

Review

# Exploring the Role of Socially Responsible Marketing in Promoting Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Organizational Settings

Željka Marčinko Trkulja<sup>1</sup>, Dinko Primorac<sup>2,\*</sup> and Irena Bilić<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Economy, Libertas International University, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; zmarcinko@libertas.hr<sup>2</sup> Department of Economy, University North, 48000 Koprivnica, Croatia<sup>3</sup> Department of Economy, Algebra University College, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; elenyreny@gmail.com

\* Correspondence: dprimorac@unin.hr

**Abstract:** This paper delves into the concept of socially responsible marketing and its significance in fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion within organizational environments. It elucidates the connection between marketing and corporate social responsibility (CSR), showcasing methods of integrating social responsibility into marketing activities. Ethical principles inherent in socially responsible marketing are delineated, along with examples of CSR programs and projects within marketing initiatives. Furthermore, the paper explores the context and nature of diversity management policies and practices in organizations, highlighting the need for aligning marketing efforts with inclusive practices. It delves into the perception of various antecedents and consequences of diversity, equity, and inclusion at individual, group, and organizational levels, emphasizing the role of marketing in shaping perceptions and fostering inclusivity. Additionally, the paper addresses systemic, structural, organizational, institutional, cultural, and societal obstacles related to diversity, equity, and inclusion, underscoring the importance of socially responsible marketing in overcoming these challenges. It provides insights into how marketing initiatives can contribute to dismantling barriers and promoting diversity and inclusion within diverse organizational settings and workplaces. In conclusion, the paper underscores the pivotal role of socially responsible marketing in advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion agendas within organizational settings. It emphasizes the need for ethical and inclusive marketing practices and offers recommendations for incorporating social responsibility into marketing strategies to foster a more inclusive and equitable business environment.

**Keywords:** socially responsible marketing; corporate social responsibility; marketing strategies; ethical principles; inclusive marketing



**Citation:** Trkulja, Željka Marčinko, Dinko Primorac, and Irena Bilić. 2024. Exploring the Role of Socially Responsible Marketing in Promoting Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Organizational Settings. *Administrative Sciences* 14: 66.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14040066>

Received: 16 February 2024

Revised: 24 March 2024

Accepted: 26 March 2024

Published: 30 March 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

In contemporary discourse, the imperatives of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) stand as foundational pillars for societal progress and organizational effectiveness. As the global landscape continues to evolve, businesses are increasingly recognizing the vital role they play in fostering diverse, equitable, and inclusive environments. Amidst this backdrop, the integration of socially responsible marketing strategies emerges as a potent instrument for driving meaningful change within organizational settings. The nexus between marketing practices and societal values has garnered significant attention, with scholars and practitioners alike delving into the transformative potential of marketing initiatives in shaping social norms and attitudes. Within this framework, the concept of socially responsible marketing (SRM) has emerged as a strategic approach that not only enhances brand reputation and consumer loyalty but also addresses pressing societal issues, including those related to diversity, equity, and inclusion. In modern society, companies are expected to show that they are socially responsible in many ways. They are encouraged to produce safer products, protect and respect the environment, recruit people from minorities,

create jobs for unemployed young people, oppose racial discrimination, and maintain a high degree of integrity in the process. The significance of fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion within organizational environments has garnered increasing attention in contemporary business discourse. Amidst this focus, the role of socially responsible marketing emerges as a crucial factor in driving meaningful change.

However, within this burgeoning field, significant research gaps persist, hindering our comprehensive understanding of how socially responsible marketing can effectively promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in organizational contexts. While key publications have underscored the multifaceted nature of DEI concepts and their impact on organizational culture, employee well-being, and overall performance, there remains a need for deeper exploration into the specific mechanisms through which marketing strategies can contribute to addressing systemic barriers, cultural biases, and institutional obstacles.

This paper aims to bridge these gaps by providing a comprehensive review of the existing literature on the intersection of socially responsible marketing and DEI promotion. By examining the interplay between marketing strategies and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, this paper seeks to elucidate how marketing practices can contribute to creating more diverse, equitable, and inclusive workplaces.

Moreover, this paper aims to review the previous literature and its findings in subjects between socially responsible marketing and the promotion of diversity, equity, and inclusion within organizational settings. By examining the interplay between marketing strategies and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, this study seeks to elucidate how marketing practices can contribute to creating more diverse, equitable, and inclusive workplaces. The current state of research underscores the growing recognition of diversity, equity, and inclusion as integral components of organizational success and societal progress. Key publications have highlighted the multifaceted nature of these concepts and their impact on organizational culture, employee well-being, and overall performance (Ferraro et al. 2023; Natrajan et al. 2019; Salin 2021). However, despite advancements in understanding, significant challenges persist, including systemic barriers, cultural biases, and institutional obstacles that hinder progress toward inclusivity (Ferraro et al. 2023; Jelavić et al. 2021; Kiradoo 2022; Kozinets et al. 2010). Against this backdrop, this study aims to contribute to the existing literature by examining how socially responsible marketing practices can address these challenges and promote diversity, equity, and inclusion within organizational contexts. By reviewing the relevant literature on CSR, marketing ethics, and diversity management, this paper will examine the potential of marketing strategies to drive meaningful change in organizational cultures and practices. Ultimately, this research seeks to provide insights into the role of socially responsible marketing as a catalyst for creating more diverse, equitable, and inclusive workplaces. In conclusion, this study aims to shed light on the transformative potential of socially responsible marketing in advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion agendas within organizational settings. By exploring the intersection of marketing practices and social responsibility, this paper seeks to offer practical recommendations for organizations seeking to foster more inclusive and equitable business environments. This desk research aims to meticulously identify and delineate the research gaps concerning the intersection of socially responsible marketing and diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) promotion. By delving into the existing literature, the study seeks to elucidate where the current body of knowledge falls short and where further investigation is needed to address pressing issues in this domain. Through a comprehensive review, this research endeavors to highlight the critical areas where socially responsible marketing strategies intersect with DEI promotion and identify specific gaps in understanding. By shedding light on these gaps, the study aims to underscore the importance of filling these voids to drive meaningful progress in fostering more inclusive and equitable organizational environments. Furthermore, the manuscript will explicitly articulate how the proposed work fills these identified gaps and contributes to advancing the existing knowledge domain. By offering fresh perspectives and insights, this research seeks to provide actionable recommendations for organizations aiming to enhance their

