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#### Abstract

In this paper, a Newton-type iterative scheme for solving nonlinear systems is designed. In the process of proving the convergence order, we use the higher derivatives of the function and show that the convergence order of this iterative method is six. In order to avoid the influence of the existence of higher derivatives on the proof of convergence, we mainly discuss the convergence of this iterative method under weak conditions. In Banach space, the local convergence of the iterative scheme is established by using the $\omega$-continuity condition of the first-order Fréchet derivative, and the application range of the iterative method is extended. In addition, we also give the radius of a convergence sphere and the uniqueness of its solution. Finally, the superiority of the new iterative method is illustrated by drawing attractive basins and comparing them with the average iterative times of other same-order iterative methods. Additionally, we utilize this iterative method to solve both nonlinear systems and nonlinear matrix sign functions. The applicability of this study is demonstrated by solving practical chemical problems.
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## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear problems are pervasive in scientific and engineering computations; they encompass classical nonlinear finite element problems [1] and nonlinear programming problems in economics [2], as well as fundamental problems in physics, chemistry, and fluid mechanics [3-5]. Consequently, resolving nonlinear systems is represented by

$$
\mathcal{F}(s)=0
$$

which has emerged as a pivotal aspect in tackling scientific computing challenges. However, owing to the intricacy inherent in nonlinear systems, it is often difficult to obtain analytical solutions directly, which makes numerical solutions the key to solve such problems.

The iterative method stands out as the most frequently employed numerical approach for solving nonlinear systems. The Newton iterative method is the most classical iterative method, which has the following form [6]:

$$
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ is the Jacobian matrix of the function $\mathcal{F}$ iterated at step $k$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$. Newton's method can be used to find the approximate solution of the nonlinear systems $\mathcal{F}(s)=0$ in both real and complex fields. When the initial value $s_{0}$ is sufficiently proximate to the root of the function $\mathcal{F}(s)$, Newton's method exhibits a convergence order of at least 2. However, Newton's method is categorized as a single-point iterative approach. To circumvent the sluggish convergence associated with single-point
iterative methods when tackling complex nonlinear problems, researchers have shifted their focus toward multi-point iterative methods, which are characterized by enhanced computational efficiency and higher convergence orders.

The concept of multi-point iterative methods was first introduced by Traub in 1964 [7]. Since then, numerous scholars have dedicated their efforts to formulating iterative methods of varying orders and conducting convergence analyses. Cordero et al. introduced a class of optimal fourth-order iterative methods with weight functions and conducted a dynamic analysis of one of the iterative methods [8]. Argyros et al. presented the following sixth-order iterative method (M1) [9]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{1}\\
z^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-2\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)+\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-\left(\frac{7}{2} I-4 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In recognizing that the format of the iterative method M1 (1) is complex, this paper introduces a Newton-type iterative method with two free parameters. The format of the proposed method is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)  \tag{2}\\
z^{(k)}=y^{(k)}-(\mathcal{P} t+\mathcal{Q} I) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right) \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-(\mathcal{P} t+\mathcal{Q} I) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t=-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; \mathcal{F}\right]+I$ and $[x, y ; \mathcal{F}](x-y)=\mathcal{F}(x)-\mathcal{F}(y), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. When $\mathcal{P}=2$ and $\mathcal{Q}=1$, Iterative Method (2) can reach the sixth order. This will be proved in Theorem 1.

To provide a more intuitive demonstration of the convergence of the proposed iterative method, fractal graphs were generated under various nonlinear functions. This approach has been employed in several studies. For instance, in leveraging fractal theory, Sabban scrutinized the stability of the proposed iterative method through dynamic plane visualization [10]. Additionally, Wang et al. explored the parameters that ensured the stability of the iterative method by studying its fractal graph with varying parameters [11]. Wang et al. utilized dynamic plane plots of the conformable vector obtained with Traub's method [12].

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows: (1) The proposal of a sixth-order Newton-type iterative method (30) for solving nonlinear systems accompanied by a proof of its convergence. (2) A discussion of the local convergence of the proposed sixth-order iterative method (30) in Banach space is provided, in which scenarios where equations in nonlinear systems may lack higher-order derivatives are considered. (3) An illustration of the advantages and applicability of the new iterative method (30) is delivered through comparisons of convergence rates and average iterative numbers with other iterative methods of the same order. This is achieved using fractal graphs and conducting numerical experiments.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we outline the preparations for analyzing the convergence of Iterative Method (30). In Section 3, the conditions that need to be satisfied to make the convergence order of Iterative Method (1) reach the sixth order are given, and the local convergence of Iterative Method (30) is established in Banach space by using the $\omega$-continuity condition on the first-order Fréchet derivative. The proposed analysis helps to avoid the absence of higher derivatives of the function, as well as extends Iterative Method (30). In addition, we also give the distance information between the initial point and the exact point to ensure the convergence of the convergence sequence and the uniqueness of the solution. In Section 4, we plot the fractal plot of Iterative Method (30) under nonlinear polynomials and compare the average number of iterations with other iterative methods of the same order. In Section 5, we employ

Iterative Method (30) to solve nonlinear systems and nonlinear matrix symbolic functions. In addition, we apply the analysis in Section 3 to solve practical chemical problems to demonstrate its validity (see Section 5). Finally, we provide a concise summary and highlight our future research directions.

