



Article Differential Synonymous Codon Selection in the B56 Gene Family of PP2A Regulatory Subunits

Gabriel Corzo¹, Claire E. Seeling-Branscomb² and Joni M. Seeling^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Biology, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY 11549, USA; gcorzo1@pride.hofstra.edu
- ² Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA;
- claire.seelingbranscomb@stonybrook.edu
- * Correspondence: joni.seeling@hofstra.edu

Abstract: Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) functions as a tumor suppressor and consists of a scaffolding, catalytic, and regulatory subunit. The B56 gene family of regulatory subunits impart distinct functions onto PP2A. Codon usage bias (CUB) involves the selection of synonymous codons, which can affect gene expression by modulating processes such as transcription and translation. CUB can vary along the length of a gene, and differential use of synonymous codons can be important in the divergence of gene families. The N-termini of the gene product encoded by B56 α possessed high CUB, high GC content at the third codon position (GC3), and high rare codon content. In addition, differential CUB was found in the sequence encoding two B56 γ N-terminal splice forms. The sequence encoding the N-termini of B56 γ/γ , relative to B56 δ/γ , displayed CUB, utilized more frequent codons, and had higher GC3 content. B56 α mRNA had stronger than predicted secondary structure at their 5' end, and the B56 δ/γ splice variants had long regions of weaker than predicted secondary structure at their 5' end. The data suggest that B56 α is expressed at relatively low levels as compared to the other B56 isoforms and that the B56 δ/γ splice variant is expressed more highly than B56 γ/γ .

Keywords: codon usage bias; synonymous; protein phosphatase 2A; B56 regulatory subunit



Citation: Corzo, G.; Seeling-Branscomb, C.E.; Seeling, J.M. Differential Synonymous Codon Selection in the B56 Gene Family of PP2A Regulatory Subunits. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, *25*, 392. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms25010392

Academic Editor: Lei Deng

Received: 20 November 2023 Revised: 18 December 2023 Accepted: 23 December 2023 Published: 27 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The B56 gene family encodes highly conserved regulatory subunits of the serine/threonine protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). Yeast and human B56 sequences are approximately sixty percent identical. B56 proteins share a conserved central core domain but have divergent N- and C-termini which play a role in isoform specificity. B56 isoforms modulate canonical Wnt signaling; most B56 isoforms inhibit, whereas B56 ϵ is required for, Wnt signaling [1–3]. Casein kinase I δ and I ϵ , both activators of Wnt signaling, dissociate PP2A from the β -catenin degradation complex that inhibits Wnt signaling [4]. The vertebrate B56 gene family is likely the result of three duplications of a progenitor B56 gene and multiple gene losses, giving rise to five B56 loci [5]. The gene family consists of three B56-1 subfamily genes (B56 α , B56 β , and B56 ϵ) and two B56-2 subfamily genes (B56 γ and B56 δ).

Because of the degeneracy of the genetic code, eighteen of twenty amino acids, all but methionine and tryptophan, are encoded by more than one codon. These synonymous codons, however, are not created equal, as they are not used at the same frequency. In fact, different species use different synonymous codons at different frequencies [6]. The differential use of synonymous codons is termed codon usage bias (CUB). The synonymous codon used can affect gene expression through several mechanisms, including transcription, translation, or post-translationally [7,8]. With regard to translation, this may be due to factors such as the abundance of corresponding charged tRNAs and mRNA secondary structure [8,9]. Interestingly, it has been shown that CUB can predict protein–protein interactions, as codon usage of genes encoding interacting proteins coevolve with one

another [10]. Historically, studies of cancer-associated mutations have focused on mutations that change the encoded protein. However, recent studies have found that synonymous mutations play an important role as well, as they are predictive of cancer prognoses, presumably due to their effects on gene expression [11].

