

Article

Photosynthesis-Inhibiting Activity of *N*-(Disubstituted-phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides [†]

Jiri Kos ^{1,*} , Tomas Gonec ² , Michal Oravec ³, Izabela Jendrzejewska ⁴ and Josef Jampilek ⁵ 

¹ Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Kamenice 5, 62500 Brno, Czech Republic

² Department of Chemical Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Masaryk University, Palackeho tr. 1946/1, 61200 Brno, Czech Republic; t.gonec@seznam.cz

³ Global Change Research Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Belidla 986/4a, 60300 Brno, Czech Republic; oravec.m@czechglobe.cz

⁴ Institute of Chemistry, University of Silesia, Szkolna 9, 40007 Katowice, Poland; izabela.jendrzejewska@us.edu.pl

⁵ Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Ilkovicova 6, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia; josef.jampilek@gmail.com

* Correspondence: jirikos85@gmail.com

[†] Preliminary results presented at the 24th International Electronic Conference on Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 15 November–15 December 2020. Available online: <https://ecsoc-24.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: A set of twenty-four 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides, disubstituted on the anilide ring by combinations of methoxy/methyl/fluoro/chloro/bromo and ditrifluoromethyl groups at different positions, was prepared. The compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit photosynthetic electron transport (PET) in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) chloroplasts. *N*-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-, *N*-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-, *N*-(2,5-difluorophenyl)- and *N*-(2,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides showed the highest PET-inhibiting activity (IC₅₀ ~ 10 μM) within the series. These compounds were able to inhibit PET in photosystem II. It has been found that PET-inhibiting activity strongly depends on the position of the individual substituents on the anilide ring and on the lipophilicity of the compounds. The electron-withdrawing properties of the substituents contribute towards the PET activity of these compounds.

Keywords: hydroxynaphthalene-carboxamides; PET inhibition; spinach chloroplasts; structure-activity relationships



Citation: Kos, J.; Gonec, T.; Oravec, M.; Jendrzejewska, I.; Jampilek, J. Photosynthesis-Inhibiting Activity of *N*-(Disubstituted-phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 4336. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26144336>

Academic Editor: Julio A. A. Seijas Vázquez

Received: 5 June 2021

Accepted: 14 July 2021

Published: 17 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Due to population growth, there is a constant pressure on farmers to multiply yields to ensure sufficient food. On the other hand, this challenge is difficult to meet due to deteriorating conditions for agriculture, such as the loss of quality agricultural land, desiccation or, conversely, heavy rains and floods, climate change and the rise of many plant and crop destroyers. One way to combat pathogens of plants is to use pesticides to help farmers increase productivity per hectare by protecting plants from pests, diseases and weeds. For example, food crops must compete with approximately 30,000 weed species. Herbicides are still used widely around the world because manual weeding has never been an effective method of weed control, especially when large-scale farming is used. Herbicides are often used instead of tillage because the use of herbicides reduces erosion, fuel consumption, greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient leakage, and saves water compared to plowing. Of course, the question remains as to what extent the negative chemical effects of herbicides harm non-target organisms and degrade soil and water resources [1–3].

Herbicides can be classified according to the type/chemical structure of the active ingredient, mechanism of action, method and time of application, mobility, type of formulation or residual effect [4–6]. There are currently about 20 different mechanisms of action of

herbicides [4–11], but over 50% of commercially available herbicides act by reversibly binding to photosystem II (PS II), resulting in disruption of photosynthetic electron transport (PET) [4,6–8]. PS II uses light energy to oxidize water and reduce plastoquinone, which consists of parts Q_A and Q_B . The plastoquinone Q_A acting as a single electron acceptor is permanently bound to PS II; the plastoquinone Q_B acting as a two-electron acceptor is loosely bound; after reduction, it separates from the reaction center and diffuses into the hydrophobic membrane nucleus, the Q_B binding site being occupied by the oxidized molecule plastoquinone [12]. Herbicides belonging to inhibitors of PS II inhibit photosynthetic electron transfer (PET) by binding to the Q_B binding niche on the D_1 protein of the PS II complex in chloroplast thylakoid membranes, leading to inhibition of PET from Q_A to Q_B , blocking CO_2 fixation and inhibition of ATP production [6,9–11].