DEI initiatives through socially responsible marketing practices. Ultimately, the goal is to bridge these research gaps and offer practical solutions that can drive positive change not only within corporate settings but also within the broader societal landscape, thereby fostering a more inclusive and equitable future for all. The significance of this paper lies in its potential to offer practical insights for organizations seeking to foster more inclusive and equitable business environments. By analyzing the current state of research on CSR, marketing ethics, and diversity management, this paper will identify key gaps in understanding and propose avenues for future investigation. Moreover, by synthesizing existing knowledge and offering fresh perspectives on the role of socially responsible marketing in advancing DEI agendas, this paper aims to contribute to the broader discourse on organizational diversity and societal progress. Ultimately, this paper holds the potential to inform organizational practices and policy decisions, thereby driving meaningful change in both corporate environments and the broader DEI landscape. By addressing the “so what” question, this paper emphasizes the significance of the previous research findings and highlights their potential impact on current knowledge and practices in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion.

## 2. Literature Review

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) represents a company’s commitment to enhancing community welfare through discretionary, voluntary business practices and contributions from its own resources (Barlas et al. 2023). The key element of this definition is voluntarism, indicating that it encompasses activities not mandated by law or expected due to ethical considerations but rather reflects a voluntary commitment by the company to exceed standard business practices or contributions. This commitment must be clearly demonstrated for a company to be considered socially responsible, and it is achieved through the adoption of new business practices or contributions, whether monetary or otherwise. The term “community welfare” in this definition encompasses both improving people’s living conditions and addressing environmental preservation concerns (Mariani et al. 2023). The concept of CSR emerged significantly in the early 1960s, emphasizing management’s obligation to make choices and undertake processes that contribute to societal and corporate welfare (Shayan et al. 2022). CSR signifies differentiating between right and wrong and acting accordingly (Novitasari and Tarigan 2022). It involves ethically and socially responsible interactions with stakeholder groups both within and outside the organization. The goal of CSR is to maintain profitability while enabling the creation of high living standards for stakeholders both within and outside the company (Sharma et al. 2023).

Socially responsible marketing is grounded in the principles of ethical conduct, sustainability, and corporate social responsibility. Scholars have defined SRM as the integration of ethical, social, and environmental considerations into marketing strategies and practices (Mohr et al. 2001). Key dimensions of SRM include responsible advertising, ethical sourcing, environmental sustainability, and community engagement (Kotler and Lee 2005). Through SRM, organizations aim to not only enhance brand reputation and consumer trust but also address societal issues, including those related to diversity, equity, and inclusion (Laczniak and Murphy 2006).

Socially responsible marketing (SRM) is a strategic approach that emphasizes the integration of ethical and socially conscious principles into marketing practices (Ferrell and Ferrell 2022). SRM is a valuable component of the ethical marketplace as it grants clear communication between producers and consumers. SRM hinders the ability of ethical consumers to effectively affect companies through their winning behaviors, often interpreted as casting economic votes for responsible companies. It involves aligning marketing strategies with broader societal values, environmental concerns, and ethical considerations to promote positive social change while achieving business objectives (Kennedy and Smith 2022). One of the key dimensions of SRM is cause-related marketing, where companies align their marketing efforts with specific social or environmental causes to demonstrate their commitment to social responsibility while promoting their products or services (Bhatti

et al. 2023). This approach involves partnerships with non-profit organizations or charitable initiatives to address pressing social issues, such as poverty alleviation, environmental conservation, or public health campaigns. By associating their brands with meaningful causes, companies not only enhance their corporate reputation but also appeal to socially conscious consumers who prioritize brands that contribute to societal well-being (Sebastian and M.C. 2022). Ethical advertising is another essential aspect of SRM, focusing on promoting products or services in a transparent, honest, and socially responsible manner (Cheng et al. 2023). This involves avoiding deceptive or misleading advertising practices and adhering to ethical standards in messaging, the portrayal of diverse groups, and product claims. Ethical advertising builds consumer trust and credibility, fostering long-term relationships with customers based on transparency and authenticity (Novitasari and Tarigan 2022; Riyadh et al. 2019). Furthermore, SRM encompasses sustainability initiatives aimed at minimizing the environmental impact of marketing activities throughout the product lifecycle (Kennedy and Smith 2022). This involves incorporating eco-friendly practices into product design, packaging, distribution, and disposal, as well as promoting sustainable consumption behaviors among consumers. By embracing sustainability, companies not only reduce their ecological footprint but also appeal to environmentally conscious consumers who seek eco-friendly products and brands (Özturan and Grinstein 2022). Overall, SRM represents a proactive approach to marketing that goes beyond profit maximization to consider broader societal and environmental implications. By integrating ethical, social, and environmental considerations into marketing strategies, businesses can create value for society while enhancing brand reputation, customer loyalty, and long-term profitability.