## 2. Preparation for Convergence Analysis

The convergence proof of the iterative method is provided by Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 1 (refer to Section 3) reveals a requirement for the higher derivative of the function, thereby implying a constraint on convergence. Specifically, if the higher derivative of the solution function does not exist, the iterative method becomes inapplicable. Additionally, in establishing the convergence of iterative sequences, it is customary to assume that the initial point $s^{(0)}$ is sufficiently proximate to the exact solution $\alpha^{*}$. However, determining the precise proximity required remains uncertain. To address this, numerous scholars have undertaken research on local convergence [13-15]. Similar to the "problem" functions in these literature, a function $\mathcal{F}$ defined on $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ is achieved with the following:

$$
\mathcal{F}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
s^{3} \ln \left(s^{2}\right), \text { if } s \neq 0 \\
0, \text { if } s=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In examining this function, it was observed that its third derivative is unbounded within its domain. Consequently, Iterative Method (2) becomes unsuitable for solving this equation. To address this limitation, a local convergence analysis was conducted, whereby the aim was to circumvent the reliance on higher derivatives in the convergence study. This approach broadened the applicability of Iterative Method (2).

We will discuss the local convergence of the proposed iterative method in Banach spaces. Let $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{2}$ be a continuous Fréchet differentiable operator, where $\mathcal{X}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{2}$ are both Banach spaces, $\mathcal{U}$ is an open set on $\mathcal{X}_{1}$, and $\mathcal{U}$ is convex. First, we constructed the space in which the conditions exist. For any point $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{1}$ and a given distance $\rho>0$, let us say

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \rho)=\left\{\beta \in \mathcal{X}_{1}:\|\alpha-\beta\|<\rho\right\} \\
\overline{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha, \rho)=\left\{\beta \in \mathcal{X}_{1}:\|\alpha-\beta\| \leq \rho\right\} \\
\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}, \mathcal{X}_{2}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a bounded and linear operator. Before giving the local convergence theorem, we need to assume that the following non-decreasing continuous functions exist:

- On the interval $I_{1}=[0, \infty)$, the function $\mathcal{D}_{1}: I_{1} \rightarrow I_{1}$ exists and satisfies condition $\mathcal{D}_{1}(0)=0$.
- Let $s_{\text {min }}$ exist, where $s_{\text {min }}$ is the least positive solution satisfying $\mathcal{D}_{1}(s)=1$.
- On the interval $I_{2}=\left[0, s_{\min }\right)$, the function $\mathcal{D}_{2}: I_{2} \rightarrow I_{1}$ exists and satisfies condition $\mathcal{D}_{2}(0)=0$.
- On the interval $I_{2}=\left[0, s_{\text {min }}\right)$, the function $\mathcal{D}_{3}: I_{2} \rightarrow I_{1}$ exists and satisfies condition $\mathcal{D}_{3}(0)<1$.

Given the existence of these three functions, for the sake of simplifying the expression in the proof process, the following functions were constructed:

We then defined the following functions on interval $I_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{G}_{1}(s)=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{2}((1-\theta) s) d \theta}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}(s)}  \tag{3}\\
\mathcal{M}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{G}_{2}(s)=\mathcal{G}_{1}(s) \times\left(1+\left(2+\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}(s)}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}(\theta s) d \theta}\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{M}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}(s)}\right),  \tag{5}\\
\mathcal{N}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta  \tag{6}\\
\mathcal{G}_{3}(s)=\mathcal{G}_{2}(s) \times\left(1+\left(2+\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}(s)}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}(\theta s) d \theta}\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{N}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}(s)}\right),  \tag{7}\\
\mathcal{U}_{1}(s)=\mathcal{G}_{1}(s)-1,  \tag{8}\\
\mathcal{U}_{2}(s)=\mathcal{G}_{2}(s)-1,  \tag{9}\\
\mathcal{U}_{3}(s)=\mathcal{G}_{3}(s)-1 . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to show that $\mathcal{U}_{i}(0)<0$, and that, as $s$ approaches $s_{\text {min }}, \mathcal{U}_{i}(s), i \in\{1,2,3\}$ approaches $+\infty$. We know that $s_{i}$, the smallest zero of $\mathcal{U}_{i}(s)$, exists, and that $s_{i} \in\left\{0, s_{\text {min }}\right\}$, $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. This point can be proved by applying the mean value theorem. Let us say $r=\min \left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$, then for any $s \in[0, r)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq \mathcal{G}_{1}(s)<1,  \tag{11}\\
& 0 \leq \mathcal{G}_{2}(s)<1,  \tag{12}\\
& 0 \leq \mathcal{G}_{3}(s)<1 . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Under these assumptions, the local convergence proof of the proposed iterative method is presented in Theorem 2.

## 3. Analysis of Convergence

In this section, we will explore the conditions under which the free parameters $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ in Iterative Method (2) satisfy the convergence requirements, whereby it is ensured that the convergence order of Iterative Method (2) can attain six.