Studies of large libraries of structurally diverse PS II inhibitors have confirmed the hydrophobic nature of the binding domain, with lipophilicity being the dominant determinant of Hill inhibitory activity [12–18]. Significant amounts of herbicides acting as PET inhibitors in PS II contain an amide (–CONH–) and/or carbamate (–HNCOO–) bond in their structure that is capable of forming hydrogen bonds between the amide/carbamate group and target proteins in the photosynthetic centers of thylakoid membranes, leading to conformational changes and PET inhibition [19–25]. Both the *N*- and *O*-terminal ends of the CONH linker are substituted and the substituents further modify the bond properties and strength of the basic scaffold [26]. Amides are thought to be inhibitors of PS II, causing the displacement of Q_B from its binding pocket in the D_1 protein [27], and halogenated substituents have been found to contribute to increased PET inhibitory activity [18,23,24,28–30].

Our team has long been investigating the effects of a wide range of variously substituted naphthalenecarboxanilides [23,24,27,29–31] and quinolinecarboxanilides [32] on PS II. A series of ring-monosubstituted anilides of 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid was published by Kos et al. [29] and some interesting biological activity was found, including herbicidal activity. Since monosubstituted derivatives of 3-hydroxy-*N*-arylnaphthalene-2-carboxanilides showed PET inhibition in spinach chloroplasts (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), select new, variously disubstituted, derivatives were evaluated for their PET-inhibiting activity.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

All compounds were prepared by the reaction of 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid with appropriate disubstituted anilines with the addition of phosphorus trichloride in dry chlorobenzene under microwave conditions (Scheme 1) [29,31], which resulted in a series of target *N*-(disubstituted-phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides **1–24**, see Table 1.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-hydroxy-*N*-arylnaphthalene-2-carboxanilides **1–24**. Reagents and conditions: (a) PCl_3 , chlorobenzene, MW, 45 min [29,31].

Table 1. Structure of ring-disubstituted 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides **1–24**, calculated values of Clog *P* for compounds, electronic σ parameters of anilide (Ar) and IC₅₀ [μ M] values related to photosynthetic electron transport (PET) inhibition in spinach chloroplasts of tested compounds in comparison with the 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) standard.

Comp.	R	Clog <i>P</i> ²	$\sigma_{(Ar)}$ ³	PET Inhibition IC ₅₀ [μ M]
1 ¹	2,5-OCH ₃	3.9563	0.08	183
2 ¹	3,5-OCH ₃	4.5463	0.93	24.5
3 ¹	2,5-CH ₃	4.7942	0.59	11.6
4 ¹	2,6-CH ₃	4.1442	0.58	28.5
5 ¹	3,5-CH ₃	5.4442	0.59	9.9
6 ¹	2,5-F	4.4799	1.24	11.2
7 ¹	2,6-F	3.8799	1.44	78.7
8 ¹	3,5-F	5.0799	1.12	9.8
9 ¹	2,5-Cl	5.3699	1.22	321
10 ¹	2,6-Cl	4.5199	1.33	156
11 ¹	3,4-Cl	6.0999	1.19	47.5
12 ¹	3,5-Cl	6.2199	1.11	39.2
13	2,4-Br	5.6399	1.11	296
14	2,5-Br	5.6399	1.23	161
15 ¹	3,5-CF ₃	6.8207	1.05	15.9
16	2-OCH ₃ -5-F	4.2725	0.14	79.1
17	2-F-6-OCH ₃	3.6725	0.16	507
18	3-F-5-OCH ₃	4.8625	0.99	31.6
19	2-Cl-5-OCH ₃	4.5825	1.13	171
20	2-F-4-Cl	5.0499	1.17	1405
21 ¹	3-F-4-Br	5.7999	1.16	527
22 ¹	3-F-5-CF ₃	6.0131	1.04	31.0
23 ¹	2-Cl-5-CF ₃	5.7331	1.19	13.2
24 ¹	2-Br-4-CF ₃	5.8531	1.32	621
DCMU	–	–	–	2.1

¹ Compounds described in [31]; ² ChemBioDraw Ultra 13.0 (CambridgeSoft, PerkinElmer Inc., MA, USA); ³ calculated using ACD/Percepta ver. 2012 (Advanced Chemistry Development, Toronto, ON, Canada).