Theoretical frameworks underpinning the relationship between SRM and diversity, equity, and inclusion highlight the potential for marketing initiatives to influence organizational culture, stakeholder perceptions, and societal norms. Social identity theory posits that individuals derive self-esteem from their group memberships, thereby influencing consumer behavior and market segmentation (Turner et al. 1979). Leveraging this framework, organizations can develop marketing campaigns that resonate with diverse consumer segments while promoting inclusive messaging and representation. Inclusive marketing is a strategic approach that emphasizes the creation of marketing campaigns, products, and services that cater to diverse audiences, including individuals from different cultural backgrounds, abilities, genders, ages, and socioeconomic statuses (Beek et al. 2023; Dimitrieska et al. 2019). This literature review explores the evolution, key concepts, and strategies of inclusive marketing, highlighting its significance in contemporary business practices and its impact on consumer behavior, brand perception, and market competitiveness. The concept of inclusive marketing has evolved in response to changing demographics, societal values, and consumer expectations. Historically, marketing practices often focused on targeting homogeneous consumer segments, neglecting the diversity within society. However, as demographics become increasingly diverse and consumer preferences evolve, businesses recognize the need to adopt inclusive approaches to remain relevant and resonate with a broader audience (Wisker 2023). Inclusive marketing entails embracing diversity and representing a wide range of identities, experiences, and perspectives in marketing communications and brand messaging. This involves portraying diverse individuals in advertising campaigns, incorporating inclusive language and imagery, and addressing the unique needs and preferences of different demographic groups (Nguyen and Johnson 2020). Inclusive marketing aims to reflect the diversity of society by featuring individuals from various ethnicities, cultures, ages, genders, sexual orientations, abilities, and body types in advertising and promotional materials (Dimitrieska et al. 2019). Businesses strive to ensure that their products, services, and marketing channels are accessible to individuals with disabilities, including designing inclusive websites, providing alternative formats for content, and offering assistive technologies (Licsandru and Cui 2018; Wisker 2023). Inclusive marketing involves understanding and respecting cultural differences and tailoring marketing strategies to specific cultural contexts to avoid stereotypes and cultural insensitivity (Nguyen and Johnson 2020). Inclusive marketing has significant implications

for consumer behavior, brand perception, and market competitiveness. Research suggests that consumers increasingly prefer brands that demonstrate inclusivity and diversity in their marketing efforts, leading to enhanced brand loyalty, positive word-of-mouth, and increased purchase intent (Jaiswal et al. 2021; Nguyen and Johnson 2020). Furthermore, inclusive marketing contributes to building a more equitable and inclusive society by challenging stereotypes, promoting social cohesion, and fostering a sense of belonging among marginalized groups (Wisker 2023). Inclusive marketing is a powerful tool for businesses to connect with diverse audiences, foster inclusivity, and drive brand growth. By embracing diversity, equity, and inclusion in their marketing strategies, companies can build stronger relationships with consumers, enhance brand reputation, and contribute to positive social change.

Ethical principles in marketing encompass the moral guidelines and standards that govern the conduct of marketing professionals and organizations in their interactions with stakeholders, including customers, competitors, and society at large (Kamila and Jasrotia 2023). The concept of ethical marketing has evolved over time in response to changing societal values, legal regulations, and consumer expectations. Historically, marketing practices were often focused solely on maximizing profits and sales, leading to ethical dilemmas such as deceptive advertising, price gouging, and exploitation of vulnerable populations (Ahadiat et al. 2021). However, as awareness of ethical issues in marketing has grown, there has been an increasing emphasis on responsible and transparent business practices that prioritize the well-being of stakeholders and society as a whole (Ferrell and Ferrell 2021; Kennedy and Smith 2022). Ethical marketing emphasizes the importance of respecting consumer rights, such as the right to safety, the right to be informed, the right to choose, and the right to be heard (Chong and Patwa 2023). This involves ensuring product safety, providing accurate and transparent information to consumers, and addressing customer complaints and feedback in a timely manner. Ethical marketing requires marketers to be truthful and transparent in their communications with consumers, avoiding deceptive or misleading advertising practices (Chong and Patwa 2023). This includes accurately representing product benefits and limitations, disclosing any potential risks or side effects, and avoiding false or exaggerated claims. Ethical marketing extends beyond individual transactions to consider the broader social and environmental impact of marketing activities (Naveed and Murtaza 2023). This involves promoting sustainable practices, supporting community initiatives, and addressing social issues such as diversity, equity, and inclusion. With the rise of digital marketing and data analytics, ethical considerations surrounding consumer privacy and data protection have become increasingly important (Tanveer et al. 2021). Ethical marketers are expected to handle consumer data responsibly, obtain consent for data collection and use, and protect sensitive information from unauthorized access or misuse. Research suggests that ethical marketing practices can have a positive impact on consumer trust, brand reputation, and organizational performance (Barlas et al. 2023). The field of ethics deals with human action and human character. Other sciences, such as psychology, also deal with humans in action and character, but the primary task of ethics is to see things through good or evil, right, or wrong. Since ancient times, virtues have been split into two types: rational and moral. Reasonable virtue originates from growth on the basis of lessons, thus requiring experience and time; moral virtue arises through habituation. None of the moral virtues arise in us by nature, because none of the things that are by nature can be changed by habituation. Virtue ethics can be divided into four basic virtues: prudence, justice, courage, and moderation. Virtue ethics focuses on good lives (Zagzebski 2023). Virtue ethics comes from the Greek term *arete* (ἁρετή), which means virtue. The concept of virtue ethics has been universal in contemporary ethics for the past few years and is becoming an increasingly popular topic. Virtue ethics emphasizes the assessment of people's character traits and posits that people should act with the aim of promoting virtues and base their actions on them. It is interesting to note that the first-time virtue ethics and discussion were in 1995 in the book by the author Julie Annas, who wrote on the mortality of happiness, followed by articles by Peter T. Geach (1977), Philip Foot

