



Article Response of Growth, Yield, and Phytochemical Behavior of Jojoba Genotypes to Azolla filiculoides Plant Extract

Amira K. G. Atteya ^{1,*}, Aishah N. Albalawi ^{2,*}, Hala M. Bayomy ^{3,4}, Eman S. Alamri ³ and Esmail A. E. Genaidy ⁵

- ¹ Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Damanhour 22516, Egypt
- ² Department of Analytical Chemistry, University College of Haql, Tabuk University, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia
 ³ Department of Nutrition and Food Science, Tabuk University, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia;
 - hala.biomy@agr.dmu.edu.eg (H.M.B.); ialamri@ut.edu.sa (E.S.A.)
- ⁴ Department of Food Science and Technology, Damanhour University, Damanhour 22516, Egypt ⁵ Pomology, Department, National Research Control, Ciza 12622, Egypt, Science and Technology, Damanhour Ciza 12622, Egypt, Science and Technology, Science and Technology, Damanhour Ciza 12622, Egypt, Science and Technology, Science and Tec
- ⁵ Pomology Department, National Research Centre, Giza 12622, Egypt; esmail_nrc@yahoo.com
 * Correspondence: amira.khames@agr.dmu.edu.eg (A.K.G.A.); an.albalawi@ut.edu.sa (A.N.A.)

Abstract: A unique storage lipid wax found in jojoba seeds can be employed as a chemical feedstock. Alternative safe and natural sources of plant nutrients are constantly sought to preserve both human health and the environment. As a result, EAI1, EAI2, and EAI3 jojoba genotypes' growth, yield, and phytochemical behavior in response to Azolla extract at concentrations of 0, 10, and 30% were studied. Maximum productivity was found with EAI1, followed by EAI3 then EAI2 across all Azolla extracts. In relation to the Azolla extract concentrations in the two seasons, the concentration of 30% delivered the most pronounced results across all the studied genotypes. During the two seasons, administration of a combined treatment of the EAI1 jojoba genotype with 30% Azolla extract produced the highest mean values of growth, flowering, and fruiting, as well as chemical composition parameters. This means that the treatment of EAI1 genotype with 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract yielded the highest significant seed yield (3748 and 3839 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield per hectare (1910 and 2002 L ha⁻¹). The combination treatment of the EAI1 jojoba genotype with 10% Azolla extract yielded the highest proportion of gadoleic fatty acid (49.83%).

Keywords: jojoba genotypes; growth; yield; fatty acids; gadoleic acid; Azolla extract

1. Introduction

The Simmondsiaceae family includes the jojoba plant Simmondsia chinensis (Link) C.K. Schneid. The Sonoran Desert has become endemic in southern Arizona, southern California, and northern Mexico [1]. A number of dry and semi-arid regions have adopted jojoba shrub farming. Ripe seeds have a hard oval shape, are a dark brown color, and make up the economic portion of the jojoba shrub [2]. Esters derived from acids and alcohols make up the storage lipid wax in jojoba seeds [3]. Jojoba oil is known for its odorless, hygienic, and heat-resistant lubricating properties. As a result, it can be utilized in the chemical industry [1] as a basic feedstock for medications, lubricants, gear additives, extenders, and anti-foaming agents, as well as in the wax and polish industries [3,4]. Plant development, blooming, and fruiting, as well as seed yield and consistency, are influenced by a variety of factors such as environmental conditions, plant growth regulators, nutrients, and genotype [5–10]. Plants with increased oil content and more seeds are developed through selective breeding [2]. Genaidy et al. [11] conducted a selective breeding study on jojoba plants and discovered that numerous genotypes of jojoba plants exist in Egypt's natural habitat. Furthermore, selecting genotypes such as EAI1, EAI2, and EAI3 exhibited nearly optimal values for most variables such as seed production per plant (2756, 2244, and 2402 g plant⁻¹) and seed oil percentage (51, 49, and 50%).



Citation: Atteya, A.K.G.; Albalawi, A.N.; Bayomy, H.M.; Alamri, E.S.; Genaidy, E.A.E. Response of Growth, Yield, and Phytochemical Behavior of Jojoba Genotypes to *Azolla filiculoides* Plant Extract. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 1314. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants 11101314

Academic Editors: Othmane Merah, Purushothaman Chirakkuzhyil Abhilash, Magdi T. Abdelhamid, Hailin Zhang and Bachar Zebib

Received: 14 April 2022 Accepted: 12 May 2022 Published: 16 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Organic extracts are a hot topic in organic agriculture for improving growth performance and yield, modifying phytochemical content [12,13], inducing crop physiology and biochemistry such as photosynthetic rate, enhancing antioxidant machinery [7,14], and improving root system development [15], all of which improve nutrient uptake and enhance efficiency [16]. Fertilizers refer to any natural, synthetic, organic, or inorganic components that are added to soil to provide one or more plant nutrients necessary for plant growth. In income-based agriculture, especially in relation to inorganic fertilizers, the parameter of marginal productivity in production factors use must be considered. Pollution and degradation are a consequence of their misuse. As a result, agricultural farming practices have evolved to become more environmentally friendly, particularly in relation to lowering chemical inputs without lowering agricultural production quantity or quality.

Nitrogen is a macro-element that plays an important function in plant development and growth [17]. Organic and biological sources of nitrogen are an important method for enhancing crop yields under organic farming practices [7,8] because N is required for maximum growth and optimal production. The free-floating fern Azolla filiculoides Lam. is native to the American continent [18], and its ability to fix $30-60 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ [19–22] makes it a useful biological nitrogen source. It also has a high mineral content and is abundant in phytohormones (auxins, cytokinins, and gibberellins), essential amino acids, protein, and vitamins [20,23]. As a result, it can be utilized to improve crop yields. Following Azolla treatments, wheat and beet yields were improved [24,25]. The use of Azolla increased the seed yield of quinoa grain by about 29% compared with untreated plants [13] and increased the vegetative growth and biomass of olives in the nursery [26]; eggplant yield was increased from 2837.12 g plant⁻¹ for untreated plants to 3600.69 g plant⁻¹ for treated plants [27]; squash fruit numbers also increased from 6.67 to 8.00 fruit $plant^{-1}$, and the fruit weight increased from 35.60 to 37.14 g fruit⁻¹ [28]. After Azolla supplementation, the amount of urea required for rice and maize production fell by 25% and 30%, respectively [29,30]. Egyptian trade with the European Union is boosted by the implementation of organic agriculture laws for the growth of medicinal and aromatic plants [31]. To our knowledge, no research has been conducted on the effects of jojoba genotypes and Azolla filiculoides Lam. extract on jojoba plant development. The purpose of this study was to determine how different jojoba genotypes and *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. extracts, as well as combinations of the two, influenced the growth, yield, and chemical composition of a jojoba shrub grown in an organic farming system.

2. Results

2.1. Growth Parameters

The vegetative features of jojoba shrubs were considerably altered by plant genotypes and the foliar treatment of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract. The genotype EAI1 of jojoba shrubs had the highest mean values of main branch length (95.85 and 99.55 cm), secondary branch length (38.51 and 39.63 cm), number of branched nodes (3.85 and 3.93), and number of secondary branches (5.82 and 5.95) in both seasons, according to Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 1 and 2. When comparing spraying levels of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, a 30% application resulted in the most significant mean values of shrub growth parameters, with increases of 39 and 34% for main branch length, 75 and 70% for secondary branch length, 64 and 66% for the number of branched nodes, and 67 and 69% for the number of secondary branches, when compared to the control shrubs. Plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract had significant interaction. Furthermore, the maximum significant mean values of main branch length (111.8 and 114.5 cm), secondary branch length (48.5 and 49.6 cm), number of branched nodes (4.99 and 5.11), and number of secondary branches (7.35 and 7.53) were recorded with the combined treatment of the EAI1 jojoba genotype with 30%% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract in both seasons.



