



Daniel Pecorari 🔍, Andrea Mazzanti and Michele Mancinelli *

Department of Industrial Chemistry "Toso Montanari", University of Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 4, 40136 Bologna, Italy; daniel.pecorari2@unibo.it (D.P.); andrea.mazzanti@unibo.it (A.M.) * Correspondence: michele.mancinelli@unibo.it

Abstract: Atorvastatins play an important role in the inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase, an enzyme present in the liver that takes part in the biosynthesis of cholesterol. In this article, we report the total synthesis of a lactone–atorvastatin prodrug with additional atropisomeric features. Conformational and experimental studies of model compounds were designed to test the stability of the chiral axis. Docking calculations were performed to evaluate the constant inhibition of a library of atorvastatins. Full synthesis of the best candidate was achieved and thermally stable atropisomeric lactone–atorvastatin was obtained. The absolute configuration of the chiral axis of the atropisomers was assigned by means of chiroptical ECD spectroscopy coupled with TD-DFT calculations.

Keywords: atropisomer; atorvastatin; atropostatin; dynamic NMR; docking calculations; ECD; DFT; TD-DFT calculations

1. Introduction

The biological activity of drugs relies on the ability to interact with enzymes and receptors, mainly changing the activity of a selected active site. In this contest, chirality plays a fundamental role for the interactions in a biological system, increasing the activity and selectivity of the drug. Chiral organic molecules interact differently with the active sites of enzymes in different chemical–physical ways in order to favor specific spatial conformations [1].

Almost the totality of chiral drugs bears stereogenic carbons as their source of chirality, while axial chirality in pharmaceutical research has usually been considered as an issue, instead of an opportunity. The troubles arise from their difficult synthesis and kinetic axial control in a biological environment [2]. However, atropisomers have been found in naturally occurring compounds and their increase in activity and selectivity towards the respective enzymes has undoubtedly been evident [3–7].

Recently, many atropisomeric drugs or atropisomeric modifications of known drugs have been reported in the literature, which is a sign of a new field emerging within drug development research, and many atropselective syntheses have been presented [8–14].

Atorvastatin is well known for its inhibition of the enzyme 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutarylcoenzyme A reductase (HMGR), fundamental for the synthesis of endogenous cholesterol. Atorvastatin is effective at reducing levels of total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, and is mainly prescribed for patients with dyslipidemia and cardiovascular disease [15]. Besides its considerable number of benefits, the drug also demonstrates serious side effects, such as muscle breakdown, kidney damage or liver problems, or less serious side effects, such as nausea, headaches and nosebleeds [16–18]. Consequently, the improvement of statin effectiveness is an ambitious target to lower the active dosage and hence to reduce side effects.

The chemical structure of atorvastatin combines an enantiopure dihydroxyheptanoatechain bonded to the nitrogen of a decorated pyrrole scaffold (Scheme 1). The chiral portion has been found to be essential for the inhibition of the HMGR [19]. Positions 2 and 5



Citation: Pecorari, D.; Mazzanti, A.; Mancinelli, M. Atropostatin: Design and Total Synthesis of an Atropisomeric Lactone–Atorvastatin Prodrug. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 3176. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules28073176

Academic Editors: Wenling Qin and Gianpiero Cera

Received: 14 February 2023 Revised: 30 March 2023 Accepted: 31 March 2023 Published: 3 April 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). of pyrrole are occupied by *p*-fluorophenyl and isopropyl groups, respectively; position 4 is occupied by a phenyl amide and position 3 by a phenyl group. The substitutions in positions 2 and 5 are dictated by the geometric shape of the active site of the enzyme, while the substitutions in positions 3 and 4 raised the efficacy of the drug as shown in SAR studies.



Scheme 1. (Left): atorvastatin; middle: atorvastatin models used for computational and kinetic studies; (right): atropostatins with chiral axis.

Herein, we have reported a library of atorvastatins with structural modifications at position 3 of pyrrole to develop an additional chiral axis with a racemization barrier bigger than 30 kcal/mol (Class III atropisomers in the Laplante terminology [4,5]), yielding consequently diastereomeric drugs with potentially different pharmacological effects. DFT calculations and kinetic experiments were used to evaluate the rotational racemization barrier in atorvastatin-model compounds lacking the chiral chain (Sections 2.1 and 2.3). Then, preliminary docking simulations were performed to assess the biological activity vs. standard atorvastatin (Section 2.4). Finally, a new synthetic pathway for the total synthesis of the best candidate was also presented, obtaining diastereoisomeric lactone–atorvastatin pro-drugs (Section 3).

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. DFT Calculations

The stability of the chiral axis, at human body temperature (37 °C), is of fundamental importance in order to consider the atropisomer as a single-entity drug. Therefore, we first evaluated the energy barriers for racemization of the chiral axis using an atorvastatin model library (Scheme 1).

The opportunity to simplify the structure of atorvastatin resulted in faster DFT calculation and easier, more cost-effective, compound synthesis (see Section 2.2). The modifications must not alter the steric environment of the chiral axis, thus obtaining highly representative results of the complete atropostatins (Scheme 1).

In the atorvastatin model structures, the *p*-fluorophenyl at position 2 of pyrrole was substituted by a phenyl group and the dihydroxyheptanoate chain was replaced by a methyl group. The stereogenic axis at position 3 of the pyrrole was realized by using an asymmetric aryl ring, which was forced out of the planar pyrrole plane, with the skew angle being larger the bigger was the steric hindrance in the ortho positions. The asymmetry of aryl and the perpendicularity with pyrrole plane generates *P* and *M* atropisomeric conformations [20,21].

P/M interconversion (i.e., racemization of the chiral axis) was investigated by calculating the ground and transition states for compounds **1a–1h** with density functional theory (DFT) using B3LYP-D3/6–31G(d) with empirical dispersion [22].

In Figure 1, we report the atorvastatin model **1a** having an *o*-tolyl group at position 3 of pyrrole. All the pyrrole substituents in *GS-M* generate a helical geared system where the torsional motions of rings are strongly correlated (Figure 1). Therefore, the rotation of the *o*-tolyl group drives the movement of the other substituents in the same direction.



1a





Figure 1. Ground and transition states for the rotation of the 3-tolyl group in **1a**. Theoretical studies performed at the B3LYP-D3/6–31G(d) level of theory.

In the lowest energy *GS-M*, the isopropyl CH proton is close to the NMe-pyrrole, whereas the carbonyl of the amide is directed toward the isopropyl substituent. The evaluation of the energy rotational barrier of 3-aryl groups was obtained by modelling two plausible TS starting from the *GS-M*. The expected TS must have the aryl coplanar with the pyrrole ring in order to convert the chiral axis from *GS-M* to *GS-P*. The TS0 regards the *o*-methyl substituent close to the carbamate, while in TS180 it is on the phenyl side at position 2. In the TS geometries, the carbamate and the phenyl are moved to be perpendicular to the pyrrole to allow space at the planar aryl. The *GS-M* and *GS-P* interconversion occurs by the lowest energy path between TS-0 and TS-180. With these considerations, we optimized the GS geometries and the rotational energy barriers of a library of pyrroles with different aryl steric hindrance at position 3. The results have been summarized in Table 1 (see Section 2.3). The pyrroles **1a** and **1b** have a rotational energy barrier too low to be configurationally stable at +37 °C (23.4 kcal/mol and

24.5 kcal/mol, respectively), while **1c-f** have an estimated rotational energy barrier higher than 31 kcal/mol, suitable for a biological study.

Table 1. Calculated energies (kcal/mol in the gas phase) of TS and experimental ΔG^{\neq} of compounds **1a–1h** at the B3LYP-D3/6–31G(d) with empirical dispersion. ZPE-corrected free energy has been reported. The experimental energy barriers were determined using the reported technique.

Compounds	Aryl	TS0	TS180	ΔG≠ _{exp} (kcal/mol)	$T_{1/2}$ at +37 $^\circ C$
		Cald. Value		Exp. Value	
1a	o-tolyl	25.2	25.3	D-NMR 21.2	2 min Class I
1b	1-naphthyl	25.3	25.6	D-HPLC 21.7	4 min Class I
1c	2-methyl-1-naphthyl	37.7	37.4	Kinetic exp. >36	>80,000 years Class III
1d	2,3-dimethyl-1-naphthyl	36.8	37.5	Kinetic exp. >36	>80,000 years Class III
1e	2-methoxy-1-naphthyl	32.7	31.1	Kinetic exp. 30.3	8 years Class III
1f	2,3-dimetoxy-1-naphthyl	34.3	31.3	Kinetic exp. 33.7	1993 years Class III
1g	2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl	35.6	34.8	Kinetic exp. 32.3	205 years Class III
1h	2-benzyloxy-1-naphthyl	34.6	32.5	Kinetic exp. 32.9	544 years Class III

2.2. Synthesis of Atorvastatin Models

The experimental rotational energy barriers of atorvastatin models were determined after planning a feasible synthetic route with these bulky aryl substituents. A good survey of examples of atorvastatin synthesis is reported in the literature [23–25], but the aryl steric hindrance at position 3 poses additional issues, lowering the reaction yields. For this reason, we focused our attention on a zirconium-catalyzed method for pyrrole cascade cyclization [26], with appropriate modifications for our purposes. The proposed pathway to cyclization requires the use of an enamine (**2**) and a nitrovinyl–aryl compound (**3**, Scheme 2). The enamine **2** was synthesized with high purity starting from commercially available 4-Methyl-3-oxo-N-phenylpentanamide and MeNH₂ in refluxing ethanol, without needing further purification (Scheme S1, I). Additionally, the nitroalkenes 3 were prepared with the classic Henry reaction with quantitative yields (Scheme S1, II).



Scheme 2. The synthetic pathway for the preparation of atorvastatin models 1a-1h.

Using enamine **2** and nitrovinyl-aryl **3** with zirconocene catalyst, the pyrrole **4** was synthesized with good yields (up to 67%, Scheme 2). Successively, compound **5** was obtained by bromination at position 2 of pyrrole with NBS (quantitative yield). Eventually, a Suzuki coupling reaction yielded the final model products **1a–1f** and **1h**. With this strategy, highly hindered pyrroles were synthesized with very good total yields (up to 97%; see experimental section). Nevertheless, compound **1g** with 2-naphthol aryl substituent could not be synthesized through this strategy. In fact, the cyclization step (first reaction in Scheme 2) yielded a large number of by-products, probably due to the presence of the free hydroxyl group on the naphthyl ring. This drawback was promptly solved by preparing compound **1e** (2-methoxy-1-naphthyl) and then deprotecting the methoxy group with BBr₃ (Scheme S5 in SI).

2.3. Kinetic Studies of Rotational Energy Barriers

With the synthesized atorvastatin model pyrroles **1a–1h** in hand, we investigated the rotational energy barriers of the *M* atropisomeric interconversion with kinetic experiments [21,27–29]. For the pyrrole **1a**, variable temperature NMR (D-NMR) experiments monitoring the multiplicity of the isopropyl group allowed us to determine a racemization barrier of 21.2 kcal/mol, fully consistent with the DFT calculated data (Figure S1 and Table 1) [30].

Although high NMR temperatures were used (>100 °C), no dynamic process was observable in the compound **1b**, highlighting an energy barrier above 21 kcal/mol. On the other hand, the CSP-HPLC chromatogram taken at 25 °C showed a low plateau between the two atropisomer peaks, thus implying that racemization occurred within the column. Thus, chromatograms were recorded at different temperatures (dynamic HPLC) to evaluate the energy barrier and their simulation provided an energy barrier of 21.7 kcal/mol (Figure S2).

As the computed rotational energy barriers of **1e–1h** were very high (31.1 to 37.7 kcal/mol, Table 1), the respective atropisomers were resolved through CSP-HPLC and the racemization barrier was determined by kinetic analysis (Figures S3–S6). A sample of the first eluted atropisomer was heated at constant temperature in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, and aliquots of the solution were collected at different times and analyzed by CSP-HPLC at 25 °C to measure the atropisomeric excess. For compounds **1c** and **1d**, no racemization occurred at +146 °C after 24 h and the energy barriers were extrapolated as higher than 36 kcal/mol.

All experimental and computational data are reported in Table 1, confirming the reliability of our computational results.

2.4. Docking of Modified Atorvastatins

The final goal of this research is a feasible synthesis of an atropostatin **II** (Figure 1, right) with a chiral axis.

The most promising candidate within the library of atropostatin **II** was selected using docking simulations using AutoGrid 4.0 and AutoDock4.2 software packages (see SI) [31,32]. Docking simulations were performed to characterize the binding pose of our candidate atropostatin **II** inhibitor of 1HWK complex of the catalytic portion of human HMG-CoA reductase.