There are typically variations in CUB throughout a gene sequence, resulting in an intragenic codon landscape [9]. The presence of rare or frequent codons can modulate the rate of translation initiation and/or elongation, with translation rates slower with rare as compared to frequent codons. Rare codons in the first 30 to 50 codons of an mRNA, the ramp, may temporarily slow elongation, leading to extended regions between ribosomes. These regions lead to proper ribosome spacing and reduce the formation of ribosome traffic jams, thereby promoting higher rates of translation further downstream [12]. Rare codons in the ramp may also promote protein folding, for example, since the ramp is similar in size to the exit tunnel, which can hold approximately 40 amino acids and is sufficient in size to allow the formation of α -helices [8]. The strength of secondary structure at the 5' end of the coding region affects translation, as strong mRNA secondary structures reduce the rate of translation [8]. An analysis of deviations from local free energy (LFE) of mRNA coding regions across a broad range of species defined the ramp as having four distinct regions [9]. It was found that in eukaryotes, the first approximately one to twenty codons have weaker than predicted secondary structure, followed by a transition at on average codon seventeen to moderately stronger than predicted secondary structure, which continues until immediately upstream of the stop codon, where mRNA again possesses weaker than predicted secondary structure [9]. In addition, a peak of stronger than predicted secondary structure is frequently present at the transition from weak to moderately strong secondary structure at the 5' end of mRNA, at codons ten to twenty-three [9].

Gene duplication is an early step in the formation of a gene family [13]. After duplication, one copy of a gene typically maintains the original function, while the other copy is less evolutionarily constrained and may gain new functions due to mutations. Mutations that change the encoded amino acid are highly studied; however, CUB is another means by which members of a gene family may diverge from one another. CUB has been examined in several gene families, including ras, calmodulin, and polypyrimidine tract binding protein (PTBP) [14–16]. K-ras has a less significant role in cancer than H-ras, as K-ras possesses a large number of rare codons, and more mutations are required to increase K-ras expression to the level required to promote cancer [14]. Humans possess three calmodulin genes, each of which encodes identical proteins; however, CUB, as well as the presence of distinct regulatory DNA sequences, may lead to their differential tissue expression [15]. A high percentage of guanine or cytosine present at the third position in a codon, termed GC3, is an indicator of CUB and is associated with increased gene expression [16]. The increased gene expression may be due to factors such as methylation and RNA stability [17,18]. PTBP is present as a three-gene family in humans; high GC3 content in PTBP1 correlates with high expression levels, while a low GC3 percent in PTBP2 and PTBP3 correlates with low expression levels [16]. Therefore, CUB plays a role in the divergence of gene family members.

In our previous study of the evolution of the B56 gene family through the analysis of nonsynonymous and synonymous substitutions, we found low synonymous substitutions in sequences encoding the termini of B56 proteins, suggesting that these termini experienced selection to maintain function [19]. As CUB can play an important role in gene expression, we further examined CUB in vertebrate B56 genes and the implications it may have on B56 gene expression. Several mechanisms were used to measure CUB including effective number of codons (Nc), Codon Adaptation Index (CAI), the percent of the third position of a codon containing guanine or cytosine (GC3%), and deviations of native mRNA secondary structure from structural predictions based on LFE, atypical RNA secondary structure, was found in B56 α , and B56 γ/γ and B56 δ/γ splice variants showed differential CUB and

secondary structure, suggesting that CUB plays a role in the regulation of B56 isoform gene expression.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Nc