Figure 1. In both seasons, the mean values of main branch length (cm), secondary branch length (cm), and the number of branched nodes of jojoba shrubs were modified by plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract respectively. The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 level, bars with identical letters are not significant.



Figure 2. In both seasons, the mean values of the number of secondary branches, full bloom date (day), and flowering percentage (%) of jojoba shrubs were modified by plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract respectively. The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 level, bars with identical letters are not significant.

Treat	Treatment		Main Branch Length (cm)		Secondary es (cm)	Number of Branched Nodes		
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	
EAI1	Control	$79.9\pm4.5~\mathrm{de}$	$85.9\pm2.7~\mathrm{c}$	$27.5\pm1.6~\mathrm{e}$	$28.7\pm1.4~\mathrm{e}$	$3.01\pm0.17~\mathrm{de}$	$3.06\pm0.14~\mathrm{ef}$	
EAI1	10	$95.9\pm5.4\mathrm{b}$	$98.3\pm4.6\mathrm{b}$	$39.6\pm2.2~\mathrm{c}$	$40.5\pm1.9~\mathrm{c}$	$3.53\pm0.20~\mathrm{c}$	$3.62\pm0.17~\mathrm{d}$	
EAI1	30	$111.8\pm6.3~\mathrm{a}$	$114.5\pm5.4~\mathrm{a}$	$48.5\pm2.7~\mathrm{a}$	$49.6\pm2.3~\mathrm{a}$	$4.99\pm0.28~\mathrm{a}$	$5.11\pm0.24~\mathrm{a}$	
EAI2	Control	$69.4\pm3.9~\mathrm{f}$	$72.5 \pm 3.4 \text{ d}$	$23.9\pm1.3~\mathrm{f}$	$25.2\pm1.2~\mathrm{f}$	$2.62\pm0.15~\mathrm{f}$	$2.65\pm0.13~\mathrm{g}$	
EAI2	10	$82.5\pm4.7~\mathrm{cd}$	$84.5\pm4.0~\mathrm{c}$	$34.0\pm1.9~d$	$34.8\pm1.6~\mathrm{d}$	$3.04\pm0.17~\mathrm{de}$	3.11 ± 0.15 e	
EAI2	30	$96.1\pm5.4b$	$98.4\pm4.7b$	$41.7\pm2.4bc$	$42.7\pm2.0~bc$	$4.29\pm0.24b$	$4.40\pm0.21~\mathrm{c}$	
EAI3	Control	$74.1\pm4.2~\mathrm{ef}$	$77.4\pm3.7~\mathrm{d}$	$25.5\pm1.4~\mathrm{ef}$	$27.2\pm1.3~\mathrm{ef}$	$2.80\pm0.16~\mathrm{ef}$	$2.84\pm0.13~\mathrm{fg}$	
EAI3	10	$87.3\pm4.9~\mathrm{c}$	$89.4\pm4.2~\mathrm{c}$	$36.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ d}$	$36.9\pm1.7~\mathrm{d}$	$3.21\pm0.18~d$	$3.29\pm0.16~\mathrm{e}$	
EAI3	30	$101.7\pm5.7b$	$104.2\pm4.9~b$	$44.1\pm2.5b$	$45.2\pm2.1~\text{b}$	$4.54\pm0.26b$	$4.65\pm0.22b$	
F v.	alue	49.50 **	59.20 **	107.13 **	139.18 **	103.63 **	149.51 **	

Table 1. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of main branch length (cm), secondary branch length (cm), and the number of branched nodes of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

Table 2. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of the number of secondary branches, full bloom date (day), and flowering percentage (%) of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

Trea	tment	Number of Bran	umber of Secondary Branches Full Bloom Date (Day)		Flowering Percentage (%)		
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season
EAI1	Control	$4.36\pm1.36~\mathrm{e}$	$4.43\pm0.21~\mathrm{e}$	$58.19\pm3.28~\mathrm{c}$	$59.02 \pm 2.79 \text{ c}$	$46.76\pm2.64~cd$	$47.42\pm2.24~\mathrm{c}$
EAI1	10	$5.75\pm1.92~\mathrm{c}$	$5.89\pm0.28~\mathrm{c}$	$48.42\pm2.73~\text{ef}$	$49.59\pm2.35~\mathrm{ef}$	$52.46\pm2.96\mathrm{b}$	$53.73\pm2.54\mathrm{b}$
EAI1	30	$7.35\pm2.35~\text{a}$	$7.53\pm0.36~\mathrm{a}$	$40.78\pm2.30~g$	$41.76\pm1.98~g$	$61.01\pm3.44~\mathrm{a}$	$62.49\pm2.96~\mathrm{a}$
EAI2	Control	$3.79\pm1.19~\mathrm{f}$	$3.84\pm0.18~\text{f}$	$71.68\pm4.05~\mathrm{a}$	$72.71\pm3.44~\mathrm{a}$	$40.60\pm2.29~\mathrm{e}$	$41.18\pm1.95~\mathrm{e}$
EAI2	10	$4.95\pm1.65~\mathrm{d}$	$5.06\pm0.24d$	$59.08\pm3.34~\mathrm{c}$	$60.50\pm2.86~\mathrm{c}$	$45.11\pm2.55~\mathrm{cd}$	$46.20\pm2.19~\mathrm{cd}$
EAI2	30	$6.32\pm2.02b$	$6.48\pm0.31b$	$49.75\pm2.81~\mathrm{de}$	$50.95\pm2.41~\mathrm{de}$	$52.47\pm2.96b$	$53.74\pm2.54~b$
EAI3	Control	$4.05\pm1.29~\text{ef}$	$4.11\pm0.19ef$	$65.25\pm3.68~\text{b}$	$66.19\pm3.13\mathrm{b}$	$43.38\pm2.45~de$	$44.00\pm2.08~de$
EAI3	10	$5.23\pm1.74~\mathrm{d}$	$5.36\pm0.25d$	$53.26 \pm 3.01 \text{ d}$	$54.55 \pm 2.58 \text{ d}$	$47.74\pm2.69~\mathrm{c}$	$48.89\pm2.31~\mathrm{c}$
EAI3	30	$6.69\pm2.14b$	$6.85\pm0.32b$	$44.85\pm2.53~\text{fg}$	$45.94\pm2.17~\mathrm{f}$	$55.52\pm3.13b$	$56.86\pm2.69~b$
F v	alue	103.63 **	149.51 **	64.21 **	86.61 **	36.60 **	53.01 **

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

2.2. Flowering and Fruit Set Parameters

Figures 2 and 3 as well as Tables 2 and 3 reveal that jojoba genotypes, the foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, and their combined treatments dramatically improved all blooming and fruiting metrics. For the first and second seasons, the earliest full bloom dates were 49 and 50 days, respectively; maximum flowering percentages of 53 and 55% and final fruit set percentages of 88 and 91% were found with the jojoba genotype of EAI1 in the first and second seasons, respectively. When compared to other extract concentrations and controls, flowering and fruit set characteristics were dramatically improved when employing 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract. In comparison to control shrubs, the reduction in full bloom date was around 20 days for both seasons; the increase in flowering percentage was 29 and 31%, and the final fruit set percentage was 14 and 13% for the first and second seasons, respectively. In terms of combined treatments, the combination treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract produced the greatest significant increases in flowering and fruit set parameters in both seasons. It also had the earliest full bloom date (40.78 and 41.76 days), as well as the highest blooming percentage (61.01 and 62.49%) and final fruit set percentage (94.8 and 97.1%).



Figure 3. In both seasons, the mean values of final fruit set (%), seed yield (g plant⁻¹), and seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of jojoba shrubs were modified by plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract respectively. The data are expressed as a mean value fruit set (%), seed yield (g) planting and fruit set parameters in both seasons. At the 0.05 level, bars with identical letters are not significant.