We employed 1HWK crystallographic data downloaded from the RCSB Protein Data Bank [33] and we tested the known atorvastatin I (Scheme 1, left).

The resulting interactions of the top-scoring model were mostly related to the chiral alkyl chain (Figure 2, left image) and fully consistent with the literature data [33].



Figure 2. Top-scoring conformation found with AutoDock4. On the (**left**) atorvastatin **I**, on the (**right**) *M*-2-hydroxy-naphthyl atropostatin *M*-**IIg**.

The hydroxyl groups of the chiral alkyl chain interacted with the aminoacidic residues located in the cis loop (as Ser684, Arg590, Asp690, Lys691 and Lys692).

The binding mode and affinities of the evaluated compounds within the catalytic sites of the enzyme were calculated for all *M* and *P* atropisomers of the atropostatins **II** (Scheme 1, right and Figure 2, right image).

We analyzed the location and orientation of the candidate compounds and their interactions with the binding sites of the 1HWK crystal structure, and we found the same disposition of known atorvastatin I. The predicted binding free energy (which includes torsional free energy and intermolecular energy) was used as the criterion for ranking. The conformation with the lowest binding free energy value was considered to be the best docking result (Table 2). Ligand efficacy and virtual inhibition constants (k_i) at nanomolar concentration were calculated.

Compounds	Aryl	Binding Energy (kcal/mol)	Ligand Efficacy	K _i (nM)	Binding Energy (kcal/mol)	Ligand Efficacy	K _i (nM)
I	Phenyl	-11.39	-0.28	4.50	-	-	-
		<i>M</i> atropisomer			P atropisomer		
IIa	o-tolyl	-11.39	-0.28	3.21	-11.31	-0.27	5.14
IIb	1-naphthyl	-11.59	-0.27	0.90	-11.74	-0.26	2.49
IIc	2-methyl-1-naphthyl	-12.34	-0.26	1.39	-12.31	-0.27	0.96
IId	2,3-dimethyl-1-naphthyl	-12.08	-0.26	1.35	-12.50	-0.27	0.69
IIe	2-methoxy-1-naphthyl	-12.10	-0.24	6.13	-10.43	-0.22	22.54
IIf	2,3-dimetoxy-1-naphthyl	-11.20	-0.23	4.27	-12.03	-0.25	1.52
IIg	2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl	-12.6	-0.27	0.58	-11.47	-0.25	3.91

Table 2. AutoDock4.2 docking calculation on 1HWK enzyme with atropostatins II.

All compounds were docked and fit satisfactory well into the active sites of 1HWK. Although the activity of the M and P atropisomers of each atropostatin II is indeed

different, the preference of one stereoisomer over the other was not predictable in most cases. Nevertheless, we found that *M*-IIg atropostatin was the most active atropisomer of the library, with a k_i of 0.58 nM and binding energy of -12.6 kcal/mol. The k_i was much lower than I ($k_i = 4.5$ nM) and it was nearly four-fold more active than respective *P*-IIg diastereoisomer ($k_i = 3.91$ nM), highlighting an effective difference in activity between the two atropostatin IIg. We assume that the particular conformation created by the hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl and the amidic carbonyl create a hydrophobic surface more apt for the active site than the respective atropisomer *P*-IIg and atorvastatin I itself. Therefore, atropostatin *M*-IIg was chosen to attempt a full synthesis.

2.5. Full Synthesis of **M-IIg** Atropostatin as Lactone Prodrug

As it is known that atorvastatins can be employed in many chemical forms, we decided to prepare the lactone–atropostatine because of its simpler purification and characterization. The lactone form of atorvastatin would be absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract producing the active drug in the liver, thus acting as a prodrug [34].

Firstly, we used the previous synthesis used for the atorvastatin model **1g** with few modifications to obtain atropostatin **1g'** (Scheme 3).





Scheme 3. Full synthesis of atropostatin 1g'.

For the preparation of the enamine 2', bearing the enantiopure chain, we used the commercially available amine t-butyl-[6-(2-aminoethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-[1,3]dioxan-4-yl]-acetate instead of methylamine (Scheme S6). The reaction was performed in EtOH with 1% mol of Yb(OTf)₃ as a catalyst at +40 °C [35]. The resulting sticky oil enamine 2' can be used without further purification, or it can be crystallized from ACN, with a 65% yield. The nitroalkene **3g**, as previously shown, cannot be used; therefore, we used the 2-methoxy-naphthyl nitroalkene 3e. Using a 1.2 eq excess, the yield of the cyclization step increased to 63%, yielding the pyrrole 4e' as a couple of stereolable diastereoisomeric conformations, owing to the lack of substituents at position 2 of pyrrole. While the bromination at position 2 occurred with quantitative yield obtaining 5e', the following Suzuki reaction to 1e' appeared to be challenging. In the same reaction conditions of Scheme 2, the use of *p*-fluorophenyl boronic acid yielded, after 12 h reaction, significant amounts of de-brominated pyrrole 4e'. Moreover, purification of pyrrole 4e' from 1e' using chromatography on silica showed different results. However, using a semi-preparative CSP-HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column), it was possible to separate 4e' from 1e' and obtain the two atropisomers 1e' with 22% yields each (Figure S10). However, pyrrole 4e' could be recycled to prepare 5e'. Unfortunately, the deprotection step from 1e' to prepare 1g' with BBr₃ failed, resulting in a complex mixture, formed perhaps by the cleavage of the amide group.

Therefore, we switched to a more suitable protecting group, such as the benzyl group, and we were able to obtain the synthesis of atropostastatin **1g'** with few modifications (Scheme 3). We noted that an increase in zirconocene catalyst loading affected the yields of the first step in this reaction (53%, **4h'**), along with an increase of temperature. Additionally, a decrease of the temperature in the second step leads to a more selective bromination at position 2 of the pyrrole ring (91%, **5h'**). Successively, the Suzuki reaction allowed us to obtain the pyrrole **1h'**. Additionally, in this case, a non-negligible amount of de-brominated

reagent **4h**' was found at the end of the reaction. With a semi-preparative CSP-HPLC separation, it was possible to obtain **1h**' as separated pure diastereoisomers (33% yield of each diastereoisomer after CSP-HPLC separation, Figure S12).

The last step in the synthesis of the prodrug lactone-atropostatin 7 was the deprotection of hydroxyls and *t*-butyl ester (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Full synthesis of atropostatin 7g'. CSP-HPLC separation of lactone atropostatin 7h.

The mixture of **4h'** and **1h'** was reacted with aqueous HCl (5 N) in THF at reflux for two hours to obtain lactone atropostatin **6h** and **7h** (yield 63%). The solution of lactones was extracted with EtOAc and an easy separation of the two lactones (**6h** and **7h**) was performed in silica gel column. Afterwards, the lactone atropisomers *M*-**7h** and *P*-**7h** were separated by CSP-HPLC, with Chiralpack AD-H column (*n*-Hexane/*i*-PrOH 80:20, flow 20 mL/min, Scheme 3). Lastly, the cleavage of the benzyl for each atropisomer was carried out using Pd(OH)₂/C as a catalyst and Et₃SiH (10 eq) as the H₂ source (*M*-**7g** and *P*-**7g**, yields 97%). The assignment of the absolute configuration is reported in the next chapter.

2.6. Absolute Configuration of M-7g and P-7g Prodrug

Despite many attempts, good crystals for compounds **7h** and **7g** could not be obtained; therefore, the anomalous dispersion X-ray diffraction could not be used to assign the absolute configuration (AC) of compounds. Moreover, despite the presence of known chirality along the chain (lactone is R,R), any NMR NOE experiment could not help to deduce the AC owing to the long distance between the chiral axis and the protons in the chiral chain.

More conveniently, the chiroptical properties and, in particular, the electronic circular dichroism spectrum (ECD) in combination with time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) was used to discriminate the M or P chiral axis of the desired compounds [36–40].

The experimental ECD spectra of compounds *M*-7g and *P*-7g show very similar bands, with the expected opposite trend. For 7g, obtained from the first eluted 7h, the typical absorption of the 1-naphthyl chromophore was found as a strong negative CD band at 255 nm. The ECD spectrum also shows 2 other weak positive bands at 230 nm and 285 nm owing to the dipoles of benzyl, phenyl and pyrrole chromophores.

Although the two atropostatin lactone **7g** are diastereoisomers, the final ECD spectrum is not appreciably influenced by the chiral chain, which is not a strong chromophore. For a faster calculation, the ECD spectrum was therefore calculated for the *M***-7g** model and compared with the experimental diastereoisomer spectra of **7g** (Figure 3).



Figure 3. *M*-7g and *P*-7g atropostatin–lactones experimental ECD spectra, recorded in ACN (red and green lines). Their comparison with the calculated spectra of *M*-7g model is shown (blue lines). Four different functionals and 6-311++G(2d,p) basis set were used. To obtain a better overlap, the calculated spectra were red-shifted by 10 nm for CAM-B3LYP and M06-2X, 14 nm for BH&HLYP and 12 nm for ω B97-XD, while they were multiplied by a factor of 0.23 for CAM-B3LYP, 0.25 for BH&HLYP, 0.24 for ω B97-XD and 0.18 for M06-2X.

The computed ECD spectrum of each atropisomer involved the simulation of all the populated GS, followed by their weighted sum by Boltzmann population (Figure 3). For the *M*-7g model, four GSs were found depending on the helical disposition of the pyrrole substituents (see Figures S7 and S8 in Supporting Information). Simulations were performed with four different functions (for data redundancy) and the 6-311++G(2d,p) basis set including the acetonitrile as solvent (IEF-PCM approach) [41,42].

In Figure 3, the calculated spectrum for the *M*-7g model shows a good overlap with the experimental spectrum of the first atropostatin lactone 7g. Therefore, the *M* configuration at the chiral axis was assigned to the first 7g diastereoisomer, derived from the first eluted CSP-HPLC 7h (red). Consequently, the *P* AC was assigned to the second diastereoisomer 7g, obtained from the second eluted 7h (green).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemical Synthesis

Analytical-grade solvents and commercially available reagents were used as received. The commercially available reagents used were: 4-methyl-3-oxopentanoate; *t*-butyl 2-((4*R*,6*R*)-6-(2-aminoethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate; sodium bicarbonate; hydrochloric acid;

sodium chloride; methylamine (33% w in ethanol); 2-methylbenzaldehyde; 2,3-dimethyl-1naphthaldehyde; 2-methyl-1-naphthaldehyde; 1-naphthaldeyde; 2-methoxy-1-naphthaldehyde; 2,3-dimethoxy-1-naphthaldehyde; 2-(Benzyloxy)-1-naphthaldehyde; ammonium acetate; nitromethane; sodium acetate; bromine; phenylboronic acid; *p*-fluorophenylboronic acid; potassium carbonate; tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0); *N*-bromosuccinimide, zirconocene dichloride; and boron tribromide (1.0 M in dichloromethane).

The following solvents were used: toluene; hexane; ethanol; petroleum ether; dichloromethane; chloroform; CPME; ethyl acetate; demineralized water; and THF anhydrous. Deuterated solvents for NMR spectra are commercially available.

The following stationary phases were employed for the chromatography: silica gel 60 Å F254 (Merck) for TLC and silica gel 60 Å (230–400 mesh), Sigma-Aldrich, Merck Life Science S.r.l. Milano, Italy, for atmospheric pressure chromatography. The glassware used in these reactions was placed in an oven at 70 $^{\circ}$ C for at least 3 h immediately before use.

A Waters 600 HPLC pump and a Waters 2487 UV detector with a wavelength set at 254 nm were used to purify the products. The columns used are described in the products characterizations.

NMR spectra were recorded using a spectrometer operating at a field of 14.4 T (600 MHz for ¹H and 151 MHz for ¹³C). ¹⁹F spectra were recorded at 9.4 T (376 MHz). Chemical shifts are given in parts per million relative to the internal standard tetramethyl-silane (¹H and ¹³C) or relative to the residual peak of the solvents. The ¹⁹F spectra chemical shifts were relative to the external standard CFCl₃. The 151 MHz ¹³C spectra were acquired under proton decoupling conditions with a 36,000 Hz spectral width, 5.5 μ s (60° tip angle) pulse width, 1 s acquisition time and a 5 s delay time. The ¹³C signals were assigned by distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer spectra (DEPT 1 and 1.5).

HRMS spectra were recorded on a Waters TOF Premier spectrometer.