Lipophilicity is an extremely important parameter in the design of any biologically active compound, as it primarily ensures sufficient penetration across biological membranes to reach the target site of action [33]. In general, it can be stated that a higher value of lipophilicity is required for agrochemicals acting in plant leaves due to the permeability of a stronger and more lipophilic cuticle [34]. Lipophilicity, expressed as Clog *P* values (predicted by ChemBioDraw Ultra 13.0), of the investigated compounds is listed in Table 1. Clog *P* values ranged from 3.6 (compound **17**, R = 2-F-6-OCH₃) to 6.8 (compound **15**, R = 3,5-CF₃). When comparing the general effect of substituents at the same positions on lipophilicity, the order of the groups with respect to the increasing contribution of lipophilicity is as follows: OCH₃ < F < CH₃ < Cl < Br < CF₃; thus, in general, any substitution by a fluorine or methoxy moiety significantly decreases lipophilicity. In addition to the type of substituent, their mutual position on the aniline ring also has a significant effect on the lipophilicity value. For example, in series with dichlorinated derivatives, lipophilicity increases as follows: 2.6 < 2.5 < 3.4 < 3.5. In series with different moieties of disubstituted compounds, the Clog *P* values are significantly decreased when a fluorine or methoxy moiety is introduced, especially in positions C₍₂₎' and C₍₆₎', as mentioned above. The predicted Clog *P* values are presented in the illustrated order in Figure 1, where they

are simultaneously divided into three groups according to the nature of the substitution. The first group consists of methoxy-, methyl- and fluoro-disubstituted compounds 1–8; the second group consists of dichloro, dibromo and 3,5-CF₃ derivatives 9–15; and derivatives 16–24, disubstituted by two different substituents, are in the third group. This division proves important for the description of PET inhibition, see below.




Figure 1. Graphical comparison of lipophilicity of investigated compounds expressed as Clog *P*, predicted by ChemBioDraw Ultra 13.0.

Electronic contributions of substituents are another important parameter, especially for substituted aromatic rings (anilines, phenols). The electron σ parameters of the whole substituted anilide ring, predicted by the ADC/Percepta program, are listed in Table 1. As with the lipophilicity values, the σ values are in a wide range. Based on the results of the prediction program, the weakest electron-withdrawing properties have the substitution 2,5-OCH₃-Ph of compound 1 ($\sigma = 0.08$), while the strongest electron-withdrawing properties have fluoro-substituted derivative 7 (2,6-F-Ph, $\sigma = 1.44$). These values affect the electron density at the amide linker and thus the overall binding to the putative site of action of these compounds, which is on the acceptor side of PS II, at the section between P₆₈₀ (primary donor of PS II) and Q_B [23–25,29].

2.2. Inhibition of Photosynthetic Electron Transport (PET) in Spinach Chloroplasts

The PET-inhibition of the studied compounds was expressed by the negative logarithm of the IC₅₀ value (concentration (in μM) of the compounds causing a 50% decrease in the oxygen evolution rate relative to the untreated control). The evaluated disubstituted 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides showed a wide range of PET inhibition in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) chloroplasts with the IC₅₀ values ranging from 9.8 to 1405 μM , see Table 1. *N*-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-(8) and *N*-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-(5), *N*-(2,5-difluorophenyl)-(6) and *N*-(2,5-dimethylphenyl)-(3) 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides demonstrated the highest PET-inhibiting activity (IC₅₀ ~ 10 μM) within the whole investigated series. Acceptable activity was also found for *N*-(2-chloro-5-trifluoromethylphenyl)-(23) and *N*-(3,5-ditrifluoromethylphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides (15) with IC₅₀ 13.2 and 15.9 μM , respectively. On the other hand, derivatives 21 (3-F-4-Br), 24 (2-Br-4-CF₃) and 20 (R = 2-F-4-Cl) were completely inactive (IC₅₀ = 527, 621 and 1405 μM , respectively).