Theorem 1. Consider function $\mathcal{F}: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is a sufficiently Fréchet differentiable function in a neighborhood $D$ of $\alpha^{*}$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)=0$. Suppose that the Jacobian $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous and non-singular in $\alpha^{*}$, and that $\mathcal{P}=2$ and $\mathcal{Q}=1$. Thus, when the initial estimate $x^{(0)}$ is close enough to $\alpha^{*}$, the iterative sequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ generated by (1) converges to $\alpha^{*}$, and the error equation is as follows:

$$
x^{(k+1)}-\alpha^{*}=\left(30 A_{2}^{5}-11 A_{2}^{3} A_{3}+A_{2} A_{3}^{2}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{6}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{7}\right)
$$

where $e^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\alpha^{*}$ and $A_{j}=\frac{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{(j)}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)}{j!} \in L_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), j=2,3, \cdots$.
Proof. In Iterative Method (2), the first-order divided difference operator appears. We can consider it a mapping $[\cdot, \cdot ; \mathcal{F}]: D \times D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow L\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where

$$
[x+d, x ; \mathcal{F}]=\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x+\rho d) d \rho, \forall(x, d) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

By expanding $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x+\rho d)$ at $x$ by a Taylor series, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x+\rho d) d \rho=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(x) d+\frac{1}{6} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) d^{2}+O\left(d^{3}\right)
$$

Let $\alpha^{*}$ be the root of the nonlinear system $\mathcal{F}(s)=0$. If $\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ is expanded by a Taylor series at $\alpha^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[e^{(k)}+A_{2}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+A_{3}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+A_{4}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+A_{5}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{6}\right)\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\alpha^{*}$ and $A_{j}=\frac{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{(j)}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)}{j!} \in L_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), j=2,3, \cdots$.
By differentiating Equation (14), we can obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[I+2 A_{2} e^{(k)}+3 A_{3}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+4 A_{4}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+5 A_{5}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right)\right]  \tag{15}\\
\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[2 A_{2}+6 A_{3} e^{(k)}+12 A_{4}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+20 A_{5}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}\right)\right]  \tag{16}\\
\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[6 A_{3}+24 A_{4} e^{(k)}+60 A_{5}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Considering $\mathcal{F}$ is invertible, we can let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1}=\Gamma^{-1}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1}=\left[I+D_{2} e^{(k)}+D_{3}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+D_{4}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+D_{5}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}\right] \Gamma^{-1}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)=I$, we can determine $D_{2}-D_{5}$ as follows:

- $\quad D_{2}=-2 A_{2}$;
- $D_{3}=4 A_{2}^{2}-3 A_{3}$;
- $D_{4}=-8 A_{2}^{3}+12 A_{2} A_{3}-4 A_{4}$;
- $\quad D_{5}=16 A_{2}^{4}+9 A_{3}^{2}+16 A_{2} A_{4}-36 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}-5 A_{5}$.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right) & =e^{(k)}-A_{2}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(2 A_{2}^{2}-2 A_{3}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+\left(-5 A_{2}^{3}+7 A_{2} A_{3}-3 A_{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}  \tag{19}\\
& +O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From the first step in Iterative Method (1), the following equation is established:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)}-\alpha^{*} & =x^{(k)}-\alpha^{*}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right) \\
& =A_{2}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(2 A_{3}-2 A_{2}^{2}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+\left(5 A_{2}^{3}-7 A_{2} A_{3}+3 A_{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[A_{2}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}-2\left(A_{2}^{2}-A_{3}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+\left(5 A_{2}^{3}-7 A_{2} A_{3}+3 A_{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)\right]+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by combining Expression (18) and Expression (21), we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right) & =A_{2}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(-4 A_{2}^{2}+2 A_{3}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+\left(13 A_{2}^{3}-14 A_{2} A_{3}+3 A_{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4} \\
& +O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

By $x+d=y, d=y-x=-\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$, we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; \mathcal{F}\right] } & =\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[I+A_{2} e^{(k)}+\left(A_{2}^{2}+A_{3}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{4}+3 A_{2} A_{3}-2 A_{2}^{3}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}\right.  \tag{23}\\
& \left.+\left(4 A_{2}^{4}-8 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}+4 A_{2} A_{4}+2 A_{3}^{2}+A_{5}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using the result of Equation (18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; \mathcal{F}\right] & =I-A_{2} e^{(k)}+\left(3 A_{2}^{2}-2 A_{3}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(-8 A_{2}^{3}+10 A_{2} A_{3}-3 A_{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}  \tag{24}\\
& +\left(20 A_{2}^{4}-37 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}+8 A_{3}^{2}+14 A_{2} A_{4}-4 A_{5}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Next, let us consider the concrete form of $t$. By Equations (18) and (23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; \mathcal{F}\right]+I \\
& =A_{2} e^{(k)}+\left(2 A_{3}-3 A_{2}^{2}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(8 A_{2}^{3}-10 A_{2} A_{3}+3 A_{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}  \tag{25}\\
& +\left(4 A_{5}-14 A_{2} A_{4}-8 A_{3}^{2}+37 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}-20 A_{2}^{4}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As such, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{(k)}-\alpha^{*} & =y^{(k)}-\alpha^{*}-(\mathcal{P} t+\mathcal{Q} I) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right) \\
& =\left(A_{2}-A_{2} \mathcal{P}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(-2 A_{3}(-1+\mathcal{P})+A_{2}^{2}(-2+4 \mathcal{P}-\mathcal{Q})\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3} \\
& +\left(-3 A_{4}(-1+\mathcal{P})+A_{2} A_{3}(-7+14 \mathcal{P}-4 \mathcal{Q})+A_{2}^{3}(4-13 \mathcal{P}+7 \mathcal{Q})\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4} \\
& +O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right), \\
& \quad \text { and } \\
\mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)= & \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\left[\left(A_{2}-A_{2} \mathcal{P}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(-2 A_{3}(-1+\mathcal{P})+A_{2}^{2}(-2+4 \mathcal{P}-\mathcal{Q})\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}\right. \\
+ & \left.\left(-3 A_{4}\left(-1+\mathcal{P}+A_{2} A_{3}(-7+14 \mathcal{P}-4 \mathcal{Q})\right)+A_{2}^{3}\left(5-15 \mathcal{P}+\mathcal{P}^{2}+7 \mathcal{Q}\right)\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}\right] \\
+ & O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with Equation (18), the following formula is established:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right) & =\left(A_{2}-A_{2} \mathcal{P}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(-4 A_{2}^{2}+2 A_{3}+6 A_{2}^{2} \mathcal{P}-2 A_{3} \mathcal{P}-A_{2}^{2} \mathcal{Q}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3} \\
& +\left(13 A_{2}^{3}-14 A_{2} A_{3}+3 A_{4}-27 A_{2}^{3} \mathcal{P}+21 A_{2} A_{3} \mathcal{P}-3 A_{4} \mathcal{P}+A_{2}^{3} \mathcal{P}^{2}\right.  \tag{28}\\
& \left.+9 A_{2}^{3} \mathcal{Q}-4 A_{2} A_{3} \mathcal{Q}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

