

Communication

Synthesis of Electronically Modified Ru-Based Neutral 16 VE Allenylidene Olefin Metathesis Precatalysts

Martin Lichtenheldt, Steffen Kress and Siegfried Blechert *

Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Chemie, Sekr. C3, Straße des 17. Juni 135, Berlin 10623, Germany

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: blechert@chem.tu-berlin.de; Tel.: +49-30-314-22255; Fax: +49-30-314-29745.

Received: 1 April 2012; in revised form: 25 April 2012 / Accepted: 26 April 2012 / Published: 4 May 2012

Abstract: Electronic modifications within Ru-based olefin metathesis precatalysts have provided a number of new complexes with significant differences in reactivity profiles. So far, this aspect has not been studied for neutral 16 VE allenylidenes. The first synthesis of electronically altered complexes of this type is reported. Following the classical dehydration approach (*vide infra*) modified propargyl alcohols were transformed to the targeted allenylidene systems in the presence of PCy₃. The catalytic performance was investigated in RCM reaction (ring closing metathesis) of benchmark substrates such as diallyltosylamide ($\bf{6}$) and diethyl diallylmalonate ($\bf{7}$).

Keywords: olefin metathesis precatalysts; neutral allenylidene; electronic modifications; ring closing metathesis

1. Introduction

Metathesis represents one of the ground-breaking achievements in modern synthetic chemistry. This powerful tool for carbon-carbon bond formation in hands of synthetic chemists renders the possibility to cut an (strategically introduced) olefinic double bond in retrosynthetic studies of target molecules [1,2]. Significant representatives of Ru-based metathesis precatalysts are shown in Figue 1, where the benzylidene species I and II are most widely used [3–6]. Milestones in catalyst development have been the introduction of NHC ligands (*N*-heterocyclic carbenes) and the usage of chelating carbene moieties to provide highly active catalysts of improved stability (e.g., II, L = NHC). Beyond

that, recent work has shown the dramatic influence of electronic variations within the benzylidene moiety in precatalysts of type II [7,8]. For instance, electron withdrawing *para*-substituents successfully improve the initiation rate by means of decreasing both the electron density on the benzylidene (increased electrophilicity) and the chelating isopropoxy moiety (decreased Lewis basicity).





The systematic investigation of these effects did not only reveal catalysts of enhanced activity, but moreover a fine tuning of the catalyst's performance can generally be achieved. Furthermore, the nature of the carbene moiety is one key factor for a catalyst's stability during metathesis, thus structural modifications additionally influence the characteristics of the dormant species and therefore the lifetime of the metathesis catalyst. Beside I and II Ru-indenylidenes and Ru-allenylidenes also became of interest. The straightforward and short synthetic approach starting from inexpensive commercially available materials combined with a remarkable thermal stability makes these precatalysts a cost-efficient and valuable complement to the well-established benzylidene systems. Electronic modifications on cationic complexes of type IV have been studied thoroughly [9–12], whereas in the area of indenylidenes III, only a few examples have been published to date [13,14]. Especially within the class of neutral 16 VE allenylidenes, two complexes of type V are the only examples known so far [15]. Herein, we present the first synthesis of neutral 16 VE allenylidene Ru-precatalysts bearing an electronically modified carbene moiety (compounds **5a–c**, Scheme 3). The reactivity profiles of these complexes were investigated during RCM of diallyltosylamide (**6**) and diethyl diallylmalonate (**7**).

