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#### Abstract

The compound 5-((2-aminoethylamino)methyl)-7-(4-bromoanilino)-3-cyano-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (ABCPP) was synthesized and conjugated with $N$-mercaptoacetylglycine (MAG), $N$-mercaptoacetylphenylalanine (MAF) and $N$-mercaptoacetylvaline (MAA), respectively. These three compounds were labeled successfully with $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$ intermediate in high radiochemical purities. Biodistribution in tumor-bearing mice demonstrated that the three new complexes showed tumor accumulation, high tumor-tomuscle (T/M) ratios and fast clearance from blood and muscle. Among them, the ${ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcN}$ -MAG-ABCPP showed the most favorable characteristics, with tumor/blood and tumor/ muscle ratios reaching 1.51 and 2.97 at 30 min post-injection, 1.84 and 2.49 at 60 min post-injection, suggesting it could be further studied as potential tumor imaging agent for single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT).
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## 1. Introduction

Tumors are among the most common causes of death in the World. Recently, the use of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine derivatives as antitumor agents for the treatment of cancer has come under much attention in a growing number of therapeutic areas [1-6]. Among them, 3-cyano-5,7disubstistituted pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine derivatives showed significant antitumor properties [7,8].

The novel nitrido core $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$ is isoelectronic with the $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}\right]^{3+}$ core. The nitrido ligand is a powerful $\pi$-electron donor and shows a high capacity to stabilize the $\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{V})$ oxidation state [9]. The $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$ core exhibits a very high chemical stability over a wide range of experimental conditions (such as oxidation-reduction reactions and pH variations), and high affinity toward chelating ligands containing sulfur atoms. The presence of the $\left[{ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$ core in the molecular structure of a radiopharmaceutical may dramatically affect its physical and biological behaviour [10]. A convenient preparation of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$ nitrido complexes at the tracer level and in sterile and pyrogen-free conditions in which an intermediate ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$ complex of succinic dihydrazide (SDH) is initially formed in the presence of stannous chloride as reducing agent, has been extensively investigated [11]. So far, the use of the 3-cyano-5,7-disubstistituted pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine moiety in the preparation of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$ complexes as targeted agents for tumor imaging has not been reported. Therefore, it may be of great interest to probe the ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$ nitrido chemistry with some ligands involving the ABCPP molecular structure to explore the biological behaviour of a potential new class of diagnostic agents.

The purpose of this study was to conjugate ABCPP with three chelating agents (MAG, MAF and MAA), and to evaluate the feasibility of the ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$-labeled 3-cyanopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine derivatives as useful candidates for tumor imaging.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

The preparation of $N$-[(Tritylmercapto)acetyl]glycine (Tr-MAG, 4a) was carried out using the procedure shown in Scheme 1. After protecting the thiol group with trityl chloride, the resulting compound 2 was reacted with $N$-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) as condensation reagent to obtain the active ester 3. The active ester 3 was reacted with the amine group of glycine to provide the Tr-MAG (4a). $N$-[(Tritylmercapto)acetyl]phenylalanine (Tr-MAF, 4b) and $N-[($ tritylmercapto)acetyl]valine (Tr-MAA, 4c) were synthesized by the same procedure as $\mathbf{4 a}$.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Tr-MAG, Tr-MAF and Tr-MAA.

(a) $\mathrm{TrCl}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$; (b) NHS , DCC , anhydrous THF; (c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. $\mathbf{4 a}$, glycine; $\mathbf{4 b}$, phenylalanine; $\mathbf{4 c}$, valine.

The synthesis of 5-((2-aminoethylamino)methyl)-7-(4-bromoanilino)-3-cyano-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (ABCPP) was performed according to the procedure outlined in Scheme 2. Malononitrile (5) was reacted with triethyl orthoformate in acetic anhydride to give the intermediate 6. Treatment with hydrazine generated compound 7. Cyclization of 7 with ethyl chloroacetoacetate gave the intermediate 8. Chlorination of $\mathbf{8}$ with phosphoryl trichloride in the presence of anhydrous pyridine gave the key intermediate 9 [12,13]. Substitution of $7-\mathrm{Cl}$ in 9 with 4-bromoaniline provided the product 10. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with ethylenediamine in ethanol generated the desired compound $\mathbf{1 1}$.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of ABCPP.

(a) triethyl orthoformate, acetic anhydride; (b) $85 \%$ hydrazine; (c) ethyl chloroacetoacetate, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$;
(d) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, anhydrous pyridine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; (e) 4-bromoaniline, i- PrOH ; (f) ethylenediamine, EtOH .