At last, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(k+1)}-\alpha^{*} & =z^{(k)}-\alpha^{*}-(\mathcal{P} t+\mathcal{Q} I) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right) \\
& =A_{2}(-1+\mathcal{P})^{2}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{2}+2(-1+\mathcal{P})\left(A_{3}(-1+\mathcal{P})+A_{2}^{2}(1-3 \mathcal{P}+\mathcal{Q})\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}  \tag{29}\\
& +E_{4}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{4}+E_{5}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{5}+E_{6}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{6}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{7}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where
$E_{4}=3 A_{4}(-1+\mathcal{P})^{2}-A_{2} A_{3}(-1+\mathcal{P})(-7+21 \mathcal{P}-8 \mathcal{Q})+A_{2}^{3}\left(4+27 \mathcal{P}^{2}-\mathcal{P}^{3}+14 \mathcal{Q}\right.$ $\left.+\mathcal{Q}^{2}-2 \mathcal{P}(13+9 \mathcal{Q})\right)$,
$E_{5}=-2(-1+\mathcal{P})\left(-2 A_{5}(-1+\mathcal{P})\right)+A_{3}^{2}(-3+9 \mathcal{P}-4 \mathcal{Q})-2 A_{2} A_{4}(-1+\mathcal{P})(-5+$ $15 \mathcal{P}-6 \mathcal{Q})+2 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}\left(10+66 \mathcal{P}^{2}-2 \mathcal{P}^{3}+38 \mathcal{Q}+3 \mathcal{Q}^{2}-\mathcal{P}(64+9 \mathcal{Q})\right)+A_{2}^{4}\left(10 \mathcal{P}^{3}-\mathcal{P}^{2}(104\right.$ $+3 \mathcal{Q})+2 \mathcal{P}(38+53 \mathcal{Q})-2\left(4+33 \mathcal{Q}+6 \mathcal{Q}^{2}\right)$ and
$E_{6}=(-1+\mathcal{P})\left(5 A_{6}(-1 \mathcal{P})+A_{3} A_{4}(17-5(\mathcal{P}+24 \mathcal{Q}))+A_{2}^{2} A_{4}\left(28+186 \mathcal{P}^{2}-6 \mathcal{P}^{3}+\right.\right.$ $\left.110 \mathcal{Q}+9 \mathcal{Q}^{2}-2 \mathcal{P}(90+71 \mathcal{Q})\right)+A_{2}^{3} A_{3}\left(-52+52 \mathcal{P}^{3}+\mathcal{P}^{4}-450 \mathcal{Q}-89 \mathcal{Q}^{2}-18 \mathcal{Q}^{2}(36+\mathcal{Q})\right.$ $+\mathcal{P}(480+724 \mathcal{Q}))+A_{2}^{5}\left(16-62 \mathcal{P}^{3}+258 \mathcal{Q}+88 \mathcal{Q}^{2}+\mathcal{P}^{2}(362+39 \mathcal{Q})\right)-\mathcal{P}(208+506 \mathcal{Q}+$ $\left.3 \mathcal{Q}^{2}\right)+A_{2}\left(-A_{5}(-1+\mathcal{P})\right)(-13+39 \mathcal{P}-16 \mathcal{Q})+A_{3}^{2}\left(33+210 \mathcal{P}^{2}-4 \mathcal{P}^{3}+136 \mathcal{Q}+12 \mathcal{Q}^{2}-\right.$ $2 \mathcal{P}(103+88 \mathcal{Q}))$.