Nc quantitates the number of different codons used in a protein-encoding nucleotide sequence and can vary from 20, which signifies high bias, to 61, which signifies low bias. An Nc analysis was carried out to further analyze CUB in vertebrate B56 genes. To characterize the intragenic codon landscape, Nc values were calculated for complete B56 gene sequences, as well as for each domain separately. Nc values of less than or equal to 35 are considered to possess CUB [20]. Nc values for the N-termini of B56 α and B56 γ satisfied this criterium and exhibited CUB, as their Nc values were 34 and 30, respectively (Figure 1A). Domains within each of the other isoforms had Nc values relatively similar to one another. We previously identified a highly expressed mixed-isoform splice variant of B56 γ , B56 δ/γ [21]. Evidence suggests that B56 γ and B56 δ arose from the duplication of a B56 γ /B56 δ progenitor gene [21]. The B56 δ / γ splice variant has an N-terminal domain approximately 70% identical to the N-terminal domain of a frequently sequenced B568 splice variant and a core region identical to B56 γ . B56 δ/γ and B56 γ/γ are differentially expressed; for example, B56 δ/γ is expressed more highly than B56 γ/γ in early *Xenopus laevis* development [21]. The sequences encoding the N-termini of $B56\delta/\gamma$ were compared to both B56 δ and B56 γ/γ , as comparisons between B56 δ/γ and B56 δ may characterize mutations that occurred post-B56 γ /B56 δ gene duplication. The Nc values for B56 δ / γ and B568 N-termini encoding domains were 57 and 44, respectively, as compared to 30 for $B56\gamma/\gamma$; therefore, of these isoforms, only $B56\gamma/\gamma$ possessed CUB (Figure 1B).



Figure 1. B56 α and B56 γ exhibited CUB (codon usage bias). Effective number of codons (Nc) values were calculated for B56 isoform domains. Green bars represent N-termini, blue bars represent the core region, red bars represent C-termini, and gray bars represent the entire coding region. (**A**,**B**) The dashed brown line marks the minimum Nc value, the dotted brown line demarcates the cutoff for CUB, and the solid brown line demarcates the maximum Nc value. (**C**,**D**) Nc values were normalized for B56 isoform domains by calculating the percent difference between each domain and its entire coding sequence. Twenty-eight species were used in the analyses of the five B56 genes; eighteen species were used in the analyses of B56 γ splice variants.

To further examine the intragenic codon landscape in the B56 gene family, the Nc value for each domain was normalized to the Nc value of its corresponding complete B56 gene sequence, as both intragenic and intergenic CUB exists [20]. It was found that the N-termini of B56 α and B56 γ had Nc values approximately 40% lower than their corresponding wholegene sequences, whereas the differences for the other domains ranged from 2% higher to 17% lower than their corresponding whole-gene sequences, highlighting the CUB that was present in B56 α and B56 γ N-termini encoding domains (Figure 1C). In examining B56 γ splice variants, it was found that the normalized Nc value for the domain encoding the N-termini of $B56\gamma/\gamma$ was 45% lower than their corresponding whole-gene sequences, whereas the normalized Nc values for B56 δ and B56 δ/γ N-termini were zero, highlighting that CUB was only present in $B56\gamma/\gamma$ N-termini encoding domains (Figure 1D). The data suggest that there has been selection for specific codons in the N-termini of both B56 α and B56 γ and that there was CUB in the N-termini of B56 γ/γ but not B56 δ/γ or B56 δ . However, the low Nc value of $B56\gamma/\gamma$ N-termini may not be highly informative due to their short lengths (32 codons on average), as others have excluded similarly short sequences in their analyses [22]. Nc values indicate whether codon bias is present but make no determination with regard to the direction of that bias. Comparisons of CAI values among the B56 isoforms were made to determine if the observed CUB favored rare or frequent codons.

2.2. CAI

CAI quantitates the use of rare/frequent codons in a protein-encoding nucleotide sequence. As each species may have a different codon usage pattern, the rarity of a codon is determined from a set of highly expressed genes in a given species and is summarized in a species-specific codon usage table [23]. The frequency of each codon per one thousand codons from this table is then used to calculate CAI values for gene sequences. A low CAI value results if a gene sequence contains a high number of rarely used codons, suggesting that a gene is expressed at low levels. Increasing CAI values, up to a value of one, correlate with genes possessing higher numbers of frequently used codons, suggesting that a gene is expressed at high levels. Due to gene differences in nucleotide content and the encoded amino acids, normalized CAI values are typically used in determining the significance of CAI values [23]. This normalization is performed using expected CAI (eCAI) values, which are average CAI values calculated from five hundred sets of synonymous sequences with similar GC content as the query sequence [24].