The compound Tr-MAG-ABCPP was synthesized by conjugating Tr-MAG with ABCPP using 1hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) as nucleophilic catalyst and DCC as condensation agent. The reaction is shown schematically in Scheme 3. The thiol group was deprotected in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to give 13a. For labeling, ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ was prepared through a SDH kit. $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ ] was reacted with SDH in the presence of stannous chloride as reducing agent to form a technetium-99m nitrido intermediate. The $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$ is a suitable substrate for the substitution reaction with MAG-ABCPP at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min to give the final complex ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAG-ABCPP (14a). ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ (14b) and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAA-ABCPP (14c) were prepared using the same method.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP},{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$.


The radiochemical purity of the complexes was routinely checked by HPLC. The HPLC pattern of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP},{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ are shown in Figure 1. It was observed that the retention time of $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$ int was 1.5 min , while those of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAGABCPP, ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ were found to be $2.7 \mathrm{~min}, 3.6 \mathrm{~min}$ and
3.4 min , respectively. The radiochemical purity of the three products was over $90 \%$ immediately after the preparation.

Figure 1. Radio-HPLC chromatograms.

(A) $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}\right]^{2+}$; (B) ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ (14a); (C) ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ (14b); (D) ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-A B C P P ~(\mathbf{1 4 c})$.

### 2.2. Measurement of partition coefficients

The partition coefficients were determined by mixing the complex with an equal volume of 1octanol and phosphate buffer ( $0.025 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) in a centrifuge tube. The partition coefficients (logP) of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP},{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAF-ABCPP and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAA-ABCPP are shown in Table 1. All of them were hydrophilic. ${ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ was the most hydrophilic one and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ was more hydrophilic than ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$.

Table 1. Partition coefficients of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP},{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAA-ABCPP.

|  | ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAG-ABCPP | ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ | ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{logP}$ | $-1.54 \pm 0.02$ | $-1.18 \pm 0.02$ | $-1.35 \pm 0.01$ |

### 2.3. In vitro stability study

As the HPLC analysis results for the three complexes indicate, they were all stable in PBS after incubation for 2 h .

### 2.4. Biodistribution study

The biodistribution results are summarized in Tables 2-4. There were significant similarities in the biodistribution patterns of these three complexes, which all demonstrated tumor accumulation and high
tumor-to-muscle (T/M) ratios. The blood and muscle clearance was faster than that of the tumor so that the tumor/blood and tumor/muscle ratios increased with time. For ${ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$, the tumor/blood and tumor/muscle ratios reached 1.51 and 2.97 at 30 min post-injection, 1.84 and 2.49 at 60 min post-injection. For ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$, the tumor/blood and tumor/muscle ratios reached 0.51 and 2.56 at 30 min post-injection. For ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$, the tumor/blood and tumor $/ \mathrm{muscle}$ ratios reached 0.72 and 2.32 at 30 min post-injection. Early hepatic and renal activity reflected the fact that the three complexes were excreted through the hepatobiliary as well as the renal system. The three complexes were hydrophilic, so that they were unable to cross the blood brain barrier, thus making their brain uptake much lower. Among them, ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ showed the most favorable characteristics with highest uptake at the tumor site and fast clearance from blood and muscle.

Table 2. Biodistribution of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAG-ABCPP in mice bearing S 180 tumor ( $\left.\% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{g}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$.

