## 4-(2,4-Dimethoxybenzyliden)-2-butenolide
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The general part of the experimental section [1] has been presented elsewhere. To a solution of $8.8 \mathrm{~g}(0.05$ mol ) of 2,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde in 100 ml of hot ethanol 2-butenolide ( $7.6 \mathrm{ml}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. Piperidine ( $2.5 \mathrm{ml}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was then added dropwise to the stirred mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours and then left to stand at room temperature for 24 hours. The crystals obtained were filtered off. Cooling of the filtrate in the refrigerator enabled isolation of an additional quantity of the reaction product. Yield of 4-(2,4-dimethoxybenzyliden)-2-butenolide is 9.86 g ( $85 \%$ ).
M.p. $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol).

IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 1745(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1790(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{EF}}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; 7.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{BA}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; 6.58(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{FE}}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{FD}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; 6.50\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CA}}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{DF}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;$ $6.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AC}}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

EI-MS: 232 ( ${ }^{+} 100$ \%); 217 (21.7); 204 (66.7); 201 (30); 190 (38.3); 189 (83); 175 (20); 158 (83).
Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (232.23): C 67.23, H 5.21; found C 67.21, H 5.26.
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