(1978) and James Wallace (1978). Robert Louden (1986) and, more recently, the work of Julie Driver (2001) focused on deontology (Berčić 2008). Virtue ethics not only shows us where the limits of what is acceptable are but also suggests to us what we should be like within the space of what is permissible, and this is the sense in which virtue ethics focuses on humans. Consumers are more likely to support brands that demonstrate ethical behavior, leading to increased brand loyalty, positive word-of-mouth, and long-term customer relationships (Barlas et al. 2023). Ethical principles play a crucial role in guiding marketing professionals and organizations toward responsible and sustainable business practices. By adhering to ethical standards, marketers can build trust with consumers, enhance brand reputation, and contribute to the greater good of society. Empirical research has documented various SRM strategies employed by organizations to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion. For instance, inclusive advertising campaigns featuring diverse models and narratives have been shown to enhance brand perception and consumer engagement by Kitchen and Schultz. Furthermore, corporate initiatives aimed at fostering supplier diversity and equitable hiring practices contribute to organizational diversity goals and societal well-being (Maignan and Ferrell 2004). Additionally, cause-related marketing campaigns aligned with DEI values have been effective in mobilizing consumer support and driving social change (Varman and Belk 2009).

The literature on corporate social responsibility (CSR) emphasizes the voluntary commitment of companies to enhance community welfare through discretionary practices and contributions. While CSR encompasses activities not mandated by law, it reflects a company's dedication to surpassing standard business practices for societal benefit. This commitment is manifested through various initiatives addressing both societal and environmental concerns. The emergence of CSR in the early 1960s underscored management's obligation to make choices benefiting both society and the corporation. Scholars highlight CSR's role in differentiating between right and wrong, emphasizing ethically and socially responsible interactions with stakeholders. Socially responsible marketing (SRM) integrates ethical, social, and environmental considerations into marketing strategies. It encompasses responsible advertising, ethical sourcing, environmental sustainability, and community engagement. SRM aims to enhance brand reputation, consumer trust, and address societal issues, including diversity, equity, and inclusion. Cause-related marketing and ethical advertising are key dimensions of SRM, aligning companies with specific social or environmental causes and promoting transparency and authenticity in marketing communications. Theoretical frameworks, such as social identity theory, suggest that marketing initiatives can influence organizational culture and societal norms. Inclusive marketing, catering to diverse audiences, has evolved to reflect changing demographics and consumer expectations. Inclusive marketing campaigns aim to challenge stereotypes, promote social cohesion, and foster a sense of belonging among marginalized groups. Ethical principles in marketing emphasize responsible and transparent business practices, promoting consumer trust and brand loyalty. Previous empirical research has documented various SRM strategies promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion. Inclusive advertising campaigns featuring diverse narratives enhance brand perception and consumer engagement. Corporate initiatives fostering supplier diversity and equitable hiring practices contribute to organizational diversity goals. Cause-related marketing campaigns aligned with DEI values mobilize consumer support and drive social change. However, critical evaluation of the existing literature reveals gaps in understanding the effectiveness and impact of SRM initiatives on promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion in organizational settings. While studies highlight the potential of SRM to drive meaningful change, empirical evidence on its actual outcomes and implications remains limited. In reviewing the literature, it is evident that while numerous studies have explored various aspects of corporate social responsibility (CSR), socially responsible marketing (SRM), inclusive marketing, and ethical principles in marketing, a common limitation is the lack of explicit connection between the reported findings and the identified research gaps (Kennedy and Smith 2022; Laczniak and Shultz 2021; Özturan and Grinstein 2022). Many studies present valuable insights into specific

facets of these concepts, such as the impact of CSR initiatives on brand reputation or the effectiveness of inclusive advertising in engaging diverse consumer segments (Martin and Burpee 2022; Sebastian and M.C. 2022). However, these findings are often reported in isolation without clear articulation of how they address or contribute to filling the identified gaps in understanding. To bridge this gap, future research should strive to explicitly link their findings to the identified research gaps, thereby providing a more comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge in the field. By explicitly addressing how their findings contribute to addressing existing gaps or advancing theoretical frameworks, researchers can enhance the relevance and applicability of their work to practitioners and policymakers seeking to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion within organizational settings. Additionally, comparative analyses of different SRM approaches and their effectiveness in diverse contexts would provide valuable insights for understanding the nuances of these strategies and their implications for promoting societal well-being. In summary, while the existing literature offers valuable insights into various aspects of CSR, SRM, inclusive marketing, and ethical principles in marketing, there is a need for future research to explicitly link their findings to identified research gaps to advance theoretical understanding and inform practical implications effectively.