If we choose $\mathcal{P}=2$ and $\mathcal{Q}=1$, then we have

$$
x^{(k+1)}-\alpha^{*}=\left(30 A_{2}^{5}-11 A_{2}^{3} A_{3}+A_{2} A_{3}^{2}\right)\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{6}+O\left(\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{7}\right) .
$$

This indicates that the iterative method in the following format achieves a sixthorder convergence.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{30}\\
z^{(k)}=y^{(k)}-\left(-2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; \mathcal{F}\right]+3 I\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right) \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-\left(-2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; \mathcal{F}\right]+3 I\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Subsequently, we will conduct an analysis of its convergence and delve into the approximate problem of its locally unique solution.

Theorem 2. Consider the Fréchet differentiable operator $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{2}$ on a Banach space. Let $\alpha^{*}$ be the root of $\mathcal{F}(s)=0$, and let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Suppose the following conditions apply to $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)=0, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{X}_{2}, \mathcal{X}_{1}\right)  \tag{31}\\
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(u)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \leq \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|u-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}  \tag{32}\\
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(\alpha)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(u)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(v)\right)\right\| \leq \mathcal{D}_{2}(\|u-v\|), \forall u, v \in \mathcal{U}_{0}:=\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha^{*}, s_{\text {min }}\right)  \tag{33}\\
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(u) \leq \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\left\|u-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)\right\|, \forall u \in \mathcal{O}_{0}  \tag{34}\\
\overline{\mathcal{B}}\left(\alpha^{*}, r\right) \subseteq \mathcal{U} \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Based on the above five conditions, $\forall s_{0} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha^{*}, r\right)$, the iterative sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ can be generated by Iterative Method (30). In addition, there is $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha^{*}, r\right)$. As $n$ approaches $+\infty$, the distance between $s_{n}$ and $\alpha^{*}$ approaches 0 , that is, $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a convergence sequence. In addition, for $n \geq 0$, the following formulas are also true:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|y_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r \\
\left\|z_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r \\
\left\|x_{n+1}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq \mathcal{G}_{3}\left(\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, if there exists an $\mathcal{E} \geq r$ satisfying $\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{1}(\theta \cdot \mathcal{E}) d \theta<1$, then the root on $\mathcal{U}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U} \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}\left(\alpha^{*}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}(s)=0$ is unique.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha^{*}, r\right)$, then use Equation (32) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(\eta)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \leq \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|\eta-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)<\mathcal{D}_{1}(r)<1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through the simple transformation of the above equation, we can directly obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(\eta)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|\eta-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}<\frac{1}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}(r)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=0$ in Iterative Method (30), it is obtained by the first step in (30) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{0}-\alpha^{*} & =x_{0}-\alpha^{*}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& =-\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}+\theta\left(x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right)\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right) d \theta\right] \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Its norm can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| & \leq\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}+\theta\left(x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right)\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right) d \theta\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{2}\left((1-\theta) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}  \tag{39}\\
& =\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right\| \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}+\theta\left(y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right)\right)\left(y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right) d \theta\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|  \tag{41}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \\
& =\mathcal{M} \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Combined with the result of Equation (37), the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{\mathcal{M} \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)} \| . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to

$$
\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]=\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)}{y_{0}-x_{0}}
$$

we can see

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right] & =\frac{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{y_{0}-x_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)}{y_{0}-x_{0}} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, we can obtain the following:

$$
2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]-3 I=2 \frac{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)}{y_{0}-x_{0}}-3 I .
$$

Through the second step of Iteration Method (30), we can know

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{0}-\alpha^{*} & =y_{0}-\alpha^{*}-\left(-2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]+3 I\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right) \\
& =y_{0}-\alpha^{*}+\left(2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]-3 I\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| & \leq\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\left\|2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}-3 I\right]\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\left\|2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\frac{2\left(\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\right)}{\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\|} \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\frac{2\left(\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\right)}{\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|} \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\left(\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}+2\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{M} \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)} \\
& =\left[1+\left(2+\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta}\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{M}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}\right] \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left[1+\left(2+\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta}\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{M}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}\right] \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \\
& =\mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to Equation (40), is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right\| \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}+\theta\left(z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right)\right)\left(z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right) d \theta\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|  \tag{47}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \\
& =\mathcal{N} \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

As such, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{\mathcal{N} \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the third step in Iterative Method (30), the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-\alpha^{*}=z_{0}-\alpha^{*}+\left(2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{1}-\alpha^{*}\right\| & \leq\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\left\|2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]-3 I\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\left\|2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{0}, y_{0} ; \mathcal{F}\right]\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\frac{2\left(\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\right)}{\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|} \cdot\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|+\left(\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \cdot\left\|y_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}+2\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{N} \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|}{1 \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}  \tag{50}\\
& =\left[1+\left(2+\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta}\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{N}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}\right] \cdot\left\|z_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left[1+\left(2+\frac{2 \mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta}\right) \times \frac{\mathcal{N}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right)}\right] \cdot \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \\
& =\mathcal{G}_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{0}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r .
\end{align*}
$$

As such, this proves what happens when $n=0$. By applying mathematical induction, we can prove that $\left\|x_{n+1}-\alpha^{*}\right\| \leq \mathcal{G}_{3}(r) \cdot\left\|x_{n}-\alpha^{*}\right\|<r$, so $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha^{*}, r\right)$. We can also prove that as $n$ approaches $+\infty$, the distance between $s_{n}$ and $\alpha^{*}$ approaches 0 , so $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a convergence sequence.