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Concept for the Synthesis of Electronically Modified Neutral Ru-Based 16 VE Allenylidene Complexes

The discovery of Selegue and coworkers that Ru(II)-complexes promote the dehydration of propargyl alcohols of type **8** (Scheme 1, *dehydration approach*) marks the inception of a straightforward access to a new metathetically active Ru-based catalyst class, the cationic allenylidenes (IV, Figure 1) [16]. This remarkably simple route could later be extended to the synthesis of coordinatively unsaturated 16 VE species III and V by Hill [17], Nolan [15] and Fürstner [18,19]. During the first attempts of Hill to obtain neutral allenylidenes a new metathetically active complex was discovered, which would subsequently be characterized by Nolan as the corresponding Ru-indenylidene complex (type III, Figure 1). Investigations concerning this unexpected reaction pathway revealed, that in the presence of protons indenylidene complexes are formed by an acid catalyzed rearrangement subsequent to the formation of the respective allenylidene complexes containing PPh₃ ligands (Scheme 1; for a detailed discussion see [20–23]). By adding PCy₃, replacing PPh₃ during allenylidene formation, the reaction channel to the respective indenylidene is blocked, most likely due to the increased electron density on the metal center [15]. These results offer a flexible and reliable access to both precatalyst families.

Scheme 1. Concept for the synthesis of electronically modified neutral allenylidene and indenylidene precatalysts.



Within these studies, propargyl alcohols with *para*-substituted aromatic moieties were chosen to access allenylidenes in the presence of PCy_3 (*allenylidene route*, Scheme 1); substituents at this position have shown to exert the most significant electronic effect (*vide supra*).

2.2. Synthesis of Electronically Modified Propargyl Alcohols 8a-c

The propargyl alcohols needed for these investigations were obtained by addition of ethynylmagnesium bromide to commercially available disubstituted benzophenone derivatives 10a-c (Scheme 2). Only compound 10c had to be synthesized by a known three step-procedure involving nitration and subsequent oxidative cleavage of triphenylmethanol [24–26]. The Grignard reactions afforded compounds 8a-c in good isolated yields (Scheme 2); as expected by means of polarizing effects the best result was obtained for electron withdrawing NO₂-substituted derivative (8b; Scheme 2). Due to the prolonged reaction time and slightly forcing conditions (8c, 40 °C, Scheme 2), the yield is somewhat decreased for the product containing an electron-donating OMe-substitutent.





2.3. Synthesis of Ru-Precatalysts 5a-c

The electronically modified allenylidene complexes 5a-c (Scheme 3) were obtained in good yields via dehydration of propargyl alcohols 8a-c. In the presence of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (9) and 2.3 equiv. PCy₃, while strictly excluding oxygen, indenylidene formation was successfully inhibited. For full conversions extended reaction times of 16 h in THF (reflux) were necessary.

Scheme 3. Allenylidene (compound 5a–c) formation employing modified propargyl alcohols 8a–c.



To separate the respective products from remaining phosphines, the crude mixtures were dissolved in a minimum amount of DCM, followed by addition of an excess of *n*-hexane to precipitate the precatalysts. The suspensions were filtrated and the solid matters were washed with cold *n*-hexane providing analytically pure compounds; the diminished yields in some cases are partly attributed to the washing procedure during the purification process. Nevertheless, this route provided the first electronically modified neutral 16 VE allenylidene complexes as intended. With this new set of precatalysts in hands we were able to investigate the influence of the modified aromatic systems on the catalytic performance during RCM of **6** and **7** (Schemes 4 and 5).

2.4. Reactivities of the New Precatalysts

Concerning the effects of electronic modification, disubstituted systems **5a**–**c** were evaluated during RCM of the benchmark substrates **6** and diethyl diallylmalonate (7); precatalyst **5** (Scheme 1) served as reference [15,27]. The reactions were performed in DCM- d_2 (0.12 M) with a catalyst loading of 5 mol–% at 40 °C under inert atmosphere, conversions were determined by integrating the respective olefin signals in ¹H-NMR spectra.



Scheme 4. RCM of 6 employing precatalysts 5a-c relative to 5.

Scheme 5. RCM of 7 employing precatalysts 5a-c relative to 5.



