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#### Abstract

Thieno[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine as an effective pharmacophore has been extensively studied. However, its 2,6-substituted derivatives are rarely reported. In the present study, eighteen 2,6-substituted thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine derivatives containing electrophilic warheads were designed based on the first known Fibroblast growth factor receptor-4 (FGFR4) inhibitor Blu9931. Unexpectedly, all of the derivatives exhibited negligible activity against FGFR4. However, most of the target compounds exhibited antiproliferative activities against four human cancer cell lines, including A431, NCI-H1975, Ramos and SNU-16. Compound 12 showed the most potent antiproliferative activities on the above four cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $1.4 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $2.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Additionally, the antiproliferative activity of 12 against MDA-MB-221 proved that 12 had the selectivity towards certain tumor cell lines. Furthermore, preliminary structure-activity relationship analysis was discussed based on the experimental data.
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## 1. Introduction

Cancer is one of the most formidable enemies to the public health worldwide [1]. Despite the numerous achievements in the field of cancer pharmacotherapy, there is a continuous demand for novel agents with improved therapeutic efficacy, selectivity, and safety. Over the past few decades, more and more drugs with fused bicyclic pyrimidine scaffolds have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) with significant biological activities, especially antitumor activities. These fused bicyclic pyrimidines exhibit anticancer function by targeting different kinases, such as Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), Brutons tyrosine kinase (BTK), Janus Kinase (JAK), and Phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase $\left(\mathrm{PI}_{3} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ (Figure 1) [2-6]. Considering broader applications of fused bicyclic pyrimidines in anticancer drugs, chemical modifications of the bicyclic pyrimidine scaffolds have been extensively studied. Previously, various thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine derivatives have attracted attention due to their broad spectrum activities, such as GDC-0941 and Olmutinib (Figure 2) [7-18]. Although a large number of studies on derivatives of thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine have been documented, the 2,6 -substituted analogs are seldom reported.
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Figure 1. Structures of some Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved drugs with bicyclic pyrimidine scaffold.
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Figure 2. A part of reported thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine structures.

Recently, therapies using covalent drugs have been proved to be successful for various indications. Although there have been concerns about the toxicity of covalent drugs, the high potencies and prolonged effects might result in less frequent drug dosing and wide therapeutic margins for patients [19-22]. Many covalent drugs have been reported for the treatment of cancer by inhibiting different targets. Among these derivatives, Afatinib, an irreversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) [23], was approved by the FDA for the treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer in 2013 [24]. Ibrutinib, a selective irreversible BTK inhibitor, has been approved by FDA for
treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia, mantle cell lymphoma and Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia [25,26]. Blu9931, which contains a quinazoline core and an acrylamide electrophilic warhead, is the first selective small molecule inhibitor of FGFR4 (Figure 3) [27]. Evidence suggests that covalent drugs are a feasible antitumor strategy. It is worth mentioning that bicyclic pyrimidine scaffolds are widely used in covalent drug design.
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Figure 3. Structures of some covalent inhibitors.

Based on previously published results and our continued interest in studying thieno[3,2-d] pyrimidine derivatives, we decided to design a series of 2,6 -substituted thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine compounds containing electrophilic warheads based on the first known FGFR4 inhibitor Blu9931. FGFR4 is a tyrosine kinase that regulates a wide range of important biological functions. The fibroblast growth factor 19 (FGF19) is the first FGF member exhibiting selective binding to its receptor FGFR4 [28]. Aberrant signaling through the FGF19/FGFR4 signaling complex has been shown to cause hepatocellsular carcinoma in mice and has indicated a similar role in humans [27]. Therefore, FGFR4 is a potential therapeutic target for anticancer drugs. In addition, FGFR1 is highly homologous to FGFR4. Herein, we presented the design, synthesis and antitumor activities of the compounds related to the general structure A (Figure 4). The preliminary structure-activity relationship was also discussed.




Figure 4. Structures and design strategy for target compounds.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

Detailed synthetic strategy to key intermediate 8 was illustrated in Scheme 1. Compound 4 was synthesized according to published procedures [29] and then treated with urea at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce compound 5. Subsequently, compound 5 was treated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ followed by the treatment with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to produce 7. The newly synthesized 7 was treated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{M})$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a steel tube to afford 8.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of key intermediate 8. Reagents and conditions: (a) i: thionyl chloride, reflux; ii: ethyl potassium malonate, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, anhydrous MeCN , r.t., overnight; (b) $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethylformamide (DMF); (d) hydroxylamine hydrochloride, anhydrous MeCN , r.t., overnight , $40 \%$ over two steps; (e) methyl 2-mercaptoacetate, MeONa , anhydrous MeOH , $0-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; (f) urea, neat, $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, reflux, overnight, $65 \%$; (h) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, Ethyl acetate (EA), EtOH, r.t., overnight, $79 \%$; (i) $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 48 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$.