3.2. ECD

The ECD spectra of compounds **7g** were acquired in the 190–400 nm region using a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter in far-UV HPLC-grade acetonitrile solution. Concentration was about 1×10^{-4} M, tuned by dilution in order to obtain a maximum absorbance between 0.8 and 1 with a cell path of 0.2 cm. The spectra were obtained by an average of 6 scans at 50 nm·min⁻¹ scan rate.

3.3. Kinetic Experiments

The racemization rate measurements were performed as follows: an aliquot of a pure atropisomer of **1b** was dissolved in 1 mL of $C_2D_2Cl_4$ in a two-neck balloon, one neck with a septum for sampling and one neck for inserting a thermometer. The balloon was kept at 50 °C. $C_2D_2Cl_4$ was chosen because of its high boiling point and good vapor pressure, which allow it to easily evaporate. Small aliquots were taken at different times, the solvent was evaporated and the sample was analyzed by enantioselective HPLC, which allowed the determination of the enantiomeric ratio at different reaction times. A first-order kinetic equation was then used to derive the rate constant for racemization and, hence, the activation barrier using the Eyring equation.

3.4. 5-Methyl-3-(methylamino)-N-phenylhex-2-enamide (2)

To a solution of 4-methyl-3-oxo-*N*-phenylpentanamid (3.72 g, 18.2 mmol) in ethanol (0.5 M, 7.49 mL), methylamine (33% w solution in EtOH, 17.27 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 7 h and concentrated in vacuo, obtaining a yellow oil used without further purification (3.97 g).

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 1.14 (d, J = 6.97p, 6H), 2.77 (sept. J = 6.97 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (d, J = 5.35 Hz, 3H), 4.60 (s, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 7.39 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.54 Hz, 2H), 6.67 (s broad, 1H), 9.21 (s broad, 1H);

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 21.6 (2 CH₃), 28.9 (CH), 29.1 (CH₃), 81.2 (CH), 119.6 (2 CH), 122.8 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 141.5 (Cq), 170.8 (Cq), 171.7 (Cq).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 219.1497 C₁₃H₁₉N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 219.1495.

3.5. General Procedure for the Synthesis of β -nitrovinyl Aryls (3)

A stirred mixture of the corresponding aldehyde (3 mmol, 1 eq), ammonium acetate (0.9 mmol, 0.3 eq) and nitromethane (9 mL, 55 eq) was refluxed for 2–12 h and monitored by TLC. Afterward, the mixture was treated with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ and three-fold extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the nitromethane was distilled under reduced pressure. No further purification was needed.

3.5.1. (*E*)-1-methyl-2-(2-nitrovinyl)benzene (3a)

Following the general procedure (2 h reaction time). Obtained as a red oil. Total of 460.2 mg, yield = 94%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 8.31 (d, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.40 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.23 (m, 2H), 2.49 (s, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃ 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 139.3 (Cq), 137.7 (CH), 136.9 (CH), 132.0 (CH), 131.5 (CH), 129.0 (Cq), 127.5 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 20.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 164.0712 C₉H₁₀NO₂ [M + H]⁺; found, 164.0709.

3.5.2. (*E*)-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene (**3b**)

Following the general procedure (8 h reaction time). Obtained as a yellow solid. Total of 585.7 mg, yield = 98%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 8.84 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.60 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, 25 °C) δ 138.7 (CH), 136.3 (CH), 133.9 (Cq), 132.7 (CH), 131.7 (Cq), 129.2(CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.1 (Cq), 126.9 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 123.1 (CH).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 200.0712 $C_{12}H_{10}NO_2[M + H]^+$; found, 200.0714.

3.5.3. (*E*)-2-methyl-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene (3c)

Following the general procedure (12 h reaction time, 0.5 eq of ammonium acetate). Obtained as a brown solid. Total of 582.1 mg, yield = 91%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 8.47 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 141.6 (CH), 136.1 (Cq), 135.9 (CH), 132.1 (Cq), 131.4 (Cq), 130.5 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 125.3 (Cq), 123.8 (CH), 21.3 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 214.0668 C₁₃H₁₂NO₂ [M + H]⁺; found, 214.0664.

3.5.4. (*E*)-2,3-dimethyl-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene (**3d**)

Following the general procedure (12 h reaction time, 0.5 eq of ammonium acetate). Obtained as brown needles. Total of 668.1 mg, yield = 98%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 8.57 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.81–7.75 (m, 1H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.52–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 142.3 (CH), 137.3 (CH), 135.6 (Cq), 135.5 (Cq), 132.2 (Cq), 130.33 (CH), 130.26 (Cq), 128.0 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 125.9 (Cq), 124.1 (CH), 21.2 (CH₃), 17.7 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 228.1025 C₁₄H₁₄NO₂ [M + H]⁺; found 228.1028.

3.5.5. (*E*)-2-methoxy-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene (**3e**)

Following the general procedure (12 h reaction time). Obtained as yellow needles. Total of 673.8 mg, yield = 98%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 6.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 6.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 159.1 (Cq), 140.3 (CH), 134.5 (CH), 133.5 (Cq), 131.0 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 129.0 (Cq), 128.6 (CH), 124.6 (CH), 122.3 (CH), 112.4 (CH), 111.7 (Cq), 56.4 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 230.0817 C₁₃H₁₂NO₃ [M + H]⁺; found 230.0815.

3.5.6. (*E*)-2,3-dimethoxy-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene (3f)

Following the general procedure (12 h reaction time). Obtained as a yellow solid. Total of 762.2 mg, yield = 98%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 8.67 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 8.04–8.00 (m, 1H), 7.79–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 151.7 (Cq), 150.8 (Cq), 141.5 (CH), 131.4 (Cq), 131.3 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.6 (Cq), 126.2 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 123.0 (CH), 118.7 (Cq), 111.5 (CH), 60.9 (CH₃), 56.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 260.0923 C₁₄H₁₄NO₄ [M + H]⁺; found 260.0920.

3.5.7. (E)-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalen-2-ol (3g)

Following the general procedure, with 1.1 eq of ammonium acetate, 100 eq of nitromethane and 12 h reaction time. After the workup, crystallization in DCM was needed for the purification. Product was obtained as a red solid. Total of 477.8 mg, yield = 74%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6, 2.50 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 11.74 (s, 1H), 8.79 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 6.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d6, 39.51 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 158.95 (Cq), 138.80 (CH), 134.65 (CH), 132.98 (Cq), 131.40 (CH), 129.05 (CH), 128.35 (CH), 128.00 (Cq), 123.75 (CH), 121.66 (CH), 118.09 (CH), 108.09 (Cq).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 216.0661 C₁₂H₁₀NO₃ [M + H]⁺; found 216.0658.

3.5.8. (E)-2-(benzyloxy)-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene (3h)

Following the general procedure (2 h reaction time). Obtained as a yellow solid. Total of 906.8 mg, yield = 99%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 8.86 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 6.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (s, 2H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 158.0 (Cq), 140.6 (CH), 135.8 (Cq), 134.3 (CH), 133.5 (Cq), 131.0 (CH), 129.2 (Cq), 129.1 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 122.4 (CH), 113.8 (CH), 112.3 (Cq), 71.6 (CH₂).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 306.1130 C₁₉H₁₆NO₃ [M + H]⁺; found 306.1134.

3.6. General Procedure for Cycloaddition to Afford Pyrrole (4)

To the enamine solution 2 (0.11 g, 0.5 mmol, 1 eq) in ethanol (5 mL, 0.1 M), nitrovinylaryl 3 (0.5 mmol 1 eq) and $ZrCp_2Cl_2$ (14.6 mg, 0.1 eq) were added. The solution was heated to 90 °C in a closed flask for 4h, then it was concentrated in vacuo. The crude solid was treated over a chromatography column with a mixture of Hex/DCM/EtOAc eluent to obtain the product 4 as a pale-yellow solid. 3.6.1. 2-Isopropyl-1-methyl-N-phenyl-4-(o-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (4a)

Eluent Hex/DCM/EtOAc 75:20:5. Obtained 71.5 mg, yield = 43%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) *δ* 7.32–7.22 (m, 5H), 7.22–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.08 (m, 2H), 6.99 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 3.76–3.67 (m, 4H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.41 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.6 (Cq), 142.2 (Cq), 140.0 (Cq), 138.3 (Cq), 135.9 (Cq), 131.8 (CH), 131.1 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 122.0 (Cq), 121.7 (CH), 120.0 (CH), 116.4 (Cq), 35.5 (CH₃), 26.3 (CH), 21.2 (CH₃), 20.6 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 333.1967 C₂₂H₂₅N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 333.1969.

3.6.2. 2-Isopropyl-1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4b**)

Eluent Hex/DCM/EtOAc 75:22:3. Obtained 112.4 mg, yield = 61%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 8.02–7.96 (m, 1H), 7.93–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.10–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.92–6.87 (m, 1H), 6.87–6.83 (m, 2H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 3.79–3.64 (m, 4H), 1.46 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.6 (Cq), 142.1 (Cq), 139.7 (Cq), 134.7 (Cq), 134.2 (Cq), 133.8 (Cq), 129.4 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 122.6 (CH), 120.6 (Cq), 120.0 (CH), 117.4 (Cq), 35.5 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH), 21.3 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 369.1967 C₂₅H₂₅N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 369.1960.

3.6.3. 2-Isopropyl-1-methyl-4-(2-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4c**)

Eluent Hex/DCM/EtOAc 75:20:5. Obtained 76.5 mg, yield = 40%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.93–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.70 (ddd, *J* = 7.5, 2.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.08–6.98 (m, 3H), 6.86 (tt, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.71–6.65 (m, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 3.96 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.48 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.9 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.9 (Cq), 143.9 (Cq), 139.7 (Cq), 137.0 (Cq), 135.1 (Cq), 133.2 (Cq), 132.2 (Cq), 129.7 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 122.6 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 118.4 (Cq), 116.0 (Cq), 35.9 (CH₃), 26.3 (CH), 21.1 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 383.2123 C₂₆H₂₇N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 383.2127.

3.6.4. 4-(2,3-Dimethylnaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4d**)

Eluent Hex/EtOAc 10:1. Obtained 57.3 mg, yield = 29%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) *δ* 7.85–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.61 (dq, *J* = 9.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 7.05–7.01 (m, 2H), 6.89–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.69–6.64 (m, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 3.99 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.48 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.8 (Cq), 144.1 (Cq), 139.7 (Cq), 137.2 (Cq), 136.9 (Cq), 133.8 (Cq), 133.2 (Cq), 132.2 (Cq), 129.5 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 122.6 (CH), 119.4 (Cq), 119.1 (CH), 116.1 (Cq), 35.9 (CH₃), 26.3 (CH), 21.2 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 18.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 397.2280 C₂₇H₂₉N₂O [M + H]⁺; found, 397.2276.

3.6.5. 2-Isopropyl-4-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4e**)

Eluent Hex/DCM/EtOAc 65:20:15. Obtained 107.6 mg, yield = 54%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.96 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.82 (m, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.65 (dq, *J* = 8.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 6.7,

1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.08 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.96 (m, 2H), 6.91 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.78–3.70 (m, 4H), 1.47 (dd, J = 7.2, 5.5 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.4 (Cq), 155.7 (Cq), 142.40

(Cq), 140.0 (Cq), 135.8 (Cq), 130.5 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.5 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 118.9 (Cq), 117.8 (Cq), 115.2 (Cq), 114.5 (CH), 57.2 (CH₃), 35.6 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH), 21.5 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 399.2073 C₂₆H₂₇N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺; found 399.2078.

3.6.6. 4-(2,3-Dimethoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4f**)

Eluent Hex/DCM/EtOAc 65:20:15. Obtained 143.5 mg, yield = 67%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.82–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.52 (ddt, *J* = 8.5, 1.4, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.29 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.15–7.08 (m, 2H), 7.06–6.99 (m, 2H), 6.91 (tt, *J* = 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.72 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 7.2 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.3 (Cq), 153.2 (Cq), 148.1 (Cq), 142.3 (Cq), 140.0 (Cq), 132.4 (Cq), 130.8 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.6 (Cq), 126.5 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 117.8 (Cq), 114.7 (Cq), 108.5 (CH), 61.6 (CH₃), 56.4 (CH₃), 35.6 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH), 21.7 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 429.2178 C₂₇H₂₉N₂O₃ [M + H]⁺; found 429.2175.