The modified precatalysts show significant differences concerning their reactivity profiles during the transformation of **6** (Scheme 4) and **7** (Scheme 5). Complex **5a** (Me) initiates slightly faster than the reference system **5**, albeit a shorter lifetime is observed, presumably due to a higher concentration of active species in the early stage of the reaction. For complexes **5b** (NO₂) and **5c** (OMe) the conversions are significantly slower, but a high stability is observed in both cases, which is reflected in the reaction progress even after prolonged reaction times. In the course of the transformation, a conversion of 57% is observed for **5** after 100 min, whereas **5a** (42%), **5c** (20%) and **5b** (9%) catalyze

the reaction less efficiently. In comparison to this, the results for the reaction of 7 show similar trends. For both complexes 5 and 5a a conversion of 20% is determined after the same time, here again the Me-derivative 5a initiates considerably faster. Precatalysts 5b and 5c catalyze the RCM inefficiently, whereas in contrast to the former reaction nitro-derivative 5b shows a higher activity than 5c. In contrast to the studies on electronic modifications within precatalyst of type II (*vide supra*), no correlation with regard to the electron density at the aromatic system can be found at the first glance. Nevertheless, the enhanced initiation rate for the Me-derivative in comparison to the acceptor and donor substituted complexes 5b and 5c, respectively, is remarkable; further investigations are required to get a deeper insight into the important coherencies influencing the stability *and* reactivity of 5a relative to 5b and 5c. These first results clearly show, that modifications within the aromatic backbone of the allenylidene open access to new metathetically active neutral 16 VE complexes with considerably different characteristics.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

¹H- (500 MHz; 400 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz; 100 MHz) spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX-500 and DRX-400 Advanc instrument. IR (ATR) spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Spektrometer 800. Melting points were obtained on a Leica Galen melting point apparatus with Wagner-Munz control unit and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan MAT 95 SQ by FAB-ionisation (fast atom bombardment). TLC analysis was facilitated by the use of KMnO₄/H₂O in addition to UV light (254 nm, 366 nm) with fluorescent-indicating plates (silica gel, Merck, 60 F 254, layer thickness 0.2 mm). The solvents were purchased in absolute quality or dried as follows: THF was dried over sodium/benzophenone, DCM and *n*-hexane over CaH₂. RCM reactions were conducted in Schlenk-type glassware or in a Carousel Reaction Station (Radleys Discovery Technologies Company). If needed, substrates have been distilled prior to use.

3.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Propargyl Alcohols 8a-c

A dry and nitrogen-flushed 25 mL Schlenk-flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and septum was charged with the benzophenone-derivative **10** (1 equiv.), which was dissolved in dry THF (c = 2.2 M). The solution was cooled to 0 °C followed by dropwise addition of ethynylmagnesium bromide (1 equiv., solution in THF 0.5 M) within 15 min. After 1 h the solution was allowed to reach RT and was stirred until full conversion was detected by TLC control. The solution was quenched by adding aqueous saturated NH₄Cl and subsequently diluted with Et₂O. After separation of the two layers the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3×). The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Filtration and subsequent evaporation afforded a crude mixture, which was purified by flash chromatography to yield the target compound. The spectroscopic data obtained for **8c**[28] were consistent with those reported in the literature.

1,1-bis-(4-Methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (8a): Compound 10a (0.5 g, 2.4 mmol) and ethynyl-magnesium bromide (4.8 mL, solution in THF 0.5 M, 2.4 mmol) were reacted following the general procedure.

Chromatographic purification [*n*-hexane/EE 7:1 (v:v)]; $R_f = 0.26$) provided 0.4 g of **8a** (1.7 mmol; 70%) as yellow solid. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 2.35 (s, 6H), 2.72 (s, 1H), 2.87 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 21.0, 74.2, 75.3, 86.7, 126.0, 129.2, 137.8, 142.0; IR (ATR): 3539, 3450, 3286, 2923, 1717, 1510, 821 cm⁻¹; EI-MS (60 °C): *m/z* (%) 236 (100) [M⁺], 221 (84), 145 (57), 91 (57), 53 (60); HR-MS: (M⁺ = C₁₇H₁₆O) found: 236.1208, calc.: 236.1201.

