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#### Abstract

An asymmetric annulation reaction of $\gamma$-butenolides and cyclic 1-azadienes containing a 1,2-benzoisothiazole-1,1-dioxide motif has been studied, proceeding in a tandem Michael addition-aza-Michael addition sequence. Endo-type cycloadducts bearing fused tetracyclic skeletons were isolated in fair yields and with high enantioselectivity (up to $>99 \%$ ee) under the catalysis of modified cinchona alkaloid (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$ PHAL. Besides, exo-type diastereomers could be produced using $\beta$-isocupreidine ( $\beta$-ICD) as the catalyst, though with moderate enantioselectivity.
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## 1. Introduction

$\gamma$-Butenolides represent an important class of heterocycles, which widely exist in a large number of natural products and pharmaceutically useful molecules [1,2]. In addition, the $\gamma$-butenolides act as competent direct vinylogous nucleophiles in addition reactions for the construction of an array of structurally diverse architectures [3-6], even for preparing more challenging molecules with quaternary carbon centers by using $\gamma$-substituted butenolides [7-13]. In contrast to abundant $\gamma$-regioselective
vinylogous addition reactions, to the best of our knowledge, no examples have been reported to utilize the $\gamma$-butenolides as the reactants towards tandem reactions in consideration of the potential reactivity of both $\gamma$ - and $\beta$-positions [14]. Recently, our group reported a direct asymmetric allylic alkylation of $\gamma$-butenolides with MBH carbonates to access $\gamma, \gamma$-disubstituted butenolides that could allow sequential aza-Michael addition to deliver interesting bicyclic piperidine derivatives [15]. These results inspired us to explore domino or tandem Michael addition-aza-Michael addition to construct a variety of polycyclic skeletons.

On the other hand, our group recently developed a series of asymmetric reactions involving cyclic 1 -azadienes containing a 1,2-benzoisothiazole-1,1-dioxide motif [16-19]. They are stable materials, and readily available from diverse saccharins and aldehydes. Importantly, they exhibit high electrophilicity, and can perform as either $2 \pi$ or $4 \pi$ partners in Diels-Alder cycloaddition reactions with HOMO-activated enamine species. Therefore, the good reactivity of such 1 -azadienes in cycloaddition reactions suggests that they could be applied as potential reactants in the [4+2] reaction with the in situ generated dienolates from the $\gamma$-butenolides, either in a concerted or stepwise manner [15]. Here, we would like to report the asymmetric assembly of 3 -vinyl-1,2-benzoisothiazole-1,1-dioxides and $\gamma$-butenolides to furnish chiral tetracyclic molecules with high structural and functional complexity.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. The Cycloaddition Reaction of Cyclic 1-Azadienes and $\gamma$-Butenolides

### 2.1.1. Catalyst Screenings for the Cycloaddition Reaction

The initial reaction of styryl-substituted cyclic imine 2a and commercially available $\alpha$-angelica lactone 3a was examined in DCM at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by applying bifunctional tertiary amine-thiourea $\mathbf{1 a}$ as the catalyst [20]. Unfortunately, the reaction was complicated, and both $\beta, \gamma$-regioselective endo-cycloadduct 4 a and exo-cycloadduct 5 a were produced in poor yields. In addition, the $\alpha$-regioselective Michael addition product $\mathbf{6}$ could also be detected, albeit in much lower yield. Moreover, the enantioselectivity was very disappointing for both diastereomers (Table 1, entry 1 ). $\beta$-isocupreidine ( $\beta$-ICD) 1b exhibited excellent exo-diastereoselectivity but with moderate enantioselectivity (entry 2) [21]. Poorer results were obtained in the presence of $\alpha$-isocupreine ( $\alpha$-IC) 1c (entry 3) [22]. Subsequently, a number of modified cinchona alkaloids $\mathbf{1 d} \mathbf{- 1 h}$ were investigated (entries 4-8) [23]. To our gratification, (DHQD) $)_{2}$ PHAL $\mathbf{1 g}$ provided much better diastereoselectivity, and the enantioselectivity for the major endo-cycloadduct 4a was also good, though the yield was only fair; nevertheless, the ee value of the corresponding exo-product was very poor (entry 7).