3.6.7. 4-(2-(Benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4h**)

Eluent Hex/DCM/EtOAc 70:25:5. Obtained 138.4 mg, yield = 50%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (ddt, *J* = 8.5, 1.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.24 (m, 5H), 7.11–7.05 (m, 2H), 6.92–6.86 (m, 3H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 3.80 (eptet, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 1.48 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.9 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.3 (Cq), 154.9 (Cq), 142.8 (Cq), 139.9 (Cq), 138.2 (Cq), 135.8 (Cq), 130.5 (Cq), 130.4 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 120.8 (Cq), 119.4 (CH), 117.5 (Cq), 117.2 (CH), 72.6 (CH₂), 35.7 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH), 21.5 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for $475.2386 C_{32}H_{31}N_2O_2 [M + H]^+$; found 475.2392.

3.7. General Procedure for Bromination of Pyrrole (4) to Afford Pyrrole (5)

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and under N₂ atmosphere, the pyrrole 4 (0.20 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (2 mL, 0.1 M) and cooled to -95 °C. Then, *N*-bromosuccinimide (39.2 mg, 0.22 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added and the solution stirred, avoiding light, for 30 min. Then, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h, still stirring and avoiding light. Hence, the solution was diluted with EtOAc, consequently passed in a silica plug and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (3 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo, resulting in the product **5** as a pale-yellow solid. No further purification was needed.

3.7.1. 5-Bromo-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-4-(o-tolyl)-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5a**) Obtained 82.3 mg as a pale-yellow solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) *δ* 7.37–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.23–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.06 (m, 2H), 7.00 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.83–3.75 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.41 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.6 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.6 (Cq), 143.1 (Cq), 139.7 (Cq), 139.1 (Cq), 135.0 (Cq), 132.2 (CH), 131.2 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 127.0 (CH),

124.4 (CH), 122.1 (Cq), 120.0 (CH), 117.2 (Cq), 103.2 (Cq), 34.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH), 21.3 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 411.1072 C₂₂H₂₄BrN₂O [M + H]⁺; found 411.1076.

3.7.2. 5-Bromo-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5b**)

Obtained 89.5 mg as a pale-yellow fluffy solid.¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.95 (ddt, *J* = 7.6, 5.8, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 1H), 7.60 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.45 (m, 3H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.11–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.94–6.88 (m, 1H), 6.88–6.82 (m, 2H), 3.87–3.64 (m, 4H), 1.46 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 4.7 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.7 (Cq), 142.8 (Cq), 139.4 (Cq), 134.7 (Cq), 133.5 (Cq), 133.2 (Cq), 130.0 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.27 (CH), 129.26 (Cq), 127.4 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.64 (CH), 126.56 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 120.7 (Cq), 119.9 (CH), 118.6 (Cq), 104.1 (Cq), 34.3 (CH₃), 27.3 (CH), 21.4 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 447.1072 $C_{25}H_{24}BrN_2O [M + H]^+$; found 447.1068.

3.7.3. 5-Bromo-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-4-(2-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5c**)

Obtained 92.3 mg as a pale-yellow solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) *δ* 7.97–7.90 (m, 2H), 7.58 (ddt, *J* = 6.5, 2.7, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 7.08–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.91–6.85 (m, 1H), 6.74–6.69 (m, 2H), 3.97 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.47 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.1 (Cq), 144.5 (Cq), 139.4 (Cq), 137.5 (Cq), 134.2 (Cq), 133.2 (Cq), 131.0 (Cq), 129.7 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 119.1 (Cq), 117.0 (Cq), 104.4 (Cq), 34.6 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH), 21.0 (CH₃), 20.9₇ (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 461.1229 C₂₆H₂₆BrN₂O [M + H]⁺; found 461.1223.

3.7.4. 5-Bromo-4-(2,3-dimethylnaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5d**)

Obtained 95.1 mg as a pale-yellow solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.95 (ddt, *J* = 7.6, 5.8, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.76–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.60 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.10–7.04 (m, 2H), 6.93–6.88 (m, 1H), 6.87–6.81 (m, 2H), 3.79–3.68 (m, 4H), 1.46 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 4.7 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.1 (Cq), 144.7 (Cq), 139.4 (Cq), 137.6 (Cq), 137.0 (Cq), 133.3 (Cq), 132.9 (Cq), 131.1 (Cq), 129.5 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.7 (Cq), 119.5 (CH), 117.0 (Cq), 104.6 (Cq), 34.6 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH), 21.1 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 17.6 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 475.1385 C₂₇H₂₈BrN₂O [M + H]⁺; found, 475.1381.

3.7.5. 5-Bromo-2-isopropyl-4-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5e**)

Obtained 95.5 mg as a pale-yellow solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 8.02 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.38 (ddd, J = 8.0, 5.9, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.09 (m, 2H), 7.03–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.93 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.83–3.69 (m, 4H), 1.47 (dd, J = 7.2, 5.6 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.6 (Cq), 156.0 (Cq), 143.3 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 134.9 (Cq), 131.3 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.6 (CH), 118.6 (Cq), 117.8 (Cq), 116.3 (Cq), 114.7 (CH), 104.7 (Cq), 57.4 (CH₃), 34.4 (CH₃), 27.3 (CH), 21.6 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 477.1178 C₂₆H₂₆BrN₂O₂ [M + H]⁺; found 477.1184.

3.7.6. 5-Bromo-4-(2,3-dimethoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5f**)

Obtained 101.5 mg as a pale-yellow solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 8.16 (s, 1H), 7.85–7.78 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.15 (tt, *J* = 7.1, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 2H), 6.94 (tt, *J* = 7.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.70 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 6.1 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.63 (Cq), 153.2 (Cq), 148.4 (Cq), 143.1 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 132.6 (Cq), 129.7 (CH), 129.6 (Cq), 127.9 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 125.6 (Cq), 125.5 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 118.7 (Cq), 115.9 (Cq), 109.2 (CH), 104.5 (Cq), 61.6 (CH₃), 56.5 (CH₃), 34.3 (CH₃), 27.4 (CH), 21.8 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 507.1283 C₂₇H₂₈BrN₂O₃ [M + H]⁺; found 507.1278.

3.7.7. 4-(2-(Benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-5-bromo-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**5h**)

Obtained 110.7 mg as a pale-yellow solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.57 (dq, *J* = 8.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.20 (m, 5H), 7.09–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.87 (ddt, *J* = 14.5, 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 3H), 5.19 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (eptet, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 1.52 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 5.4 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 163.6 (Cq), 154.5 (Cq), 143.9 (Cq), 138.7 (Cq), 137.1 (Cq), 134.1 (Cq), 130.6 (CH), 129.9, 128.7 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 119.4 (Cq), 119.1 (CH), 117.1 (Cq), 116.7 (CH), 115.5 (Cq), 104.7 (Cq), 72.5 (CH₂), 34.3 (CH₃), 26.2 (CH), 21.3 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 553.1491 C₃₂H₃₀BrN₂O₂ [M + H]⁺; found 553.1497.

3.8. General Procedure to Obtain Pyrrole (1)

In a two-neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, reflux condenser and under N₂ atmosphere, a solution of compound **5** (0.052 mmol, 1 eq) in toluene/CPME (19:1, 0.17 mL), phenylboronic acid (9.51 mg, 0.078 mmol, 1.5 eq) and a solution of K₂CO₃ (2 M, 62.4 μ L, 2.5 eq) were added. The resulting mixture was degassed with cycles of vacuum/nitrogen. Then, a catalytic amount of Pd(PPh₃)₄ was added over nitrogen flow and the resulting mixture refluxed for 4 h, avoiding light. The solution was passed through a Celite plug, then concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude was dissolved in DCM, washed with water and extracted with DCM. The combined organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, concentrated in vacuo, and the product was purified by column chromatography with a mixture of hexane\DCM\EtOAc, obtaining 1 as a white solid.

3.8.1. 2-Isopropyl-1-methyl-N,5-diphenyl-4-(o-tolyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (1a)

Hexane/DCM/EtOAc 75:20:5. Obtained 15.5 mg, yield = 73%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₄D₂Cl₄, 6 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.11–7.30 (m, 11H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.94–6.99 (m, 3H), 4.25 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (d, *J* = 7.22 Hz, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, C₄D₂Cl₄, 73.78 ppm, 25 °C) δ 164.0 (Cq), 143.5 (Cq), 138.6 (Cq), 138.5 (Cq), 134.8 (Cq), 131.9 (Cq), 131.7 (Cq), 130.9 (Cq), 130.5 (CH), 130.2 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 119.4 (Cq), 119.2 (CH), 113.4 (Cq), 33.4 (CH₃), 25.2 (CH), 20.8 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 409.2280 C₂₈H₂₉N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 409.2274.

3.8.2. 2-Isopropyl-1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1b**)

Hexane/DCM/EtOAc 75:20:5. Obtained 19.2 mg, yield = 83%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) *δ* 7.88–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.84–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.22–7.13 (m, 5H), 7.07–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.90–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.81–6.75 (m, 2H), 3.80 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.52 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 7.2 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.4 (Cq), 142.3 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 134.5 (Cq), 134.5 (Cq), 134.5 (Cq), 133.0 (Cq), 132.6 (Cq), 131.6 (CH), 130.4 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 119.7 (CH), 119.1 (Cq), 117.5 (Cq), 33.4 (CH₃), 26.8 (CH), 21.5 (CH₃), 21.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 445.2280 C₃₁H₂₉N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 445.2276.

3.8.3. 2-Isopropyl-1-methyl-4-(2-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1c**)

Hexane/DCM/EtOAc 75:20:5. Obtained 19.1 mg, yield = 80%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.86 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.78–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.45 (dddd, *J* = 16.4, 8.1, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.13 (m, 3H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 2H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 7.05–7.01 (m, 2H), 6.88–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.70–6.65 (m, 2H), 4.00 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.54 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.0 (Cq), 144.0 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 137.3 (Cq), 135.1 (Cq), 133.2 (Cq), 133.0 (Cq), 132.4 (Cq), 132.3 (Cq), 131.0 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 117.3 (Cq), 115.9 (Cq), 33.7 (CH₃), 26.7 (CH), 21.2 (CH₃), 21.0₃ (CH₃), 20.9₉ (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 459.2436 C₃₂H₃₁N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 459.2429.

3.8.4. 4-(2,3-Dimethylnaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1d**)

Petroleum ether/DCM 1:1. Obtained 18.7 mg, yield = 76%

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.79–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.16 (dt, *J* = 4.4, 2.9 Hz, 3H), 7.14–7.10 (m, 2H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 7.06–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.86 (tt, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.69–6.63 (m, 2H), 4.03 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.54 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 3.0 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.0 (Cq), 144.1 (Cq), 139.7 (Cq), 137.5 (Cq), 136.8 (Cq), 133.7 (Cq), 133.3 (Cq), 133.1 (Cq), 132.4 (Cq), 132.3 (Cq), 131.0 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 119.3 (CH), 117.8 (Cq), 115.8 (Cq), 33.7 (CH₃), 26.7 (CH), 21.1 (CH₃), 21.1 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃), 18.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 473.2593 C₃₃H₃₃N₂O [M + H]⁺; found 473.2587.

3.8.5. 2-Isopropyl-4-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-1-methyl-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1e**)

Hexane/DCM/EtOAc 40:50:10. Obtained 23.9 mg, yield = 97%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.90–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.76–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dq, *J* = 8.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.21–7.09 (m, 7H), 7.03–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.92 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.82 (eptet, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 1.53 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 7.2 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.5 (Cq), 156.5 (Cq), 142.7 (Cq), 139.9 (Cq), 135.5 (Cq), 133.2 (Cq), 132.7 (Cq), 131.0 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 129.8 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 119.1 (Cq), 117.7 (Cq), 114.3 (Cq), 114.1 (CH), 57.0 (CH₃), 33.5 (CH₃), 26.8 (CH), 22.0 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 475.2386 C₃₂H₃₁N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺; found 475.2378.

3.8.6. 4-(2,3-Dimethoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1f**)

Hexane/DCM/EtOAc 70:20:10. Obtained 22.6 mg, yield = 86%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.71 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 1.3, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 1.3, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.27 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.13 (m, 4H), 7.13–7.07 (m, 2H), 6.98–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.90 (td, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.76 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.51 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 165.4 (Cq), 153.2 (Cq), 148.7 (Cq), 142.6 (Cq), 139.9 (Cq), 133.1 (Cq), 132.4 (Cq), 132.1 (Cq), 131.1 (CH), 130.5 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.2 (Cq), 125.3 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 117.7 (Cq), 114.0 (Cq), 108.6 (CH), 61.2 (CH₃), 56.3 (CH₃), 33.5 (CH₃), 26.9 (CH), 21.6 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 505.2491 C₃₃H₃₃N₂O₃ [M + H]⁺; found 505.2490.