The results of this screening indicate that the position of the substituents is crucial for the activity, with the 3,5 positions being the most preferred (i.e., both *meta* positions are substituted). However, 2,5-disubstituted derivatives also showed PET-inhibiting activity when substituted with moieties with suitable properties, including electronic properties and lipophilicity. As mentioned above, lipophilicity tends to affect biological activity. The dependence of the PET-inhibiting activity, expressed as $\log(1/\text{IC}_{50} [\text{M}])$, of the investigated

a high-performance liquid chromatograph Dionex UltiMate[®] 3000 (Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL, USA) coupled with an LTQ Orbitrap XL[™] Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap Fourier Transform Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a HESI II (heated electrospray ionization) source in positive and negative mode.

3.2. Synthesis

General Procedure for the Synthesis of *N*-(Disubstituted phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides **1–24**

3-Hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid (0.5 g, 2.65 mM) was suspended in dry chlorobenzene (20 mL) at ambient temperature and phosphorus trichloride (0.12 mL, 1.35 mM), and the corresponding substituted aniline (2.65 mM) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was transferred to the microwave reactor, where the synthesis was performed (1st phase: 10 min, 100 °C; 2nd phase: 15 min, 120 °C; 3rd phase: 20 min, 130 °C; max 500 W). The mixture was then cooled to 60 °C, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was washed sequentially with hydrochloric acid and water, and the crude product was recrystallized from EtOH. All the compounds are presented in Table 1.

The synthesis and analytical data for anilides **1–12**, **15** and **21–24** were described previously [31].

N-(2,4-Dibromophenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**13**). Yield 56%; mp 241–243 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3221; 1641; 1625; 1603; 1575; 1524; 1462; 1448; 1398; 1363; 1345; 1321; 1290; 1240; 1206; 1175; 1146; 1081; 1035; 951; 913; 878; 867; 846; 825; 791; 767; 737; 688; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 11.97 (s, 1H), 11.07 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.42 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 2.2 Hz, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, *J* = 1.2 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, *J* = 1.1 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 163.6, 152.6, 136.2, 136.1, 134.4, 132.8, 131.3, 129.1, 128.6, 127.2, 125.7, 124.4, 124.0, 120.4, 116.3, 114.9, 110.8; HR-MS C₁₇H₁₂O₂NBr₂ [M + H]⁺ calculated 419.9229 *m/z*, found 419.9237 *m/z*.

N-(2,5-Dibromophenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**14**). Yield 49%; mp 233–235 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3190; 1636; 1622; 1597; 1568; 1506; 1447; 1393; 1360; 1344; 1250; 1192; 1174; 1147; 1080; 1069; 1029; 962; 915; 902; 868; 848; 796; 770; 750; 736; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 12.02 (s, 1H), 11.14 (s, 1H), 8.74 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (ddd, *J* = 1.2 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.38 (ddd, *J* = 1.1 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, *J* = 2.4 Hz, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 163.6, 152.5, 138.1, 136.2, 134.2, 133.0, 129.1, 128.7, 128.1, 127.2, 125.7, 125.0, 124.0, 120.9, 120.3, 112.7, 110.8; MS C₁₇H₁₂O₂NBr₂ [M + H]⁺ calculated 419.9229 *m/z*, found 419.9239 *m/z*.