| Tissue | 5 min | 30 min | 60 min | 120 min |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heart | $0.93 \pm 0.11$ | $0.32 \pm 0.06$ | $0.35 \pm 0.02$ | $0.37 \pm 0.08$ |
| Liver | $23.32 \pm 1.32$ | $23.88 \pm 2.83$ | $14.67 \pm 0.87$ | $12.84 \pm 0.91$ |
| Spleen | $19.90 \pm 1.70$ | $14.38 \pm 1.64$ | $8.16 \pm 0.10$ | $8.32 \pm 1.05$ |
| Lung | $4.53 \pm 0.99$ | $2.30 \pm 0.60$ | $0.86 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.41$ |
| Kidney | $11.08 \pm 1.13$ | $5.06 \pm 1.16$ | $3.58 \pm 0.21$ | $3.35 \pm 0.29$ |
| Bone | $1.25 \pm 0.74$ | $0.95 \pm 0.27$ | $0.73 \pm 0.28$ | $0.86 \pm 0.09$ |
| Muscle | $0.72 \pm 0.05$ | $0.39 \pm 0.08$ | $0.34 \pm 0.07$ | $0.22 \pm 0.03$ |
| Stomach | $0.69 \pm 0.12$ | $0.54 \pm 0.11$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $0.09 \pm 0.02$ |
| Large Intestine | $1.62 \pm 0.20$ | $0.62 \pm 0.08$ | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $0.67 \pm 0.06$ |
| Small Intestine | $8.82 \pm 1.29$ | $3.42 \pm 0.42$ | $0.64 \pm 0.08$ | $0.62 \pm 0.15$ |
| Blood | $2.38 \pm 0.17$ | $0.77 \pm 0.01$ | $0.46 \pm 0.04$ | $0.38 \pm 0.01$ |
| Brain | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ | $0.04 \pm 0.004$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ |
| Tumor | $1.23 \pm 0.13$ | $1.16 \pm 0.14$ | $0.86 \pm 0.14$ | $0.45 \pm 0.07$ |
| T/M ratio | 1.71 | 2.97 | 2.49 | 2.01 |
| T/B ratio | 0.52 | 1.51 | 1.84 | 1.17 |

$T / M=$ tumor-to-muscle, $T / B=$ tumor-to-blood; ${ }^{a}$ All data are the mean percentage $(n=3)$ of the injected dose of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAG-ABCPP; per gram of tissue, $\pm$ the standard deviation of the mean.

Table 3. Biodistribution of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ in mice bearing S 180 tumor ( $\left.\% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{g}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$.

| Tissue | 5 min | 30 min | 120 min | 180 min |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heart | $0.82 \pm 0.02$ | $0.53 \pm 0.09$ | $0.35 \pm 0.02$ | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ |
| Liver | $20.12 \pm 1.03$ | $15.55 \pm 1.33$ | $14.46 \pm 0.41$ | $14.42 \pm 0.89$ |
| Spleen | $18.62 \pm 1.11$ | $16.39 \pm 1.21$ | $3.09 \pm 0.41$ | $4.25 \pm 0.37$ |
| Lung | $4.84 \pm 0.56$ | $4.11 \pm 0.21$ | $1.18 \pm 0.25$ | $0.89 \pm 0.08$ |
| Kidney | $10.25 \pm 0.92$ | $5.36 \pm 0.38$ | $3.42 \pm 0.22$ | $2.05 \pm 0.30$ |
| Bone | $1.02 \pm 0.09$ | $0.79 \pm 0.08$ | $0.62 \pm 0.06$ | $0.62 \pm 0.07$ |
| Muscle | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $0.28 \pm 0.04$ | $0.16 \pm 0.06$ | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ |
| Stomach | $5.12 \pm 0.22$ | $4.65 \pm 0.42$ | $2.62 \pm 0.33$ | $2.38 \pm 0.05$ |
| Large Intestine | $1.03 \pm 0.10$ | $0.33 \pm 0.01$ | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ | $0.50 \pm 0.07$ |
| Small Intestine | $1.45 \pm 0.08$ | $1.39 \pm 0.04$ | $0.31 \pm 0.08$ | $0.37 \pm 0.06$ |
| Blood | $2.45 \pm 0.12$ | $1.44 \pm 0.05$ | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $0.69 \pm 0.05$ |
| Brain | $0.12 \pm 0.01$ | $0.06 \pm 0.006$ | $0.05 \pm 0.007$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ |
| Tumor | $0.89 \pm 0.07$ | $0.74 \pm 0.08$ | $0.41 \pm 0.01$ | $0.45 \pm 0.02$ |
| T/M ratio | 1.31 | 2.56 | 2.63 | 2.57 |
| T/B ratio | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.66 |

$T / M=$ tumor-to-muscle, $T / B=$ tumor-to-blood; ${ }^{b}$ All data are the mean percentage $(n=3)$ of the injected dose of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$; per gram of tissue, $\pm$ the standard deviation of the mean.