3.8.7. 4-(2-(Benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1h**)

Hexane/DCM/EtOAc 70:10:5. CSP-HPLC separation for the evaluation of the rotational energy barrier: AD-H, 60:40 Hex/IPA, 20 mL/min, first elution = 3.9 min, second elution = 11.0 min). Obtained 26.1 mg, yield = 91%.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 7.77–7.70 (m, 3H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.38 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.11–7.05 (m, 3H), 7.05–6.99 (m, 4H), 6.83 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 5.11 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (eptet, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 164.5 (Cq), 154.7 (Cq), 143.7 (Cq), 138.8 (Cq), 137.5 (Cq), 135.1 (Cq), 132.3 (Cq), 132.2 (Cq), 130.2 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 129.3 (Cq), 128.5 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 127.21 (CH), 127.19 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 119.6 (Cq), 119.1 (CH), 115.64 (Cq), 115.58 (CH), 113.5 (CH), 71.2 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₃), 25.9 (CH), 21.2 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 551.2699 C₃₈H₃₅N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺; found 551.2703.

3.8.8. 4-(2-Hydroxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-1-methyl-*N*,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**1g**)

In a two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and under N₂, the compound **1e** (59 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in dichloromethane (4.1 mL, 0.03 M) and cooled to 0 °C. Then, a 1 M solution of BBr₃ in dichloromethane (310 μ L, 0.31 mmol, 2.5 eq) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 1 h and 30 min. Successively, 4 mL of cool water was added at 0 °C and then stirred for another 20 min. The solution was then extracted with DCM (2 × 10 mL) and the combined organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by column chromatography with a mixture 60:20:20 of hexane\DCM\EtOAc eluent, obtaining **1g** (40.3 mg, 73% yield) as a white solid.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 7.79–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.59 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.12 (m, 5H), 7.11–7.07 (m, 2H), 7.04–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.82 (m, 1H), 6.67–6.61 (m, 2H), 5.62 (s, 1H, 1H), 4.28 (eptet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.56 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H).

 $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 163.6 (Cq), 152.5 (Cq), 145.6 (Cq), 138.3 (Cq), 134.5 (Cq), 133.8 (Cq), 130.9 (Cq), 130.5 (CH), 130.0 (CH), 129.0 (Cq), 128.6 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 123.3 (CH),

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 461.2229 C₃₁H₂₉N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺; found 461.2229.

3.9. T-butyl 2-((4R,6R)-2,2-dimethyl-6-(2-(((Z)-4-methyl-1-oxo-1-(phenylamino)pent-2-en-3-yl)amino)ethyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate ($\mathbf{2'}$)

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a reflux condenser, 4-methyl-3-oxo-N-phenylpentanamide (0.72 g, 3.49 mmol), *tert*-butyl 2-((4*R*,6*R*)-6-(2-aminoethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (0.95 g, 3.49 mmol) and Yb(OTf)₃ (22 mg, 0.04 mmol, 0.01 eq) were dissolved in EtOH (4 mL, 0.9 M). The reaction was conducted at 40 °C for 12 h, and then the mixture was passed through basic alumina eluted with EtOH. Concentrated in vacuo, the resultant pale-yellow oil could be used without further purification. If crystallized in hot ACN, product 2' could be obtained as a white powder (1.1 g, yield = 65%).

1H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 9.30 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 2H), 6.97 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 1H), 4.28 (dddd, *J* = 11.5, 7.6, 4.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (tt, *J* = 11.4, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.28 (m, 2H), 2.78–2.70 (m, 1H), 2.33 (dd, *J* = 14.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (dd, *J* = 14.9, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.72–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.57 (dt, *J* = 12.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.22–1.09 (m, 7H).

13C{1H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.9 (Cq), 170.7 (Cq), 141.4 (Cq), 129.5 (CH), 122.8 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 99.5 (Cq), 81.5 (CH), 80.9 (Cq), 67.2 (CH), 67.2 (CH), 43.5 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 38.1 (CH₂), 37.2 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 29.1 (CH), 28.2 (CH₃), 21.9 (CH₃), 21.9 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 461.3015 C₂₆H₄₁N₂O₅ [M + H]⁺; found 461.3021.

3.10. T-butyl 2-((4R,6R)-6-(2-(2-isopropyl-4-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-3-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (4e')

In a test tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, the enamine solution 2' (0.23 g, 0.5 mmol, 1 eq) in ethanol (5 mL 0.1 M), (*E*)-2-methoxy-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene **3e** (0.14 g, 0.6 mmol 1.2 eq) and $ZrCp_2Cl_2$ (14.6 mg, 0.1 eq) were added. The vessel was sealed and the solution was heated to 40 °C for 4 h, then it was concentrated in vacuo. The crude solid was treated over the chromatography column with a gradient mixture of Toluene/EtOAc 10:1 to 8:1 to obtain 0.2 g of product **4e'** (yield = 63%) as a pale-yellow solid.

Analyzed as a couple of conformers.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.95 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.87–7.83 (m, 2H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.09 (m, 4H), 7.05–6.97 (m, 4H), 6.94–6.87 (m, 2H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 4.32–4.24 (m, 2H), 4.19–4.13 (m, 1H), 4.12–4.03 (m, 3H), 4.02–3.91 (m, 3H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 3.61–3.48 (m, 3H), 2.35 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.89–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.59–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.54 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.50–1.47 (m, 6H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.32 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 6H), 1.23–1.13 (m, 2H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.8₈ (Cq), 170.8₆ (Cq), 165.5 (Cq), 165.4 (Cq), 155.7₀ (Cq), 155.6₉ (Cq), 142.2₂ (Cq), 142.1₇ (Cq), 140.0 (Cq), 135.9₃ (Cq), 135.9₀ (Cq), 130.5₆ (CH), 130.5₅ (CH), 130.1₁ (Cq), 130.1₀ (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 128.8₇ (CH), 128.8₄ (CH), 127.6₄ (CH), 127.6₁ (CH), 126.2 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 124.8₃ (CH), 124.8₀ (CH), 123.8₈ (CH), 123.8₇ (CH), 122.0 (CH), 121.8 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 119.2 (Cq), 119.1 (Cq), 117.9 (Cq), 117.8 (Cq), 115.6₉ (Cq), 115.6₇ (Cq), 114.6 (CH), 114.5 (CH), 99.6 (Cq), 99.5 (Cq), 80.9 (2Cq), 67.2₁ (CH), 67.1₉ (CH), 66.7 (CH), 66.5 (CH), 57.3 (CH₃), 57.2 (CH₃), 44.0 (CH₂), 43.9 (CH₂), 43.5₁ (CH₂), 43.4₉ (CH₂), 38.8 (CH₂), 38.6 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH₂), 37.0 (CH₂), 30.5₁ (CH₃), 30.4₆ (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 26.6₃ (CH), 26.5₉ (CH), 22.4 (CH₃), 22.2 (CH₃), 21.4₃ (CH₃), 21.4₀ (CH₃), 20.3 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 641.3591 C₃₉H₄₉N₂O₆ [M + H]⁺; found 641.3598.

3.11. *T*-butyl 2-((4R,6R)-6-(2-(2-bromo-5-isopropyl-3-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-4-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (**5e**')

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and under N₂ atmosphere, the pyrrole **4e'** (0.33 g, 0.52 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (5 mL, 0.1 M) and cooled to -95 °C. Then, *N*-bromosuccinimide (0.11 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added and the solution stirred avoiding light for 2 h, allowing the solution to heat up to -10 °C. Then, the solution was diluted with EtOAc, consequently passed in a silica plug and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (3 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo, resulting in the product **5e'** as a pale-yellow solid (0.37 g, yield = 99%). The two diastereoisomers were characterized after CSP-HPLC separation (AD-H, 80:20 Hex/IPA, 20 mL/min, first eluted = 3.7 min, second eluted = 4.9 min).

3.11.1. First Eluted Diastereoisomer (5e'-1 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 8.03–7.98 (m, 1H), 7.91–7.87 (m, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.10 (m, 2H), 7.03–6.99 (m, 2H), 6.93 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.17 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 10.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (dddd, *J* = 12.8, 7.9, 4.0, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.56 (eptet, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.88 (ddt, *J* = 13.4, 10.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.64 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.46 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.24 (dt, *J* = 12.6, 11.4 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.9 (Cq), 164.7 (Cq), 156.1 (Cq), 143.0 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 134.9 (Cq), 131.3 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.6 (CH), 119.0 (Cq), 117.9 (Cq), 116.9 (Cq), 114.8 (CH), 103.5 (Cq), 99.5 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 67.4 (CH), 67.1 (CH), 57.5 (CH₃), 43.5 (CH₂), 43.5 (CH₂), 38.3 (CH₂), 36.8 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH), 22.4 (CH₃), 21.4 (CH₃), 20.2 (CH₃).

3.11.2. Second Eluted Diastereoisomer (5e'-2 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 8.01 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dt, J = 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.16–7.10 (m, 2H), 7.05–6.99 (m, 2H), 6.93 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.26 (m, 2H), 4.18 (ddd, J = 14.7, 9.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.10–4.06 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.55 (eptet, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (dd, J = 15.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dd, J = 15.0, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (ddd, J = 13.5, 6.5, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (dddd, J = 13.4, 10.0, 8.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.63 (dt, J = 12.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.47 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.34 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (dt, J = 12.7, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.9 (Cq), 164.7 (Cq), 156.0 (Cq), 143.0 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 134.9 (Cq), 131.3 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.6 (CH), 119.1 (Cq), 116.9 (Cq), 114.8 (CH), 103.6 (Cq), 99.5 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 67.2 (CH), 67.1 (CH), 57.5 (CH₃), 43.5 (CH₂), 43.4 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 36.9 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH), 22.5 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 20.2 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 719.2696 C₃₉H₄₈BrN₂O₆ [M + H]⁺; found 719.2690.

3.12. T-butyl 2-((4R,6R)-6-(2-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-isopropyl-3-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-4-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (**1e'**)

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and under N₂ atmosphere, the pyrrole **5e'** (0.58 g, 0.8 mmol, 1 eq) and (4-fluorophenyl)boronic acid (168.8 mg, 1.2 mmol, 1.5 eq) were dissolved in a 19/1 v/v mixture of toluene and CPME (8 mL, 0.1 M). Therefore, a 2 M solution of K₂CO₃ (1 mL, 2.5 eq) was added. The solution was degassed with cycles of vacuum/N₂ in an ultrasonic bath, then a catalytic amount of Pd(PPh₃)₄ was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight, avoiding light. The solution was passed through a Celite plug to separate the catalyst, and then a quick silica chromatography column was performed (eluent 65:15:20 mixture of Hex/DCM/EtOAc). The resulting mixture of **1e'** and **4e'** (483 mg, ratio 76:24 of **1e'**/**4e'** by ¹H-NMR) was finally separated into semi-preparative CSP-HPLC. In this way, it was also possible to separate the diastereoisomers of **1e'** (AD-H, 20 mL/min, 90:10 Hex/IPA). The first diastereoisomer eluted at 3.6 min, collected 131.8 mg, yield = 22%; the second diastereoisomer eluted at 5.7 min, collected 130.4 mg, yield = 22%; pyrrole **4e'** eluted at 6.8 min.

3.12.1. First Eluted Diastereoisomer (1e'-1 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.86 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (dp, *J* = 8.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.08 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.95 (m, 2H), 6.94–6.86 (m, 3H), 4.23–4.15 (m, 1H), 4.10 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 10.0, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 4.04–3.93 (m, 4H), 3.79 (dddd, *J* = 11.7, 7.2, 4.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (eptet, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.60 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (s, 8H), 1.40–1.32 (m, 4H), 1.31 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.00 (dt, *J* = 12.8, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.8 (Cq), 165.5 (Cq), 163.0 (d, J = 245.2 Hz, Cq), 156.3 (Cq), 142.4 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 135.5 (Cq), 133.3 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 130.8 (Cq), 130.7 (CH), 129.8 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 118.9 (Cq), 117.9 (Cq), 115.8 (CH), 115.6 (CH), 115.3 (Cq), 114.1 (CH), 99.3 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 67.3 (CH), 67.0 (CH), 57.1 (CH₃), 43.4 (CH₂), 41.8 (CH₂), 38.8 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₃), 28.2 (CH₃), 27.0 (CH), 22.7 (CH₃), 21.1 (CH₃), 20.0 (CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ-115.90 (ddd, J = 14.6, 9.2, 5.4 Hz).

