



Correction

Correction: Pourdaryaei et al. Recent Development in Electricity Price Forecasting Based on Computational Intelligence Techniques in Deregulated Power Market. *Energies* 2021, 14, 6104

Alireza Pourdaryaei ^{1,2}, Mohammad Mohammadi ¹, Mazaher Karimi ^{3,*}, Hazlie Mokhlis ⁴, Hazlee A. Illias ⁴, Seyed Hamidreza Aghay Kaboli ^{5,6} and Shameem Ahmad ⁴

- Department of Power and Control, School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz 7194684334, Iran; a.pourdaryaei@gmail.com (A.P.); m.mohammadi@shirazu.ac.ir (M.M.)
- Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Hormozgan, Bandar Abbas 7916193145, Iran
- School of Technology and Innovations, University of Vaasa, Wolffintie 34, 65200 Vaasa, Finland
- Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia; hazli@um.edu.my (H.M.); h.illias@um.edu.my (H.A.I.); ahmad05shameem@gmail.com (S.A.)
- Power Systems & Markets Research Group, Electrical Power Engineering Unit, University of Mons, 7000 Mons, Belgium; kaboli0004@gmail.com
- ⁶ Electrical Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Razi University, Kermanshah 6714414971, Iran
- * Correspondence: mazaher.karimi@uwasa.fi

There was an error in the original publication [1]. Some phrases in the original publication were not appropriate. The authors would like to change (enrollment capacities) to (activation functions); (enrollment works) to (membership function); and (fluffy) to (fuzzy).

A correction has been made to Section 3.2, Paragraph Numbers 6 & 9:

3.2. Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS)

In numerous regards, the Mamdani-based FIS approach is similar to the Sugeno approach. A comparative fuzzy deduction is prepared for both approaches by the implementation of the fuzzifying process upon the input information and the fuzzy administrators. The foremost distinction between Sugeno-based FIS and Mamdani-based FIS is that the manner of the fuzzy inputs has changed over to a fresh yield. In Mamdani-based FIS, when computing the fresh yield, the fuzzy yield is employed in the defuzzification strategy, whereas in Sugeno-based FIS, the weighted normal strategy is utilized. The idea of disposing of Mamdani interpretability and expressive control is the aim of the strategies because the standard consequence of the Sugeno strategy is not fuzzy. Sugeno has a quicker interim time compared to Mamdani-based FIS; rather than the time-devouring defuzzification, it prepares the connection to the weighted normal strategy. Due to the instinctive nature of and operation of this view, it has led to the complex strategy of Mamdani, with the choice-back application thought to be linked. Additionally, Sugeno and Mamdani-based FISs show more contrast between them due to the fact that Sugeno has no yield participation capacities compared to Mamdani FIS yield participation; therefore, the Sugeno strategy gives a yield that is either a direct (weighted) numerical expression or that is steady. The Mamdani strategy provides a yield that is a fuzzy set. Sugeno has more adaptability in the framework plan than Mamdani-based FIS, as demonstrated by the more efficient frameworks that can be achieved if the ANFIS device is coordinated with [48].

Layer 1: In this layer, the inputs are x and y to hub i, their etymological names are Ai and Bi, the activation functions for Ai and Bi fuzzy sets are μAi and μBi , separately, and the enrollment review of a fuzzy set is known as q1. i is regarded as the yield of hub i within a layer that indicates the degree to which the specified input (x or y) fulfills the evaluation. In ANFIS, the MF (membership function) for a fuzzy set can regularly be



Citation: Pourdaryaei, A.;
Mohammadi, M.; Karimi, M.; Mokhlis, H.; Illias, H.A.; Kaboli, S.H.A.;
Ahmad, S. Correction: Pourdaryaei et al. Recent Development in
Electricity Price Forecasting Based on
Computational Intelligence
Techniques in Deregulated Power
Market. Energies 2021, 14, 6104.
Energies 2024, 17, 2266. https://doi.org/10.3390/en17102266

Received: 24 April 2024 Accepted: 30 April 2024 Published: 8 May 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Energies **2024**, 17, 2266 2 of 2

any parameterized participation work, such as universal Chime molded work, Gaussian, trapezoidal, or triangular.

The authors state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. The correction was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.

Reference

1. Pourdaryaei, A.; Mohammadi, M.; Karimi, M.; Mokhlis, H.; Illias, H.A.; Kaboli, S.H.A.; Ahmad, S. Recent Development in Electricity Price Forecasting Based on Computational Intelligence Techniques in Deregulated Power Market. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 6104. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.