Torrão, D. The Frobenius problem for Thabit numerical semigroups. J. Number Theory 2015, 155, 85–99.
 [CrossRef]
- Rosales, J.C.; Branco M.B.; Torrão, D. The Frobenius problem for Mersenne numerical semigroups. *Math. Z.* 2017, 286, 741–749.
 [CrossRef]
- Komatsu, T. The Frobenius number for sequences of triangular numbers associated with number of solutions. *Ann. Comb.* 2022, 26, 757–779. [CrossRef]
- 30. Komatsu, T. The Frobenius number associated with the number of representations for sequences of repunits. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **2023**, *361*, 73–89. [CrossRef]
- 31. Albano, A. The Fibonacci sequence and the golden section in a lunette decoration of the medieval church of San Nicola in Pisa. *Territ. Cult.* **2015** *21*, 48–59.
- Antoniotti, A.; Caldarola, F.; d'Atri, G.; Pellegrini, M. New Approaches to Basic Calculus: An Experimentation via Numerical Computation. In Numerical Computations: Theory and Algorithms: Third International Conference, NUMTA 2019, Crotone, Italy, 15–21 June 2019; Sergeyev, Y.D., Kvasov, D.E., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 329–342.
- Caldarola, F.; d'Atri, G.; Maiolo, M.; Pirillo, G. New algebraic and geometric constructs arising from Fibonacci numbers. Soft Comput. 2020, 24, 17497–17508. [CrossRef]
- 34. Apéry, R. Sur les branches superlinéaires des courbes algébriques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 1946, 222, 1198–1200.
- 35. Komatsu, T. Sylvester power and weighted sums on the Frobenius set in arithmetic progression. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 2020, 315, 110–126. [CrossRef]
- 36. Komatsu, T. On *p*-Frobenius and related numbers due to *p*-Apéry set. *arXiv* **2023**, arXiv:2111.11021v3.
- 37. Komatsu, T.; Zhang, Y. Weighted Sylvester sums on the Frobenius set. Irish Math. Soc. Bull. 2021, 87, 21–29. [CrossRef]
- Komatsu, T.; Zhang, Y. Weighted Sylvester Sums on the Frobenius Set in More Variables. *Kyushu J. Math.* 2022, 76, 163–175. [CrossRef]
- 39. Brauer, A.; Shockley, B.M. On a problem of Frobenius. J. Reine. Angew. Math. 1962, 211, 215–220.
- 40. Selmer, E.S. On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius. J. Reine Angew. Math. 1977, 293–294, 1–17.
- 41. Tripathi, A. On sums of positive integers that are not of the form ax + by. Amer. Math. Monthly 2008, 115, 363–364. [CrossRef]
- 42. Punyani, P.; Tripathi, A. On changes in the Frobenius and Sylvester numbers. Integers 2018, 18B, A8.
- 43. Batra, S.S.; Kumar, N.; Tripathi, A. On a linear Diophantine problem involving the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences. *Integers* **2015**, 15, A26.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.