3.12.2. Second Eluted Diastereoisomer (1e'-2 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.86 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.08 (m, 2H), 7.02–6.96 (m, 2H), 6.94–6.86 (m, 3H), 4.21–4.10 (m, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.99–3.92 (m, 1H), 3.80 (dddd, *J* = 11.6, 7.2, 4.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (eptet, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.75 (dp, *J* = 15.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (dddd, *J* = 13.0, 10.2, 7.5, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (s, 8H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.35–1.28 (m, 2H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 0.98 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.8 (Cq), 165.5 (Cq), 163.0 (d, J = 245.3 Hz, Cq), 156.3 (Cq), 142.4 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 135.5 (Cq), 133.2 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 130.8 (Cq), 130.7 (CH), 129.8 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 118.9 (Cq), 118.0 (Cq), 115.8 (CH), 115.6 (CH), 115.3 (Cq), 114.0 (CH), 99.3 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 67.2 (CH), 67.0 (CH), 57.1 (CH₃), 43.4 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₃), 28.2 (CH₃), 27.0 (CH), 22.9 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₃), 20.0 (CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ-115.90 (ddd, J = 14.5, 9.0, 5.5 Hz).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 735.3809 C₄₅H₅₂FN₂O₆ [M + H]⁺; found 735.3803.

3.13. T-butyl 2-((4R,6R)-6-(2-(4-(2-(benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-3-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (**4h'**)

In a test tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, the enamine solution 2' (0.880 g, 1.9 mmol, 1 eq) in ethanol (38 mL 0.05 M), (*E*)-2-benzyloxy-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene **3h** (0.700 g, 2.3 mmol 1.2 eq) and ZrCp₂Cl₂ (111 mg, 0.2 eq) were added. The vessel was sealed and the solution was heated to 95 °C for 3 h, then the mixture was filtered to separate the excess of **3h**. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude solid was treated over a chromatography column with a mixture of Hex/DCM/EtOAc 70:20:10 to obtain 0.72 g of product **4h'** (yield = 53%) as a pale-yellow solid.

Analyzed as couple of conformational diastereoisomers: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.73 (dt, *J* = 3.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dt, *J* = 4.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.30–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.04 (m, 4H), 6.94–6.85 (m, 6H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.27–5.19 (m, 3H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 316.7 Hz, 7.20 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.04 (m, 2H), 7.

3H), 4.30–4.24 (m, 1H), 4.19–4.13 (m, 2H), 4.12–4.06 (m, 2H), 4.00–3.95 (m, 1H), 3.89 (dddd, *J* = 11.2, 8.6, 4.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.60–3.52 (m, 2H), 2.33 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.27–2.20 (m, 2H), 2.14 (dd, *J* = 14.9, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 1.99–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.93–1.74 (m, 4H), 1.56 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.55–1.51 (m, 8H), 1.49 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (s, 8H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.35–1.29 (m, 7H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 6H), 1.25–1.15 (m, 2H), 1.13–1.05 (m, 2H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.9 (Cq), 170.7 (Cq), 165.4 (Cq), 165.3 (Cq), 155.0 (Cq), 154.9 (Cq), 142.5 (Cq), 142.4 (Cq), 139.9 (Cq), 138.3 (Cq), 138.2 (Cq), 135.8₈ (Cq), 135.8₇ (Cq), 130.6₁ (Cq), 130.5₇ (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.4₂ (CH), 129.3₇ (CH), 128.9₅ (CH), 128.8₈ (CH), 128.8 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 122.1 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 121.2 (Cq), 121.1 (Cq), 119.4₁ (CH), 119.3₇ (CH), 117.8₁ (Cq), 117.7₈ (Cq), 117.4 (CH), 117.2 (CH), 115.6 (Cq), 115.4 (Cq), 99.5₁ (Cq), 99.4₈ (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 80.8 (Cq), 72.8 (CH₂), 72.7 (CH₂), 67.2 (CH), 67.0 (CH), 66.4 (CH), 66.1 (CH), 43.9 (CH₂), 43.8 (CH₂), 43.5 (CH₂), 43.4 (CH₂), 38.9 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 36.9 (CH₂), 30.4₁ (CH₃), 30.3₈ (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 26.7 (CH), 26.6 (CH), 22.2 (CH₃), 22.0 (CH₃), 21.5 (CH₃), 21.4 (CH₃), 20.2 (CH₃), 20.0 (CH₃). HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 717.3904 C₄₅H₅₃N₂O₆ [M + H]⁺; found 717.3910.

3.14. T-butyl-2-((4R,6R)-6-(2-(3-(2-(benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-2-bromo-5-isopropyl-4-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (**5h**')

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and under N₂ atmosphere, the pyrrole **4h'** (0.42 g, 0.59 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (6 mL, 0.1 M) and cooled to -95 °C. Then, *N*-bromosuccinimide (0.11 mg, 0.65 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added and the solution stirred, avoiding light, for 2 hours, allowing the solution to heat up to -60 °C after an hour at -95 °C. Then, the solution was diluted with EtOAc, consequently passed in a silica plug and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (3 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo, resulting in the product **5h'** as a pale-yellow solid (0.43 g, yield = 91%). The two diastereoisomers were characterized after CSP-HPLC separation (AD-H, 80:20 Hex/IPA, 20 mL/min, first elution = 3.7 min, second elution = 4.7 min).

3.14.1. First Eluted Diastereoisomer (5h'-1 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (ddt, *J* = 8.6, 1.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.42 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 6.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (s, 2H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.09 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (tt, *J* = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, *J* = 50.9, 11.9 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (ddd, *J* = 15.0, 10.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.21 (m, 1H), 4.19 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 10.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07–4.01 (m, 1H), 3.59 (eptet, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (td, *J* = 6.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.83 (dddd, *J* = 13.3, 10.0, 8.2, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 7H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.9 (Cq), 164.6 (Cq), 155.3 (Cq), 143.3 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 138.2 (Cq), 134.9 (Cq), 131.3 (CH), 130.6 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.2₀ (CH), 128.1₈ (CH), 125.7 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.9 (Cq), 119.5 (CH), 119.0 (Cq), 117.4 (CH), 116.9 (Cq), 103.5 (Cq), 99.5 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 72.8 (CH₂), 67.2 (CH), 67.0 (CH), 43.5 (CH₂), 43.4 (CH₂), 38.6 (CH₂), 36.9 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH), 22.2 (CH₃), 21.4 (CH₃), 20.2 (CH₃).

3.14.2. Second Eluted Diastereoisomer (5h'-2 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.98 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.90 (dt, J = 8.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (ddt, J = 8.6, 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (s, 2H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.10 (dd, J = 8.6, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.99–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.91 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 50.7, 11.9 Hz, 2H), 4.35–4.26 (m, 2H), 4.19 (ddd, J = 14.7, 9.9, 6.4 Hz,

1H), 4.11–4.03 (m, 1H), 3.58 (eptet, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.95–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.86 (dddd, *J* = 13.3, 9.9, 8.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.61 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.48 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (s, 7H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (dt, *J* = 12.8, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.9 (Cq), 164.6 (Cq), 155.2 (Cq), 143.3 (Cq), 139.6 (Cq), 138.1 (Cq), 134.9 (Cq), 131.3 (CH), 130.6 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.2₅ (CH), 128.1₆ (CH), 125.7 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 119.9 (Cq), 119.5 (CH), 119.0 (Cq), 117.4 (CH), 116.9 (Cq), 103.5 (Cq), 99.5 (Cq), 81.0 (Cq), 72.8 (CH₂), 67.1 (CH), 67.1 (CH), 43.5 (CH₂), 43.4 (CH₂), 38.5 (CH₂), 36.9 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH), 22.4 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 20.2 (CH₃).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 833.2568 C₄₅H₅₁BrKN₂O₆ [M + K]⁺; found 833.2580.

3.15. *T*-butyl 2-((4*R*,6*R*)-6-(2-(3-(2-(benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-isopropyl-4-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (**1h**')

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and under N₂ atmosphere, the pyrrole **5h'** (0.41 g, 0.5 mmol, 1 eq) and (4-fluorophenyl)boronic acid (107 mg, 0.8 mmol, 1.5 eq) were dissolved in a 19/1 v/v mixture of toluene and CPME (5 mL, 0.1 M). Therefore, a 2 M solution of K₂CO₃ (0.7 mL, 2.5 eq) was added. The solution was degassed with cycles of vacuum/N₂ in an ultrasonic bath, then a catalytic amount of Pd(PPh₃)₄ was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight, avoiding light. The solution was passed through a Celite plug to separate the catalyst, then a quick silica chromatography column was performed (eluent 70:20:10 mixture of Hex/DCM/EtOAc). The resulting mixture of **1h'** and **4h'** (334.4 mg, ratio 74:26 **1h'/4h'**, by ¹H-NMR) was finally separated into semi-preparative CSP-HPLC. In this way, it was also possible to separate the diastereoisomers of **1h'** (AD-H, 20 mL/min, 90:10 Hex/IPA). The first diastereoisomer eluted at 5.4 min, collected 142.1 mg, yield = 34%; the second diastereoisomer eluted at 9.0 min, collected 135.6 mg, yield = 33%; pyrrole **4h'** eluted at 12.0 min.

3.15.1. First Eluted Diastereoisomer (1h'-1 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.81–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.57 (dq, *J* = 8.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (s, 2H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (ddt, *J* = 7.3, 2.7, 1.2 Hz, 3H), 6.87 (t, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 3H), 5.29 (dd, *J* = 50.8, 12.3 Hz, 2H), 4.19–4.10 (m, 2H), 4.00 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 10.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dddd, *J* = 11.7, 7.3, 4.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (eptet, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.22–2.17 (m, 1H), 1.74 (dddd, *J* = 12.9, 10.5, 7.7, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (dddd, *J* = 13.4, 10.2, 5.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.54 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (s, 8H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.33 (dt, *J* = 12.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 0.98 (dt, *J* = 12.8, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.8 (Cq), 165.5 (Cq), 162.9 (d, J = 245.2 Hz, Cq), 155.5 (Cq), 142.8 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 138.4 (Cq), 135.6 (Cq), 133.3 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 130.7 (Cq), 130.7 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.8 (Cq), 129.8 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 120.2 (Cq), 119.3 (CH), 117.9 (Cq), 116.1 (CH), 115.8 (CH), 115.7 (CH), 115.4 (Cq), 99.3 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 71.7 (CH₂), 67.2 (CH), 67.0 (CH), 43.4 (CH₂), 41.8 (CH₂), 39.0 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₃), 28.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH), 22.4 (CH₃), 21.5 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₃).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ-115.75 (ddd, J = 14.4, 9.1, 5.4 Hz).

3.15.2. Second Eluted Diastereoisomer (1h'–2 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.78 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 0.8, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dq, *J* = 8.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (s, 2H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.10–7.06 (m, 2H), 6.92–6.89 (m, 3H), 6.89–6.84 (m, 2H), 5.30 (dd, *J* = 58.3, 12.1 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (dddd, *J* = 15.7, 14.2, 7.6, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 10.3, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dddd, *J* = 11.7, 7.2, 4.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (eptet, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 14.2, 14.

4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.74 (dddd, *J* = 13.4, 10.3, 5.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.63 (ddd, *J* = 10.3, 7.8, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.53 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (s, 8H), 1.35–1.28 (m, 4H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 0.96 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 11.4 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, s 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.8 (Cq), 165.5 (Cq), 162.95 (d, *J* = 245.3 Hz, Cq), 155.4 (Cq), 142.7 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 138.4 (Cq), 135.6 (Cq), 133.3 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 130.6 (Cq), 130.6 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.8 (Cq), 129.8 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 120.2 (Cq), 119.3 (CH), 117.9 (Cq), 116.1 (CH), 115.8 (CH), 115.7 (CH), 115.4 (Cq), 99.3 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 71.7 (CH₂), 67.1 (CH), 67.0 (CH), 43.4 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 38.9 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₃), 28.2 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH), 22.5 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 20.0 (CH₃).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ-115.83 (ddd, J = 14.6, 9.1, 5.4 Hz).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 849.3681 C₅₁H₅₅FKN₂O₆ [M + K]⁺; found 849.3675.