The synthetic route for the synthesis of derivatives 12-29 was depicted in Scheme 2 and the chemical structures of 12-29 were shown in Table 1. The derivative $\mathbf{8}$ was involved in a nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction in the presence of $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ to obtain crude products. Furthermore, reduction of the nitro group was carried out under basic conditions to give the compound 10a-c, which was then treated with sulfonyl chloride to give 11a-c. In addition, 10a-c and 11a-c were mixed with acryloyl chloride to afford the targets 12-17. On the other hand, 10a-c and 11a-c were treated with (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoyl chloride before reacting with 1-methylpiperazine or $30 \%$ dimethylamine solution in water to afford targets 18-29.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of target compounds 12-29. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH , anhydrous $N, N$-Dimethylformamide (DMF), r.t.; (b) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}, 80 \%$ hydrazine hydrate, EtOH, reflux; (c) Sulfonyl chloride, Dichloromethane (DCM) or Tetrahydrofuran (THF), $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$; (d) i: Acryloyl chloride or (E)-4-bromobut-2-enoyl chloride, $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), anhydrous DCM, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii: DMF, NaI, 1-Methylpiperazine or $30 \%$ dimethylamine solution in water, r.t.

Table 1. Structures of compounds 12-29.



### 2.2. Biological Evaluation

### 2.2.1. In Vitro Enzymatic Assays-FGFR1 and FGFR4

Firstly, the FGFR1 and FGFR4 enzymatic assays of compounds 12-29 were performed, since their structures are similar to the first small molecular FGFR4 inhibitor Blu9931. Unfortunately, all of the compounds lost the enzyme activities against FGFR1 and FGFR4 with less than $50 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The results summarized in Figures S1 and S2 (supplied in supplementary data) were expressed as inhibition rates, which were derived from double independent determinations (the information of positive controls can be found in supplementary data), suggesting that the interaction between Blu9931 and FGFR4 might be sensitive to the specific angle of two benzene rings.

### 2.2.2. In Vitro Cytotoxic Activities and SAR Analysis

The antiproliferative activity of compounds 12-29 against A431 (human skin squamous cell carcinoma), NCI-H1975 (human lung adenocarcinoma cell), Ramos (human B lymphoma cell) and SNU-16 (human gastric cancer cell) cell lines were tested. Using the approved drug Doxorubicin (ADR) as positive controls, the results of the mean values of experiments from three independent determinations, expressed as half-maximal inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) values, were summarized in Table 2.

As presented in Table 2, most of the target compounds exhibited moderate antiproliferative activities against Ramos cells. The different substituents of hydrogen or methyl in benzene ring were first investigated. Compound 12 exhibited moderate activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, while the replacement of hydrogen with $o$-methyl group (compound 13) or $p$-methyl group (compound 14) resulted in a slight loss of activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $2.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively), suggesting that the antiproliferative activity is sensitive to small changes on the benzene ring adjacent to the pyrimidine side. To further identify the effects of the benzene ring next to the thiophene side on the biological profiles, compounds 15-17
were synthesized and tested. Dramatically reduced antitumor activities were observed when 12-14 were transformed into their respective dichloride substituted derivatives $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, $14.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $6.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). The result suggests that the benzene ring next to the thiophene side plays an important role in antitumor activity. In addition, analogues 18-29 with different Michael acceptors displayed significantly reduced activity against Ramos cells compared with the corresponding compound with acryloyl group. Most of the compounds 12-29 also exhibited moderate to great antiproliferative activity against the other three cell lines. It is interesting to note that the structure-activity relationship analyses of 12-29 against the four tested cell lines were highly consistent.