Treatment		Final Fru	it Set (%)	Seed Yield	(g plant ⁻¹)	Seed Yield (kg ha $^{-1}$)		
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	
EAI1	Control	$82.3\pm3.1bc$	$86.2\pm4.1\mathrm{bcd}$	$2229\pm126~\mathrm{c}$	$2260\pm107~{\rm c}$	$2972\pm168~{\rm c}$	$3014\pm143~{\rm c}$	
EAI1	10	$87.2\pm4.9\mathrm{b}$	$89.3\pm4.2\mathrm{b}$	$2426\pm137b$	$2485\pm118\mathrm{b}$	$3235\pm183~\mathrm{b}$	$3313\pm157\mathrm{b}$	
EAI1	30	$94.8\pm5.4~\mathrm{a}$	$97.1\pm4.6~\mathrm{a}$	$2811\pm159~\mathrm{a}$	$2879\pm136~\mathrm{a}$	$3748\pm212~a$	$3839 \pm 182~\mathrm{a}$	
EAI2	Control	$71.0\pm2.7~\mathrm{e}$	$74.1\pm3.5~{ m g}$	$1935\pm109~\mathrm{d}$	$1963\pm93~\mathrm{e}$	$2580\pm146~\mathrm{d}$	$2617\pm124~\mathrm{e}$	
EAI2	10	$75.0\pm4.2~\mathrm{de}$	76.8 ± 3.6 fg	$2086\pm118~{\rm cd}$	$2137\pm101~cd$	$2782\pm157~\mathrm{cd}$	$2849\pm135~cd$	
EAI2	30	$80.7\pm3.6~bcd$	83.5 ± 4.0 cde	$2417\pm136b$	$2476\pm117~b$	$3223\pm182b$	$3301\pm156b$	
EAI3	Control	$75.8\pm3.4~\mathrm{de}$	$78.4 \pm 3.7 ext{ efg}$	$2068\pm117~\rm cd$	2097 ± 99 de	$2757\pm156~{\rm cd}$	$2797\pm132~\mathrm{de}$	
EAI3	10	$79.3\pm4.5~\mathrm{cd}$	$81.2 \pm 3.8 \text{ def}$	$2208\pm125~\mathrm{c}$	$2261\pm107~{\rm c}$	$2944\pm166~{\rm c}$	$3015\pm143~{\rm c}$	
EAI3	30	$86.3\pm4.9b$	$88.4\pm4.2bc$	$2558\pm144~b$	$2620\pm124b$	$3411\pm193b$	$3493\pm165b$	
F v	alue	17.28 **	24.46 **	31.29 **	45.14 **	31.29 **	45.14 **	

Table 3. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of final fruit set (%), seed yield (g plant⁻¹), and seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

2.3. Seed Yield

With the treatment of both jojoba genotypes, the foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and seed output per tree and per hectare of jojoba bushes have been dramatically altered (Table 3). In both seasons, EAI1 jojoba genotype seeds produced the highest seed yield per bush (2489 and 2541 g plant⁻¹) and per hectare of jojoba shrubs (3318 and 3389 kg ha⁻¹). In addition, foliar treatment of 30% increased seed yield per tree (25 and 26%) and per hectare (25 and 26%), which was the most when compared to the control. Similarly, in both seasons, treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract yielded the highest significant seed yield per tree (2811 and 2879 g plant⁻¹) and per hectare (3748 and 3839 kg ha⁻¹).

2.4. Seed Chemical Compounds

The effect of jojoba genotypes and foliar application of Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract rates on jojoba shrub seed chemical components are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Minerals (1.40 and 1.43%), fixed oil (53.61 and 54.73%), and carbohydrate percentages were all significantly higher in the EAI1 genotype (21.75 and 22.19%) (Figures 4 and 5). For the application of Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract, the foliar treatment of 30% Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract had the most substantial increase in minerals (24 and 26%) and proteins (26.52 and 27.08%) (15 and 16%). In the first and second seasons, the control shrubs had the highest fixed oil percentage (52.50 and 53.26%) and carbohydrates percentage in the jojoba seeds (23.43 and 23.77%), respectively. In all the seasons, the combined treatments had an effect on minerals, proteins, and fixed oil percentage in jojoba seeds. Furthermore, treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 30% Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract resulted in significant maximum mean values of minerals (1.56 and 1.60%), and treatment of the EAI2 genotype with 30% Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract resulted in significant maximum mean values of protein percentage (1.56 and 1.60%) (28.52 and 29.20%). With the combination treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 0% Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract, the maximum mean value of carbohydrates (25.14 and 25.50%) and fixed oil percentage (56.3 and 57.1%) were reported.



Figure 4. In both seasons, the mean values of minerals (%), proteins (%), and carbohydrates (%) of jojoba shrubs were modified by plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract respectively. The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 level, bars with identical letters are not significant.



Figure 5. In both seasons, the mean values of oil percent (%), oil content (mL plant⁻¹), and oil yield (L ha⁻¹) of jojoba shrubs were modified by plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract respectively. The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 level, bars with identical letters are not significant.

Treatment		Minera	Minerals (%)		ns (%)	Carbohydrates (%)		
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	
EAI1 EAI1 EAI1	Control 10 30	$\begin{array}{c} 1.24 \pm 0.07 \text{ cde} \\ 1.39 \pm 0.08 \text{ b} \\ 1.56 \pm 0.09 \text{ a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25 \pm 0.06 \text{ d} \\ 1.43 \pm 0.07 \text{ b} \\ 1.60 \pm 0.08 \text{ a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.12 \pm 1.31 \text{ f} \\ 24.82 \pm 1.40 \text{ def} \\ 26.90 \pm 1.52 \text{ abc} \end{array}$	$23.45 \pm 1.11 \text{ f}$ $25.42 \pm 1.20 \text{ de}$ $27.55 \pm 1.30 \text{ abc}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.14 \pm 1.42 \text{ a} \\ 21.09 \pm 1.19 \text{ c} \\ 19.02 \pm 1.07 \text{ d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.50 \pm 1.21 \text{ a} \\ 21.60 \pm 1.02 \text{ c} \\ 19.47 \pm 0.92 \text{ d} \end{array}$	
EAI2 EAI2 EAI2	Control 10 30	$\begin{array}{c} 1.11 \pm 0.02 \text{ f} \\ 1.20 \pm 0.07 \text{ def} \\ 1.34 \pm 0.08 \text{ bc} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.09 \pm 0.05 \text{ f} \\ 1.23 \pm 0.06 \text{ de} \\ 1.38 \pm 0.07 \text{ bc} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.74 \pm 1.40 \ \text{def} \\ 26.31 \pm 1.49 \ \text{bcd} \\ 28.52 \pm 1.61 \ \text{a} \end{array}$	25.10 ± 1.19 ef 26.94 ± 1.27 bcd 29.20 ± 1.38 a	$\begin{array}{c} 21.83 \pm 1.23 \text{ bc} \\ 18.13 \pm 1.02 \text{ de} \\ 16.35 \pm 0.92 \text{ f} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.15 \pm 1.05 \text{ c} \\ 18.57 \pm 0.88 \text{ de} \\ 16.75 \pm 0.79 \text{ f} \end{array}$	
EAI3 EAI3 EAI3	Control 10 30	$\begin{array}{c} 1.15 \pm 0.06 \text{ ef} \\ 1.27 \pm 0.07 \text{ cd} \\ 1.42 \pm 0.08 \text{ b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.16 \pm 0.06 \text{ ef} \\ 1.30 \pm 0.06 \text{ cd} \\ 1.46 \pm 0.07 \text{ b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.28 \pm 1.37 \text{ ef} \\ 25.31 \pm 1.43 \text{ cde} \\ 27.44 \pm 1.55 \text{ ab} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.63 \pm 1.17 \text{ ef} \\ 25.92 \pm 1.23 \text{ cde} \\ 28.10 \pm 1.33 \text{ ab} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.33 \pm 1.32 \text{ b} \\ 19.19 \pm 1.08 \text{ d} \\ 17.30 \pm 0.98 \text{ ef} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.66 \pm 1.12 \text{ b} \\ 19.65 \pm 0.93 \text{ d} \\ 17.72 \pm 0.84 \text{ ef} \end{array}$	
F v	alue	20.70 **	44.26 **	11.94 **	17.00 **	43.49 **	57.37 **	