N-(5-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**16**). Yield 80%; mp 198–203 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3194, 1640, 1625, 1615, 1601, 1538, 1488, 1432, 1393, 1356, 1346, 1249, 1214, 1176, 1148, 1065, 1038, 975, 866, 838, 786, 731, 711; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 11.86 (s, 1H), 11.25 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.40 (dd, *J* = 11.0 Hz, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 9.2 Hz, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (td, *J* = 8.6 Hz, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 163.0, 156.0 (d, *J* = 232.2 Hz), 152.4, 144.8 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz), 136.0, 132.9, 129.1, 129.0 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz), 128.5, 127.2, 125.7, 123.9, 121.0, 111.7 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 110.8, 109.0 (d, *J* = 22.8 Hz), 106.9 (d, *J* = 29.6 Hz), 57.0; HR-MS: C₁₈H₁₃FNO₃ [M – H]⁻ calculated 310.0885 *m/z*, found 310.0881 *m/z*.

N-(2-Fluoro-6-methoxyphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**17**). Yield 66%; mp 138–144 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3259, 2836, 1651, 1622, 1596, 1532, 1515, 1506, 1466, 1438, 1279, 1249, 1216, 1167, 1146, 1087, 900, 873, 834, 789, 767, 747, 728; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 11.76 (s, 1H), 10.22 (s, 1H), 8.69 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.40 (m, 3H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ: 166.3, 158.1 (d, *J* = 246.4 Hz), 155.8 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz), 154.6, 136.2, 131.0, 128.9,

128.5, 128.2 (d, $J = 10.7$ Hz), 126.8, 125.8, 123.9, 118.7, 113.7 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz), 110.9, 107.9 (d, $J = 26.4$ Hz), 107.7, 56.3; HR-MS: $C_{18}H_{13}FNO_3$ $[M - H]^-$ calculated 310.0885 m/z , found 310.0880 m/z .

N-(3-Fluoro-5-methoxyphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**18**). Yield 59%; mp 227–230 °C; IR (cm^{-1}): 3147, 1644, 1622, 1595, 1557, 1520, 1456, 1448, 1359, 1261, 1224, 1212, 1191, 1141, 1129, 1063, 999, 987, 872, 858, 816, 767, 745, 690; 1H -NMR (DMSO- d_6), δ : 11.12 (s, 1H), 10.64 (s, 1H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.40 (m, 3H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 6.62 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H); ^{13}C -NMR (DMSO- d_6), δ : 165.7, 162.9 (d, $J = 238.5$ Hz), 160.7 (d, $J = 12.9$ Hz), 153.3, 140.6 (d, $J = 13.7$ Hz), 135.7, 130.5, 128.7, 128.1, 126.9, 125.8, 123.8, 122.5, 110.5, 102.0 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 99.4 (d, $J = 27.3$ Hz), 97.0 (d, $J = 25.0$ Hz), 55.6; HR-MS: $C_{18}H_{13}FNO_3$ $[M - H]^-$ calculated 310.0885 m/z , found 310.0881 m/z .

N-(2-Chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**19**). Yield 58%; mp 187–188 °C; IR (cm^{-1}): 3177, 2954, 2834, 1638, 1624, 1598, 1539, 1462, 1447, 1427, 1358, 1305, 1274, 1262, 1220, 1167, 1147, 1135, 1063, 1028, 960, 916, 866, 845, 787, 771, 745, 719; 1H -NMR (DMSO- d_6), δ : 11.97 (s, 1H), 11.17 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J = 2.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, $J = 8.3$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (ddd, $J = 8.2$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 6.78 (dd, $J = 8.8$ Hz, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ^{13}C -NMR (DMSO- d_6), δ : 163.4, 158.5, 152.5, 136.1, 132.9, 129.6, 129.1, 128.6, 127.2, 125.7, 124.0, 120.6, 114.2, 110.8, 110.4, 108.0, 55.5; HR-MS: $C_{18}H_{15}ClNO_3$ $[M + H]^+$ calculated 328.0735 m/z , found 328.0737 m/z .