Suppose that there is a point $\xi \in \mathcal{U}^{\prime}$ and $\xi \neq \alpha^{*}$ that satisfies $\mathcal{F}(\xi)=0$, then we can construct the function $\mathcal{T}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}+\theta\left(\xi-\alpha^{*}\right)\right) d \theta$. Through Equation (32) and $\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{1}(\theta \cdot \mathcal{E}) d \theta<1$, we can see that the following formula is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\theta \cdot\left\|\xi-\alpha^{*}\right\|\right) d \theta \leq \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{1}(\theta \cdot \mathcal{E}) d \theta<1 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

That means that $\mathcal{T}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{X}_{2}, \mathcal{X}_{1}\right)$. As such, from $0=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(\xi)=\mathcal{T}\left(\alpha^{*}-\xi\right)$, we can obtain $\alpha^{*}=\xi$. Thus, uniqueness is obtained.

## 4. Fractals of Attractive Basins

In this section, we will generate fractal plots for Iterative Method (30) under various nonlinear functions to visually illustrate its convergence. Additionally, we will depict the fractal graphs of three sixth-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations. The average number of iterations after five iterations were calculated and are, respectively, presented in Figures 1 and 2, as well as Table 1. The different colors in Figures 1 and 2 denote the attractive basins of the different roots. The maximum number of iterations per
iteration was set to 25 . If the number of iterations exceeded 25 or the iteration sequence failed to converge, it is represented in black.

Let us consider the following sixth-order iterative methods: Iterative Method M2, which was proposed by Wang [16]; and M3, which was proposed by Behl et al. [17]. As for Iterative Method (30), we will label it as M4. Specifically, M2 and M3 take the following forms, respectively:

M2 [16]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{52}\\
z^{(k)}=y^{(k)}-\left(2 I-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-\left(2 I-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

M3 [17]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{53}\\
z^{(k)} x^{(k)}-\frac{1}{2}\left[I+2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(3 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1}\right] \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-2\left(3 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 1. The attractive basins of Iterative Methods M1-M4 under the nonlinear function $f(x)=$ $x^{2}-1$.


Figure 2. The attractive basins of Iterative Methods M1-M4 under the nonlinear function $f(x)=$ $x^{3}-1$.

Table 1. Comparison of the average number of iterations after five iterations of Iterative Methods M1-M4.

|  | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)=x^{2}-1$ | 5.4303 | 3.9349 | 13.243 | 3.9349 |
| $f(x)=x^{3}-1$ | 11.827 | 7.3072 | 10.359 | 7.2266 |

Upon examining Figures 1 and 2, it is evident that the convergence of Iterative Methods M2 and M4 surpasses that of Iterative Methods M1 and M3. The data presented in Table 1 further support this observation, with Iterative Method M4 demonstrating the lowest average number of iterations over the five iterations.

Building on this conclusion, the subsequent section will involve numerical experiments to compare the performance of Iterative Method M2 (52) and Iterative Method M4 (30).

## 5. Numerical Experiments and Practical Applications

In this section, we will utilize Iterative Method (30) to solve nonlinear systems and matrix symbolic functions. We will then compare its performance with that of Iterative Method M2 (52), where the advantages of Iterative Method (30) is emphasized. In order to demonstrate the advantages of Iterative Method (30) in terms of computational accuracy,
we will also compare the following three other sixth-order iterative methods: M5 (54) [18], M6 (55) [19], and M7 (56) [20]. Method M5 is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{54}\\
z^{(k)}=x^{(k)}+\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)-2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[3 \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)-4 \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right] \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}+\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)-2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Method M6 is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{55}\\
z^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\left[\frac{23}{8}-\left(3+\frac{9}{8} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right] \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-\frac{5}{2} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Method M7 is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}\left[x^{(k)}+\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right), x-\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{56}\\
z^{(k)}=y^{(k)}-\left\{2 \mathcal{F}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}\right]-\mathcal{F}\left[x^{(k)}+\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right), x-\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]\right\}^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)}=z^{(k)}-\left\{2 \mathcal{F}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}\right]-\mathcal{F}\left[x^{(k)}+\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right), x-\mathcal{F}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]\right\}^{-1} \mathcal{F}\left(z^{(k)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Additionally, we will apply Iterative Method (30) to address practical chemistry problems, thus showcasing its applicability.

### 5.1. Solving Nonlinear Systems

We will address the following three nonlinear systems (where $k$ represents the number of iterations, the experimental accuracy was 2048, and the experimental results are presented in Tables 2-4):

Problem 1

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}-e^{-x_{1}}=0  \tag{57}\\
x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}-e^{-x_{2}}=0 \\
x_{2}+x_{1}+x_{4}-e^{-x_{3}}=0 \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-e^{-x_{4}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

During the iteration, we chose the initial value to be $x^{(0)}=(1.5,1.5,1.5,1.5)^{T}$. The solution to the system is $\left(2.576 \times 10^{-1}, 2.576 \times 10^{-1}, 2.576 \times 10^{-1}, 2.576 \times 10^{-1}\right)^{T}$. The stop criterion is $\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<10^{-100}$.