Table 4. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of minerals (%), protein (%), and carbohydrates (%) of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

Table 5. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of oil percent (%), oil content (mL plant⁻¹, and oil yield (L ha⁻¹) of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

Trea	Treatment		rcent (%)	Oil Yield (1	nL plant ⁻¹)	Oil Yield	Oil Yield (L ha $^{-1}$)	
Jojoba Genotype	Azolla Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	
EAI1	Control	56.3 ± 3.2 a	57.1 ± 2.7 a	$1258\pm141~\mathrm{abc}$	$1293\pm121~\mathrm{b}$	$1678 \pm 188~\mathrm{abc}$	$1725\pm161\mathrm{b}$	
EAI1	10	$53.7\pm3.0~\mathrm{ab}$	$55.0\pm2.6~\mathrm{ab}$	$1305\pm146~\mathrm{ab}$	$1368\pm128~\mathrm{ab}$	$1740\pm195~\mathrm{ab}$	$1824\pm170~\mathrm{ab}$	
EAI1	30	$50.8\pm2.9~bc$	$52.1\pm2.5~bcd$	$1432\pm160~\mathrm{a}$	$1501\pm140~\mathrm{a}$	$1910\pm214~\mathrm{a}$	$2002\pm187~\mathrm{a}$	
EAI2	Control	$48.9\pm2.8~{ m cd}$	$49.6\pm2.3~\mathrm{de}$	$949\pm106~\mathrm{e}$	$976\pm91~\mathrm{e}$	$1265\pm141~\mathrm{e}$	$1301\pm122~\mathrm{e}$	
EAI2	10	$46.2\pm2.6~\mathrm{de}$	47.3 ± 2.2 ef	$965\pm108~{ m e}$	$1012\pm95~{ m de}$	$1287\pm144~\mathrm{e}$	$1349\pm126~\mathrm{de}$	
EAI2	30	$43.7\pm2.5~e$	$44.8\pm2.1~\text{f}$	$1059\pm118~{\rm de}$	$1110\pm104~{\rm cde}$	$1412\pm158~\mathrm{de}$	$1481\pm138~{\rm cde}$	
EAI3	Control	$52.3\pm3.0~\mathrm{bc}$	$53.0\pm2.5~\mathrm{bc}$	1083 ± 121 cde	$1114\pm104~{\rm cde}$	1444 ± 161 cde	$1485\pm139~\mathrm{cde}$	
EAI3	10	$48.8\pm2.8~\text{cd}$	$50.0\pm2.4~\mathrm{cde}$	$1081\pm121~{ m cde}$	$1133\pm106~\rm cd$	$1441\pm161~{ m cde}$	$1511\pm141~\rm cd$	
EAI3	30	$46.3\pm2.6~\mathrm{de}$	$47.4\pm2.2~\mathrm{ef}$	$1186\pm133bcd$	$1243\pm116bc$	$1581\pm177~\rm bcd$	$1658\pm155\mathrm{bc}$	
F v	alue	16.44 **	20.90 **	13.44 **	18.34 **	13.44 **	18.34 **	
					· · · · · · · ·			

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

2.5. Yield of Seed Oil

The impact of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract on jojoba seed oil content per shrub and production per hectare is shown in Figure 5 and Table 5. The EAI1 genotype exhibited the highest oil content in jojoba seeds per shrub (1332 and 1387 mL plant⁻¹) as well as the highest production per hectare (1776 and 1850 L ha⁻¹). The use of a 30% extract of an *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant resulted in the highest jojoba seed oil content per bush and output per hectare. In the first and second seasons, it boosted seed oil content per shrub and yield per hectare by 12 and 14%, respectively in both seasons as compared to non-foliar bushes. In the combination treatments, the EAI1 genotype with 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant⁻¹) and yield per hectare (1910 and 2002 L ha⁻¹) in both seasons.

2.6. Seed Fixed Oil Analysis

Table 6 shows that the fixed oil of jojoba seeds is high in unsaturated fatty acids, with values ranging from 78.05 to 93.98% for the EAI2 genotype with 0% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract treatments. For the EAI2 genotype with 0% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, the total known components ranged from 81.38 to 96.15%, respectively. Gadoleic acid, oleic acid, erucic acid, and nervonic acid are the primary fatty acids found in jojoba oil. The major fatty acids in jojoba oil are gadoleic acid, which ranges from 43.11 to 49.83%, oleic acid, which ranges from 10.11 to 13.61%, and erucic acid, which ranges from 12.15 to 14.97% for the combined treatment of the EAI2 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, respectively. The proportion of nervonic acid in the combined treatment of the EAI2 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, respectively. The 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, respectively. The proportion of nervonic acid in the combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, respectively. The 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 10% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract and combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 30% *Az*

Table 6. The relative percentage of fatty acids (%) of the fixed oil of jojoba oil as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

				The Relative	Percentage of F	atty Acids (%)			
Fatty Acids		EAI1			EAI2			EAI3	
	Control	10%	30%	Control	10%	30%	Control	10%	30%
Myristic Acid	2.05 ± 0.12	0.87 ± 0.05	1.51 ± 0.09	1.76 ± 0.10	0.75 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.07	1.86 ± 0.11	1.38 ± 0.08	0.79 ± 0.04
Myristoleic Acid	0.35 ± 0.02	1.37 ± 0.08	0.49 ± 0.03	0.3 ± 0.02	1.18 ± 0.07	0.43 ± 0.02	0.31 ± 0.02	0.45 ± 0.03	1.25 ± 0.07
Palmitic Acid	1.82 ± 0.10	1.3 ± 0.07	1.57 ± 0.09	1.57 ± 0.09	1.12 ± 0.06	1.35 ± 0.08	1.66 ± 0.09	1.43 ± 0.08	1.19 ± 0.07
Oleic Acid	11.76 ± 0.66	13.61 ± 0.77	12.39 ± 0.70	10.11 ± 0.57	11.71 ± 0.66	10.66 ± 0.60	10.7 ± 0.60	11.28 ± 0.64	12.39 ± 0.70
Linoleic Acid	1.42 ± 0.08	2.04 ± 0.12	1.68 ± 0.09	1.22 ± 0.07	1.76 ± 0.10	1.44 ± 0.08	1.29 ± 0.07	1.53 ± 0.09	1.86 ± 0.10
Gadoleic Acid	47.37 ± 2.67	49.83 ± 2.81	47.58 ± 2.69	43.11 ± 2.43	45.35 ± 2.56	43.3 ± 2.44	44.06 ± 2.49	44.25 ± 2.50	46.35 ± 2.62
Erucic Acid	13.35 ± 0.75	14.97 ± 0.85	13.72 ± 0.77	12.15 ± 0.69	13.63 ± 0.77	12.48 ± 0.70	12.42 ± 0.70	12.76 ± 0.72	13.93 ± 0.79
Nervonic Acid	12.26 ± 0.69	12.16 ± 0.69	12.96 ± 0.73	11.16 ± 0.63	11.07 ± 0.62	11.79 ± 0.67	11.41 ± 0.64	12.05 ± 0.68	11.31 ± 0.64
Total %	90.38	96.15	91.9	81.38	86.57	82.75	83.71	85.13	89.07
Saturated fatty acids	3.87	2.17	3.08	3.33	1.87	2.65	3.52	2.81	1.98
Unsaturated fatty acids	86.51	93.98	88.82	78.05	84.7	80.1	80.19	82.32	87.09

Data are mean value \pm SE.