### 2.1.2. Studies on Endo-Cycloaddition Reaction Catalyzed by (DHQD)2PHAL 1g

In order to further improve the data of endo-cycloadduct $\mathbf{4 a}$ by the catalysis of (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$ PHAL $\mathbf{1 g}$, more reaction parameters were investigated. The results are summarized in Table 2. At first, a few solvents were explored at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2, entries 1-4), and better diastereoselectivity and excellent enantioselectivity could be obtained in $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ (entry 4). The enantioselectivity was decreased at lower or higher reaction temperature (entries 5 and 6). In addition, the yield could not be improved by
increasing the catalyst loadings (entry 7) or reaction concentration (entry 8 ), or by adding 1-azadiene $\mathbf{3 a}$ in portions (entry 9). It should be pointed out that significant amounts of $\alpha$-regioselective Michael adduct $\mathbf{6}$ (about $20 \%$ ) was observed in all the tested reactions, which might account for the fair yield of endo-cycloadduct 4a.

Table 1. Initial catalyst screening studies on [4+2] cycloaddition of 3-styryl-1,2-benzoisothiazole-1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\alpha$-angelica lactone $\mathbf{3 a}{ }^{\text {a }}$.



1d (DHQ $)_{2}$ PYR 1 e (DHQ) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{PHAL}$ $1 \mathrm{DHQ})_{2} \mathrm{AQN}$ ig (DHQD $)_{2} \mathrm{PHAL}$
$\mathbf{1 h}(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2} \mathrm{PYR}$ 1h (DHQD) $)_{2}$ PYR

| Entry | Cat | $\mathbf{t} \mathbf{( h )}$ | Yield (\%) $^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{4} / \mathbf{5 a}$ | $\mathbf{d r}^{\mathbf{c}}$ | ee (\%) $^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{4 a} / \mathbf{5 a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | 24 | $18 / 18$ | $1: 1$ | $30 / 10$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 b}$ | 24 | $-/ 36$ | $1: 19$ | $-/ 55$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | 48 | $11 / 44$ | $1: 4$ | $21 / 36$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 d}$ | 48 | $55 / 18$ | $3: 1$ | $-45 / 18$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | 48 | $37 / 9$ | $4: 1$ | $-85 / 19$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 f}$ | 24 | $55 / 23$ | $2: 1$ | $10 /-35$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 g}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8} / \mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{9 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 2} / 7$ |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1 h}$ | 24 | $67 / 13$ | $5: 1$ | $62 /-16$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were performed with 2a $(0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3a $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{1}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis using mesitylene as the internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ By crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis.
${ }^{d}$ By chiral HPLC analysis. (DHQ) $)_{2}$ PYR 1d: hydroquinine-2,5-diphenyl-4,6-pyrimidinediyl diether; (DHQ) ${ }_{2}$ PHAL 1e: hydroquinine 1,4-phthalazinediyl diether; ( DHQ$)_{2} \mathrm{AQN}$ 1f: hydroquinine (anthrax-quinone-1,4-diyl) diether; (DHQD) $)_{2}$ PHAL 1g: hydroquinidine 1,4-phthalazinediyl diether; (DHQD) $)_{2}$ PYR 1h: hydroquinidine-2,5-diphenyl-4,6-pyrimidinediyl diether.