3.16. T-butyl 2-((4R,6R)-6-(2-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(2-hydroxynaphthalen-1-yl)-5-isopropyl-4-(phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)acetate (1g')

In a test tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and with an Argon flow, the corresponding pyrrole **1h'** (100 mg, 0.123 mmol, 1 eq), $Pd(OH)_2$ on carbon (20 wt.%, 0.2 eq, 0.0246 mmol, 17 mg) were dissolved on MeOH (3 mL, 0.04 M). Et₃SiH (1.23 mmol, 200 μ L,10 eq) was dropped and the reaction was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solution was filtrated through a Celite plug with EtOAc, then concentrated in vacuo. The product was obtained as a white solid without further purification (84.3 mg, 0.117 mmol, 95% yield).

3.16.1. First Eluted Diastereoisomer (1g'-1 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 7.79–7.76 (m, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.11–7.05 (m, 2H), 7.04–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.78 (m, 3H), 6.68–6.62 (m, 2H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 4.26–4.13 (m, 2H), 3.96 (ddd, *J* = 14.4, 10.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (eptet, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76–3.67 (m, 1H), 2.39 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.79–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.59 (dd, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.41–1.33 (m, 4H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.06 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 11.5 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 170.3 (Cq), 163.4 (Cq), 162.5 (d, J = 248.4 Hz), 152.3 (Cq), 145.3 (Cq), 138.3 (Cq), 134.5 (Cq), 131.9 (CH), 131.9 (CH), 131.8 (Cq), 130.5 (CH), 129.0 (Cq), 128.6 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.3₅ (Cq), 127.3₃ (Cq), 124.2 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 119.3 (CH), 117.4 (CH), 115.7 (Cq), 115.7 (CH), 115.5 (CH), 113.3 (Cq), 111.5 (Cq), 98.9 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 66.5 (CH), 66.0 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 38.1 (CH₂), 36.1 (CH₂), 30.1 (CH₃), 28.2 (CH₃), 26.3 (CH), 21.5 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 19.8 (CH₃).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 112.99 (ddd, *J* = 13.8, 8.8, 5.2 Hz).

3.16.2. Second Eluted Diastereoisomer (1g'-2 HPLC)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 7.75 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.88–6.80 (m, 3H), 6.70–6.64 (m, 2H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 4.21 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (dtd, *J* = 13.4, 6.6, 5.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (dt, *J* = 14.4, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (h, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dtd, *J* = 11.7, 5.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.78–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.59 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 6H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.35 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.07 (q, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, 77.16 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 170.3 (Cq), 163.5 (Cq), 162.2 (d, *J* = 248.3 Hz), 152.5 (Cq), 145.1 (Cq), 138.4 (Cq), 134.4 (Cq), 131.9 (CH), 131.9 (CH), 131.9 (Cq), 130.5 (CH), 129.0 (Cq), 128.6 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 127.4 (Cq), 127.4 (Cq), 124.2 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 119.3 (CH), 117.4 (CH), 115.7 (Cq), 115.6 (CH), 115.5 (CH),

113.4 (Cq), 111.5 (Cq), 98.9 (Cq), 80.9 (Cq), 66.5 (CH), 66.0 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 38.0 (CH₂), 36.1 (CH₂), 30.0 (CH₃), 28.2 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH), 21.6 (CH₂), 21.2 (CH₂), 19.8 (CH₂).
¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ-113.05 (td, *J* = 8.5, 4.3 Hz).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 721.3653 $C_{44}H_{50}FN_2O_6 [M + H]^+$; found 721.3658.

3.17. General Procedure for Lactone-Atropostatins (7h) and (7g)

3.17.1. 4-(2-(Benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(2-((2*R*,4*R*)-4-hydroxy-6-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)ethyl)-2-isopropyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**7h**)

In a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, the pyrrole mixture **1h'** and **4h'** (100 mg, ratio 74:26 **1h'**/**4h'**, 0.0912 mmol **1h'**) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (5 mL). Then, a solution of HCl 5M (400 μ L) was added and the mixture stirred for 2 h at +60 °C. The reaction was quenched with saturated solution of NaHCO₃ at room temperature and the solvents removed by vacuo. The resulting solid was dissolved in DCM (10 mL) and washed with water (2 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo, then the product was purified by silica chromatography column (Hex/EtOAc, from 3:1 to 1:1), obtaining 40 mg (0.0575 mmol, Y = 63%) of 7h. The resulting mixture of 7h was separated with semi-preparative AD-H column, 20 mL/min, 80:20 Hex/IPA. The first diastereoisomer *M*-7h eluted at 5.2 min, collected 18 mg (0.0258 mmol), yield = 28.3%; the second diastereoisomer eluted *P*-7h at 7.5 min, collected 17.5 mg (0.0251 mmol), yield = 27.5%.

First Eluted Diastereoisomer (M-7h)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.85 (1H, d, *J* = 9.08 Hz) 7.81 (1H, s) 7.78 (1H, d, *J* = 7.99 Hz) 7.59 (1H, d, *J* = 7.99 Hz) 7.45 (1H, d, *J* = 9.08 Hz) 7.42 (1H, ddd, *J* = 8.36, 6.90, 1.09 Hz) 7.28–7.36 (6H, m) 7.16 (2H, t, *J* = 6.74 Hz) 7.07–7.10 (2H, m) 6.85–6.92 (5H, m) 5.33 (1H, d, *J* = 12.35 Hz) 5.23 (1H, d, *J* = 12.35 Hz) 4.55 (1H, br dd, *J* = 7.63, 3.63 Hz) 4.16–4.22 (2H, m) 4.08–4.14 (1H, m) 3.57–3.64 (1H, m) 3.18–3.35 (1H, m) 2.58 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.44, 4.72 Hz) 2.38–2.43 (1H, m) 1.85–1.94 (2H, m) 1.68–1.75 (1H, m) 1.59–1.64 (4H, m) 1.54 (3H, d, *J* = 6.90 Hz).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, 118.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 170.5 (Cq), 165.5 (Cq), 163.0 (Cq, d, *J* = 246.32 Hz), 155.3 (Cq), 142.7 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 138.4 (Cq), 135.7 (Cq), 133.3 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 130.8 (Cq), 130.6 (CH), 130.1 (Cq), 129.6 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 120.1 (Cq), 119.4 (Cq), 116.1 (CH), 115.9 (CH), 115.7 (CH), 115.6 (Cq), 74.1 (CH), 71.7 (CH₂), 62.9 (CH), 41.8 (CH₂), 39.1 (CH₂), 37.9 (CH₂), 35.9 (CH₂), 27.1 (CH), 22.5 (CH₃), 21.5 (CH3).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ -115.62 (td, *J* = 9.1, 4.6 Hz).

Second Eluted Diastereoisomer (P-7h)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD3CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.85 (1H, d, J = 8.72 Hz) 7.76–7.82 (2H, m) 7.56 (1H, d, J = 7.99 Hz) 7.47 (1H, d, J = 9.08 Hz) 7.40 (1H, ddd, J = 8.36, 6.90, 1.45 Hz) 7.30–7.35 (6H, m) 7.18 (2H, t, J = 6.63 Hz) 7.08 (2H, t, J = 7.54 Hz) 6.85–6.91 (5H, m) 5.35 (1H, d, J = 12.35 Hz) 5.27 (1H, d, J = 12.35 Hz) 4.47–4.55 (1H, m) 4.09–4.22 (3H, m) 3.60 (1H, t, J = 7.08 Hz) 3.05–3.35 (1H, m) 2.59 (1H, dd, J = 17.44, 4.72 Hz) 2.39–2.43 (1H, m) 1.97–2.00 (1H, m) 1.79–1.86 (1H, m) 1.66–1.72 (1H, m) 1.58–1.63 (4H, m) 1.55 (3 H, d, J = 7.27 Hz).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, 118.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ 170.5 (Cq) 165.5 (Cq) 163.0 (Cq, d, J = 245.23 Hz) 155.4 (Cq) 142.6 (Cq) 139.8 (Cq) 138.4 (Cq) 135.5 (Cq) 133.3 (CH) 133.2 (CH) 130.8 (Cq) 130.6 (CH) 130.1 (Cq) 129.6 (CH) 129.5 (CH) 128.9 (CH) 128.8 (CH) 128.0 (CH) 127.9 (CH) 126.0 (CH) 125.1 (CH) 124.0 (CH) 120.1 (Cq) 119.4 (CH) 116.1 (CH) 115.9 (CH) 115.7 (CH) 115.6 (Cq) 74.1 (CH) 71.7 (CH₂) 62.8 (CH) 41.8 (CH₂) 39.1 (CH₂) 38.0 (CH₂) 35.9 (CH₂) 27.1 (CH) 22.4 (CH₃) 21.5 (CH₃).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ-115.65 (ddd, J = 14.6, 9.2, 5.5 Hz).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 697.3078 C₄₄H₄₂FN₂O₅ [M + H]⁺; found 697.3071.

3.17.2. 5-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(2-((2*R*,4*R*)-4-hydroxy-6-oxotetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)ethyl)-4-(2-hydroxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-isopropyl-*N*-phenyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (7**g**)

In a test tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and with an Argon flow, the corresponding pyrrole **7h** (15 mg, 0.0215 mmol, 1 eq), $Pd(OH)_2$ on carbon (20 wt.%, 0.2 eq, 0.0043 mmol, 3 mg) were dissolved on MeOH (0.5 mL, 0.04 M). Et₃SiH (0.215 mmol, 35 μ L, 10 eq) was dropped and the reaction was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solution was filtrated through a Celite plug with EtOAc, then concentrated in vacuo. The product was obtained as a white solid without further purification (12.6 mg, 0.0208 mmol, 97% yield).

(M-7g)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.77–7.86 (1H, m) 7.74 (2H, d, *J* = 8.72 Hz) 7.46 (1H, d, *J* = 8.00 Hz) 7.37 (1H, ddd, *J* = 8.36, 6.90, 1.09 Hz) 7.24–7.30 (3H, m) 7.18 (1H, d, *J* = 8.74 Hz) 7.11 (2H, t, *J* = 7.53 Hz) 6.98 (2H, d, *J* = 7.94 Hz) 6.90–6.94 (3H, m) 4.53 (1H, qd, *J* = 7.75, 3.63 Hz) 4.10–4.22 (3H, m) 3.60 (1H, quin, *J* = 7.18 Hz) 3.18–3.33 (1H, m) 2.60 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.44, 4.72 Hz) 2.40–2.44 (1H, m) 1.91–1.95 (1H, m) 1.84–1.89 (1H, m) 1.68–1.76 (1H, m) 1.58–1.65 (4H, m) 1.53 (3H, d, *J* = 6.90 Hz).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, 118.26 ppm, TMS, 25 °C) δ170.7 (Cq) 165.5 (Cq) 163.1 (Cq, d, J = 245.23 Hz) 153.9 (Cq) 143.1 (Cq) 139.8 (Cq) 135.7 (Cq) 133.3 (Cq) 133.2 (CH) 131.7 (Cq) 130.7 (CH) 129.6 (CH) 129.6 (CH) 129.4 (Cq) 129.4 (Cq) 128.9 (CH) 127.8 (CH) 125.5 (CH) 124.3 (CH) 124.1 (CH) 119.4 (CH) 116.0 (CH) 115.8 (CH) 74.3 (CH) 62.8 (CH) 41.9 (CH₂) 39.1 (CH₂) 37.8 (CH₂) 36.0 (CH₂) 27.1 (CH) 22.5 (CH₃) 21.3 (CH₃).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ -115.38–115.52 (m).

(P-7g)

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 1.96 ppm, 25 °C) δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.76–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.48 (dp, J = 8.5, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.08 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.95 (m, 2H), 6.95–6.88 (m, 3H), 4.53 (ddt, J = 11.6, 7.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.20–4.16 (m, 1H), 4.11 (ddd, J = 15.0, 9.1, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (eptet, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dd, J = 17.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (ddd, J = 17.5, 3.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s, 33H), 1.95–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.63 (ddd, J = 14.3, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.53 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN, 118.26 ppm, 25 °C) δ 170.8 (Cq), 165.4 (Cq), 163.8 (Cq, d, J = 245.23 Hz) 162.3 (Cq), 153.9 (Cq), 143.2 (Cq), 139.8 (Cq), 135.7 (Cq), 133.3 (Cq), 133.2 (CH), 131.8 (Cq), 130.7 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.4 (Cq), 128.9 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 115.9 (CH), 115.8 (CH), 74.3 (CH), 62.8 (CH), 42.0 (CH₂), 39.1 (CH₂), 37.7 (CH₂), 35.9 (CH₂), 27.1 (CH), 22.5 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ-115.49 (dq, J = 14.5, 5.6, 4.6 Hz).

HRMS (ESI-QTOF). Calculated for 607.2608 C₃₇H₃₆FN₂O₅ [M + H]⁺; found 607.2614.