2.7. Chlorophyll a and b

All plant genotype treatments, as well as the foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, resulted in significant increases in chlorophyll a and b of jojoba leaves in both seasons (Figure 6 and Table 7). Chlorophyll a and b levels increased significantly in the EAI1 genotype, with the highest mean chlorophyll a (0.867 and 0.888 mg g⁻¹ FW) and chlorophyll b (0.867 and 0.888 mg g⁻¹ FW) values (0.412 and 0.422 mg g⁻¹ FW). Chlorophyll a increased by 10% and 9% in shrubs sprayed with 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract in both seasons, whereas chlorophyll b increased by 13 and 11% compared to untreated bushes. The highest mean chlorophyll a (0.939 and 0.962 mg g⁻¹ FW) and b (0.446 and 0.457 mg g⁻¹ FW) values were obtained in the two seasons when the EAI1 genotype was coupled with the highest rate of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract (30%) (Table 7).



Figure 6. In both seasons, the mean values of chlorophyll a and b (mg g-1 FW) of jojoba shrubs were modified by plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract respectively. The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. at the 0.05 level, bars with identical letters are not significant.

Table 7. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of chlorophyll a and b (mg g⁻¹ FW) of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

Treat	ment	Chlorophyll a	a (mg g $^{-1}$ FW)	Chlorophyll b (mg g $^{-1}$ FW)		
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	
EAI1	Control	$0.853\pm0.031\mathrm{bc}$	$0.888\pm0.042bc$	$0.403\pm0.016\mathrm{b}$	$0.412\pm0.027bc$	
EAI1	10	$0.890\pm0.050~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.911\pm0.043~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.418\pm0.024~ab$	$0.428\pm0.020~ab$	
EAI1	30	$0.939\pm0.053~\mathrm{a}$	0.962 ± 0.046 a	$0.446\pm0.025~\mathrm{a}$	0.457 ± 0.022 a	

Treat	ment	Chlorophyll a	$(\mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathrm{FW})$	Chlorophyll l	Chlorophyll b (mg g^{-1} FW)		
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season		
EAI2	Control	$0.738 \pm 0.028 \text{ e}$	$0.762 \pm 0.028 \text{ d}$	$0.350\pm0.010~\mathrm{c}$	$0.358 \pm 0.020 \ { m e}$		
EAI2	10	$0.765\pm0.043~\mathrm{de}$	$0.784 \pm 0.037 \ d$	$0.360 \pm 0.020 \text{ c}$	$0.368\pm0.017~\mathrm{de}$		
EAI2	30	$0.808\pm0.046~\mathrm{cde}$	$0.827\pm0.039~cd$	$0.383\pm0.022bc$	$0.393\pm0.019~cd$		
EAI3	Control	$0.785\pm0.032~\mathrm{cde}$	$0.796 \pm 0.037 \text{ d}$	$0.381 \pm 0.021 \mathrm{bc}$	0.390 ±0.018 cde		
EAI3	10	$0.810\pm0.046~\rm cd$	$0.829\pm0.039~cd$	$0.401\pm0.023~\mathrm{b}$	$0.403\pm0.019\mathrm{bc}$		
EAI3	30	$0.855\pm0.048~bc$	$0.875\pm0.041~bc$	$0.406\pm0.023~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.415\pm0.020bc$		
F va	alue	11.68 **	19.36 **	7.33 **	11.13 **		

Table 7. Cont.

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

2.8. Macro Elements (N, P, and K)

The findings of nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium assays in jojoba leaves are shown in Figure 7 and Table 8. Plant genotype had a considerable impact on the nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium content of jojoba shrub leaves. In both seasons, EAI1 jojoba shrubs had the greatest mean nitrogen (2.87 and 2.94%), phosphorus (0.398 and 0.409%), and potassium percentage (2.70 and 2.75%). After the foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, the proportion of N, P, and K in jojoba leaves increased significantly. When compared to control plants, the 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract resulted in the biggest increases in mean percentages of the nitrogen (6 and 7%), phosphorus (13 and 11%), and potassium (7 and 8%) in jojoba leaves. Similarly, in both seasons, the combined treatment of the EAI1 genotype with 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam resulted in the greatest significant mean nitrogen (2.95 and 3.03%), phosphorus (0.424 and 0.434%), and potassium (2.82 and 2.89%) concentrations in jojoba leaves.









Table 8. In both seasons of the study, the mean values of nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%), and potassium percentage (%) of jojoba shrubs as influenced by combined treatments of plant genotypes and foliar application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract.

Treatment		N	(%)	P ₂ O	₅ (%)	K ₂ O (%)	
Jojoba Genotype	Azola Extract (%)	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2nd Season
EAI1 EAI1 EAI1	Control 10 30	$2.79 \pm 0.13 ext{ abc}$ $2.85 \pm 0.16 ext{ ab}$ $2.95 \pm 0.17 ext{ a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.86 \pm 0.14 \text{ ab} \\ 2.92 \pm 0.14 \text{ ab} \\ 3.03 \pm 0.14 \text{ a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.375 \pm 0.014 \text{ bcd} \\ 0.395 \pm 0.022 \text{ ab} \\ 0.424 \pm 0.024 \text{ a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.388 \pm 0.018 \ \text{bcd} \\ 0.405 \pm 0.019 \ \text{b} \\ 0.434 \pm 0.021 \ \text{a} \end{array}$	2.60 ± 0.08 bc 2.69 ± 0.15 ab 2.82 ± 0.16 a	$\begin{array}{c} \text{2.62} \pm 0.14 \text{ bc} \\ \text{2.76} \pm 0.13 \text{ ab} \\ \text{2.89} \pm 0.14 \text{ a} \end{array}$
EAI2 EAI2 EAI2	Control 10 30	$\begin{array}{c} 2.36 \pm 0.10 \text{ f} \\ 2.46 \pm 0.14 \text{ ef} \\ 2.54 \pm 0.14 \text{ def} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.43 \pm 0.09 \text{ e} \\ 2.51 \pm 0.12 \text{ de} \\ 2.60 \pm 0.12 \text{ cde} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.321 \pm 0.009 \text{ f} \\ 0.340 \pm 0.019 \text{ ef} \\ 0.364 \pm 0.021 \text{ cde} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.337 \pm 0.016 \text{ g} \\ 0.348 \pm 0.016 \text{ fg} \\ 0.373 \pm 0.018 \text{ cde} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.26 \pm 0.07 \text{ f} \\ 2.32 \pm 0.13 \text{ ef} \\ 2.42 \pm 0.14 \text{ cdef} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.33 \pm 0.08 \text{ d} \\ 2.37 \pm 0.11 \text{ d} \\ 2.48 \pm 0.12 \text{ cd} \end{array}$
EAI3 EAI3 EAI3	Control 10 30	$\begin{array}{c} 2.54 \pm 0.09 \ \text{def} \\ 2.60 \pm 0.15 \ \text{cde} \\ 2.69 \pm 0.15 \ \text{bcd} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.58 \pm 0.10 \text{ cde} \\ 2.66 \pm 0.13 \text{ cd} \\ 2.75 \pm 0.13 \text{ bc} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.347 \pm 0.013 \ \text{def} \\ 0.360 \pm 0.020 \ \text{cde} \\ 0.386 \pm 0.022 \ \text{bc} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.360 \pm 0.017 \ \text{efg} \\ 0.369 \pm 0.017 \ \text{def} \\ 0.395 \pm 0.019 \ \text{bc} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.41 \pm 0.06 \ \text{def} \\ 2.45 \pm 0.14 \ \text{cde} \\ 2.56 \pm 0.14 \ \text{bcd} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.45 \pm 0.05 \text{ cd} \\ 2.51 \pm 0.12 \text{ cd} \\ 2.63 \pm 0.12 \text{ bc} \end{array}$
F va	alue	11.94 **	17.17 **	16.71 **	21.43 **	13.07 **	11.69 **

The data are expressed as a mean value \pm SE. At the 0.05 significance level, the means in columns with the same letter are not significantly different. (**) It means highly significant differences between means in the same column.