Table 2. Reaction condition screenings catalyzed by (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$ PHAL $\mathbf{1 g}^{\text {a }}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | t (h) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | dr ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ee (\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1 | DCM | 20 | 24 | 48 | 9:1 | 82) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 20 | 48 | 54 | 5:1 | 73 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ | 20 | 48 | 32 | 9:1 | 96 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{P h C F}_{3}$ | 20 | 48 | 44 | 11:1 | 95 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 0 | 48 | 28 | 11:1 | 90 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 50 | 48 | 51 | 7:1 | 80 |
| $7{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 20 | 48 | 44 | 11:1 | 95 |
| $8^{\text {f }}$ | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 20 | 48 | 44 | 11:1 | 95 |
| $9^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 20 | 48 | 44 | 11:1 | 95 |

${ }^{a}$ Unless noted otherwise, reactions were performed with 2a ( 0.025 mmol ), 3a $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{1 g}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in solvent $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis using mesitylene as the internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ By crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ By chiral HPLC analysis. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ With $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{1 g}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{In} 0.125 \mathrm{~mL}$ solvent. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 a}$ was added in three portions.

### 2.1.3. Substrate Scope of Endo-Cycloaddition Reaction Catalyzed by (DHQD)2PHAL 1g

With the optimized conditions in hand, we then explored a variety of cyclic 1-azadienes 2 and $\gamma$-butenolides $\mathbf{3}$ under the catalysis of ( DHQD$)_{2} \mathrm{PHAL} \mathbf{1 g}$ in $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The results are summarized in Table 3. At first, a variety of cyclic 1-azadienes bearing electron-withdrawing or -donating groups on the aryl ring were tested in reactions with $\alpha$-angelica lactone 3a (Table 3, entries 1-6). In general, the substrates could be effectively consumed, but the reactions were not clean since some side products were always observed. The desired endo-type [4+2] cycloadducts 4 could be smoothly isolated in fair to moderate yields, while high to excellent ee values were generally obtained. In addition, outstanding enantioselectivity was also attained for the cyclic 1 -azadienes bearing heteroaryl groups, though the yields were still unsatisfactory (entries 7 and 8 ). In addition, substitutions on the isothiazole ring had marginal effect on the yields and ee values (entries 9 and 10). On the other hand, other $\gamma$-butenolides were further explored in reactions with 1-azadiene 2a. The similar excellent enantioselectivity along with a fair yield was gained for $\gamma$-phenyl-substituted butenolide (entry 11), while the simple 2-butenolide showed poor reactivity, and a moderate ee value was produced (entry 12).

Table 3. Substrate scope of endo-cycloaddition reaction ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{0}_{i}=0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $F_{3,20}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | R | R ${ }^{1}$ | R ${ }^{2}$ | t (h) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ee (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | Ph | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 48 | 4a, 44 | 95 |
| 2 | $4-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 36 | 4b, 32 | 96 |
| 3 | $2-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 36 | 4c, 43 | 94 |
| 4 | $3-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 32 | 4d, 34 | >99 |
| 5 | $3,5-(\mathrm{MeO})_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 36 | 4e, 31 | 94 |
| 4 | $2-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 35 | 4f, 30 | 89 |
| 5 | $3-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 32 | 4g, 57 | 87 |
| 6 | $4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 35 | 4h, 42 | >99 |
| 7 | 2-Furyl | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 36 | 4i, 29 | 92 |
| 8 | 2-Thienyl | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 48 | 4j, 40 | >99 |
| 9 | Ph | 6-Cl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 36 | 4k, 31 | 97 |
| 10 | Ph | $6-t \mathrm{Bu}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 40 | 41, 46 | 98 |
| 11 | Ph | H | Ph | 36 | 4m, 31 | 94 |
| 12 | Ph | H | H | 120 | 4n, 30 | 79 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were performed with $2(0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{3}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and catalyst $\mathbf{1 g}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated pure endo-product. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

Moreover, 4-styryl-1,2,3-benzoxathiazine-2,2-dioxides 7 could also be assembled with $\alpha$-angelica lactone 3a under the same catalytic conditions, and the corresponding cycloadducts $\mathbf{8}$ were isolated in excellent enantioselectivity and with modest yields (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. More exploration with other cyclic 1-azadienes.