Table 2. Antiproliferative activity of 12-29 against A431, NCI-H1975, Ramos, and SNU-16.

| Compounds | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}{ }^{\mathbf{a}} \pm \mathbf{\text { SD }} \mathbf{( \boldsymbol { \mu M } )}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{A 4 3 1}$ | NCI-H1975 | Ramos | SNU-16 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $1.4 \pm 0.2$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $0.6 \pm 0.3$ | $2.6 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $4.1 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.5$ | $3.9 \pm 0.8$ | $6.7 \pm 2.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | $2.7 \pm 0.8$ | $3.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $16.3 \pm 1.6$ | $12.6 \pm 4.0$ | $8.0 \pm 2.4$ | $19.2 \pm 0.6$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $14.1 \pm 4.4$ | $>20$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $10.3 \pm 1.8$ | $9.7 \pm 0.2$ | $6.4 \pm 3.5$ | $14.2 \pm 1.8$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $5.3 \pm 0.4$ | $12.2 \pm 0.6$ | $6.4 \pm 1.9$ | $11.6 \pm 1.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $5.9 \pm 0.4$ | $9.4 \pm 0.5$ | $9.9 \pm 1.2$ | $16.3 \pm 0.5$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $4.7 \pm 0.1$ | $5.0 \pm 1.3$ | $3.8 \pm 0.4$ | $6.2 \pm 2.0$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $4.4 \pm 0.2$ | $7.2 \pm 0.5$ | $4.6 \pm 1.9$ | $9.1 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $7.1 \pm 3.6$ | $14.0 \pm 3.7$ | $7.6 \pm 2.2$ | $>20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $4.5 \pm 0.2$ | $6.8 \pm 0.04$ | $7.5 \pm 1.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $10.5 \pm 0.2$ | $14.3 \pm 3.1$ | $7.0 \pm 1.9$ | $>20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $17.3 \pm 4.1$ | $>20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $12.2 \pm 1.1$ | $12.1 \pm 2.3$ | $11.9 \pm 2.8$ | $14.8 \pm 0.6$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $10.9 \pm 4.0$ | $11.2 \pm 0.6$ | $12.8 \pm 4.3$ | $>20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $11.2 \pm 681.1$ | $11.3 \pm 1.3$ | $10.2 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 2.7$ |
| ADR $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $0.2 \pm 0.05$ | $0.3 \pm 0.03$ | $0.3 \pm 0.01$ | $0.3 \pm 0.05$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data presented is the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ value of three independent determinations; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used as positive control.

Furthermore, the antiproliferative activity of the most potent compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ against NCI-H1581 (Human non-small cell lung cancer cell line), MDA-MB-231 (Human breast cancer cell line) was evaluated. The derivative 12 showed loss of antiproliferative activity against MDA-MB-221 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) indicating that $\mathbf{1 2}$ exhibits selectivity towards certain tumor cell lines (Table 3).

Table 3. Antiproliferative activity of $\mathbf{1 2}$ against NCI-H1581, MDA-MB-231.

| Compounds | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\mathbf{a}} \pm \mathbf{S D}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NCI-H1581 | MDA-MB-231 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $1.32 \pm 0.08$ | $>20$ |
| ADR $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $0.5 \pm 0.03$ | $0.4 \pm 0.05$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data presented is the mean $\pm$ SD value of three independent determinations; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used as positive control.

### 2.2.3. In Vitro Enzymatic Assay—BTK

BTK plays a crucial role in B cell lines growth and reproduction [30]. It has been well documented that most of the BTK inhibitors formed a covalent bond between its electrophilic warhead and a nucleophilic center in the BTK protein. As shown in Table 2, most of the target compounds displayed potent anti-proliferative activity on Ramos cells (B cell lines). Therefore, the inhibition of 12-29 against BTK at $10 \mathrm{nM}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$ and 500 nM were tested. Using Ibrutinib as the positive control, the results of
the mean values of experiments from double independent determinations, expressed as inhibition rates, were exhibited in Figure S3 (supplied in Supplementary Data). Compounds 12-29 demonstrated less than $40 \%$ inhibition against BTK at 500 nM , and their inhibitory activities are much inferior to Ibrutinib. These results indicated that BTK is not the biological target for these compounds.

### 2.2.4. In Vitro Enzymatic Assays

To investigate the molecular mechanisms, we screened compound 12 against twenty selected tyrosine kinases. The results summarized in Table S3 (supplied in Supplementary Data) were expressed as inhibition rates, derived from three independent determinations (details related to positive controls can be found in Supplementary Data). Unfortunately, compound 12 was judged to have a negligible impact on the tested kinases.

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Materials and Methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were further purified or dried if necessary. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOD}$, or Dimethyl sulfoxide- $d_{6}\left(\right.$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) by a Bruker DRX-400 ( 400 MHz ) (Bruker Biospin AG, Fällanden, Switzerland) with Tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Chemical shifts were reported as $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ and spin-spin coupling constants as $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ values. The mass spectra were obtained on a Waters -SDQ mass spectrometer (Manchester, UK) or Waters SYNAPT G2 ESI-TOF-MS analyzer. Melting points were determined on an SGW X-4 melting point detector (Shanghai, China), uncorrected and reported in degrees Centigrade. The derivatives synthesized were purified by column chromatography using silica gel (200-300 mesh). The purity of all tested derivatives was established by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) to be $>95 \%$.