3. Discussion

3.1. Effect of Jojoba Genotypes

When the three jojoba genotypes were tested in this experiment, it was discovered that the shrubs of the EAI1 genotype outperformed in every studied parameter, including growth, flowering, fruit set, chlorophyll a and b content, and N, P, and K percentages. The differences in vegetative features between genotypes could be explained by natural growth habits and genotype branching [32–34]. Depending on its genetic makeup, each genotype has its own chemical composition. As a result, distinct genomic expressions were expected to be detected in the chemical parameters studied [35]. Al-Soqeer et al. [36] concur that there are variances amongst jojoba plants. Our findings are consistent with those of Al-Soqeer et al. [34], who assessed the development and yield of seven genotypes planted at Qassim University Farm. They found that the genotypes differed significantly for all of the traits tested. HB2 had the most branching nodes, total nodes, flower buds and flowers, total leaves per branch, and branch length and internodes while having the shortest branch length and internodes. In terms of branch length, branch dry weight, longest internodes length, seed yield $plant^{-1}$, and seed weight, genotype HB8 was superior. Flowering initiation differences were also discovered among the seven genotypes studied. For plants that were roughly five years old, the seed yield per plant ranged from 335.3 to 821.6 g plant⁻¹. Through an evaluation of the oil seed percentage of jojoba shrubs, Al-Soqeer et al. [36] found differences between genotypes which ranged between 47.17 and 54.95%. Eltaweel et al. [37] recorded that vegetative and flowering chlorophyll a and b differed according to genotype, and the seed yield ranged between 1 and 3.5 kg shrub⁻¹ and the oil percentage of seed ranged between 49.50 and 61.17% for eight years old plants. Nahla et al. [38] found that the performance of cultivated plants of jojoba shrubs differed as a result of high variability within plant genotypes. Moreover, the seed yield ranged between 1.145 and 3.650 kg plant⁻¹.

3.2. Effect of Azolla Filiculoides Extract

Growth, flowering, fruit set, chlorophyll a and b content, and N, P, and K percentages all improved as the extract concentration of the Azolla filiculoides Lam. plants increased. This could be due to the combined actions of macro and micronutrients, amino acids, vitamins, ascorbates, phenolic compounds antioxidants, and growth-regulating hormones contained in the Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, jasmonic, and salicylic acids [20]. In this study, the improvement in vegetative and flowering yields was matched in the jojoba shrub's seed production and oil output. Fruits are the primary source of plant yield. Gibberellins boost sink demand by elongating fruit cells and improving phloem unloading and/or carbon assimilation and metabolism in fruit. Gibberellins cause sugar metabolizing enzymes to become active. As a result, seed and oil yields are increased [6,7,39–41]. Our findings are consistent with the findings of a number of other scholars. Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract has been shown to boost the growth, yield, and chemical composition of a variety of crops, including the vegetative growth and biomass of olive seedlings [26], squash fruit numbers, which were 19.49% and 4.33% per plant and fruit weight, respectively [28], eggplant yield, which was 26.91% [27], and quinoa grain yield, which was 29% [13]. Increases in Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract, on the other hand, reduced the percentages of jojoba fixed oil and carbs in both seasons of this study. Plant metabolism changes towards the formation of N-compounds in high N circumstances, with less production of secondary non-N-containing metabolites such as phenolics, fatty acids, and terpenoids [42,43]. These results are similar to those of Atteya et al. [8,9].

3.3. Effect of Combination Treatments

Each genotype under investigation has a unique genetic profile [11]. Depending on the genotype, each genetic character's reactions change with climatic and soil circumstances [34,36,40,41]. The growth and outputs of jojoba genotypes improved after foliar spraying with Azolla filiculoides, however, the degree of response was different for each genotype. Azolla filiculoides is widely used in agriculture to improve plant development [26–28] and plant tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress [30,44,45]. Azolla is a plant that fixes biological nitrogen and reduces fertilizer leaching [46]. Previous studies have investigated using Azolla as a compost or soil supplement in green manure to boost crop output. However, there is limited information about using Azolla extract as a foliar spray, especially in organic farming. Azolla is high in macro- and micronutrients, crude protein, growth-promoting cytokinins, jasmonic acid, and salicylic acid, as well as vitamins [18,46]. This could increase jojoba genotypes' plant growth and development by increasing endogenous phytohormone levels and nutrient uptake. Azolla phytohormones known as cytokinins induce cell division and change apical dominance [13]. As a result of the Azolla supplementation, we saw an improvement in the development and yield attributes of all of the jojoba genotypes examined. These findings are consistent with those of Ripley et al. [24], who demonstrated improvement among wheat plants treated with Azolla filiculoides, and that the mean grain yield per plant increased by 47.06% compared with the control group. Eggplant fruit yield was significantly raised with the addition of Azolla by 26.91% [27]. After foliar spraying with Azolla, the total chlorophyll in jojoba genotypes increased. The necessary components for chlorophyll production, N and Mg, are abundant in Azolla. Furthermore, the increase in photosynthetic pigments after the Azolla spray could be attributed to Azolla's chlorophyll and carotenoids. The chlorophyll content of Beta vulgaris [25] and quinoa [13] was boosted by the foliar application of Azolla filiculoides compost by 300% and 19.7%, respectively. In squash, dry Azolla dramatically boosted leaf colors by about 41%, improved fruit characteristics by 19.49% and 4.33% for fruit number per plant and fruit weight, respectively, and increased nutrient content by about 60% [28]. The percentage of fixed oil and major fatty acids with the results of jojoba seeds contain approximately 53.68% fixed oil, according to Atteya et al. [6,7], with the major fatty acid gadoleic acid accounting for 53.54% and oleic acid contributing 15.59%. The EAI1, EAI2, and EAI3 jojoba shrub genotypes are distinguished by high seed and oil yield, according to Genaidy et al. [11].

4. Materials and Methods

The effects of jojoba genotypes and *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract, as well as their combinations, on the growth, yield, and chemical composition of a jojoba shrub grown under an organic farming approach were studied at a private farm in Egypt's El-Beheira governorate. Jojoba shrubs of equivalent vigor, age (twelve years old), and size were chosen for the administration of the investigated treatments across the two study seasons (2019/2020 and 2020/2021). In a randomized complete block design, the experiment was set up as a factorial with three replications (RCBD). For each jojoba genotype, the same shoot was chosen for each treatment in terms of height, thickness, vigor, amount of fruit, and orientation (EAI1, EAI2, and EAI3). The spacing between rows and between bushes in the rows was 3 and 2.5 m, respectively. A drip irrigation system was employed in the orchard. All of the bushes in the study were subjected to identical conditions, such as irrigation and farming practices. The orchard soil analysis was performed at the beginning of the experiment (Table 9) and the results of the water irrigation analysis are reported in Table 10.

Table 9. The orchard soil physical and chemical analysis.

Parameters	Texture Class	ECe	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	HCO3	Cl-	SO4 ²⁻
		ds m $^{-1}$				meq L ⁻¹			
Values	sandy	2.30	2.17	3.79	19.77	0.36	1.14	21.42	4.62

Paramotors	nH	EC	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K+	HCO3	C 1 ⁻	SO4 ²⁻
1 arameters	PII	ds m $^{-1}$				meq L ⁻¹			
Values	7.42	3.46	5.39	3.70	21.94	3.32	1.98	29.51	3.26

Table 10. Chemical properties of irrigation water used in this investigation.

4.1. Azolla Filiculoides Lam. Plant Extract Preparation

Azolla filiculoides Lam. aqueous extract solutions were prepared by drying the plant material in an oven at 70 °C for 48 h. The dry material was crushed in a grinder and filtered through a 40 mesh screen. To make the extracts, 300 g of each crushed plant material was macerated in 1000 mL of distilled water. Solutions were shaken in an orbital shaker at room temperature for 24 h. Whatman filter paper no. 1 was used to filter the extracts. To attain the concentrations, the extracted extracts were diluted. Table 11 shows the results of various analyses of the *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract study. The treatments carried out using this extract are provided in Table 12.

Table 11. Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract chemical characteristics.