N-(4-Chloro-2-fluorophenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**20**). Yield 75%; mp 267–269 °C; IR (cm^{-1}): 3194, 1647, 1627, 1601, 1552, 1489, 1449, 1414, 1393, 1357, 1338, 1259, 1207, 1174, 1147, 1118, 1064, 951, 918, 897, 870, 841, 820, 767, 740, 722, 667; 1H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 11.85 (s, 1H), 10.97 (s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 8.37 (t, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, $J = 2.4$ Hz, $J = 10.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.52 (ddd, $J = 1.2$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, $J = 1.1$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.34 (ddd, $J = 1.2$ Hz, $J = 2.4$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- d_6), δ : 163.8, 152.8 (d, $J = 247.5$ Hz), 152.8, 136.1, 132.4, 129.0, 128.5, 127.9 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 127.1, 125.7, 125.7 (d, $J = 10.7$ Hz), 124.9 (d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 124.0, 123.6, 120.4, 115.9 (d, $J = 23.1$ Hz), 110.9; HR-MS: $C_{17}H_{12}ClFNO_2$ $[M + H]^+$ calculated 316.0535 m/z , found 316.0535 m/z .

3.3. Study of Inhibition of Photosynthetic Electron Transport (PET) in Spinach Chloroplasts

Chloroplasts were prepared from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) according to Kralova et al. [36]. Screening was performed as described previously [e.g., 19–25,31]. A selective herbicide 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea, DCMU (Diuron[®], Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as a standard. The results are summarized in Table 1.

4. Conclusions

A series of 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides substituted with two similar or different atoms or groups on the anilide ring was prepared under microwave-assisted conditions and tested for their ability to inhibit photosynthetic electron transport (PET) in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) chloroplasts. *N*-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**8**), *N*-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**5**), *N*-(2,5-difluorophenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**6**) and *N*-(2,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamide (**3**) exhibited the highest PET-inhibiting activity with their IC_{50} values ranging from 9.8 to 11.6 μ M. The $C_{(3,5)}$ ' and $C_{(2,5)}$ ' disubstituted isomers were found to be the most active among the test compounds. Furthermore, for diOCH₃/diCH₃/diF substituted derivatives, a Clog P value of approximately 5 is important, while for diCl/diBr/diCF₃ substituted derivatives, PET inhibition increases with increasing lipophilicity to a Clog P value of 6.8 of *N*-(3,5-ditrifluoromethylphenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides (**15**) with $IC_{50} = 15.9$ μ M. The electronic properties of the substituents play a complementary role and the electron-withdrawing properties

($\sigma_{(Ar)}$ ca. 0.6 to 1.2) for PET activity seem to be more advantageous. Based on the structural similarity of the investigated compounds with previously published isomers, it can be concluded that these hydroxynaphthanilides inhibit PET in photosystem II.