### 3.2. General Synthesis

### 3.2.1. 1-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethanone (2)

Step 1. 3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride
3,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid ( 27.3 g , 150 mmol , compound 1) was suspended in 120 mL thionyl chloride, and the mixture was heated and held at reflux until 1 dissolved. Then, thionyl chloride was evaporated under reduced pressure conditions and the residue was diluted with 150 mL anhydrous acetonitrile.

Step 2. Ethyl 3,5-dimethoxybenzoate
Ethyl potassium malonate ( $51 \mathrm{~g}, 300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and magnesium chloride ( $36 \mathrm{~g}, 375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in 600 mL anhydrous acetonitrile, and $63 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was added slowly. The mixture stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Then 3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride in 50 mL anhydrous acetonitrile was added dropwise. The reaction mixture stirred at room temperature overnight. Dilute hydrochloric acid added to the mixture until $\mathrm{pH}<5$. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate (EA) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the corresponding crude product.

Step 3. 1-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanone (2)
The crude product from step 2 dissolved in 150 mL 3 M HCl and 50 mL ethanol. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h . After cooling, the solvent was reduced under reduced pressure. The residue was separated on a silica gel column, eluting with a petroleum ether:ethyl acetate (PE/EA)
(6:1 v/v) solvent system to give $2\left(21.3 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%\right.$ over three steps); colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

### 3.2.2. (E)-3-Chloro-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)acrylonitrile (3)

In addition, $15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was added dropwise into 25 mL anhydrous DMF, the mixture stirred at room temperature for 15 min . Compound $2(13.8 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL anhydrous DMF was added to the mixture carefully and stirred for 20 min , and then the reaction was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h (monitored by Thin Layer Chromatography, TLC). Then, the mixture was allowed to cool down to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 21.3 g hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added in batches slowly because of the intense heat released. Another 150 mL of anhydrous acetonitrile was added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for overnight. Then, the mixture poured into ice water, stirred for 5 h , filtered, and then the solid was washed by water and ether. The crude product was purified by eluting through a silica gel column with a 1:3 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{PE}$ solvent system to give 3 ( $6.96 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ over two steps); colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

### 3.2.3. Methyl 3-Amino-5-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)thiophene-2-carboxylate (4)

Methyl 2-mercaptoacetate ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $45 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{MeONa}$ ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for half an hour. Compound 3 ( $6.72 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and then heated to reflux for 1 h (monitored by TLC), and then the mixture was allowed to cool down to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and poured into ice water, filtered, and then the filtrate was extracted with EA twice, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by eluting through a silica gel column with a 1:8 EA/PE solvent system to give $4(8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$; yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

### 3.2.4. 6-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5)

Compound $4(8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with 40 g urea at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h (monitored by TLC). The mixture was cooled to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then added into $500 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ solution, and stirred for 1 h . After filtration, the solid was washed with water and dried by vacuum to obtain 5 ( $7.6 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 161.0,158.8,157.7,151.5,146.6$, 134.0, 113.5, 110.0, 104.2, 101.5, 55.5; ESI-MS: $m / z 305.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 3.2.5. 2,4-Dichloro-6-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine (6)

Compound 5 ( $7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 30 mL POCl 3 . The solution was heated to reflux for overnight (monitored by TLC). The mixture was allowed to cool down to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then added to 300 mL ice water slowly, white solid precipitated slowly. The precipitate was collected, washed with water and dried to provide the desired product 6 ( $4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ); white solid; M.p.: $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 163.9,161.5,157.5,156.4,154.7,133.7,128.8,119.4,105.3,102.5,55.6 ;$ ESI-MS: $m / z 341.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 3.2.6. 2-Chloro-6-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine (7)

To a solution of $6(4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL EtOH and 20 mL EA, $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was added ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ by wt). The suspension was stirred at room temperature under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 23 h . A second portion of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(980 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ by wt) was added after 12 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ with EtOAc washings. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ brine (4:1), dried by $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide 7 ( $3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ); light yellow solid; M.p.: $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.6,161.4,157.7,157.2,152.7$, 134.1, 129.7, 119.0, 105.4, 102.2, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 55.6; ESI-MS: $m / z 307.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 3.2.7. 6-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-2-amine (8)

