

Abstract

Development of Breastfeeding Behaviours in Preterm Infants [†]

Melissa Klifunis ¹, Demelza J. Ireland ¹, Donna T. Geddes ^{2,3,4,*} and Sharon L. Perrella ^{2,3,4,*} 

¹ School of Biomedical Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia; 22714479@student.uwa.edu.au (M.K.); demelza.ireland@uwa.edu.au (D.J.I.)

² School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia; don-na.geddes@uwa.edu.au

³ ABREAST Network, Perth, WA 6000, Australia

⁴ UWA Centre for Human Lactation Research and Translation, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

* Correspondence: sharon.perrella@uwa.edu.au; Tel.: +61-64881208

[†] Presented at the Australian Breastfeeding + Lactation Research and Science Translation Conference (ABREAST Conference 2023), Perth, Australia, 10 November 2023.

Abstract: Breastfeeding is particularly important for vulnerable preterm infants as it provides protection from infections and reduces newborn mortality. However, preterm infants are often too immature to breastfeed after birth and may have medical conditions that require admission to the neonatal nursery. The published literature on the development of preterm feeding skills has focused mostly on bottle feeding. In order to better support breastfeeding after preterm birth, there is a need for evidence on the development of breastfeeding skills in preterm infants. The aim of this study was to examine breastfeeding skill development in a group of infants born at 25–33 weeks' gestation. Infants were assessed during weekly monitored breastfeeds from 33 weeks corrected gestational age (CGA) using the Preterm Infant Breastfeeding Behaviour Scale (PIBBS), and milk transfer was measured. Mothers rated PIBBS items—rooting, areolar grasp, latch to the breast, sucking, longest sucking burst and swallowing—and clinical staff performed test weights. Pearson correlation was used to assess changes in PIBBS scores items over time and associations between total PIBBS score and milk transfer volume. Total PIBBS scores at 33, 34 and 35 weeks' CGA were compared between groups of infants born at <30/40 and 30–33/40 weeks using Student's *t*-test. Our cohort consisted of 60 preterm mother–infant dyads recruited from the neonatal nurseries at King Edward Memorial Hospital between February 2015 and February 2016. A positive trend was found between increasing CGA and higher ratings for six PIBBS items: rooting ($R^2 = 0.08$, $F(1, 164) = 13.9$, $p < 0.001$), areolar grasp ($R^2 = 0.11$, $F(1, 164) = 21.0$, $p < 0.001$), latching ($R^2 = 0.14$, $F(1, 164) = 27.5$, $p < 0.001$), sucking ($R^2 = 0.14$, $F(1, 164) = 27.1$, $p < 0.001$), longest sucking burst ($R^2 = 0.17$, $F(1, 164) = 32.3$, $p < 0.001$) and swallowing ($R^2 = 0.14$, $F(1, 163) = 26.1$, $p < 0.001$). A higher total PIBBS score was associated with a higher milk transfer volume (mL) ($R^2 = 0.214$, $F(1, 164) = 44.8$, $p < 0.001$). When compared to infants born at 30–33 weeks' gestation, infants born at 25–29⁺⁶ weeks' gestation had similar PIBBS scores at 33 weeks' CGA (9.2 ± 3.6 vs. 9.5 ± 4.1 , $p = 0.83$) and lower scores at 34 weeks' CGA (9.2 ± 3.4 vs. 11.7 ± 4.3 , $p = 0.036$) and 35 weeks' CGA (12.3 ± 3.1 vs. 14.9 ± 3.5 , $p = 0.031$). The development of preterm breastfeeding skills advances from 33 weeks CGA with wide inter-individual variation and slower progression observed in those born < 30 weeks' gestation. Therefore, an individualised approach to anticipatory guidance regarding breastfeeding progression during the neonatal nursery stay is needed. Findings from this study can contribute to the formation of breastfeeding information resources for clinical staff and parents of preterm infants.

Keywords: breastfeeding; preterm birth; neonatal intensive care



Citation: Klifunis, M.; Ireland, D.J.; Geddes, D.T.; Perrella, S.L.

Development of Breastfeeding Behaviours in Preterm Infants.

Proceedings **2023**, *93*, 16.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2023093016>

Academic Editors: Debra J. Palmer and Nicolas L. Taylor

Published: 22 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, S.L.P.; methodology, S.L.P.; formal analysis, M.K.; investigation, S.L.P. and M.K.; resources, D.T.G.; data curation, S.L.P.; writing—original draft preparation, M.K.; writing—review and editing, S.L.P. and D.J.I.; supervision, S.L.P., D.J.I. and D.T.G.; project

administration, S.L.P.; funding acquisition, D.T.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partially funded by an unrestricted research grant from CHANNEL 7 TELETHON TRUST. The salaries of D.T.G. and S.L.P. were funded by an unrestricted research grant from Medela AG (Switzerland) and administered by The University of Western Australia. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Women and Newborn Health Services Ethics Committee (EC00350) at King Edward Memorial Hospital, PRN #RGS0000002655.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We thank all of our participants for their time and help with this research.

Conflicts of Interest: D.T.G. declares participation in the Scientific Advisory Board of Medela AG. D.T.G. and S.L.P. are supported by an unrestricted research grant from Medela AG, administered by The University of Western Australia. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.