Author Contributions: J.K., T.G. and I.J. synthesized and characterized the compounds. M.O. performed analytical measurement. J.K. performed biological screening. J.J. designed the compounds. J.K., T.G. and J.J. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (projects APVV-17-0373 and APVV-17-0318). This work is based on use of Large Research Infrastructure CzeCOS supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic within the CzeCOS program, grant number LM2018123; M.O. was supported by SustES—Adaptation strategies for sustainable ecosystem services and food security under adverse environmental conditions, project no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000797.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Importance & Benefits of Pesticides. CropLife International: Brussels, Belgium, 2020. Available online: <https://pesticidefacts.org/topics/necessity-of-pesticides/> (accessed on 19 May 2021).
2. Gianessi, L.P. The increasing importance of herbicides in worldwide crop production. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* **2013**, *69*, 1099–1105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Gianessi, L.; Williams, A. The importance of herbicides for natural resource conservation in the USA. In *Convergence of Food Security, Energy Security and Sustainable Agriculture*; Songstad, D., Hatfield, J., Tomes, D., Eds.; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2014; pp. 333–350.
4. Classification of Herbicides. Weed Management in Horticulture Crops. Available online: <http://ecoursesonline.iasri.res.in/mod/page/view.php?id=12032> (accessed on 19 May 2021).
5. Forouzesh, A.; Zand, E.; Soufizadeh, S.; Foroushani, S.S. Classification of herbicides according to chemical family for weed resistance management strategies—An update. *Weed Res.* **2015**, *55*, 334–358. [CrossRef]
6. Herbicide Resistance Action Committee. HRAC Mode of Action Classification 2020 Map. Available online: <https://hracglobal.com/tools/hrac-mode-of-action-classification-2020-map> (accessed on 19 May 2021).
7. Draber, W.; Tietjen, K.; Kluth, J.F.; Trebst, A. Herbicides in photosynthesis research. *Angew. Chem.* **1991**, *3*, 1621–1633. [CrossRef]
8. Tischer, W.; Strotmann, H. Relationship between inhibitor binding by chloroplasts and inhibition of photosynthetic electron-transport. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1977**, *460*, 113–125. [CrossRef]
9. Trebst, A.; Draber, W. Structure activity correlations of recent herbicides in photosynthetic reactions. In *Advances in Pesticide Science*; Greissbuehler, H., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1979; pp. 223–234.
10. Bowyer, J.R.; Camilleri, P.; Vermaas, W.F.J. *Herbicides, Topics in Photosynthesis*; Baker, N.R., Percival, M.P., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1991; pp. 27–85.
11. Izawa, S. Acceptors and donors for chloroplast electron transport. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Part, C., Colowick, P., Kaplan, N.O., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1980; pp. 413–434.
12. Whitmarsh, J. Electron transport and energy transduction. In *Photosynthesis: A Comprehensive Treatise*; Raghavendra, A.S., Ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1998; pp. 87–110.
13. Jablonkai, I. Molecular mechanism of action of herbicides. In *Herbicides—Mechanisms and Mode of Action*; Abd El-Ghany Hasaneen, M.N., Ed.; IntechOpen: Rijeka, Croatia, 2011; Chapter 1. Available online: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/herbicides-physiology-of-action-and-safety/modes-of-action-of-different-classes-of-herbicides> (accessed on 19 May 2021).
14. Sherwani, S.I.; Arif, I.A.; Khan, H.A. Modes of action of different classes of herbicides. In *Herbicides—Physiology of Action, and Safety*; Price, A., Kelton, J., Sarunaite, L., Eds.; IntechOpen: Rijeka, Croatia, 2015; Chapter 8. Available online: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/herbicides-physiology-of-action-and-safety/modes-of-action-of-different-classes-of-herbicides> (accessed on 19 May 2021).
15. Huppatz, J.L.; McFadden, H.G. Understanding the topography of the photosystem II herbicide binding niche: Does QSAR help? *Z. Naturforsch.* **1993**, *48*, 140–145. [CrossRef]
16. Lambrea, M.D.; Russo, D.; Polticelli, F.; Scognamiglio, V.; Antonacci, A.; Zobnina, V.; Campi, G.; Rea, G. Structure/function/dynamics of photosystem II plastoquinone binding sites. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* **2014**, *15*, 285–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Trebst, A. Inhibitors in the functional dissection of the photosynthetic electron transport system. *Photosynth. Res.* **2007**, *92*, 217–224. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Teixeira, R.R.; de Andrade Barros, M.V.; Bressan, G.C.; Siqueira, R.P.; Dos Santos, F.S.; Bertazzini, M.; Kiralj, R.; Ferreira, M.M.C.; Forlani, G. Synthesis, theoretical studies, and effect on the photosynthetic electron transport of trifluoromethyl arylamides. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* **2017**, *73*, 2360–2371. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Dolezal, M.; Zitko, J.; Osicka, Z.; Kunes, J.; Vejsova, M.; Buchta, V.; Dohnal, J.; Jampilek, J.; Kralova, K. Synthesis, antimycobacterial, antifungal and photosynthesis-inhibiting activity of chlorinated N-phenylpyrazine-2-carboxamides. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 8567–8581. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Imramovsky, A.; Pesko, M.; Kralova, K.; Vejsova, M.; Stolarikova, J.; Vinsova, J.; Jampilek, J. Investigating spectrum of biological activity of 4- and 5-chloro-2-hydroxy-N-[2-(arylamino)-1-alkyl-2-oxoethyl]benzamides. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 2414–2430. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Imramovsky, A.; Pesko, M.; Monreal-Ferriz, J.; Kralova, K.; Vinsova, J.; Jampilek, J. Photosynthesis-inhibiting efficiency of 4-chloro-2-(chlorophenylcarbamoyl)phenyl alkyl-carbamates. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21*, 4564–4567. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Fajkusova, D.; Pesko, M.; Keltosova, S.; Guo, J.; Oktabec, Z.; Vejsova, M.; Kollar, P.; Coffey, A.; Csollei, J.; Kralova, K.; et al. Anti-infective and herbicidal activity of N-substituted 2-aminobenzothiazoles. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *20*, 7059–7068. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Zadrazilova, I.; Pesko, M.; Keltosova, S.; Tengler, J.; Bobal, P.; Kollar, P.; Cizek, A.; Kralova, K.; et al. Antimycobacterial and herbicidal activity of ring-substituted 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *21*, 6531–6541. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Pesko, M.; Dohanosova, J.; Oravec, M.; Liptaj, T.; Kralova, K.; Jampilek, J. Halogenated 1-Hydroxynaphthalene-2-Carboxanilides Affecting Photosynthetic Electron Transport in Photosystem II. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1709. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Bak, A.; Pizova, H.; Kozik, V.; Vorcakova, K.; Kos, J.; Tremel, J.; Odehnalova, K.; Oravec, M.; Imramovsky, A.; Bobal, P.; et al. SAR-mediated Similarity Assessment of the Property Profile for New, Silicon-Based AChE/BChE Inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 5385. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Pattabiraman, V.R.; Bode, J.W. Rethinking amide bond synthesis. *Nature* **2011**, *480*, 471–479. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Gonec, T.; Zadrazilova, I.; Nevin, E.; Kauerova, T.; Pesko, M.; Kos, J.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; Coffey, A.; O'Mahony, J.; et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of N-alkoxyphenyl-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2015**, *20*, 9767–9787. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Teixeira, R.R.; Pereira, J.L.; Pereira, W.L. Photosynthetic inhibitors. In *Applied Photosynthesis*; Najafpour, M., Ed.; InTech: Rijeka, Croatia, 2012; pp. 3–22.
29. Kos, J.; Zadrazilova, I.; Pesko, M.; Keltosova, S.; Tengler, J.; Gonec, T.; Bobal, P.; Kauerova, T.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; et al. Antibacterial and herbicidal activity of ring-substituted 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 7977–7997. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Zadrazilova, I.; Pesko, M.; Govender, R.; Keltosova, S.; Chambel, B.; Pereira, D.; Kollar, P.; Imramovsky, A.; et al. Antibacterial and herbicidal activity of ring-substituted 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 9397–9419. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Gonec, T.; Kralova, K.; Pesko, M.; Jampilek, J. Antimycobacterial N-alkoxyphenylhydroxynaphthalene-carboxamides affecting photosystem II. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *27*, 1881–1885. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Jampilek, J.; Kralova, K.; Pesko, M.; Kos, J. Ring-substituted 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carboxanilides as photosystem II inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *26*, 3862–3865. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Bak, A.; Kos, J.; Michnova, H.; Gonec, T.; Pospisilova, S.; Kozik, V.; Cizek, A.; Smolinski, A.; Jampilek, J. Similarity-driven pharmacophore mapping for series of N-(disubstituted-phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 6583. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Kerns, E.H.; Di, L. *Drug-Like Properties: Concepts. Structure Design and Methods: From ADME to Toxicity Optimization*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2008.
35. Jampilek, J. Potential of agricultural fungicides for antifungal drug discovery. *Expert Opin. Drug Dis.* **2016**, *11*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Masarovicova, E.; Kralova, K. Approaches to measuring plant photosynthesis activity. In *Handbook of Photosynthesis*, 2nd ed.; Pessaraki, M., Ed.; Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2005; pp. 617–656.