Table 2. Experimental results of Problem 1.

| The Iterative Method | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\left\\|\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}-\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k - 1})}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|\mathcal{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}\right)\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2 | 4 | $9.193 \times 10^{-158}$ | $7.704 \times 10^{-946}$ |
| $M 4$ | 4 | $8.793 \times 10^{-240}$ | $9.423 \times 10^{-1439}$ |
| M5 | 4 | $1.350 \times 10^{-260}$ | $1.409 \times 10^{-1239}$ |
| M6 | 4 | $2.427 \times 10^{-232}$ | $6.265 \times 10^{-1394}$ |
| M7 | 5 | $7.121 \times 10^{-144}$ | $9.423 \times 10^{-575}$ |

Problem 2

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{3}-\sin \left(x_{2}\right)=0  \tag{58}\\
x_{2}^{3}-\sin \left(x_{1}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

During the iteration, we chose the initial value to be $x^{(0)}=(1.1,1.1)^{T}$. The solution to the system is $\left(9.286 \times 10^{-1}, 9.286 \times 10^{-1}\right)^{T}$. The stop criterion is $\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<10^{-100}$.

Table 3. Experimental results of Problem 2.

| The Iterative Method | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\left\\|\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}-\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1})}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|\mathcal{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}\right)\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2 | 5 | $3.985 \times 10^{-562}$ | $1.000 \times 10^{-2048}$ |
| M4 | 5 | $1.018 \times 10^{-568}$ | $1.000 \times 10^{-2048}$ |
| M5 | 4 | $5.015 \times 10^{-138}$ | $1.409 \times 10^{-823}$ |
| M6 | 5 | $9.737 \times 10^{-569}$ | $1.000 \times 10^{-2048}$ |
| M7 | 4 | $1.483 \times 10^{-107}$ | $2.358 \times 10^{-639}$ |

Problem 3

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}-\cos \left(2 x_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j}\right)=0  \tag{59}\\
x_{i}-\cos \left(2 x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j}\right)=0,1 \leqslant i \leqslant m
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $m$ is the number of equations. During the iteration, we chose the initial value to be $x^{(0)}=(0.1, \cdots, 0.1)^{T}$. When $m=6$, the solution to the system is $\left(3.131 \times 10^{-1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.3.131 \times 10^{-1}\right)^{T}$. The stop criterion is $\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<10^{-100}$.

Table 4. Experimental results of Problem 3.

| The Iterative Method | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\left\\|\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}-\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1})}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|\mathcal{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}\right)\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2 | 5 | $2.417 \times 10^{-297}$ | $1.111 \times 10^{-1779}$ |
| M4 | 5 | $6.170 \times 10^{-464}$ | $6.000 \times 10^{-2048}$ |
| M5 | 5 | $4.248 \times 10^{-465}$ | $3.000 \times 10^{-2048}$ |
| M6 | 6 | $9.128 \times 10^{-409}$ | $3.000 \times 10^{-2048}$ |
| M7 | 5 | $8.615 \times 10^{-290}$ | $9.599 \times 10^{-1734}$ |

The experimental results from Tables $2-4$ show that the convergence accuracy of Iterative Method M7 is inferior to the other four iterative methods. Therefore, we will contrast Iterative Methods M2, M4, M5, and M6 in the next section by solving a nonlinear matrix sign function.

### 5.2. Solving the Matrix Sign Function

In this section, we will, respectively, apply Iterative Methods M2, M4, M5, and M6 to solve the nonlinear matrix symbolic function $X^{2}-I=0$, where $I$ represents the identity matrix. Therefore, when solving this function, the corresponding iterative formats for M2, M4, M5, and M6 are

- $\quad X_{n+1}=8192 X_{n}^{19}\left[-I+4 X_{n}^{2}-27 X_{n}^{4}+120 X_{n}^{6}-306 X_{n}^{8}-2174 X_{n}^{12}+4104 X_{n}^{14}-7421 X_{n}^{16}\right.$ $\left.+11,068 X_{n}^{18}+1737 X_{n}^{20}\right]^{-1}$,
- $\quad X_{n+1}=1024 X_{n}^{13}\left[I-13 X_{n}^{2}+85 X_{n}^{4}-305 X_{n}^{6}+659 X_{n}^{8}-951 X_{n}^{10}+1303 X_{n}^{12}+245 X_{n}^{14}\right]^{-1}$,
- $\quad X_{n+1}=-\left(I+X_{n}^{2}\right)^{3}\left[2 X_{n}^{3}\left(3+8 X n^{2}+9 X_{n}^{4}\right)\right]^{-1}$,
- $\quad X_{n+1}=-82,944 X_{n}^{14}\left(-I+4 X_{n}^{4}\right)\left[-160 I+320 X_{n}^{2}+3408 X_{n}^{3}+3040 X_{n}^{4}-6816 X_{n}^{5}-\right.$ $2512 X_{n}^{6}-53,424 X_{n}^{7}-18,656 X_{n}^{8}-104,856 X_{n}^{9}+24,560 X_{n}^{10}+625,584 X_{n}^{11}-960,810 X_{n}^{12}$ $+1,057,896 X_{n}^{13}+1,020,244 X_{n}^{14}-4,451,451 X_{n}^{15}+3,955,646 X_{n}^{16}+55,830 X_{n}^{17}-$
$4,435,280 X_{n}^{18}+5,390,181 X_{n}^{19}+89,000 X_{n}^{20}-3,637,632 X_{n}^{21}+160,768 X_{n}^{22}+872,448 X_{n}^{23}$
$\left.+163,840 X_{n}^{24}\right]$ respectively.
The experimental results are displayed in Table 5, where $n$ represents the number of iterations, $t$ represents the CPU running time, and $n c$ indicates no convergence. The termination criterion was set to $\left\|X_{n}^{2}-I\right\|_{2} \leqslant 10^{-100}$.