1,1-bis-(4-Nitrophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (**8b**): Compound **10b** (0.8 g, 2.9 mmol) and ethynylmagnesium bromide (5.9 mL, solution in THF 0.5 M, 2.9 mmol) were reacted following the general procedure. Chromatographic purification [*n*-hexane/EE 3:1 (v:v)]; $R_f = 0.29$) provided 0.7 g of **8b** (2.3 mmol; 78%) as yellow solid. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz): δ 3.05 (s, 1H), 3.07 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz): δ 73.3, 77.9, 83.9, 123.9, 126.9, 147.7, 149.8; IR (ATR): 3486, 3288, 2927, 2588, 2117, 1700, 1608, 1519, 1345, 702 cm⁻¹; EI-MS (200 °C): *m/z* (%) 298 (14) [M]+, 281 (43), 251 (52), 176 (100); HR-MS (m/e) found for (M⁺) 298.0588, calc. for C₁₅H₁₀N₂O₅ 298.0590.

3.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Precatalysts 5a-c

A dry and nitrogen-flushed Schlenk-tube was successively charged with $RuCl_2$ (PPh₃)₃ (1.00 equiv.), **X** (1.15 equiv.), PCy₃ (2.30 equiv.) and dry THF (c = 0.025 M). The solution was heated to 70 °C for 16 h, whereupon the solvent was removed to afford the crude mixture. Dissolved in a minimum amount of DCM the residue was treated with an excess of *n*-hexane to obtain a precipitate, which was filtered and washed with cold *n*-hexane to yield the desired precatalyst.

 $(PCy_3)_2Cl_2Ru[bis-(4-methylphenyl)-allenylidene]$ (**5a**): The reaction of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (100 mg, 0.10 mmol), **8a** (28.3 mg, 0.12 mmol), PCy₃ (67.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) afforded **5a** (94.9 mg, 0.10 mmol, 96%) as an orange brown powder after precipitation, following the general procedure. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 500 MHz): δ 1.13–1.26 (m, 24H), 1.42–1.49 (m, 12H), 1.65–1.67 (m, 12H), 1.98 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 12H), 2.18 (s, 6H), 2.60–2.65 (m, 6H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz): δ 21.5, 26.6, 28.0, 29.7, 32.1, 129.5, 129.8, 139.0, 143.9, 144.3, 186.3, 214.2; ³¹P-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz): δ 40.6; IR (ATR): cm⁻¹ 3411, 2924, 2849, 1913, 1715, 1436; FAB-MS: *m/z* (%) 951 (40) [M]⁺, 878 (100) [M–2Cl]⁺.

 $(PCy_3)_2Cl_2Ru[bis-(4-nitrophenyl)-allenylidene]$ (**5b**): The reaction of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (100 mg, 0.10 mmol), **8b** (35.8 mg, 0.12 mmol), PCy₃ (67.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) afforded **5b** (57.3 mg, 0.06 mmol, 57%) as a dark brown powder after precipitation, following the general procedure. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 500 MHz): δ 1.12–1.25 (m, 24H), 1.41–1.48 (m, 12H), 1.66 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 12H), 1.92 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 12H), 2.55–2.65 (m, 6H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 8.18 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz): δ 26.4, 27.1, 29.5, 32.4, 114.9, 131.7, 140.5, 146.2, 160.4, 190.1, 216.8; ³¹P-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz): δ 44.4; IR (ATR): cm⁻¹ 3348, 3076, 2929, 2854, 1926, 1525, 1347, 696; FAB-MS: *m/z* (%) 940 (39) [M–2Cl]⁺, 843 (100), 819 (60). $(PCy_3)_2Cl_2Ru[bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)-allenylidene]$ (**5c**): The reaction of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (200 mg, 0.21 mmol), **8c** (64.4 mg, 0.24 mmol), PCy₃ (134 mg, 0.48 mmol) afforded **5c** (155 mg, 0.16 mmol, 76%) as a reddish brown powder after precipitation, following the general procedure. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 500 MHz): δ 1.13–1.24 (m, 24H), 1.42–1.50 (m, 12H), 1.65–1.67 (m, 12H), 1.99 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 12H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.57–2.69 (m, 6H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz): δ 26.6, 28.0, 29.7, 32.1, 55.5, 114.1, 131.3, 139.9, 160.3, 188.6, 215.5; ³¹P-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz): δ 40.1; IR (ATR): cm⁻¹ 3368, 3056, 2928, 2846, 1932, 1595, 1252, 1169, 695; FAB-MS: *m/z* (%) 983 (28) [M]⁺, 910 (100) [M–2Cl]⁺, 797 (30), 613 (60), 531 (46).