Percent differences between CAI and eCAI values were calculated and compared among domains within each B56 isoform. In these analyses, positive percent differences between CAI and eCAI signify the presence of frequently used codons, whereas negative values signify the presence of rare codons. The N-termini were the only domains in which large differences between CAI and eCAI values were found (Figure 2A,B). Specifically, the CAI value of B56 α N-termini encoding sequences was 13% lower than its eCAI value, and B56 β had a CAI value 8% higher than its eCAI value, while percent differences between CAI and eCAI values with all other B56 isoform N-termini were within 4% (Figure 2A). CAI was 3% higher than eCAI for B56 γ / γ and B56 δ N-termini, while it was 4% lower for B56 δ / γ ; therefore, B56 γ / γ and B56 δ N-termini possessed more frequently used codons than B56 δ / γ (Figure 2B).

The 5' end of a gene's coding region plays an \notin mportant role in regulating gene expression [12,25]. Reduced translation rates may promote proper ribosomal spacing and high levels of expression due to rare codons in the ramp [8]. Studies in prokaryotes have shown that the extreme 5' end, approximately ten codons, plays a crucial role in regulating the rate of translation. Rare codons are often present in the 5' end, which suggests that rare codons directly reduce local translation efficiency. In the analysis of B56 isoform CAI values, the most salient feature found was that the first ten codons of B56 α mRNA had particularly low CAI values, e.g., contained rare codons (G. Corzo and J.M. Seeling, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY, CAIcal output graphs, 2023). Therefore, CAI analyses were performed

using the first ten codons of each B56 isoform's coding region. The first ten codons of B56 α , and B56 δ/γ as compared to B56 γ/γ , displayed stronger differences between CAI and eCAI than with the entire N-termini. In particular, CAI was 47% lower than eCAI for B56 α , and the percent difference of CAI versus eCAI for B56 δ/γ was 12% lower, while it was 5% higher for B56 δ , and CAI and eCAI were equal for B56 γ/γ (Figure 2C,D). Thus, the first ten codons of B56 α and B56 δ/γ both possess a higher-than-expected abundance of rare codons. The abundance of rare codons in sequences encoding B56 δ/γ N-termini and specifically the first ten codons in B56 δ post-B56 $\gamma/B56\delta$ gene duplication. The presence of rare codons in the ramps of B56 α and B56 δ/γ suggests that initial translation rates may be low to allow for proper ribosome spacing, potentially leading to higher translation rates further downstream, suggestive of higher expression levels than other B56 isoforms. This correlates with expression data from early *Xenopus laevis* development, which found that B56 δ/γ was more highly expressed than B56 γ/γ , although additional studies would be necessary to confirm this, and it is likely that other factors may be in play as well [7].



Figure 2. Rare codons were abundant in B56 α and B56 δ/γ . Codon Adaptation Index (CAI) and expected Codon Adaptation Index (eCAI) values were calculated for B56 isoforms. Percent differences between CAI and eCAI were plotted. Green bars represent N-termini, blue bars represent the core region, red bars represent C-termini, and gray bars represent the entire coding region. (**A**,**B**) CAI and eCAI values were calculated for B56 isoforms. Twenty-eight species were used in the analyses of the five B56 genes; eighteen species were used in the analyses of B56 γ splice variants.

Some studies have found that the presence of rare codons in the 5' coding region correlated with high expression rates, not due to the presence of rare codons in and of themselves, but due to a resultant weak secondary structure [25,26]. For example, through the analysis of genes present in hundreds of bacterial genomes, one group found that weak secondary structure, rather than the presence of rare codons directly, was the determining

factor in translation efficiency [26]. Another group tested thousands of variants of codons 2–11 of a reporter mRNA in *E. coli*, maintaining amino acid identity, and found that the effect of rare codons was indirect in reducing local translational efficiency, with the direct effect being due to weak secondary structure [27]. To further examine potential regulation of B56 gene expression through CUB, GC3% of B56 isoform coding sequences were examined.