According to the experimental results in Table 5, it can be seen that Iterative Method M4 is superior to M2, M5, and M6 in solving the nonlinear matrix symbolic function.

Table 5. Experimental results of the solving matrix sign function.

| The Iterative Method | Matrices | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M 2$ | 1 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 4 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 10 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 15 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
| $M 4$ | 1 | 1 | 0.008228 |
|  | 4 | 18 | 0.040754 |
|  | 10 | 22 | 0.051711 |
|  | 15 | 36 | 0.089662 |
| $M 5$ | 1 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 4 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 10 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 15 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
| $M 6$ | 1 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 4 | $n c$ | $n c$ |
|  | 10 | $n c$ |  |

### 5.3. Practical Applications

The gas equation of the state problem stands out as one of the most crucial challenges in addressing practical chemical problems. In this context, we will apply Theorem 2 from Section 3 to this problem. To begin with, let us consider the following van der Waals equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(V)=\left(p+\frac{a n^{2}}{V^{2}}\right)(V-n b)-n R T=0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=4.17 \mathrm{~atm} \cdot \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}^{2}$ and $b=0.0371 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$. Then, the volume of the container is found by considering the pressure of $945.36 \mathrm{kPa}(9.33 \mathrm{~atm})$ and the temperature of 300.2 K with 2 mol nitrogen. Finally, by substituting the data into (60), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=9.33 V^{3}-96.9611 V^{2}+16.68 V-1.23766=0 .
$$

In the context of a practical problem, the solution to this nonlinear equation can only be found on $\mathbb{R}$. If we further qualify $\mathcal{U}=[0,0.2]$, then $\alpha^{*}=0.109171$, where $\alpha^{*}$ is the result of preserving 6 significant digits for the exact solution. As such, by using Theorem 2, we find

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1}=15.8667 \mathrm{~s}, \mathcal{D}_{2}=16.3673 \mathrm{~s}, \mathcal{D}_{3}=2
$$

According to Theorem 2, we can finally obtain

$$
s_{\min }=0.0630251, s_{1}=0.0415794, s_{2}=0.0138653, s_{3}=0.00391481
$$

As such, $r=\min \left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}=0.0391481$.
In the chemical production process of converting nitrogen-hydrogen feed into ammonia, if the air pressure is 250 atm and the temperature is 500 degrees Celsius, then the following equation can be derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(s)=s^{4}-7.79075 s^{3}+14.7445 s^{2}+2.511 s-1.674 . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a practical context, by limiting the range of solutions to $[0,1]$, we know that the root of Equation (61) is $\alpha^{*}=0$. Then, we have

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1}=2.59403 s, \mathcal{D}_{2}=3.28225 s, \mathcal{D}_{3}=2
$$

According to Theorem 2, we can finally obtain

$$
s_{\min }=0.385501, s_{1}=0.236119, s_{2}=0.0737151, s_{3}=0.02006
$$

As such, $r=\min \left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}=0.02006$.

## 6. Conclusions and Discussion

In this paper, a class of iterative methods for solving nonlinear systems (1) was presented. Through the proof results in Theorem 1, we established that, when $\mathcal{P}=2$ and $\mathcal{Q}=1$, Iterative Method (1) can reach a sixth-order convergence, which is Iterative Method (30).

During the proof of Theorem 1, we noticed that this convergence process has a high limitation on the existence of the higher derivatives of functions. But not all functions have higher derivatives. Therefore, we discussed the local convergence of Iterative Method (30) in Section 3. By using the $\omega$-continuity condition on the first-order Fréchet derivative in Banach space, we established the conditions for a local convergence of Iterative Method (30), thus avoiding the discussion of the higher-order derivative of the function.

Finally, by drawing the attractive basin of Iterative Method (30)—as well as by comparing it with the average number of iterations of five cycles for the known sixth-order iterative methods M1, M2, and M3 for solving nonlinear systems-it was shown that the new Iterative Method M4 (30) is superior to the other three iterative methods in terms of convergence and average number of iterations. In the experiment where nonlinear systems were solved, it was also shown that the convergence accuracy of Iterative Method (30) is better than that of Iterative Method M7. Furthermore, when employing Iterative Methods M2, M4, M5, and M6 to simultaneously solve the nonlinear matrix symbolic function, it is evident that $M 4$ exhibits broader applicability. Through leveraging the local convergence established in Theorem 2 for Iterative Method M4, we proceeded to address the practical chemical problems. Through these experiments, we have objectively demonstrated the plausibility of our proposal iterative method.

Building upon the foundation laid in this paper, our future focus will be on proposing diverse forms of iterative methods with higher convergence orders. This will involve analyzing their local convergence, semi-local convergence, and employing fractal theory to study their stability.
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