Parameters	Units	Values
Total flavonoid content	mg Rutin g^{-1} DW	24
Total phenolic content	mg Gallic g^{-1} DW	33
Protein	%	20.24
Ν	%	3.24
Mg	%	0.78
K ₂ O	%	1.96
P_2O_5	%	0.32

Table 12. The combined treatments of jojoba genotypes and Azolla filiculoides Lam. plant extract.

Treatments	Jojoba Genotypes	Azolla Extract
 T1	EAI1	0%
T2	EAI1	10%
Т3	EAI1	30%
	EAI2	0%
T5	EAI2	10%
Τ6	EAI2	30%
	EAI3	0%
Τ8	EAI3	10%
Т9	EAI3	30%

4.2. Treatments

This study used three jojoba genotypes (EAI1, EAI2, and EAI3) [11] to study the response of the jojoba plant to the application of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam plant extract application. In each experimental year, from the beginning of December, 4 L of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract was sprayed on each tree every 2 weeks (17 applications shrub⁻¹). Treatments for *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. included 0, 10, and 30% plant extract.

4.3. Parameters

Nine plants were randomly chosen and labeled for each treatment (three bushes for each replicate).

4.3.1. Branch Characters

Branch length (cm), length of secondary branches per every branch (cm), number of nodes forming branches per meter, and number of secondary branches per main branch per meter.

4.3.2. Flowering, Fruiting, and Seed Yield

Three branches per tree from each treatment were tagged in December 2019 and 2020, and the full bloom date, flowering percentage, final fruit set percentage, and seed yield (g tree⁻¹ and kg ha⁻¹) were recorded as mentioned by Atteya et al. [7].

4.3.3. Chemical Analyses of Seed

The Soxhlet method was used to extract jojoba oil from seeds, and the oil percentage (%) and yield per hectare were calculated (L ha⁻¹). Crude protein (%), mineral (%), and total carbs (%) were also measured, according to the AOAC [47]. Seed fixed oil tests were carried out, in accordance with Atteya et al. [6].

4.3.4. Chlorophyll a and b

Chlorophyll a and b Were Determined According to Wintermans and Mats [48].

4.3.5. The Macro Elements (N, P, and K)

The Method of Chapman and Pratt [49] Was Used to Determine the Amount of Macro Elements in the leaVes.

4.4. Statistical Analysis

SAS software was used to perform an analysis of variance on the test treatment data [50]. At a 5% level of probability, the Duncan test was performed to compare the means of the treatments. Data refers to the mean value SE in the first and second seasons in the Tables.

5. Conclusions

The goal of this study was to learn more about the potential benefits of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract in boosting plant growth, yield, seed quality, and the phytochemical content of three jojoba shrub genotypes in organic agriculture. The yield of the three jojoba genotypes was significantly influenced by foliar spraying with *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract. In terms of the qualities described, the EAI1 genotype outperformed the EAI2 and EAI3 genotypes. The application of 30% *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract was more successful in increasing jojoba shrub yields. Under organic farming settings, the EAI1 genotype exposed to the foliar treatment of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. plant extract at a rate of 30% generated maximum seed output with the highest fixed oil yield.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; methodology, A.K.G.A., A.N.A., E.S.A., H.M.B. and E.A.E.G.; software, A.K.G.A., A.N.A., H.M.B., E.S.A. and E.A.E.G.; validation, A.K.G.A., A.N.A., H.M.B., E.S.A. and E.A.E.G.; tormal analysis, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; investigation, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; resources, A.K.G.A., A.N.A., H.M.B., E.S.A. and E.A.E.G.; data curation, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; writing—original draft preparation, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; visualization, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; supervision, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; here and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; visualization, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; supervision, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; visualization, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; supervision, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; visualization, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; supervision, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; visualization, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; supervision, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G. and E.A.E.G. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing, A.K.G.A. and E.A.E.G.; writing—review and editing

Funding: No funding has been received.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Nelson, J.M.; Watson, J.E. Nitrogen fertilization effects on jojoba seed production. Ind. Crops Prod. 2001, 13, 145–154. [CrossRef]
- Phillips, S.J.; Patricia, W.C. (Eds.) A Natural History of the Sonoran Desert; University of California Press: Berkeley, CA, USA, 2001; pp. 256–257, ISBN 0-520-21980-5.
- 3. Wisniak, J. The Chemistry and Technology of Jojoba Oil; American Oil Chemists' Society: Champaign, IL, USA, 1987.
- 4. US National Research Council. *Jojoba: New Crop for Arid Lands, New Material for Industry;* National Academy Press: Washington, DC, USA, 1985.
- 5. Guardiola, J.L. Fruit set and growth. In *Second International Seminar on Citrus*; Donadio, L.C., Ed.; Univ of Bebedouro: São Paulo, Brazil, 1992; pp. 1–30.
- Atteya, A.K.G.; Genaidy, E.A.E.; Zahran, H.A. Chemical constituents and yield of *Simmondsia chinensis* plants as affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and zinc sulphate. *Biosci. Res.* 2018, 15, 1528–1541.
- Atteya, A.K.G.; Sami, R.; Al-Mushhin, A.A.M.; Ismail, K.A.; Genaidy, E.A.E. Response of seeds, oil yield and fatty acids percentage of Jojoba Shrub strain EAI to mycorrhizal fungi and moringa leaves extract. *Horticulture* 2021, 7, 395. [CrossRef]
- 8. Atteya, A.K.G.; Albalawi, A.N.; Bayomy, H.M.; Alamri, E.S.; Genaidy, E.A.E. Maximizing Leaves, Inflorescences, and Chemical Composition Production of *Moringa oleifera* Trees under Calcareous Soil Conditions. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 234. [CrossRef]
- Atteya, A.K.G.; Albalawi, A.N.; El-Serafy, R.S.; Albalawi, K.N.; Bayomy, H.M.; Genaidy, E.A.E. Response of *Moringa oleifera* seeds and fixed oil production to vermicompost and NPK fertilizers under calcareous soil conditions. *Plants* 2021, 10, 1998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Atteya, A.K.G.; Amer, H.M. Influence of seaweed extract and amino acids on growth, productivity and chemical constituents of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* L. plants. *Biosci. Res.* **2018**, *15*, 772–791.
- 11. Genaidy, E.A.E.; Atteya, A.K.G.; Adss, I.A.A. Incre ase the economic value of the jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis*) yield using evaluation of distinctive clones grown under the Egyptian environmental conditions. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *12*, 145–165.
- 12. El-Serafy, R.S.; El-Sheshtawy, A.A. Effect of nitrogen fixing bacteria and moringa leaf extract on fruit yield, estragole content and total phenols of organic fennel. *Sci. Hortic.* **2020**, *265*, 109209. [CrossRef]
- El-Serafy, R.S.; El-Sheshtawy, A.-N.A.; Abd El-Razek, U.A.; Abd El-Hakim, A.F.; Hasham, M.M.A.; Sami, R.; Khojah, E.; Al-Mushhin, A.A.M. Growth, yield, quality, and phytochemical behavior of three cultivars of quinoa in response to moringa and azolla extracts under organic farming conditions. *Agronomy* 2021, *11*, 2186. [CrossRef]
- 14. Malik, A.; Mor, V.S.; Tokas, J.; Punia, H.; Malik, S.; Malik, K.; Sangwan, S.; Tomar, S.; Singh, P.; Singh, N.; et al. Biostimulant-treated seedlings under sustainable agriculture: A global perspective facing climate change. *Agronomy* **2021**, *11*, 14. [CrossRef]
- 15. El-Serafy, R.S.; El-Sheshtawy, A.A.; Dahab, A.A.; Al-Ashkar, I. Can yeast extract and chitosan-oligosaccharide improve fruit yield and modify the pharmaceutical active ingredients of organic fennel? *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2021**, *173*, 114130. [CrossRef]
- 16. El-Serafy, R.S.; El-Sheshtawy, A.-N.A.; Atteya, A.K.; Al-Hashimi, A.; Abbasi, A.M.; Al-Ashkar, I. Seed priming with silicon as a potential to increase salt stress tolerance in *Lathyrus odoratus*. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 2140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Ding, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Lin, X.; Zhao, F.; Wang, B.; Lin, F.; Ge, Y.; Eissa, M.A. Biochar impacts on NH3 -volatilization kinetics and growth of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) under saline conditions. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2020**, 157, 11290–12903. [CrossRef]
- 18. Shaltout, K.H.; El-Komi, T.M.; Eid, E.M. Seasonal variation in the phytomass, chemical composition and nutritional value of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. along the water courses in the Nile Delta. *Egypt Feddes Reper.* **2012**, *123*, 37–49. [CrossRef]
- 19. Bocchi, S.; Malgioglio, A. *Azolla-anabaena* as a biofertilizer for rice paddy fields in the Po Valley, a Temperate Rice Area in Northern Italy. *Int. J. Agron.* **2010**, 2010, 152158. [CrossRef]
- 20. Awodun, M.A. Effect of Azolla (Azolla species) on physiomineral properties of the soil. World J. Agric. Sci. 2008, 4, 157–160.
- 21. Kassem, A.H.M.; Abd El-Aal, A.M. Minimizing the effect of soil salinity on fennel plant using cyanobacteria and compost. *J. Product. Dev.* **2016**, *21*, 153–178.
- 22. Setiawati, M.R.; Damayani, M.; Herdiyantoro, D.; Suryatmana, P.; Anggraini, D.; Khumairah, F.H. The application dosage of *Azolla pinnata* in fresh and powder form as organic fertilizer on soil chemical properties, growth and yield of rice plant. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2018**, *1927*, 030017.
- 23. Bindhu, K.B. Effect of azolla extract on growth performance of Pisum Sativum. Int. Res. J. Biol. Sci. 2013, 2, 88–90.
- Ripley, B.S.; Kiguli, L.N.; Barker, N.P. Azolla filiculoides as a biofertilizer of wheat under dry-land soil conditions. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2003, 69, 295–300. [CrossRef]
- 25. De Bever, A.; Ndakidemi, P.A.; Laubscher, C.P. Effects of different combinations of Hoagland's solution and *Azolla filiculoides* on photosynthesis and chlorophyll content in *Beta vulgaris* subsp. Cycla 'fordhook giant' grown in hydroponic cultures. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *12*, 2006–2012.
- 26. Petruccelli, R.; Bati, C.B.; Carlozzi, P.; Padovani, G.; Vignozzi, N.; Bartolini, G. Use of Azolla as a growing medium component in the nursery production of olive trees. *Int. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 2015, *4*, 333–339. [CrossRef]
- 27. Musse, A.A.; El-Sheshtawy, A.A.; Massoud, O.N.; Ghanem, K.M. Response of eggplant to irrigation water quality, fertilization and organic extracts under urban agriculture system. *Al-Azhar J. Agric. Res.* **2021**, *46*, 74–90.
- Youssef, M.A.; AL-Huqail, A.A.; Ali, E.F.; Majrashi, A. Organic amendment and mulching enhanced the growth and fruit quality of squash plants (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) grown on silty loam soils. *Horticulturae* 2021, 7, 269. [CrossRef]
- 29. Malyan, S.K.; Bhatia, A.; Kumar, S.S.; Fagodiya, R.K.; Pugazhendhi, A.; Duc, P.A. Mitigation of greenhouse gas intensity by supplementing with Azolla and moderating the dose of nitrogen fertilizer. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 2019, 20, 101266. [CrossRef]