2.3. GC3%

In examining GC3 percent values, it was found that they were similar between the different domains of each isoform except for B56 α , in which the domain encoding the N-termini possessed a GC3% value approximately two-fold greater than every other domain (Figure 3A). CAI had shown that this B56 α domain displayed rare codons, which is not expected for a domain with high GC3 content; however, the GC3 codons present in this domain were extremely rare, resulting in low CAI values (G. Corzo and J.M. Seeling, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY, CAIcal output graphs, 2023). For B56 γ splice variants, the region encoding the N-termini of B56 γ/γ and B56 δ had GC3 percent values 70% and 93% higher, respectively, than that of B56 δ/γ (Figure 3B). B56 δ/γ 's low GC3% and negative CAI values both correlate with rare codons.



Figure 3. B56 α possessed a high percentage of guanines and cytosines at the third position of codons (GC3%), and there was differential GC3% between B56 γ alternative splice forms. GC3% values were calculated for B56 isoform domains. Green bars represent N-termini, blue bars represent the core region, red bars represent C-termini, and gray bars represent the entire coding region. (**A**,**B**) Percent GC3 values were plotted. (**C**,**D**) GC3% values were normalized for each B56 isoform by calculating the percent difference of each domain as compared to the entire coding sequence. Twenty-eight species were used in the analyses of the five B56 genes; eighteen species were used in the analyses of B56 γ splice variants.

GC content varies within genomes due to the existence of isochores, which are long regions of DNA that have distinct GC content [28]. Due to the presence of GC isochores, we examined GC3 values normalized to GC3 percent values of each gene. The domains of all isoforms were found to have GC3% values within 15% of the value of their whole-gene sequence, except for B56 α and B56 γ N-termini, in which GC3 percent values were 96% and 25% higher than their whole genes, respectively (Figure 3C). In comparing B56 γ splice variants, the domains encoding B56 γ/γ N-termini were 26% higher, B56 δ N-termini were the same, and B56 δ/γ N-termini were 22% lower than their whole-gene sequences, resulting in the dissimilarity of the percent differences between domains encoding B56 γ/γ and B56 δ/γ N-termini being 48% (Figure 3D). Therefore, the whole-gene normalized GC3 content of the domains encoding B56 α and B56 γ/γ N-termini was higher than other B56 isoforms, with B56 α possessing rare codons and B56 γ/γ N-termini possessing frequent

codons. High GC3 content may also be the result of selection for strong secondary structure, and as total GC content is more predictive of secondary structure than GC3 content, percent GC values of B56 isoforms were calculated.

2.4. GC

Genes with high GC content are associated with high gene expression in mammals; this may be due in part to increased transcription of GC-rich regions [7]. It was found, as with GC3, that GC content was similar between the different domains of each isoform except for B56 α , in which the domain encoding the N-termini possessed a GC% value approximately two-fold greater than every other domain (Figure 4A). For B56 γ splice variants, the region encoding the N-termini of B56 γ/γ and B56 δ had GC percent values similar to B56 δ/γ , as they were only 30–40% higher than that of B56 δ/γ (Figure 4B).



Figure 4. Guanine cytosine content (GC%) varied within and between B56 isoforms. GC% values were calculated for B56 isoform domains. Green bars represent N-termini, blue bars represent the core region, red bars represent C-termini, and gray bars represent the entire coding region. (**A**,**B**) Percent GC values were plotted. (**C**,**D**) GC% values were normalized for each B56 isoform by calculating the percent difference of each domain as compared to its entire coding sequence. Twenty-eight species were used in the analyses of the five B56 genes; eighteen species were used in the analyses of B56 γ splice variants.