4. Conclusions

In summary, in this manuscript we have proposed a novel atropisomeric candidate lactone-atropostatin *M*-7g prodrug inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase. We firstly synthesized and evaluated in silico a library of atorvastatin models with different aryls in position 3 of the pyrrole scaffold in order to obtain stable atropisomers. Then, we determined that *M*-2-OH-naphthyl-atropostatin was the most active inhibitor by means of docking simulation. We were able to obtain the full synthesis of lactone atorvastatin *M*-7g with satisfactory overall yields (10%) after six synthetic steps. Hereafter, in vivo screenings could be evaluated with this prodrug.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28073176/s1. Figure S1: VT-NMR on Methyl of *i*-Pr of pyrrole **1a**. Figure S2: D-HPLC of atorvastatin model **1b** at +35 °C, +40 °C and +45 °C. Figure S3: kinetic studies of reversible first-order racemization of atorvastatin model **1e**. Figure S4: kinetic studies of reversible first-order racemization of atorvastatin model **1f**. Figure S5: kinetic studies of reversible first-order racemization of atorvastatin model **1f**. Figure S5: kinetic studies of reversible first-order racemization of atorvastatin model **1g**. Figure S6: kinetic studies of reversible first-order racemization of atorvastatin model **1h**. Figure S7: *M*-7g-model ground states geometries. Figure S8: ECD studies of compound *M*-7g-model. Figure S9: CSP-HPLC chromatogram of **5e'**. Figure S10: CSP-HPLC chromatogram of **1e'** and **4e'**. Figures S11: CSP-HPLC chromatogram of **5h'**. Figure S12: CSP-HPLC chromatogram of **1h'** and **4h'**. Figures S13–S60: NMR spectra. Scheme of synthesis. Molecular Docking. DFT calculated geometries.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.M.; Methodology, D.P.; Software, A.M.; Investigation, D.P., A.M. and M.M.; Writing – original draft, D.P., A.M. and M.M.; Supervision, M.M. All authors have equally contributed to the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The University of Bologna is gratefully acknowledged (RFO Funds 2022 and 2023). A.M., M.M. and D.P. thank Alchemy SrL, Bologna, for the generous gift of chemicals.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are not available from the authors.

References

- 1. Nguyen, L.A.; He, H.; Pham-Huy, C. Chiral Drugs: An Overview. Int. J. Biomed. Sci. 2006, 2, 85–100. [PubMed]
- Laplante, S.R.; Edwards, P.J.; Fader, L.D.; Jakalian, A.; Hucke, O. Revealing Atropisomer Axial Chirality in Drug Discovery. ChemMedChem 2011, 6, 505–513. [CrossRef]
- 3. Clayden, J.; Moran, W.J.; Edwards, P.J.; Laplante, S.R. The Challenge of Atropisomerism in Drug Discovery. *Angew. Chemie-Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 6398–6401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Laplante, S.R.; Fader, L.D.; Fandrick, K.R.; Fandrick, D.R.; Hucke, O.; Kemper, R.; Miller, S.P.F.; Edwards, P.J. Assessing Atropisomer Axial Chirality in Drug Discovery and Development. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 7005–7022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Basilaia, M.; Chen, M.H.; Secka, J.; Gustafson, J.L. Atropisomerism in the Pharmaceutically Relevant Realm. Acc. Chem. Res. 2022, 55, 2904–2919. [CrossRef]
- Smyth, J.E.; Butler, N.M.; Keller, P.A. A Twist of Nature-the Significance of Atropisomers in Biological Systems. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2015, 32, 1562–1583. [CrossRef]
- Toenjes, S.T.; Gustafson, J.L. Atropisomerism in Medicinal Chemistry: Challenges and Opportunities. *Future Med. Chem.* 2018, 10, 409–422. [CrossRef]
- Rodríguez-Salamanca, P.; Fernández, R.; Hornillos, V.; Lassaletta, J.M. Asymmetric Synthesis of Axially Chiral C–N Atropisomers. Chem.-A Eur. J. 2022, 28. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zhang, H.-H.; Shi, F. Organocatalytic Atroposelective Synthesis of Indole Derivatives Bearing Axial Chirality: Strategies and Applications. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2022, *55*, 2562–2580. [CrossRef]
- Sheng, F.-T.; Yang, S.; Wu, S.-F.; Zhang, Y.-C.; Shi, F. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Axially Chiral 3,3'-Bisindoles by Direct Coupling of Indole Rings. *Chin. J. Chem.* 2022, 40, 2151–2160. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, J.K.; Xiang, S.H.; Li, S.; Ye, L.; Tan, B. Recent Advances in Catalytic Asymmetric Construction of Atropisomers. *Chem. Rev.* 2021, 121, 4805–4902. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Cheng, J.K.; Xiang, S.H.; Tan, B. Organocatalytic Enantioselective Synthesis of Axially Chiral Molecules: Development of Strategies and Skeletons. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2022, 55, 2920–2937. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Liu, Y.-w.; Chen, Y.-H.; Cheng, J.K.; Xiang, S.-H.; Tan, B. Enantioselective synthesis of 3-arylindole atropisomers via organocatalytic indolization of iminoquinones. *Chem. Synth.* 2023, *3*, 11. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.D.; Yang, B.B.; Li, L. A Nonneglectable Stereochemical Factor in Drug Development: Atropisomerism. *Chirality* 2022, 34, 1355–1370. [CrossRef]
- 15. Haque, T.; Khan, B.V. Atorvastatin: A Review of Its Pharmacological Properties and Use in Familial Hypercholesterolemia. *Clin. Lipidol.* **2010**, *5*, 615–625. [CrossRef]
- 16. Sirtori, C.R. The Pharmacology of Statins. Pharmacol. Res. 2014, 88, 3–11. [CrossRef]

- 17. Ramkumar, S.; Raghunath, A.; Raghunath, S. Statin Therapy: Review of Safety and Potential Side Effects. *Acta Cardiol. Sin.* **2016**, 32, 631–639. [CrossRef]
- 18. Collins, R.; Reith, C.; Emberson, J.; Armitage, J.; Baigent, C.; Blackwell, L.; Blumenthal, R.; Danesh, J.; Smith, G.D.; DeMets, D.; et al. Interpretation of the Evidence for the Efficacy and Safety of Statin Therapy. *Lancet* **2016**, *388*, 2532–2561. [CrossRef]
- Roth, B.D. 1 The Discovery and Development of Atorvastatin, A Potent Novel Hypolipidemic Agent. Prog. Med. Chem. 2002, 40, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- IUPAC. Compendium of Chemical Terminology, 2nd ed.; (the "Gold Book"); McNaught, A.D., Wilkinson, A., Eds.; Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford, UK, 1997; Online version (2019-) created by S. J. Chalk.; ISBN 0-9678550-9-8. [CrossRef]
- Mancinelli, M.; Bencivenni, G.; Pecorari, D.; Mazzanti, A. Stereochemistry and Recent Applications of Axially Chiral Organic Molecules. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2020, 2020, 4070–4086. [CrossRef]
- Li, A.; Muddana, H.S.; Gilson, M.K. Quantum Mechanical Calculation of Noncovalent Interactions: A Large-Scale Evaluation of PMx, DFT, and SAPT Approaches. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2014, 10, 1563–1575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, J.-K.; Zhou, B.; Tian, Y.-C.; Jia, C.; Xue, X.-S.; Fa-Guang Zhang, F.-G.; Ma, J.-A. Potassium Acetate-Catalyzed Double Decarboxylative Transannulation to Access Highly Functionalized Pyrroles. *Org. Lett.* 2020, 22, 9585–9590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Wu, Y.; Liu, M.-J.; Huang, H.-Q.; Huang, G.-X.; Xiong, F.-J.; Chen, F.-E. Asymmetric Synthesis of Atorvastatin Calcium through Intramolecular Oxidative Oxygen-Nucleophilic Bromocyclization. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 3681–3688. [CrossRef]
- Novozhilov, Y.V.; Dorogov, M.V.; Blumina, M.V.; Smirnov, A.V.; Krasavin, M. An improved kilogram-scale preparation of atorvastatin calcium. *Chem. Cent. J.* 2015, 9, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- 26. Goyal, S.; Patel, J.K.; Gangar, M.; Kumar, K.; Nair, V.A. Zirconocene Dichloride Catalysed One-Pot Synthesis of Pyrroles through Nitroalkene-Enamine Assembly. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 3187–3195. [CrossRef]
- Pecorari, D.; Giuliani, E.; Mazzanti, A.; Stagni, S.; Fiorini, V.; Vigarani, G.; Zinna, F.; Pescitelli, G.; Mancinelli, M. Synthesis and Stereodynamic and Emission Properties of Dissymmetric Bis-Aryl Carbazole Boranes and Identification of a CPL-Active B–C Atropisomeric Compound. J. Org. Chem. 2023, 88, 871–881. [CrossRef]
- 28. Mazzanti, A.; Boffa, M.; Marotta, E.; Mancinelli, M. Axial Chirality at the Boron–Carbon Bond: Synthesis, Stereodynamic Analysis, and Atropisomeric Resolution of 6-Aryl-5,6-dihydrodibenzo[c,e][1,2]azaborinines. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 12253–12258. [CrossRef]
- Kapłon, K.; Demchuk, O.M.; Pietrusiewicz, K.M. The DFT study on racemisation of atropisomeric biaryls. Curr. Chem. Lett. 2015, 4, 145–152. [CrossRef]
- 30. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G.A.; Nakatsuji, H.; et al. *Gaussian16, Revision A.03*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2016.
- 31. Morris, G.M.; Huey, R.; Lindstrom, W.; Sanner, M.F.; Belew, R.K.; Goodsell, D.S.; Olson, A.J. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated Docking with Selective Receptor Flexibility. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 2785–2791. [CrossRef]
- Bertuzzi, G.; Crotti, S.; Calandro, P.; Bonini, B.F.; Monaco, I.; Locatelli, E.; Fochi, M.; Zani, P.; Strocchi, E.; Mazzanti, A.; et al. Quinone-Fused Pyrazoles through 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions: Synthesis of Tricyclic Scaffolds and in vitro Cytotoxic Activity Evaluation on Glioblastoma Cancer Cells. *ChemMedChem* 2018, 13, 1744–1750. [CrossRef]
- Istvan, E.S.; Deisenhofer, J. Structural Mechanism for Statin Inhibition of HMG-CoA Reductase. *Science* 2001, 292, 1160–1164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mizoi, K.; Takahashi, M.; Haba, M.; Hosokawa, M. Synthesis and Evaluation of Atorvastatin Esters as Prodrugs Metabolically Activated by Human Carboxylesterases. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2016, 26, 921–923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Estévez, V.; Villacampa, M.; Menéndez, J.C. Concise Synthesis of Atorvastatin Lactone under High-Speed Vibration Milling Conditions. *Org. Chem. Front.* 2014, *1*, 458–463. [CrossRef]
- Mancinelli, M.; Franzini, R.; Renzetti, A.; Marotta, E.; Villani, C.; Mazzanti, A. Determination of the absolute configuration of conformationally flexible molecules by simulation of chiro-optical spectra: A case study. *RSC Adv.* 2019, *9*, 18165–18175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Meazza, M.; Sitinova, G.; Poderi, C.; Mancinelli, M.; Zhang, K.; Mazzanti, A.; Torres, R.R. Synergistic Catalysis: Highly Enantioselective Acetyl Aza-arene Addition to Enals. *Chem.-A Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 13306–13310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Meazza, M.; Kamlar, M.; Jašíková, L.; Formánek, B.; Mazzanti, A.; Roithová, J.; Veselý, J.; Rios, R. Synergistic formal ring contraction for the enantioselective synthesis of spiropyrazolones. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 6368–6373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Mancinelli, M.; Perticarari, S.; Prati, L.; Mazzanti, A. Conformational Analysis and Absolute Configuration of Axially Chiral 1-Aryl and 1,3-Bisaryl-xanthines. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 6874–6885. [CrossRef]
- 40. Pescitelli, G.; Bruhn, T. Good Computational Practice in the Assignment of Absolute Configurations by TDDFT Calculations of ECD Spectra. *Chirality* **2016**, *28*, 466–474. [CrossRef]
- 41. Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R. Quantum Mechanical Continuum Solvation Models. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2999–3093. [CrossRef]
- 42. Mennucci, B.; Cappelli, C.; Cammi, R.; Tomasi, J. Modeling Solvent Effects on Chiroptical Properties. Chirality 2011, 23, 717–729. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.