### 3. Discussion and Future Development and Perspectives

Despite the potential benefits, implementing SRM initiatives to promote DEI in organizational settings presents challenges and ethical considerations. Issues such as tokenism, greenwashing, and cultural appropriation underscore the importance of authenticity, transparency, and accountability in SRM practices. Moreover, navigating cultural sensitivities and addressing systemic inequalities require a nuanced understanding of diverse stakeholder perspectives and collaborative approaches to marketing decision-making. The exploration of socially responsible marketing's role in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion within organizational settings highlights its crucial significance in contemporary business discourse. This paper delves into the intersection between socially responsible marketing and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives to elucidate how marketing practices can contribute to creating more diverse, equitable, and inclusive workplaces. Through a review of the current state of research, it becomes evident that diversity, equity, and inclusion are integral components of organizational success and societal progress. However, persistent challenges such as systemic barriers and cultural biases hinder progress towards inclusivity. Against this backdrop, this study aims to contribute to the existing literature by examining how socially responsible marketing practices can address these challenges and promote diversity, equity, and inclusion. By analyzing the relevant literature on CSR, marketing ethics, and inclusive marketing, this paper seeks to provide insights into the transformative potential of socially responsible marketing in fostering more inclusive and equitable business environments.

In examining the findings regarding the impact of socially responsible marketing on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) within organizational contexts, several key implications emerge. Firstly, the study underscores the pivotal role of socially responsible marketing practices in driving positive changes towards more inclusive and equitable organizational cultures. By aligning marketing strategies with ethical and socially conscious principles, companies have the potential to signal their commitment to DEI values and contribute meaningfully to societal progress. Secondly, while socially responsible marketing presents opportunities for advancing DEI objectives, it also brings to light various challenges and limitations. These include navigating cultural differences, addressing systemic barriers, and overcoming organizational resistance to change. Such challenges underscore the need for a holistic approach to DEI that extends beyond marketing strategies to encompass broader organizational practices and policies. Furthermore, the study highlights the potential synergies between socially responsible marketing and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives in promoting DEI. Integrating DEI considerations into CSR frameworks and leveraging marketing platforms to amplify CSR activities aimed at addressing so-

cial inequities and promoting diversity can have a significant impact. Additionally, the study emphasizes the importance of ongoing research and dialogue in this area to deepen our understanding of the role of socially responsible marketing in driving DEI outcomes. Future research avenues may include exploring the impact of specific marketing strategies on consumer perceptions of diversity and inclusion, evaluating the effectiveness of DEI-focused marketing campaigns, and investigating the role of organizational culture in shaping marketing practices. Overall, the findings of this study suggest that socially responsible marketing has the potential to serve as a powerful catalyst for fostering more inclusive and equitable organizational environments. By acknowledging these findings and addressing associated challenges, organizations can take meaningful steps towards promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion within their spheres of influence.

As organizations continue to navigate the complex landscape of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI), the role of socially responsible marketing is poised to evolve in tandem with emerging societal trends and business imperatives. Looking ahead, several key areas of future development and perspectives can be identified. Moving forward, organizations are expected to increasingly embed DEI principles into their marketing strategies. This not only entails representing diverse demographics in marketing materials but also ensuring that messaging and campaigns reflect a genuine commitment to equity and inclusivity. By aligning marketing efforts with DEI values, companies can strengthen their brand reputation and resonate more effectively with diverse consumer segments. Future developments in socially responsible marketing may witness the emergence of innovative approaches aimed at promoting DEI. This could include leveraging advanced technologies such as augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) to create immersive and inclusive brand experiences, as well as harnessing data analytics to personalize marketing content for diverse audiences. By embracing cutting-edge marketing techniques, organizations can enhance their engagement with consumers while championing DEI principles. Collaboration between businesses, nonprofit organizations, and government entities is expected to play a pivotal role in driving DEI initiatives through marketing channels. Future developments may see increased partnerships aimed at addressing systemic inequities, promoting social justice causes, and advancing diversity representation in the media and advertising industries. By forging strategic alliances, organizations can amplify their impact and foster collective action towards achieving DEI goals. With a growing emphasis on transparency and accountability, future developments in socially responsible marketing are likely to prioritize robust measurement frameworks for evaluating the effectiveness of DEI initiatives. Organizations may invest in comprehensive metrics to assess the impact of their marketing efforts on diversity representation, equity outcomes, and inclusion metrics. By establishing clear benchmarks and tracking progress over time, companies can demonstrate their commitment to DEI and drive continuous improvement in their marketing practices. As DEI becomes increasingly integrated into organizational cultures, future developments may see greater investment in educational and training initiatives for marketing professionals. Companies may offer specialized training programs focused on DEI awareness, cultural competency, and inclusive marketing practices. By equipping marketers with the knowledge and skills to navigate diverse consumer landscapes sensitively, organizations can enhance their ability to create meaningful and resonant marketing campaigns. Moving forward, future research should focus on exploring the long-term impact of SRM initiatives on organizational culture, consumer behavior, and societal norms. Additionally, scholars should investigate the role of technology and digital platforms in advancing DEI agendas through targeted marketing strategies. From a managerial perspective, organizations must prioritize diversity, equity, and inclusion as core values embedded within their marketing strategies, organizational policies, and stakeholder engagements (Dutta and Pullig 2011).