As done with GC3 analyses, the percent difference of GC content between the sequences encoding the N-termini of B56 isoforms and their respective whole-gene sequences was calculated to normalize for the presence of GC isochores. The value for B56 α was three- to six-fold higher than the other B56 isoforms (Figure 4C). In examining B56 γ splice variants, the domains encoding B56 γ/γ and B56 δ N-termini were 22% and 11% higher, respectively, and B56 δ/γ N-termini were 5% lower than their whole-gene sequences, resulting in the dissimilarity of the percent differences between domains encoding B56 γ/γ and B56 δ/γ N-termini of approximately 27% (Figure 4D). This suggests that sequences encoding the N-termini of B56 α and B56 γ/γ have stronger secondary structure than the other B56 isoforms and may be expressed at lower levels. In addition, data suggest that there has been selection for low GC3 and GC content in B56 δ/γ and/or high GC3 and GC content in B56 δ post-B56 γ /B56 δ gene duplication. To further examine the potential effects of CUB on B56 gene expression, we calculated the difference in LFE between B56 isoforms' native mRNA secondary structure and predicted structures.

2.5. Local Folding Energy

CUB may affect gene expression through its influence on mRNA secondary structure; however, there is data that suggest that mRNA secondary structure may be selected for directly, rather than being solely a downstream effect of selection for CUB through GC content [9]. mRNA folding occurs in a localized fashion as transcription occurs; the use of sliding windows in local free energy calculations mimics this process and is therefore efficient at predicting RNA secondary structures present during translation [9]. The difference between the LFE of native B56 mRNA and that predicted by random RNA sequences encoding the same protein with the same nucleotide content and codon frequencies (Δ LFEs) was calculated [9]. The typical pattern in eukaryotic organisms consists of weaker secondary structure than expected at the beginning and end of the coding region, with the initial weak secondary structure followed immediately by a depression of relatively stronger secondary structure than predicted, which is then followed by more moderate secondary structure through the remainder of the coding region [9]. In focusing on the 5' end of B56 coding sequences, it was found that most B56 mRNAs (B56 β , B56 γ , and B56 ϵ) follow the pattern exhibited by typical eukaryotic mRNAs, containing a short (one to three codons) domain of weak secondary structure followed by a region of relatively strong secondary structure (Figure 5B,C,E). B56 α and B56 δ mRNA did not follow the typical pattern, however, as they displayed stronger than predicted secondary structure in the first 51 or 2 codons of the coding region, respectively (Figure 5A,D). This region of $B56\alpha$ encompasses the region that possessed CUB (Figure 1A) and rare codons (Figure 2C), suggesting that the CUB resulted in the presence of rare codons, high GC3 content, and strong secondary structure. The lack of domains with weak secondary structure at their 5' end suggests that B56 α and B56 δ will have a low translational initiation rate and therefore will be expressed at lower rates than other B56 isoforms.

With regard to the alternative splice forms of B56 γ , it was found that B56 δ/γ varied somewhat from the typical B56 isoform pattern as its ramp of weak secondary structure was up to eight-fold longer than the ramp of other B56 genes, as it extended through 25 codons, while it extended through three codons in B56 γ/γ (Figure 5F,H). B56 δ began with two codons with strong secondary structure, suggesting a slow rate of translational initiation (Figure 5G). The difference between the sequences encoding the N-termini of B56 δ and B56 δ/γ suggests that at the start of the coding region, there was selection for stronger than predicted secondary structure and low expression for B56 δ while weaker than expected secondary structure and high expression for B56 δ/γ . The extended weakly structured region in B56 δ/γ may result in it being more accessible to the ribosome, and therefore, it may have higher rates of translational initiation and higher expression levels than the alternative B56 γ splice variant B56 γ/γ (Figure 5F–H).



Figure 5. The 5' ends of B56 α and B56 δ/γ coding regions displayed atypical RNA secondary structure. Differences between the local free energy (LFE) of native B56 mRNA and that predicted by random RNA sequences encoding the same protein with the same nucleotide content and codon frequencies (Δ LFEs) were calculated for each of the B56 coding regions. LFE was plotted against the distance from the coding sequence (CDS) start in nucleotides (nt). (**A**) B56 α , (**B**) B56 β , (**C**) B56 γ , (**D**) B56 δ , (**E**) B56 ϵ , (**F**) B56 γ/γ , (**G**) B56 δ , and (**H**) B56 δ/γ . Twenty-eight species were used in the analyses of the five B56 genes; eighteen species were used in the analyses of B56 γ splice variants.