Table 4. Biodistribution of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAA-ABCPP in mice bearing S 180 tumor (\%ID/g) .

| Tissue | 5 min | 30 min | 60 min | 120 min |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heart | $1.09 \pm 0.13$ | $0.43 \pm 0.08$ | $0.32 \pm 0.005$ | $0.29 \pm 0.02$ |
| Liver | $24.76 \pm 1.18$ | $20.46 \pm 2.20$ | $22.47 \pm 1.73$ | $24.19 \pm 3.07$ |
| Spleen | $15.81 \pm 1.73$ | $6.87 \pm 1.29$ | $7.31 \pm 0.58$ | $7.85 \pm 0.76$ |
| Lung | $8.31 \pm 0.59$ | $2.94 \pm 0.57$ | $2.30 \pm 0.10$ | $2.67 \pm 0.34$ |
| Kidney | $5.58 \pm 0.55$ | $2.59 \pm 0.24$ | $2.33 \pm 0.11$ | $2.08 \pm 0.27$ |
| Bone | $0.89 \pm 0.08$ | $1.11 \pm 0.13$ | $0.57 \pm 0.07$ | $0.50 \pm 0.03$ |
| Muscle | $0.63 \pm 0.05$ | $0.34 \pm 0.07$ | $0.30 \pm 0.08$ | $0.17 \pm 0.04$ |
| Stomach | $3.74 \pm 0.51$ | $4.53 \pm 0.31$ | $3.43 \pm 0.55$ | $2.79 \pm 0.41$ |
| Large Intestine | $0.97 \pm 0.16$ | $0.48 \pm 0.08$ | $0.33 \pm 0.02$ | $0.43 \pm 0.06$ |
| Small Intestine | $5.17 \pm 0.39$ | $2.92 \pm 0.22$ | $1.79 \pm 0.09$ | $1.00 \pm 0.14$ |
| Blood | $2.85 \pm 0.15$ | $1.12 \pm 0.11$ | $0.74 \pm 0.02$ | $0.67 \pm 0.07$ |
| Brain | $0.12 \pm 0.01$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $0.05 \pm 0.01$ | $0.05 \pm 0.008$ |
| Tumor | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $0.81 \pm 0.05$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $0.42 \pm 0.05$ |
| T/M ratio | 1.47 | 2.32 | 1.72 | 2.47 |
| T/B ratio | 0.33 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.63 |

$\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{M}=$ tumor-to-muscle, $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{B}=$ tumor-to-blood; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ All data are the mean percentage $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ of the injected dose of ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$; per gram of tissue, $\pm$ the standard deviation of the mean.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. General

${ }^{99} \mathrm{Mo} /{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$ generator was obtained from the China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ on a Bruker spectrometer operating at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet-AVATAR 360 FT-IR spectrometer using KBr pellets in the $4,000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. ESI-MS were performed on Waters LCT Premier XE. HPLC analyses were performed on a Shimadzu SCL-10 AVP equipped with a Packard 500 TR series flow scintillation analyzer. A C-18 reversed-phase Alltima column ( $5 \mathrm{um}, 150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for radiochemical purity analysis.

### 3.2. Ligand synthesis

The synthesis of compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ was carried out by the same procedure described in ref. [14]. The preparation of compounds $\mathbf{6}$ and 7 was carried out by the same method given in ref. [15] and the preparation of compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ was carried out by the same methods as in ref. [12] and ref. [13]. The preparation and the analysis data of the other compounds are shown below.
$N$-[(Tritylmercapto)acetyl]glycine (Tr-MAG) (4a). Compound 3 ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 15 mL ). Glycine ( $0.105 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in sodium hydroxide ( $0.2 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction solution was stirred for 2 h at $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Distilled water ( 1 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and the pH was adjusted to 1 . The acetonitrile was removed in vacuo and a white solid precipitated. The white residue was recrystallized from ethyl acetate to give compound $\mathbf{4 a}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}$, $78 \%$ ); m.p.: $164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right):$ v $3434.4,3344.0,2960.3,2926.5,1732.4,1619.0,1526.7$, $1487.9,1445.3,1247.6,1224.1,1210.7,740.7,703.9$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$,
$J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.22(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.36(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 35.4,41.6,67.9,127.1,128.2,129.4,143.8,169.0,172.2$.
$N-[($ Tritylmercapto)acetyl]phenylalanine (Tr-MAF) (4b). 4b was obtained with the same method of $\mathbf{4 a}$ using phenylalanine instead of glycine (yield: 59\%); m.p.: $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right):$ v 3448.2 , 3340.0, 3026.9, 2928.0, 1727.1, 1626.7, 1528.3, 1492.8, 1443.4, 1222.9, 1203.4, 1183.6, 741.9, 700.4; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.25(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 36.0,37.0,53.8,68.1,127.2,127.3,128.2,128.7,129.4,129.5$, 135.5, 143.9, 169.1, 173.6.
$N-[($ Tritylmercapto)acetyl]valine (Tr-MAA) (4c). 4c was obtained with the same method of 4a using valine instead of glycine (yield: $65 \%$ ); m.p.: $146-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : v 3433.5, 3339.4, 3055.4, 2960.3, 2928.7, 1738.7, 1720.0, 1635.8, 1534.3, 1488.8, 1441.3, 1422.1, 1380.8, 1304.3, 1273.4, 1213.3, 1081.8, 1035.1, 1000.3, 884.9, 757.5, 738.1, 700.5; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.28(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 17.9,18.8,31.0,36.2,57.5,68.2$, 127.2, 128.2, 129.6, 144.0, 168.8, 175.4.