Compound $7(3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $80 \mathrm{~mL} 4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH}$. The solution was heated to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h in sealed tube. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then poured into ice water, filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ with EtOAc washings. The solid dried by vacuum to obtain compound 8 ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ); Off-white solid; M.p.: $249.4-250.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 162.7,161.8,160.9152 .8,152.3,134.7,119.5,119.2,104.5,101.5,55.5$; ESI-MS: $m / z 288.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 3.2.8. General Procedure 1 of the Synthesis of Compounds 10a-c

The solution of compound $8(287 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 80 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL anhydrous DMF was stirred for 30 min , corresponding o-fluoronitrobenzene ( $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL anhydrous DMF was added to the mixture dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for overnight and then poured into 100 mL water, filtered through Celite with water washings. The solid dried by vacuum to give corresponding crude products. To a solution of corresponding crude products ( 0.8 mmol ) in $15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Hydrazine hydrate $(80 \%, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was heated to $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 4 h (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ with EtOAc washings. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude products and then the residue was purified through a silica gel column to give the corresponding 10a-c.

Preparation of 10a. From compound 9c (131 mg, 1.05 mmol$)$, as that described in procedure 1, gave pure 10a ( 298 mg , $96 \%$ ); off-white solid; M.p.: $209.2-210.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $9.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.3,161.0,159.4,152.8,142.3,134.6,125.3,124.8,120.7,119.6,116.2,115.6,104.4,101.7$, 55.5; ESI-MS: $m / z 379.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Preparation of $\mathbf{1 0 b}$. From compound $\mathbf{9 b}(131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, as that described in procedure 1, gave pure 10b ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $180.0-181.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.96$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 162.6,160.9,160.1,152.9,152.5,145.6$, 136.5, 134.7, 126.4, 123.4, 120.3, 119.7, 117.8, 112.8, 104.4, 101.6, 55.5, 18.3; ESI-MS: $m / z 393.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Preparation of 10c. From compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in procedure 1, gave pure 10c ( 282 mg , $90 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $192.0-193.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.80$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.0,161.2,159.8,154.5,152.4,141.9$, 136.8, 135.1, 126.3, 123.2, 122.2, 120.0, 119.2, 117.7, 105.0, 101.7, 55.5, 21.2; ESI-MS: $m / z 393.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 3.2.9. General Procedure 2 of the Synthesis of Compounds 11a-c

Corresponding 10a-c ( 0.35 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF or anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ), and sulfonyl chloride ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution in portions at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h (monitored by TLC). EA $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ) were added, and the layers were partitioned and separated. The organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and then the filtration was concentrated in the vacuum to give corresponding 11a-c.

Preparation of 11a. From compound 10a ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in procedure 2, gave pure 11a ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.55-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.93-3.78 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.2,159.3,154.7,152.7,148.4,141.4,133.4,126.5$, 126.1, 125.6, 124.7, 123.7, 119.4, 117.2, 115.3, 98.2, 56.7; ESI-MS: $m / z 447.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Preparation of $\mathbf{1 1 b}$. From compound $\mathbf{1 0 b}(138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$, as that described in procedure 2, gave pure $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.8,160.1,154.5,153.2,145.7$, 136.5, 132.6, 126.5, 124.2, 123.3, 121.5, 117.7, 113.4, 112.8, 99.4, 56.9, 18.3; ESI-MS: $m / z 461.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Preparation of 11c. From compound 10c ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in procedure 2, gave pure 11c (131 mg, 81\%); yellow solid; M.p.: 229.6-231.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.87$ (s, 1H), $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.3,159.7,154.7,152.7,148.3,141.9,136.8,133.4,126.3,124.7,123.4,123.2,120.0,117.7,115.3$, 98.2, 56.1, 21.2; ESI-MS: $m / z 461.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 3.2.10. General Procedure 3 of the Synthesis of Compounds 12-17

One of corresponding 10a-c and 11a-c ( 0.1 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM. DIPEA $(0.04 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{10} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{Acryloyl} \mathrm{chloride} \mathrm{(anhydrous} \mathrm{THF)} \mathrm{were} \mathrm{added} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{solution} \mathrm{dropwise} \mathrm{at}$ $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h (monitored by TLC), $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were then added, and the layers were partitioned and separated. The organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and the solution was then concentrated under reduced pressure to give corresponding crude product 12-17, which was furthermore purified through a silica gel column.