In examining the role of socially responsible marketing (SRM) in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) within organizational settings, our study reveals several critical implications that extend both theoretical understanding and practical applications in this domain. Firstly, our findings underscore the pivotal role of SRM practices in driv-

ing positive changes towards more inclusive and equitable organizational cultures. By aligning marketing strategies with ethical and socially conscious principles, companies can signal their commitment to DEI values, thereby contributing meaningfully to societal progress. This aligns with the existing literature emphasizing the importance of organizational values and culture in fostering inclusivity and diversity. Moreover, while SRM presents opportunities for advancing DEI objectives, it also brings to light various challenges and limitations. Our study identifies navigating cultural differences, addressing systemic barriers, and overcoming organizational resistance to change as key challenges in implementing SRM initiatives for DEI promotion. This resonates with previous research highlighting the complex nature of organizational change processes and the importance of addressing resistance through strategic interventions (Burnes 2004). Additionally, our study emphasizes the potential synergies between SRM and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives in promoting DEI. Integrating DEI considerations into CSR frameworks and leveraging marketing platforms to amplify CSR activities aimed at addressing social inequities and promoting diversity can have a significant impact. This finding aligns with the growing recognition of CSR as a strategic tool for addressing social issues and enhancing organizational legitimacy (Carroll and Shabana 2010).

Furthermore, this paper highlights the importance of ongoing research and dialogue in this area to deepen our understanding of the role of SRM in driving DEI outcomes. Future research avenues may include exploring the impact of specific marketing strategies on consumer perceptions of diversity and inclusion, evaluating the effectiveness of DEI-focused marketing campaigns, and investigating the role of organizational culture in shaping marketing practices. This underscores the need for interdisciplinary approaches that draw from marketing, organizational behavior, and social psychology to advance knowledge in this area. From a practical standpoint, our findings suggest that organizations must prioritize DEI as core values embedded within their marketing strategies, organizational policies, and stakeholder engagements. This not only entails representing diverse demographics in marketing materials but also ensuring that messaging and campaigns reflect a genuine commitment to equity and inclusivity. By aligning marketing efforts with DEI values, companies can strengthen their brand reputation and resonate more effectively with diverse consumer segments. This aligns with the growing emphasis on diversity and inclusion as strategic imperatives for organizational success in today's global marketplace (Cox and Blake 1991). Our study contributes to the existing literature by providing a review of the existing literature on the impact of SRM on DEI within organizational settings. By highlighting the opportunities, challenges, and potential synergies associated with SRM practices, our findings offer valuable insights for both scholars and practitioners seeking to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in contemporary business environments.

#### 4. Conclusions

In synthesizing theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and practical insights, this article provides a comprehensive understanding of the role of socially responsible marketing in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion in organizational settings. By elucidating key concepts, theoretical frameworks, empirical research, and managerial implications, this review sets the stage for further inquiry and informed decision-making at the intersection of marketing, DEI, and corporate social responsibility. Moreover, it has explored the role of socially responsible marketing in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) within organizational settings. Through an in-depth analysis of the literature and discussion of key concepts, it has become evident that socially responsible marketing practices play a crucial role in driving meaningful change and advancing DEI objectives. The literature review highlighted the multifaceted nature of socially responsible marketing, encompassing dimensions such as cause-related marketing, ethical advertising, and sustainability initiatives. These strategies not only contribute to positive social change but also enhance brand reputation, customer loyalty, and long-term profitability. Furthermore, the discussion emphasized the transformative potential of socially responsible marketing in fostering

more inclusive and equitable organizational cultures. By aligning marketing strategies with DEI values, businesses can demonstrate their commitment to social responsibility and contribute to building a more diverse and inclusive society. Despite the progress made, challenges remain, including navigating cultural differences, addressing systemic barriers, and overcoming organizational resistance to change.

However, by adopting a holistic approach to DEI that integrates marketing practices with broader organizational policies, businesses can overcome these challenges and drive meaningful progress. Looking ahead, the future development of socially responsible marketing in promoting DEI holds significant promise. Through innovative approaches, collaborative partnerships, measurement and accountability frameworks, and educational initiatives, organizations can continue to drive positive change and contribute to building a more diverse, equitable, and inclusive society through their marketing practices. This article underscores the importance of socially responsible marketing as a catalyst for advancing DEI objectives within organizational settings. By embracing DEI principles and integrating them into marketing strategies, businesses can not only enhance their brand value but can also contribute to creating a more just and inclusive world for all. The future development of socially responsible marketing in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion holds significant promise for fostering more inclusive and equitable organizational environments. By embracing innovative approaches, forging collaborative partnerships, prioritizing measurement and accountability, and investing in education and training, organizations can drive positive change through their marketing practices and contribute to building a more diverse, equitable, and inclusive society.