4. Conclusions

In this communication we reported on the first synthesis of electronically modified neutral 16 VE Ru-based allenylidene precatalysts. With the aim of modifying the carbene moiety in **5** (Figure 1) at the *para*-positions within the aromatic systems, the classical dehydration approach rendered a flexible access for the intended alteration. With regard to this, substituted propargyl alcohols **8a–c** were derived in good isolated yields (63–87%) by the addition of ethynylmagnesium bromide to the respective benzophenone derivatives **10a–c**. The subsequent dehydration in the presence of **9** and PCy₃ provided electronically modified allenylidenes **5a–c** in moderate to excellent isolated yields (54–96%) after precipitation. Compounds **8a–c** were evaluated in RCM of benchmark substrates **6** and **7**; these investigations demonstrated the dramatic differences concerning the initiation rate and stability during metathesis reactions comparing the Me-substituted allenylidene **8a** to the NO₂-substituted **8b** and OMe-substituted complexes **8c**.

References

- Nicolaou, K.C.; Bulger, P.G.; Sarlah, D. Metathesis Reactions in Total Synthesis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4490–4527.
- 2. Fürstner, A. Metathesis in total synthesis. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6505–6511.
- 3. Lozano-Vila, A.M.; Monsaert, S.; Bajek, A.; Verpoort, F. Ruthenium-Based Olefin Metathesis Catalysts Derived from Alkynes. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4865–4909.
- 4. Vougioukalakis, G.C.; Grubbs, R.H. Ruthenium-Based Heterocyclic Carbene-Coordinated Olefin Metathesis Catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *110*, 1746–1787.
- 5. Samojłowicz, C.; Bieniek, M.; Grela, K. Ruthenium-Based Olefin Metathesis Catalysts Bearing N-Heterocyclic Carbene Ligands. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 3708–3742.
- Deshmukh, P.H.; Blechert, S. Alkene metathesis: the search for better catalysts. *Dalton Trans.* 2007, 2479–2491.
- 7. Zaja, M.; Connon, S.J.; Dunne, A.M.; Rivard, M.; Buschmann, N.; Jiricek, J.; Blechert, S. Ruthenium olefin metathesis catalysts with modified styrene ethers: influence of steric and electronic effects. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 6545–6558.
- 8. Grela, K.; Harutyunyan, S.; Michrowska, A. A Highly Efficient Ruthenium Catalyst for Metathesis Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4038–4040.