### 2.1.4. More Studies on the Exo-Type Cycloaddition Reaction Catalyzed by $\beta$-ICD 1b

As mentioned above, $\beta$-ICD 1b-catalyzed reaction of 1-azadiene 2a and $\alpha$-angelica lactone 3a dominantly gave exo-type cycloadduct $\mathbf{5 a}$ in DCM , thus we explored more reaction conditions with $\beta$-ICD 1b. The results are summarized in Table 4. The similar data were obtained in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE, Table 4 , entry 2 ), but both diastereo- and enantioselectivity were decreased when other solvents were used (entries 3-6). In addition, changing other types of parameters, such as reaction temperature (entry 7), catalyst loadings (entry 8), and substrate ratio (entry 9), failed to improve the yield and enantioselectivity. As the $\gamma$-regioselective vinylogous Michael addition intermediate also was detected in the reaction mixture, tetramethylguanidine (TMG) was added to facilitate the intramolecular aza-Michael addition after the disappearance of substrate 2a. Pleasingly, better yield for exo-5a could be obtained without diminishing the stereoselectivity (entry 10). Therefore, the exo-cycloadduct seems to be greatly favored by using less hindered Brønsted base as the promoter. Although moderate ee value was obtained, the optical purity of exo-cycloadduct $\mathbf{5 a}$ could be improved to $90 \%$ ee after a single recrystallization (entry 10 , data in parentheses).

Table 4. Screening studies on the exo-cycloaddition reaction catalyzed by $\beta$-ICD 1b ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unless noted otherwise, evaluation reactions were performed with 2a ( 0.025 mmol ), 3a ( 0.05 mmol ), and 1b ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in solvent $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ for $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\text {b }}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis using mesitylene as the internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ By crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ With $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 1b. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Three equiv of $\mathbf{3 a}$ was used. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ TMG was added after $\mathbf{2 a}$ was consumed. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Data in parentheses referred to those after recrystallization.

Consequently, a few cyclic imine $\mathbf{2}$ were further tested in reactions with $\alpha$-angelica lactone 3a under the above optimized conditions. As summarized in Table 5, all the reactions exhibited exclusive exo-diastereoselectivity, and the similar moderate enantioselectivity along with fair to modest yields was obtained (Table 5, entries 1-6). Simple 2-butenolide showed good reactivity, delivering the product $\mathbf{5 g}$ in moderate yield and ee value (entry 7).

Table 5. Substrate scope of exo-type cycloadditions catalyzed by $\mathbf{1 b}{ }^{\text {a }}$.


| Entry | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Yield (\%) $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | ee (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ph | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 a}, 60$ | 55 |
| 2 | 4-Me-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 b}, 61$ | 63 |
| 3 | 4-Br-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 c}, 47$ | 56 |
| 4 | 2-Thienyl | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 d}, 34$ | 63 |
| 5 | 1-Naphthyl | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 e}, 44$ | 66 |
| 6 | Ph | $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 f}, 37$ | 55 |
| 7 | Ph | H | H | $\mathbf{5 g}, 58$ | 54 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were performed with $2(0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{3}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathbf{1 b}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\text {b }}$ Isolated pure exo-product 5. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ By chiral HPLC analysis.

### 2.2. Absolute Configuration of Endo-4a and Exo-5a

In order to determine the absolute configuration of the cycloadducts, single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained from product $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 a}$, respectively. Over $99 \%$ ees could be obtained after recrystallization from $\mathbf{4 a}(95 \%$ ee) and $\mathbf{5 a}(55 \%$ ee) in a mixture of ethyl acetate, isopropanol and petroleum ether. Thus, the absolute configuration of 4a and 5a could be unambiguously assigned, as outlined in Figure 1 [24], and more crystal data and structures refinement for 4 a and 5 a could be found in the supplementary materials.


4a


5a

Figure 1. X-ray crystal structures of the cycloadducts 4a and 5a.

### 2.3. Derivation of the Cycloaddition Product

The unsaturated cyclic enamide group of 4 a could be reduced by $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{OBF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$ [25], delivering the corresponding product $\mathbf{9}$ in a good yield and with a moderate diastereoselectivity (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reduction of cycloaddition product.