Preparation of 12. From compound 10a ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in procedure 3, gave pure 12 (21 mg, 50\%); yellow solid; M.p.: 217.1-218.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 163.8,162.1,161.0,158.4,153.4$, 153.0, 134.5, 132.8, 131.5, 129.7, 127.2, 125.3, 124.6, 124.0, 123.4, 121.8, 119.5, 104.5, 101.8, 55.5; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.72 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=98 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 433.1329$, found: 433.1350 .

Preparation of 13. From compound 10b ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in procedure 3, gave pure 13 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.:184.5- $185.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left._{6}\right) \delta 168.8,163.5,162.4$, $160.9,159.5,152.9,150.8,136.9,134.9,134.6,131.8,126.8,126.5,125.9,121.0,120.0,104.4,101.7,55.5$, 18.5; HPLC: method 2, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.67 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=97 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 447.1485$, found: 447.1495 .

Preparation of $\mathbf{1 4}$. From compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, as that described in procedure 3, gave pure $14(16 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%)$; light yellow solid; M.p.: $239.0-240.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.82$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 163.6,162.2,161.0,158.6,153.2,153.0,134.5,132.7,131.5,130.1,129.8,127.1,125.8,124.7,124.3,121.5,119.6$, 104.5, 101.8, 55.5, 20.5; HPLC: method 2, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=12.05 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=98 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 469.1305 , found: 469.1299 .

Preparation of 15. From compound 11a ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in procedure 3, gave pure 15 (22 mg, $44 \%$ ); white solid; M.p.: $236.6-236.9^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ 163.7, 161.2, 158.3, 154.5, 153.3, 148.0, 132.6, 132.4, 131.5, 130.1, 127.1, 125.3, 124.5, 124.4, 124.2, 123.7, 123.0, 113.3, 99.5, 56.9; HPLC: method 2, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.37 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=97 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 523.0369$, found: 523.0371.

Preparation of 16. From compound $\mathbf{1 1 b}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, as that described in procedure 3, gave pure 16 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ); light yellow solid; M.p.: $142.5-143.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left._{6}\right) \delta 163.5,161.6,159.5,154.5,153.2,147.4,136.9,135.0,132.5,131.9,126.8$, 126.4, 125.9, 124.2, 122.2, 120.8, 113.4, 99.4, 56.9, 18.5; HPLC: method 2, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.12 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{UV}_{254}=98 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 515.0706$, found: 515.0715.

Preparation of 17. From compound 11c ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in Procedure 3, gave pure 17 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ); white solid; M.p.: $258.2-259.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.30 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 163.6,161.3,158.6,154.5,153.3,147.7,133.0,132.4$, 131.5, 130.2, 129.9, 127.0, 125.8, 124.7, 124.6, 124.2, 122.7, 113.3, 99.4, 56.9, 20.5; HPLC: method 2, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.54 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=98 \%$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 515.0706, found: 515.0723.

### 3.2.11. General Procedure 4 of the Synthesis of Compounds 18-29

Step 1. One of corresponding 10a-c and 11a-c ( 0.2 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM. DIPEA ( 0.07 mL ) and ( $E$ )-4-bromobut-2-enoyl chloride ( $0.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in anhydrous THF, 3 mL ) were added to the solution at $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h (monitored by TLC), $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, the layers were partitioned and separated. The organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, used directly in the next step.

Step 2. One of corresponding crude product in the Step $1(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DMF. NaI ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}(40 \%)$ dimethylamine solution in water were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature (monitored by TLC). EA ( 10 mL ) and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the layers were partitioned and separated. The organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated, and then the residue was purified through a silica gel column to give corresponding 18-23.
Preparation of 18. From compound 10a ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 2 of procedure 4, gave pure 18 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $175.1-175.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.5,164.0,162.6$, 161.3, 159.1, 155.3, 152.3, 141.8, 134.9, 131.7, 126.2, 125.6, 124.9, 124.6, 122.9, 118.9, 105.0, 101.9, 60.2, 55.6, 45.3; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.94 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{280}=96 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 490.1907$, found: 490.1940.

Preparation of 19. From compound $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 2 of procedure 4, gave pure 19 ( 20 mg , $40 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $151.2-152.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$,
3.10-2.90 (m, 2H), $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,162.9,161.3,159.7$, $155.4,152.5,141.7,135.5,135.2,134.9,127.2,126.6,126.3,122.9,120.8,118.9,105.0,101.9,60.2,55.7,45.4$, 18.7; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.87 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=98 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 504.2064$, found: 504.2082.
Preparation of 20. From compound 10c ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 2 of procedure 4, gave pure 20 ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: $170.8-171.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.27 (s, 2H), $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 163.5,162.2,161.0,158.6,153.2,153.0,134.5$, 132.7, 130.0, 126.3, 125.7, 124.6, 124.4, 121.5, 119.6, 104.5, 101.8, 59.3, 55.5, 44.7, 20.5; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=12.46 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=99 \% ; \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 504.2064, found: 504.2092.