- Antonucci, A.; Bassetti, M.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P.H.; Pasquini, C. Allenylidene to Indenylidene Rearrangement in Cationic p-Cymene Ruthenium(II) Complexes: Solvent, Counteranion, and Substituent Effects in the Key Step toward Catalytic Olefin Metathesis. Organometallics 2010, 29, 4524–4531.
- 10. Semeril, D.; Olivier-Bourbigou, H.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P.H. Alkene metathesis catalysis in ionic liquids with ruthenium allenylidene salts. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 146–147.
- Fürstner, A.; Liebl, M.; Lehmann, C.W.; Picquet, M.; Kunz, R.; Bruneau, C.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P.H. Cationic Ruthenium Allenylidene Complexes as Catalysts for Ring Closing Olefin Metathesis. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, *6*, 1847–1857.
- Costin, S.; Widaman, A.K.; Rath, N.P.; Bauer, E.B. Synthesis and Structural Characterization of a Series of New Chiral-at-Metal Ruthenium Allenylidene Complexes. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2011, 1269–1282.
- Kabro, A.; Ghattas, G.; Roisnel, T.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C. New ruthenium metathesis catalysts with chelating indenylidene ligands: Synthesis, characterization and reactivity. *Dalton Trans.* 2012, *41*, 3695–3700.
- 14. Kabro, A.; Roisnel, T.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C. Ruthenium-Indenylidene Olefin Metathesis Catalyst with Enhanced Thermal Stability. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12255–12261.
- Schanz, H.-J.; Jafarpour, L.; Stevens, E.D.; Nolan, S.P. Coordinatively Unsaturated 16-Electron Ruthenium Allenylidene Complexes: Synthetic, Structural, and Catalytic Studies. *Organometallics* 1999, 18, 5187–5190.
- 16. Selegue, J.P. Synthesis and structure of [Ru(C3Ph2)(PMe3)2(Cp)][PF6], a cationic diphenylallenylidene complex. *Organometallics* **1982**, *1*, 217–218.
- Harlow, K.J.; Hill, A.F.; Wilton-Ely, D.E.T.J. The first co-ordinatively unsaturated Group 8 allenylidene complexes: Insights into Grubbs' vs. Dixneuf-Fürstner olefin metathesis catalysts. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1999, 285–292.
- Fürstner, A.; Guth, O.; Düffels, A.; Seidel, G.; Liebl, M.; Gabor, B.; Mynott, R. Indenylidene Complexes of Ruthenium: Optimized Synthesis, Structure Elucidation, and Performance as Catalysts for Olefin Metathesis—Application to the Synthesis of the ADE-Ring System of Nakadomarin A. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001, 7, 4811–4820.
- 19. Fürstner, A.; Grabowski, J.; Lehmann, C.W. Total Synthesis and Structural Refinement of the Cyclic Tripyrrole Pigment Nonylprodigiosin. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 8275–8280.
- Shaffer, E.A.; Chen, C.-L.; Beatty, A.M.; Valente, E.J.; Schanz, H.-J. Synthesis of ruthenium phenylindenylidene, carbyne, allenylidene and vinylmethylidene complexes from (PPh3)3–4RuCl2: A mechanistic and structural investigation. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2007, 692, 5221–5233.
- Dragutan, V.; Dragutan, I.; Verpoort, F. Ruthenium Indenylidene Complexes. *Platinum Metals Rev.* 2005, 49, 33–40.
- 22. Dragutan, I.; Dragutan, V. Ruthenium Allenylidene Complexes. *Platinum Metals Rev.* **2006**, *50*, 81–97.
- 23. Castarlenas, R.; Dixneuf, P.H. Highly Active Catalysts in Alkene Metathesis: First Observed Transformation of Allenylidene into Indenylidene via Alkenylcarbyne—Ruthenium Species. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4524–4527.

- 24. McFarland, J.W.; Lenz, D.E.; Grosse, D.J. The Chemistry of Sulfonyl Isocyanates. III. Reactions with Triarylcarbinols. *J. Org. Chem.* **1966**, *31*, 3798–3800.
- 25. Dilthey, W.; Quint, F.; Dierichs, H. Zur Kenntnis der Oxydation von Carbeniumsalzen mit Wasserstoffsuperoxyd. [Pyrenium, XXXI]. *J. für Praktische Chemie* **1938**, *151*, 25–34.
- 26. Fischer, O.; Hess, W. Zur Kenntniss der Ketonspaltung bei den Triphenylcarbinolen. *Chem. Ber.* **1905**, *38*, 335–338.
- 27. Fürstner, A.; Liebl, M.; Hill, A.F.; Wilton-Ely, J.D.E.T. Coordinatively unsaturated ruthenium allenylidene complexes: highly effective, well defined catalysts for the ring-closure metathesis of α, ω -dienes and dienynes. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 601–602.
- Gabbutt, C.D.; Heron, B.M.; Instone, A.C.; Thomas, D.A.; Partington, S.M.; Hursthouse, M.B.; Gelbrich, T. Observations on the Synthesis of Photochromic Naphthopyrans. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2003, 1220–1230.

Sample Availability: Not available.

 \bigcirc 2012 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).