## 3. Experimental Section

### 3.1. General Methods

NMR data were obtained for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at 600 MHz and for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 151 MHz . Chemical shifts were reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. ESI HRMS was recorded on a Waters SYNAPT G2. In each case, enantiomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis on a chiral column in comparison with authentic racemate, using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H Column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Chiralcel IA Column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Chiralcel IC Column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Chiralcel IE Column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Chiralcel IF Column $(250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), or Chiralcel AS-H Column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). UV detection was monitored at 210 nm or 285 nm . Optical rotation was examined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel ( 400 mesh ) eluting with ethyl acetate and petroleum ether or DCM. TLC was performed on glass-backed silica plates. UV light and I2 were used to visualize products. All chemicals including $\alpha$-angelica lactone 2a were used without purification as commercially available unless otherwise noted, and the other butenolides were prepared according to the literatures [15]. $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated imines 2 and 7 were prepared according to the literature procedures [16]. The tertiary amines $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$ were also synthesized according to the literature procedures [21,22], and others were commercial available.

### 3.2. Experimental Procedures

### 3.2.1. General Procedure for the Preparation of Endo-Cycloadduct $\mathbf{4}$ or $\mathbf{8}$

The reaction was carried out with cyclic 1-azadiene $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{7}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and butenolide $\mathbf{3}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzotrifluoride ( 3.0 mL ) in the presence of tertiary amine catalyst $\mathbf{1 g}(23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After accomplishment, the solution was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $(\mathrm{DCM} /$ ethyl acetate $=150: 1)$ to afford the chiral product $\mathbf{4}$ or $\mathbf{8}$.

### 3.2.2. General Procedure for the Preparation of Exo-Cycloadduct 5

3-Vinyl-1,2-benzoisothiazole-1,1-dioxide $2(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and butenolide $3(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DCM $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then tertiary amine catalyst $\mathbf{1 b}(9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The solution was
stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After the disappearance of 2, TMG ( $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for another 12 h . Then the solution was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $(\mathrm{DCM} /$ ethyl acetate $=150: 1)$ to afford the chiral product 5 .