2.6. Synonymous Mutations of B56 Isoforms in Cancer

As synonymous mutations play a significant role in cancer, most likely due to the modulation of gene expression, we examined whether B56 isoform mutations have been identified in human cancer. The Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) was searched, and numerous cancer-associated synonymous mutations were found in the B56 isoform genes, comprising 17% to 27% of all cancer-associated mutations of each gene; the number of synonymous mutations was 28% to 55% of the number of missense mutations (Table 1). These values were comparable to the values determined through an examination of all genes present on COSMIC, in which it was reported that synonymous mutations made up 23% of all mutations and were 37% of the number of missense mutations [29]. The presence of a relatively large number of synonymous substitutions in B56 genes found in cancer suggests that these mutations modulate B56 gene expression through, for example, changes in mRNA secondary structure.

B56 Isoform	Abundance of Synonymous Mutations with Respect to All Mutations (%)	Abundance of Synonymous Mutations with Respect to Missense Mutations (%)
Β56α	17	36
Β56β	25	40
Β56γ	16	28
Β56δ	27	55
Β56ε	18	30

Table 1. Abundance of synonymous B56 isoform mutations in cancer. Mutations in B56 isoforms were tabulated from the Catalogue of Somatic. Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Identification of B56 Isoform Homologs

Each human B56 isoform protein was used as a query to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) vertebrate non-redundant (nr) protein sequence database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/, last accessed on 17 June 2023) using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool protein (BLASTp, National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD, USA) version 2.12.0+ (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi, last accessed on 17 June 2023) with the default parameters. The GenBank accession numbers used in the query were B56α: NP_006234.1, B56β: NP_006235.1, B56γ: NP_002710.2, B56δ: NP_006236.1, B56ε: NP_001269108.1, and B56δ/γ: XP_005267877.1. The sequences with the highest percent identity and percent query coverage in each species were retrieved. Sequences with gaps of sixty or more amino acids were excluded from the analyses. Vertebrate species that possessed each isoform were selected for further analyses. Sequences from each B56 isoform were aligned using Clustal Omega (European Bioinformatics Institute of European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hinxton, United Kingdom, version 1.2.2) to verify their identity and the completeness of their sequence (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/ clustalo, last accessed on 2 June 2023). A given species was used only if it had a codon usage table on the Codon Usage Database (http://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/, last accessed on 26 June 2022). The nucleotide sequence for each protein sequence was retrieved from the nucleotide database on GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore, National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD, USA, last accessed on 17 June 2023). The GenBank accession numbers retrieved were B56α: NM_006243.4, B56β: NM_006244.4, B56γ: NM_002719.4, B56δ: NM_006245.4, B56ε: NM_001282179.3, and B56δ/γ: XM_005267820.1. Twenty-eight species contained all five isoform sequences of sufficient quality and were used in the CUB analyses. Of these species, eighteen had sequences of the B56 γ splice variant B56 δ/γ of sufficient quality. The eighteen species included Homo sapiens, Pelodiscus sinensis, Cricetulus griseus, Mus musculus, Oryctolagus cuniculus, Rattus norvegicus, Bos taurus, Felis catus, Ovis aries, Aotus nancymaae, Chlorocebus sabaeus, Macaca fascicularis, Macaca mulatta, Microcebus murinus, Otolemur garnettii, Pan paniscus, Pan troglodytes, and Papio anubis. The twenty-eight species included additionally Gekko japonicus, Cavia porcellus, Microtus ochrogaster, Octodon degus, Camelus dromedarius, Equus asinus, Mustela putorius furo, Sus scrofa, Saimiri boliviensis, and Tupaia chinensis.

3.2. CUB Calculations

Nc, eCAI, CAI, percent GC3, and percent GC were calculated using CAI calculator (https://ppuigbo.me/programs/CAIcal/, Universitat Rovira I Virgili, Catalonia, Spain, last accessed on 26 October 2023). CAI calculator uses the Ncw version of Nc [30]. eCAI values were calculated with population and confidence intervals of 90%. Percent differences between eCAI and CAI were used to determine the significance of CAI values.