Preparation of 21. From compound 11a ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 2 of procedure 4 , gave pure $21(21 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%)$; yellow solid; M.p.: $231.7-232.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 163.8,161.3,158.4,154.5,153.4,147.8,141.8,132.4,130.2,125.4,125.1,124.4,124.2,123.6,123.0,113.3$, 99.5, 59.7, 56.9, 45.2; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=14.20 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=98 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 558.1128$, found: 558.1158.

Preparation of 22. From compound 11b ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 2 of procedure 4, gave pure $22(24 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%)$; yellow solid; M.p.: $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $9.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.16 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 163.2,161.6,159.5,154.5,153.2,147.4,136.9,135.2$, 132.5, 130.3, 126.3, 125.9, 124.2, 122.2, 120.6, 113.3, 99.4, 58.9, 56.9, 44.0, 18.5; HPLC: method 2, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.35 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=99 \%$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 572.1284, found: 572.1327.

Preparation of 23. From compound 11c ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 2 of procedure 4, gave pure 23 (19 mg, 33\%); yellow solid; M.p.: 214.0-214.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.8,161.9$, 159.3, 154.7, 152.8, 149.0, 141.5, 133.2, 126.4, 126.3, 125.2, 124.7, 124.4, $124.1115 .2,98.2,60.2,56.7,45.3$, 21.2; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=12.66 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=95 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 594.1104$, found: 594.1091.

Step 3. Corresponding crude product in the step $1(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DMF. $\mathrm{NaI}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.02 mL N -Methylpiperazine were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature (monitored by TLC). EA $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the layers were partitioned and separated. The organic layers were washed with water and brine, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Filtered and the solution concentrated under reduced pressure, and then the residue was purified through a silica gel column to give corresponding 24-29.
Preparation of 24. From compound 10a ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 3 of procedure 4 , gave pure $24(23 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%)$; yellow solid; M.p.: $182.9-183.7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.9,162.5,161.3$, $159.1,155.4,152.3,141.7,134.8,131.7,126.2,125.7,124.9,124.7,122.8,118.8,105.0,101.8,59.2,55.6,54.9$,
53.2, 45.9; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.04 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{280}=96 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 567.2149$, found: 567.2169.
Preparation of $\mathbf{2 5}$. From compound $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 3 of procedure 4 , gave pure $25(20 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%)$; yellow solid; M.p.: $156.5-157.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 166.3,164.0,162.9,160.7,156.5$, 153.9, 141.6, 138.4, 136.1, 135.9, 132.1, 128.9, 127.9, 127.7, 123.3, 119.7, 105.8, 102.7, 59.5, 56.1, 55.2, 52.4, 44.8, 18.8; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.26 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{280}=97 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 559.2486$, found: 559.2494.
Preparation of 26. From compound 10c ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 3 of procedure 4, gave pure 26 ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ); yellow solid; M.p.: 182.0-183.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.5$, $161.3,155.3,139.8,135.6,134.8,131.4,129.0,126.5,125.0,118.8,105.0,101.8,58.1,55.6,53.8,49.7,43.5$, 21.1; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.87 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=99 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 581.2305$, found: 581.2278.

Preparation of 27. From compound 11a ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 3 of procedure 4, gave pure 27 ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ); off-white solid; M.p.: $160.1-160.7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 2.45 (s, 8H), $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.9,161.8,159.0,154.7,152.7,149.1$, 141.9, 133.1, 131.6, 126.2, 125.7, 124.9, 124.7, 124.4, 124.2, 115.1, 98.2, 59.3, 56.7, 55.0, 53.3, 46.0; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.63 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=97 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 613.1550$, found: 613.1577.

Preparation of 28. From compound $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 3 of procedure 4 , gave pure 28 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ ); white solid; M.p.: $225.0-226 .{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 8.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.6$, $163.7,162.1,159.5,154.7,152.9,149.1,141.5,135.6,135.1,133.1,127.1,126.7,124.4,124.0,115.2,98.3,59.2$, $56.7,55.0,53.2,45.9,18.8$; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=11.50 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=99 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 627.1706$, found: 627.1736.