### 3.2.3. General Procedure for the Preparation of 9

To a solution of $\mathbf{4 a}(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{3}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}(130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{EA}=150: 1$ ) to give $\mathbf{9}$ as a white solid.
(3aS,4R,11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide ( $\mathbf{4 a}$ ) was obtained in $44 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=23.48 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=38.66 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=71.0\left(\mathrm{c}=0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.59$ (m, 1H), 7.35-7.29 (m, 5H), $5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98 (dd, $J=6.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.71,136.38,133.51$, 132.42, 131.07, 130.51, 128.94, 128.44, 128.09, 121.28, 101.14, 83.58, 54.95, 47.71, 36.26, 26.78; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 390.0776$, found 390.0775.
(3aS,4R,11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-(p-tolyl)-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridine -2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4b) was obtained in $32 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $\left.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=26.39 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=40.71 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=136.5(\mathrm{c}=0.8 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.75,137.88,133.49,133.19,132.39,130.93,130.45,130.36,129.14,128.97,121.27$, $101.29,83.57,54.87,47.33,36.32,26.87,21.07$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 404.0932$, found 404.0929.
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4c) was obtained in $43 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $10 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=33.00 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=41.11 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=19.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.44 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01$ (dd, $J=18.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.87,157.77,133.38$, $132.39,130.71,130.24,129.29,129.16,125.54,121.17,120.67,110.74,84.44,55.63,55.27,35.93$, 26.23; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 420.0882$, found 420.0880 .
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4d) was obtained in $34 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $>99 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $10 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=42.73 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=50.51 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=89.9$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.96 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.69,159.51,137.96$, 133.47, 132.46, 131.02, 130.48, 129.36, 128.93, 122.87, 121.27, 116.68, 113.11, 101.10, 83.54, 55.00, 47.66, 36.15, 26.77; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 420.0882$, found 420.0883.
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4e) was obtained in $31 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $10 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=47.47 \mathrm{~min}$, tmajor $=51.77 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=116.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.28 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.67$ (m, 2H), $7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.58-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=18.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.73$ (s), 160.60 (s), 138.75 (s), 133.46 ( s , 130.96 ( s$), 130.48$ ( s$), 128.92$ ( s$), 121.27$ (d, $J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 108.96 ( s$), 101.09$ ( s$), 99.55$ ( s$)$, 83.53 (s), 55.41 (s), 55.08 (s), 47.78 (s), 36.03 (s), 26.70 (s) ppm; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ $+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 450.0987$, found 450.0984 .
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(2-Fluorophenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4f) was obtained in $30 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=20.02 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=33.58 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=91.3$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.88 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=18.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ (dd, $J=18.2,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.2,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:$ $172.58,162.01,160.37,133.53,132.43,131.48,131.38,130.56,129.93,128.85$ 124.33, 124.19, $124.10,121.25,115.51,115.36,100.38,83.84,55.16,39.58,35.97,26.08$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 408.0682$, found 408.0678 .
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(3-Bromophenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide ( 4 g ) was obtained in $57 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $87 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $20 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=22.19 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=29.23 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=72.8$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.88 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1 H ), 3.04 (dd, $J=18.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.51,139.05$, 133.56, 133.28, 132.50, 131.38, 131.26, 130.64, 129.96, 129.21, 128.78, 122.50, 121.30, 100.45, 83.41, 55.14, $47.34,36.11,26.33$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 467.9881$, found 467.9882.
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4h) was obtained in $42 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $>99 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column (40\% 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=21.91 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=77.19 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=100.4(\mathrm{c}=0.52 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ (s, 1H), $3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $172.51,135.60,133.57,132.47,132.11,131.60,131.36,130.65,128.77,122.26,121.29,100.54,83.38$, $55.05,47.14,36.19,26.50$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 467.9881$, found 467.9885 .
(3aS,4R,11aS)-4-(Furan-2-yl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,57isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10- dioxide (4i) was obtained in $29 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $20 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $\left.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, UV $285 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=42.11 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=58.68 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=5.8(\mathrm{c}=0.12 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.69$ (m, 1H), $7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28$ (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (dd, $J=18.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 172.21,149.97,142.95,133.52,132.33,130.88,130.72,128.72,121.43,121.33,110.75,110.47$, 97.16, 82.67, 53.88, 41.71, 35.48, 27.14; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 380.0569$, found 380.0567 .
(3aS,4S,11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-(thiophen-2-yl)-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide ( $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{j}$ ) was obtained in $40 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $>99 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column( $10 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $\left.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=49.55 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=68.53 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=70.5(\mathrm{c}=0.4 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9$, $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.58$, $138.90,133.56,132.48,130.88,130.76,128.99,128.64,127.22,126.27,121.41,100.21,82.85,54.36$, 42.84, 36.03, 27.08; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$396.0340, found 396.0338.
(3aS,4R, 11 laS)-8-Chloro-3a-methyl-4-phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide ( $\mathbf{4 k}$ ) was obtained in $31 \%$ yield; and the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $97 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel ID column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=16.55 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=21.63 \mathrm{~min}$. [a] $]_{D}^{25}=120.5$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.80$