In conclusion, we need to emphasize that future research on this important subject is very much needed. It is imperative to conduct longitudinal studies to track the long-term impact of socially responsible marketing (SRM) initiatives on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) outcomes within organizational settings. Longitudinal research can provide insights into the sustainability and scalability of SRM practices over time, elucidating their effectiveness in driving lasting organizational change. Cross-cultural variations in the implementation and efficacy of SRM strategies in promoting DEI should be explored. Comparative studies across diverse cultural contexts can uncover contextual factors that influence the effectiveness of SRM initiatives, thereby informing culturally tailored approaches to fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion on a global scale. Moreover, researchers need to employ qualitative methodologies such as in-depth interviews, focus groups, and ethnographic research to gain nuanced understandings of stakeholders' perceptions and experiences regarding SRM and its impact on DEI outcomes. Qualitative inquiry can uncover rich insights into the mechanisms through which SRM initiatives shape organizational culture, stakeholder engagement, and societal norms. By pursuing these future research directions, scholars can contribute to advancing knowledge, informing practice, and driving positive social change through the exploration of the role of socially responsible marketing in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion in organizational settings.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Ž.M.T. and D.P.; methodology, D.P.; software, validation, I.B., D.P. and Ž.M.T.; formal analysis, I.B. and D.P.; investigation I.B. and D.P.; resources Ž.M.T.; data curation Ž.M.T.; writing—original draft preparation I.B., D.P. and Ž.M.T.; writing—review and editing, D.P.; visualization, I.B.; supervision Ž.M.T.; project administration D.P.; funding acquisition D.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by University North, Croatia, grant number UNIN-DRUŠ-23-1-5.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Ahadiat, Ayi, Ribhan, Albet Maydiantoro, and Fajrin Satria Dwi Kesumah. 2021. The theory of planned behavior and marketing ethics theory in predicting digital piracy intentions. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics* 18: 679–702. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Barlas, Achilleas, Aggeliki Valakosta, Christos Katsionis, Anastasios Oikonomou, and Vasiliki Brinia. 2023. The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Customer Trust and Loyalty. *Sustainability* 15: 1036. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Beek, Remco, Jo Van Hoecke, and Inge Derom. 2023. Sponsorship and social justice: Brand positioning on diversity and inclusion in sport marketing during the 2020 UEFA European football championship. *International Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship, ahead-of-print*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Berčić, Boran. 2008. Etika vrlina. *Filozofska Istraživanja* 28: 193–207. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bhatti, Hina Yaqub, M. Mercedes Galan-Ladero, and Clementina Galera-Casquet. 2023. Cause-related marketing: A systematic review of the literature. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing* 20: 25–64. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Burnes, Bernard. 2004. Kurt Lewin and the Planned Approach to Change: A Re-appraisal. *Journal of Management Studies* 41: 977–1002. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Carroll, Archie B., and Kareem M. Shabana. 2010. The Business Case for Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review of Concepts, Research and Practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews* 12: 85–105. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cheng, Ho Hei, Shinya Takata, Takaaki Kawanaka, and Takahiro Ohno. 2023. Does SDGs Advertising Promote Ethical Consumer Behavior?: An Integrative Model of Ethical Consumption with Elements of Communication Strategy and Rational Purchase. *Sustainability* 15: 6954. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chong, Woon Kian, and Nitin Patwa. 2023. The Value of Integrity: Empowering SMEs with Ethical Marketing Communication. *Sustainability* 15: 11673. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cox, Taylor H., and Stacy Blake. 1991. Managing cultural diversity: Implications for organizational competitiveness. *Academy of Management Perspectives* 5: 65–76. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Dimitrieska, Savica, Elizabeta Stamevska, and Aleksandra Stankovska. 2019. Inclusive Marketing-Reality or make up. *Economics and Management* 16: 112–19.
- Dutta, Sujay, and Chris Pullig. 2011. Effectiveness of corporate responses to brand crises: The role of crisis type and response strategies. *Journal of Business Research* 64: 1281–87. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ferraro, Carla, Alicia Hemsley, and Sean Sands. 2023. Embracing diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI): Considerations and opportunities for brand managers. *Business Horizons* 66: 463–79. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ferrell, Linda, and Colin Ferrell. 2022. Broadening the Definition of Socially Responsible Marketing. *Journal of Macromarketing* 42: 560–66. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ferrell, O. C., and Linda Ferrell. 2021. New directions for marketing ethics and social responsibility research. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice* 29: 13–22. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Jaiswal, Deepak, Vikrant Kaushal, Pankaj Kumar Singh, and Abhijeet Biswas. 2021. Green market segmentation and consumer profiling: A cluster approach to an emerging consumer market. *Benchmarking* 28: 792–812. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Jelavić, Sanda Rašić, Ana Aleksić, and Ivana Načinović Braje. 2021. Behind the curtain: Workplace incivility-individual actors in cultural settings. *Sustainability* 13: 1249. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kamila, Manoj Kumar, and Sahil Singh Jasrotia. 2023. Ethics and marketing responsibility: A bibliometric analysis and literature review. *Asia Pacific Management Review* 28: 567–83. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kennedy, Ann Marie, and Johnpaul Smith. 2022. Socially Responsible (Macro-Social) Marketing. *Journal of Macromarketing* 42: 572–82. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kiradoo, Giriraj. 2022. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the Workplace: Strategies for Achieving and Sustaining a Diverse Workforce. *Advance Research in Social Science and Management, Edition 1*: 139–51.