5-Chloromethyl-7-(4-bromoanilino)-3-cyanopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (10). A mixture of 9 ( 0.8 g , $3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-bromoaniline ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and isopropanol ( 10 mL ) was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling to room temperature, a solid mass precipitated. Recrystallization from methanol gave $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a light yellow powder $(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%)$; m.p.: $206-208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v 3439.1,3328.8,3275.4$, $3085.8,2973.2$, 2233.0, 1626.9, 1600.0, 1572.0, 1536.4, 1483.7, 1456.9, 1416.1, 1406.5, 1317.6, 1265.1, 1220.6, 1193.6, 1073.8, 1009.7, 829.9, 780.5, 744.6; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 45.8,79.5,89.4,113.9,119.0,127.0,132.5,135.7,146.6,147.1,150.6,160.5$.

5-((2-Aminoethylamino)methyl)-7-(4-bromoanilino)-3-cyanopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (ABCPP) (11). A mixture of $10(0.725 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethylenediamine $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux for 4 h . Solvent was removed in vacuo to afford the yellow crude product which was recrystallized from methanol to obtain compound $11(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : v 3447.0, 2922.2, 2221.1, 1621.1, 1595.5, 1565.1, 1536.8, 1479.7, 1288.4, 1192.1, 1071.1, 1009.7; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 46.5,48.8,53.9,77.0,88.0,115.1$, 115.6, 125.7, 132.0, 142.4, 145.3, 147.1, 151.9, 163.3; MS (ESI): $\mathrm{M}=386.2$ (Found: 386.3).

Conjugation of Tr-MAG and $\operatorname{ABCPP}$ (Tr-MAG-ABCPP) (12a). A mixture of 11 ( $386 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Then $\mathbf{4 a}(407 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HOBt}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. DCC ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane was added dropwise to the solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then overnight at room temperature. White precipitate
was removed by filtration. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel; petroleum ether-ethyl acetate $=1: 5$ ) to afford the desired compound 12a ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ ); m.p.: $185-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v 3430.5,3083.8,3056.1,3018.0$, 2928.1, 2844.3, 2230.2, 1624.0, 1596.2, 1567.0, 1541.6, 1485.7, 1444.3, 1315.3, 1295.1, 1193.6, $1110.1,1074.1,1011.0,822.5,743.9,701.6,614.9 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.18(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.32(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.50$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta 35.9,42.4,45.4,47.2,53.6,65.9,79.2,88.5$, 114.0, 118.7, 126.5, 126.7, 126.8, 128.0, 129.0, 132.4, 135.9, 144.0, 146.1, 146.9, 150.5, 167.6, 168.9; MS (ESI): M = 759.7 (Found: 759.5).

Conjugation of Tr-MAF and ABCPP (Tr-MAF-ABCPP) (12b). 12b was prepared with the same procedure as 12a; yield: $22 \%$; m.p.: $188-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : v $3443.9,3363.6,3297.4,3059.9$, 3024.0, 2916.3, 2851.0, 2229.8, 1678.9, 1664.1, 1619.5, 1593.2, 1563.7, 1534.9, 1481.4, 1444.9, 1316.1, 1286.9, 1190.1, 1014.3, 830.9, 743.1, 702.0, 672.1, 623.5; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.28(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.32(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta 37.7,47.5,47.7,54.0,54.2,65.8,78.6,88.1,114.2$, 118.2, 126.1, 126.4, 126.7, 127.9, 128.0, 129.0, 129.1, 132.3, 137.6, 144.0, 146.1, 146.5, 150.8, 165.9, 167.0, 170.5; MS (ESI): M = 849.8 (Found: 849.6).