(d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ (s, 3H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.51,136.71,136.23,133.94,133.63,130.46,130.30$, $128.49,128.17,127.32,122.53,121.41,101.97,83.45,55.14,47.68,36.16,26.58$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 424.0386$, found 424.0384.
(3aS,4R,1 I aS)-8-(tert-Butyl)-3a-methyl-4-phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (4I) was obtained in $46 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IF column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=9.39 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=10.78 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=78.5$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.74,155.05$, $136.44,132.40,131.32,131.12,130.53,128.40,128.05,126.35,120.98,117.56,100.32,83.65,54.94$, $47.75,36.30,35.56,31.07,26.95$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{2} 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 446.1402$, found 446.1400 .
(3aR,4R,11aS)-3a,4-Diphenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)one 10,10-dioxide ( $\mathbf{4 m}$ ) was obtained in $31 \%$ yield; and the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IF column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $\left.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, UV $285 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=10.98 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=12.35 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=22.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.36 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6$, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.97,139.70,136.24,133.54,132.50,131.09,130.38$, $130.23,129.03,128.85,128.78,127.89,127.67,125.25,121.21,101.61,86.25,56.43,49.05,35.65$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 452.0932$, found 452.0930 .
(4R,11aS)-4-Phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10dioxide ( $\mathbf{4 n}$ ) was obtained in $30 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $79 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IC column( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV $285 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=20.60 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=35.62 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=-12.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{td}$, $J=14.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $172.99,137.45,133.51,132.25,131.72,130.48,129.19,129.05,128.72,127.95,121.17,99.76,78.12$, 51.24, 40.94, 36.76; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 376.0619$, found 376.0616.
(3aS,4S, 11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridine -2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (5a) was obtained in $60 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $55 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=20.97 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=28.28 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=-27.6\left(\mathrm{c}=0.84 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7$,
$7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.4$, 137.4, 133.5, 132.2, 130.5, 129.9, 129.4, 128.7, 128.0, 127.96, 121.3, 99.5, 82.9, 53.3, 46.2, 36.5, 21.8; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 390.0776$, found 390.0775 .
(3aS,4S,11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-(p-tolyl)-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridin -2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (5b) was obtained in $61 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $63 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=21.40 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=30.40 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=73.4(\mathrm{c}=0.80 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78$ (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (ddd, $J=17.7,8.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.46$, 137.72, 134.33, 133.89, 132.17, 130.47, 129.80, 129.36, 129.28, 128.71, 121.35, 121.28, 99.87, 82.97, $53.27,45.76,36.46,21.93,21.05$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 404.0932$, found 404.0929 .
(3aS,4S,11aS)-4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3a-methyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (5c) was obtained in $47 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $56 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column (40\% 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=23.11 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=36.52 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=21.3$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.60 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.57-4.49 (m, 1H), $3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.11,136.36,133.53,132.23,131.82,131.06$, $130.69,130.28,128.45,122.14,121.38,98.53,82.40,53.27,45.75,36.36,21.81$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 467.9881$, found 467.9883 .
(3aS, 4R, 11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-(thiophen-2-yl)-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (5d) was obtained in $34 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $63 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $10 \%$ 2-propanol/ $n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV $285 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=57.94 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=73.67 \mathrm{~min}$. [a $]_{D}^{25}=32.0$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.92 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:$ 172.14, 139.78, 133.52, 132.29, 130.69, 129.99, 128.47, 127.18, 121.41, 98.83, 82.82, 53.22, 41.82, 36.48, 21.82; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 396.0340$, found 396.0338.
(3aS,4S,11aS)-3a-Methyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo [2,3-e] pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide (5e) was obtained in $44 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $66 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IF column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=20.51 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=34.12 \mathrm{~min} .[a]_{D}^{25}=23.5$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.04 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.56$, $134.84,133.97,133.50,132.21,130.46,129.93$, 129.02, 128.89, 128.76, 127.39, 126.85, 126.11, $125.13,123.34,121.31,101.64,84.32,53.78,40.23,36.23,22.80$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ $+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 440.0932$, found 440.0928 .
(3aS,4S, 11 aS)-8-Chloro-3a-methyl-4-phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e]pyridin-2(1laH)-one 10,10-dioxide ( $\mathbf{5 f}$ ) was obtained in $37 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $55 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel ID column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=17.39 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=22.99 \mathrm{~min}$. [a] $]_{D}^{25}=35.9$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.16 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.16,137.19,136.75,133.89,133.40,129.38,129.18,128.74$, 128.05, 127.06, 122.61, 121.48, 100.35, 82.74, 53.40, 46.18, 36.39, 22.04; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 424.0386$, found 424.0384 .
(4S, 11aS)-4-Phenyl-3a,4-dihydro-1H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a]furo[2,3-e]pyridin-2(11aH)-one 10,10-dioxide ( $\mathbf{5 g}$ ) was obtained in $58 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $54 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IE column (40\% 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $\left.35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, UV $285 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=29.40 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=51.53 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=-17.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.72 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ (dd, $J=10.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $172.86,139.35,133.56,132.26,130.95,130.54,129.30,129.02,128.13,121.24,99.18,80.44,48.17$, $41.21,36.38$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 376.0619$, found 376.0617 .