- Maswada, H.F.; Abd El-Razek, U.A.; El-Sheshtawy, A.A.; Mazrou, Y.S.A. Effect of *Azolla filiculoides* on growth, physiological and yield attributes of maize grown under water and nitrogen deficiencies. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 2021, 40, 558–573. [CrossRef]
- 31. Peter, K.V. Handbook of Herbs and Spice 2; Elsevier, Imprint Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2012; pp. 275–302.
- 32. Prat, L.; Botti, C.; Fichet, T. Effect of plant growth regulators on floral differentiation and seed production in Jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* (Link) Schneider). *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2008, 27, 44–49. [CrossRef]
- 33. Botti, C.; Palzkill, D.; Muñoz, D.; Prat, L. Morphological and anatomical characterization of six jojoba clones at saline and non-saline sites. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **1998**, *9*, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- 34. Al-Soqeer, A. Evaluation of seven jojoba (Simmondsia chinensis) clones under Qassim Region conditions in Saudi. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.* **2014**, *3*, 203–212.
- 35. Gayol, M.F.; Labuckas, D.O.; Oberti, J.C.; Guzmán, C.A. Characterization of shungite by physical adsorption of gases. J. Argent. Chem. Soc. 2004, 92, 59–63.
- Al-Soqeer, A.; Motawei, M.I.; Al-Dakhil, M.; El-Mergawi, R.; Al-Khalifah, N. Genetic variation and chemical traits of selected new jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* (Link) Schneider) genotypes. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2012, 89, 1455–1461. [CrossRef]
- Eltaweel, A.A.; Aly, A.A.; El- Bolok, T.K.; Arafat, S.M. Evaluation of some female jojoba genotypes under sandy land conditions. *J. Plant Prod. Mansoura Univ.* 2017, *8*, 877–885. [CrossRef]
- Nahla, A.; Adawy, S.; Eliraq, M.; Abo El-Khasab, A.; Abd El-Aziz, Y.; El-Bassel, E.; Esmail, A.S.; Attaia, M.; Darwish, S.H.; El-Ashry, H.; et al. Selection and agronomical evaluation for some elite genotypes of jojoba. *J. Appl. Life Sci. Int.* 2018, 18, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Atteya, A.K.G.; El Gendy, A.G. Growth, flowering and chemical compositions of Tagetes patula L. plants as affected with naphthalene acetic acid and gibberellic acid. *Biosci. Res.* 2018, 15, 716–730.
- 40. Marschner, H. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants; Academic Press: London, UK, 1986; p. 674.
- 41. Eman, A.A.; Abd El-moneim, M.M.M.; Abd El Migeed, O.; Ismail, M.M. GA₃ and zinc sprays for improving yield and fruit quality of washington navel orange trees grown under sandy soil conditions. *Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* **2007**, *3*, 498–503.
- 42. Brown, P.H.; Graham, R.B.; Nicholas, D.J.D. The effects of manganese and nitrate supply on the levels of phenolics and lignin in young wheat plants. *Plant Soil.* **1984**, *81*, 437–440. [CrossRef]
- 43. Estiarte, M.; Filella, I.; Serra, J.; Pefiuelas, J. Effects of nutrient and water stress on leaf phenolic content of peppers and susceptibility to generalist herbivore *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *Oecologia* **1994**, *99*, 387–391. [CrossRef]
- 44. Razavipour, T.; Moghaddam, S.S.; Doaei, S.; Noorhosseini, S.A.; Damalas, C.A. Azolla (*Azolla filiculoides*) compost improves grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under different irrigation regimes. *Agric. Water Manag.* **2018**, 209, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sharifi, P.; Shorafa, M.; Mohammadi, M.H. Comparison of the effect of cow manure, vermicompost, and azolla on safflower growth in a saline-sodic soil. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **2019**, *50*, 1417–1424. [CrossRef]
- 46. Maham, S.G.; Rahimi, A.; Subramanian, S.; Smith, D.L. The environmental impacts of organic greenhouse tomato production based on the nitrogen-fixing plant (Azolla). *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, 245, 118679. [CrossRef]
- 47. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th ed.; Association Official Analytical Chemist: Arlington, TX, USA, 1995.
- Wintermans, J.F.G.M.; Mats, D.E. Spectrophtometeric characteristic of chlorophyll and their pheophytins in ethanol. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 1965, 109, 448–453. [PubMed]
- 49. Chapman, H.D.; Pratt, P.F. Methods of Analysis for Soil Plant and Water; California University USA: Oakland, CA, USA, 1961.
- 50. SAS Institute Inc. SAS/STAT User's Guide; Release 6.03 Edition; SAS Institute: Cary, NC, USA, 1988.