Conjugation of Tr-MAA and $A B C P P$ (Tr-MAA-ABCPP) (12c). 12c was prepared with the same procedure as 12a; yield: $19 \%$; m.p.: $165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : v 3446.2, 3083.8, 3047.9, 2960.8, 2926.1, 2226.1, 1647.4, 1622.3, 1593.1, 1563.6, 1484.3, 1444.7, 1308.8, 1274.2, 1188.0, 1065.2, $1008.2,802.2,743.0,700.6,621.9$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 6.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.21(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.28$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.36(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right): ~ \delta 18.1,19.0,30.3,35.9,38.6$, $42.1,47.8,54.0,58.1,65.9,78.7,88.2,114.2,118.3,126.4,126.7,128.0,129.1,132.4,144.1,146.0$, 146.6, 150.7, 167.3, 170.6; MS (ESI): M = 801.8 (Found: 801.6).

### 3.3. Radiochemistry

The tritylated compound 12a ( 5 mg ) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid under cation trapping conditions ( $5 \%$ triethylsilane) at room temperature. After removing the solvent under a stream of nitrogen, the residue was neutralized with 0.1 M NaOH . The solution was extracted with dichloromethane, and the aqueous phase was under nitrogen protection. Saline containing [ ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}$ ] ${ }^{-}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{MBq}$ ) was added to a kit containing stannous chloride dihydrate $(0.05 \mathrm{mg})$, succinic dihydrazide ( $\mathrm{SDH}, 5.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and propylenediamine tetraacetic acid (PDTA, 5.0 mg ). The mixture was kept at room temperature for 15 min . Next, the MAG-ABPP solution ( 1 mL ) was added and the reaction allowed to stand for 15 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the final complex ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ (14a). ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}(\mathbf{1 4 b})$ and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAA}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ (14c) were prepared using the same method. Formation of the complexes and nature of the species formed was determined by Radio-HPLC
analysis. Solvent system used for elution was water (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B). The HPLC gradient system for analysis of the product started with $100 \% \mathrm{~A} / 0 \%$ B with a linear gradient to $0 \% \mathrm{~A} / 100 \%$ B from 0 to 30 min . The flow rate was $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Five microliters of the sample was used for analysis. Recovery was determined by summing the total counts in all fractions and comparing them to the total injected activity.

### 3.4. Measurement of partition coefficients

The partition coefficients of the three complexes were determined according to the published method [16] by mixing each complex with an equal-volume of 1 -octanol and phosphate buffer $(0.025 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ in a centrifuge tube. The mixture was vortexed at room temperature for 1 min and then centrifuged at $5,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 5 min . From each phase, 0.1 mL of the aliquot was pipetted and counted in a well-type $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{Tl})$ detector. The measurement was repeated three times. Care was taken to avoid cross contamination between the phases. Usually the final partition coefficient value was expressed as $\log \mathrm{P}$, where the partition coefficient, P , was calculated using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{P}=(\mathrm{cps} \text { in octanol-cps in background }) /(\mathrm{cps} \text { in buffer-cps in background })
$$

### 3.5. In vitro stability study

The stability of the complexes was studied by measuring the radiochemical purity using HPLC analysis at different time intervals after preparation. The complexes were added to test tubes containing PBS solution ( $0.025 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ). The mixtures were incubated by shaking them at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a thermomixer. The radiochemical purity was measured at $15 \mathrm{~min}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$ and 120 min by Radio-HPLC.

### 3.6. Biodistribution study

Biodistribution studies were performed in Kunming female mice (weighing 18-20 g) bearing S 180 tumors, which grew to a leg diameter of $10-15 \mathrm{~mm} .{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP},{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAF}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ and ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}$-MAA-ABCPP $\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Bq}\right)$ were injected via a tail vein and the injected radioactivity was measured with a well-type $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{Tl})$ detector, respectively. The mice were sacrificed at $5 \mathrm{~min}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$ and 120 min post-injection. The tumor, other organs of interest and blood were collected, weighed and measured for radioactivity. The results were expressed as the percent uptake of injected dose per gram of tissue ( $\% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{g}$ ). All biodistribution studies were carried out in compliance with the national laws related to the conduct of animal experimentation.

## 4. Conclusion

In summary, the new 3 -cyanopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine ABCPP was successfully synthesized and conjugated with MAG, MAF and MAA, respectively. The three compounds were labeled with ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$ in high radiochemical purities through a ligand exchange reaction, which can be easily used for the preparation of a radiopharmaceutical through a freeze-dried kit formulation. The three complexes demonstrated tumor accumulation, high tumor-to-muscle (T/M) ratios and fast clearance from blood
and muscle. Among them, ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcN}-\mathrm{MAG}-\mathrm{ABCPP}$ showed the most favorable characteristics and could be further studied as potential tumor imaging agent.
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