## Table S1

Linearity and sensitivity data for compounds used as hemp standards ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | Linearity range $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | Slope $(a)$ | Intercept $(b)$ | $r^{2}$ | LOD <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | LOQ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CBDA | $2.5-200.0$ | $31.9 \pm 0.2$ | $29.6 \pm 24.2$ | 0.9996 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| CBGA | $2.5-200.0$ | $32.4 \pm 0.2$ | $30.2 \pm 21.4$ | 0.9997 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| CBG | $1.3-100.0$ | $52.0 \pm 0.6$ | $374.3 \pm 29.8$ | 0.9990 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| CBD | $2.5-200.0$ | $74.9 \pm 0.8$ | $-60.3 \pm 23.3$ | 0.9990 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| Chrysoeriol | $1.3-43.0$ | $21.4 \pm 0.5$ | $29.7 \pm 3.6$ | 0.9991 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| Canniprene | $0.3-23.4$ | $121.2 \pm 0.9$ | $81.8 \pm 10.2$ | 0.9990 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

Experimental conditions as in Section 3.6.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For each curve the equation is $\mathrm{y}=a \mathrm{x}+b$, where y is the peak area, x the concentration of the analyte $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}), a$ is the slope, $b$ is the intercept and $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ the correlation coefficient. Standard error (S.E.) values are given in parenthesis. The $p$ value was $<0.0001$ for all calibration curves.

## Table S2

Intra- and inter-day precision data for retention time ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) and peak area of the main flavonoids in hemp extracts (sample C6)

|  | Intra-day precision ( $n=6$, mean) |  |  |  |  |  | Inter-day precision ( $n=18$, mean) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Day 1 |  | Day 2 |  | Day 3 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline t_{\mathrm{R}}(\min ) \pm \\ & \operatorname{RSD}(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area }(\mathrm{mAU} \times \mathrm{s}) \pm \\ & \mathrm{RSD}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{~min}) \pm \\ & \operatorname{RSD}(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area }(\mathrm{mAU} \times \mathrm{s}) \pm \\ & \mathrm{RSD}(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline t_{\mathrm{R}}(\min ) \pm \\ & \operatorname{RSD}(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area }(\mathrm{mAU} \times \mathrm{s}) \pm \\ & \text { RSD }(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline t_{\mathrm{R}}(\min ) \pm \\ & \mathrm{RSD}(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area }(\mathrm{mAU} \times \mathrm{s}) \pm \\ & \text { RSD }(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| CFL-B | $14.6 \pm 2.1$ | $516.5 \pm 2.5$ | $14.6 \pm 1.1$ | $535.1 \pm 2.7$ | $14.3 \pm 2.4$ | $540.3 \pm 2.9$ | $14.5 \pm 2.0$ | $530.6 \pm 3.2$ |
| CFL-A | $21.2 \pm 1.5$ | $861.7 \pm 3.0$ | $21.1 \pm 1.2$ | $847.8 \pm 1.8$ | $21.0 \pm 2.2$ | $860.9 \pm 2.9$ | $21.1 \pm 1.6$ | $856.8 \pm 2.6$ |

Experimental conditions as described in Section 3.6.

Table S3
Intra- and inter-day precision data for the extraction of the main flavonoids from hemp (sample C6)

|  | Intra-day precision ( $n=6$, mean) |  |  | Inter-day precision ( $n=18$, mean) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \pm$ SD | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ |
| CFL-B | $77.2 \pm 11.3$ | $73.2 \pm 4.1$ | $77.4 \pm 1.3$ | $75.9 \pm 6.6$ |
| CFL-A | $146.9 \pm 21.3$ | $137.7 \pm 4.1$ | $137.3 \pm 4.7$ | $140.6 \pm 12.5$ |

Experimental conditions as described in Section 3.6.