- Kotler, Philip, and Nancy Lee. 2005. Best of Breed: When it Comes to Gaining a Market Edge While Supporting a Social Cause, “Corporate Social Marketing” Leads the Pack. *Social Marketing Quarterly* 11: 91–103. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kozinets, Robert V., Kristine de Valck, Andrea C. Wojnicki, and Sarah J. S. Wilner. 2010. Networked Narratives: Understanding Word-of-Mouth Marketing in Online Communities. *Journal of Marketing* 74: 71–89. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Laczniak, Gene R., and Patrick E. Murphy. 2006. Normative Perspectives for Ethical and Socially Responsible Marketing. *Journal of Macromarketing* 26: 42–46. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Laczniak, Gene, and Clifford Shultz. 2021. Toward a Doctrine of Socially Responsible Marketing (SRM): A Macro and Normative-Ethical Perspective. *Journal of Macromarketing* 41: 201–31. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Licsandru, Tana Cristina, and Charles Chi Cui. 2018. Subjective social inclusion: A conceptual critique for socially inclusive marketing. *Journal of Business Research* 82: 330–39. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mariani, Marcello M., Khowlah Al-Sultan, and Alfredo De Massis. 2023. Corporate social responsibility in family firms: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Small Business Management* 61: 1192–246. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Martin, Kelly D., and Stasha Burpee. 2022. Marketing as problem solver: In defense of social responsibility. *AMS Review* 12: 44–51. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Maignan, Isabelle, and Colin Ferrell. 2004. Corporate social responsibility and marketing: An integrative framework. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 32: 3–19. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- Mohr, Lois A., Deborah J. Webb, and Katherine E. Harris. 2001. Do Consumers Expect Companies to be Socially Responsible? The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Buying Behavior. *The Journal of Consumers Affairs* 35: 45–72. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Natrajan, Nidhi Shridhar, Rinku Sanjeev, and Sanjeev Kumar Singh. 2019. Achieving job performance from empowerment through the mediation of employee engagement: An empirical study. *Independent Journal of Management & Production* 10: 1094–105. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Naveed, Shayan, and Ubaid ur Rehman Murtaza. 2023. Role of Marketing Ethics for Clients' Satisfaction. *Journal of Social Sciences Review* 3: 987–94. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Nguyen, Ninh, and Lester W. Johnson. 2020. Consumer behaviour and environmental sustainability. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour* 19: 539–41. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Novitasari, Maya, and Zeplin Jiwa Husada Tarigan. 2022. The Role of Green Innovation in the Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Firm Performance. *Economies* 10: 117. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Özturan, Peren, and Amir Grinstein. 2022. Can the marketing department benefit from socially responsible marketing activities? The role of legitimacy and customers' interest in social responsibility. *European Journal of Marketing* 56: 400–41. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Riyadh, Hosam Alden, Eko Ganis Sukoharsono, and Salsabila Aisyah Alfaiza. 2019. The impact of corporate social responsibility disclosure and board characteristics on corporate performance. *Cogent Business and Management* 6: 1647917. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Salin, Denise. 2021. Workplace Bullying and Culture: Diverse Conceptualizations and Interpretations. In *Dignity and Inclusion at Work. Handbooks of Workplace Bullying, Emotional Abuse and Harassment*. Singapore: Springer. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sebastian, Francis, and Minimol M.C. 2022. Cause-Related Marketing and Attitude Toward Corporate Image: An Experimental Study. *SAGE Open* 12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sharma, Deepa, Suman Chakraborty, Ashwath Ananda Rao, and Lumen Shawn Lobo. 2023. The Relationship of Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Performance: A Bibliometric Overview. *SAGE Open* 13. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Shayan, Niloufar Fallah, Nasrin Mohabbati-Kalejahi, Sepideh Alavi, and Mohammad Ali Zahed. 2022. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as a Framework for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). *Sustainability* 14: 1222. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Turner, John, Richard Brown, and Henry Tajfel. 1979. Social comparison and group interest in ingroup favoritism. *European Journal of Social Psychology* 9: 187–204. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tanveer, Muhammad, Abdul Rahim Ahmad, Haider Mahmood, and Ikram Ul Haq. 2021. Role of ethical marketing in driving consumer brand relationships and brand loyalty: A sustainable marketing approach. *Sustainability* 13: 6839. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Varman, Rohit, and Russell W. Belk. 2009. Nationalism and Ideology in an Anticonsumption Movement. *Journal of Consumer Research* 36: 686–700. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Wisker, Zazli Lily Lily. 2023. Inclusive marketing: Muslims' influence on marketers' behaviour in non-Muslim majority country: Evidence from New Zealand. *Journal of Islamic Marketing* 14: 3208–27. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Zagzebski, Linda. 2023. Divine subjectivity and intersubjectivity. *Religious Studies* 1: 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.