3-(2-(1,1-Dioxidobenzo[d]isothiazol-3-yl)-1-phenylethyl)-5-methylfuran-2(3H)-one (6). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.86(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 167.35,137.45,134.02,130.99,129.44,129.31,128.26$, 128.02, 127.92, 121.75, 106.73, 45.03, 42.37, 39.43, 30.29. ESI LRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 368.1, found 368.
(3aS, 12R, 12aS)-12a-Methyl-12-phenyl-3,3a,12,12a-tetrahydro-2H-benzo[e]furo[2',3':5,6]pyrido [1,2-c] [1,2,3]oxathiazin-2-one 5,5-dioxide (8a) was obtained in $56 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=5.40 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=8.00 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=-2.7(\mathrm{c}=1.2 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.58 (d, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.14 (dd, $J=19.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.80 (dd, $J=19.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40$ (s, 3H);
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 173.19,148.77,136.83,133.29,131.14,130.07,128.69,127.95$, 126.46, 124.19, 119.52, 117.65, 115.56, 92.17, 62.29, 49.41, 34.60, 25.14; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 406.0725$, found 406.0723.
(3aS,12R,12aS)-8-Bromo-12a-methyl-12-phenyl-3,3a,12,12a-tetrahydro-2H-benzo[e]furo[2',3':5,6] pyrido[1,2-c][1,2,3]oxathiazin-2-one 5,5-dioxide ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) was obtained in $45 \%$ yield; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $>99 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $40 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), UV 285 nm , $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=6.28 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=8.00 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=6.4\left(\mathrm{c}=1.6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 172.98,148.76,136.60,132.56,130.01,129.80,128.74,128.04$, $125.23,124.08,122.81,116.69,116.30,92.02,62.36,49.43,34.60,25.06$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} 483.9830$, found 483.9827.
(3aS,4R,5aS, 11 aSS)-3a-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,3a,4,5,5a, 11a-hexahydro-2H-benzo[4,5]isothiazolo[2,3-a] furo[2,3-e]pyridin-2-one 10,10-dioxide (9) was obtained in $86 \%$ yield; the diastereomer ratio was determined to be $4: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis and the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ by HPLC analysis on Daicel Chiralcel IA column ( $20 \%$ 2-propanol $/ n$-hexane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature $\left.35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, UV $210 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=17.07 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=25.06$; $[\mathrm{a}]_{D}^{25}=-32.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.36 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98 (ddd, $J=16.6,15.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 173.56,138.32,136.22,135.09,133.30,129.68,129.52,128.53,127.86,122.46$, $121.25,83.36,59.21,58.31,50.52,34.65,31.04,23.49$; ESI HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 392.0932, found 392.0930 .

## 4. Conclusions

We have investigated the asymmetric and $\beta, \gamma$-regioselective [4+2] annulation reactions of $\gamma$-butenolides and cyclic 1 -azadienes containing a 1,2-benzoisothiazole-1,1-dioxide motif. These reactions occurred in a cascade Michael addition-aza-Michael addition sequence to give complex fused tetracyclic architectures. Diastereodivergent cycloadducts could be produced by employing different Brønsted base catalysts. Endo-type cycloadducts were obtained in high enantioselectivity (up to $>99 \%$ ee) under the catalysis of modified cinchona alkaloid (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$ PHAL. On the other hand, exo-type diastereomers could be produced catalyzed by $\beta$-isocupreidine ( $\beta$-ICD) followed by TMG-promoted cyclization process, though with moderate enantioselectivity. The potential application of such natural product-like compounds in biological studies is in exploration.
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