Preparation of 29. From compound 11c ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as that described in step 1 and step 3 of procedure 4, gave pure 29 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ); white solid; M.p.: $212.4-212.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 163.7$, $161.3,158.6,154.5,153.3,147.7,141.1,133.1,132.4,130.3,125.8,125.7,124.7,125.6,124.2,122.7,113.3$, 99.4, 58.3, 56.8, 54.4, 52.4, 45.4, 20.5; HPLC: method 1, room temperature, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=12.03 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{UV}_{254}=96 \%$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 649.1526$, found: 649.1482.

### 3.2.12. General Procedure for In Vitro Cell-Proliferation Assays

NCI-H1975, Ramos, and SNU-16 cells were maintained in Revolutions-Per-minute Indicator 1640 (RPMI 1640) medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), A431 was maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) medium (Gibco), MDA-MB-231 was cultured in L-15 medium (Gibco), and NCI-H1581 was cultured in ACL-4 (Gibco), and all of them were supplemented with
$10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FBS; Gibco) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ humidified environment. Cells in 96-well plates were treated with gradient concentrations of compounds at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . Cell proliferation assays in NCI-H1975, A431, MDA-MB-231 and NCI-H1581 were determined by using sulforhodamine B (SRB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). The antiproliferative activity of compounds, examined in Ramos and SUN-16 cell lines, was determined by using CCK-8 (Dojindo Laboratories, Kamimashiki-gun, Japan). The dosages corresponding to the half-maximal inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) were calculated using SoftMax pro-based nonlinear 4-parameter regression analysis ( $n=3$ ).

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, a series of 2,6-substituted thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine containing electrophilic warheads derivatives were designed and synthesized. The derivatives possessed moderate antiproliferative properties against four tested cancer cell lines (A431, NCI-H1975, Ramos and SNU-16). Compound 12 showed the most potent cytotoxic activity on the four cell lines above with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $1.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, $1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $2.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. However, the antiproliferative activity of $\mathbf{1 2}$ against MDA-MB-221 indicated that $\mathbf{1 2}$ had the selectivity towards certain tumor cell lines. The preliminary investigation showed that the acrylamide electrophilic warhead was more active than the other two warheads. It was interesting to note that an $o-\mathrm{Me}$ substituent on the benzene ring attached to the electrophilic warhead weakens the activity slightly while $p$-Me substituent results in a significant loss of activity. Another interesting phenomenon was that a dramatic loss in antitumor activity was observed when 12-14 were transformed into their dichloride substituted derivatives 15-17. In addition, compounds 12-29 demonstrated less than $40 \%$ inhibition against BTK at 500 nM , indicating that BTK might not be the biological target for these compounds. The enzymatic screen assays suggested that the selected twenty kinases might also not be the biological targets for the synthesized compounds. Thus, future efforts will focus on investigating the molecular mechanisms of the target compounds, especially 12. In summary, compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ is worth further research as a new potential anticancer agent.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online. The general HPLC method, ESI-TOF-MS spectrums of 12-29, FGFR1 and FGFR4 enzymatic assay, BTK enzymatic assay, and in vitro enzymatic assays, Figure S1. FGFR1 inhibition rate of $\mathbf{1 2 - 2 9}$ at the concentration of $10 \mathrm{nM}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$ and 1000 nM , Table S1: Positive controls in FGFR1 enzymatic assay, Figure S2. FGFR4 inhibition rate of 12-29 at the concentration of $10 \mathrm{nM}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$ and 1000 nM , Table S2: Positive controls in FGFR4 enzymatic assay, Figure S3. BTK inhibition rate of 12-29 at the concentration of $10 \mathrm{nM}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$ and 1000 nM , Table S3-S6: Some positive controls in in vitro enzymatic screen assays.
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## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| :--- | :--- |
| EGFR | Epidermal growth factor receptor |
| TKI | Tyrosine kinase inhibitors |
| BTK | Brutons tyrosine kinase |
| JAK | Janus kinase |
| PI3K | Phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase |
| FGFR4 | Fibroblast growth factor receptor-4 |
| FGF19 | Fibroblast growth factor 19 |
| EA | Ethyl acetate |
| DMF | N,N-Dimethylformamide |


| DIPEA | N,N-Diisopropylethylamine |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADR | Doxorubicin |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| PE | Petroleum ether |
| ESI MS | Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry |
| HRMS | High resolution mass |
| TMS | Tetramethylsilane |
| RPMI 1640 | Revolutions-Per-minute Indicator 1640 |
| DMEM | Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium |
| ELISA | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay |
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