3.3. RNA Local Free Energy Calculations

Changes in local folding energy (Δ LFE) between native and the average of twenty permutations of synonymous codons for a given B56 isoform were calculated using the DeltaLFE calculation demonstration code published on GitHub [9]. The code was modified to process the entire length of the input sequences and to provide x-intercepts in the output. A 40-nucleotide sliding window analysis with a step interval of 10 nucleotides using the standard genetic code was performed. Twenty-eight species were used in the analyses of the five B56 genes; eighteen species were used in the analyses of B56 γ splice variants.

3.4. COSMIC Searches

COSMIC version 99, released 28 November 2023 (https://www.sanger.ac.uk/tool/ cosmic/, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK, last accessed 15 December 2023) was searched using the gene names of human B56 isoforms: B56 α , PPP2R5A; B56 β , PPP2R5B; B56 γ , PPP2R5C; B56 δ , PPP2R5D; and B56 ϵ , PPP2R5E.

4. Conclusions

The N-termini of the gene product encoded by $B56\alpha$ possessed high codon bias, high GC3 content, high rare codon content, and stronger than predicted mRNA secondary structure. Of two $B56\gamma$ splice variants, the sequences encoding the N-termini of $B56\gamma/\gamma$ displayed CUB, utilized more frequent codons, and had higher GC3 content, while $B56\delta/\gamma$ displayed an extended region of weaker than predicted RNA secondary structure at their 5' end. The data suggest that within the B56 gene family, $B56\alpha$ is expressed at relatively low levels and that $B56\delta/\gamma$ is expressed more highly than $B56\gamma/\gamma$. The abundance of synonymous mutations of B56 isoforms found in human cancer suggests that these mutations may play a role in cancer through the modulation of B56 isoform expression.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.M.S.; data curation, G.C.; formal analysis, G.C., C.E.S.-B. and J.M.S.; investigation, G.C. and C.E.S.-B.; supervision, J.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, J.M.S.; writing—review and editing, J.M.S., G.C. and C.E.S.-B.; funding acquisition, J.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Hofstra University Presidential Research Grant Awards, Hofstra University Faculty Research & Development Grant Awards, and Hofstra University Biology Department Grant Awards to Joni M. Seeling.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: We thank Juliet Isles for initial research on the project, Aron Branscomb for critically reading the manuscript, and Michael Arkhangelskiy for assistance with computer programming.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Yang, J.; Phiel, C. Functions of B56-containing PP2As in major developmental and cancer signaling pathways. *Life Sci.* 2010, *87*, 659–666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thompson, J.J.; Williams, C.S. Protein Phosphatase 2A in the Regulation of Wnt Signaling, Stem Cells, and Cancer. *Genes* 2018, 9, 121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, J.; Wu, J.; Tan, C.; Klein, P.S. PP2A:B56epsilon is required for Wnt/beta-catenin signaling during embryonic development. Development 2003, 130, 5569–5578. [CrossRef]
- 4. Gao, Z.H.; Seeling, J.M.; Hill, V.; Yochum, A.; Virshup, D.M. Casein kinase I phosphorylates and destabilizes the beta-catenin degradation complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, *99*, 1182–1187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sommer, L.M.; Cho, H.; Choudhary, M.; Seeling, J.M. Evolutionary Analysis of the B56 Gene Family of PP2A Regulatory Subunits. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 10134–10157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Athey, J.; Alexaki, A.; Osipova, E.; Rostovtsev, A.; Santana-Quintero, L.V.; Katneni, U.; Simonyan, V.; Kimchi-Sarfaty, C. A new and updated resource for codon usage tables. *BMC Bioinform.* 2017, 18, 391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 7. Kudla, G.; Lipinski, L.; Caffin, F.; Helwak, A.; Zylicz, M. High guanine and cytosine content increases mRNA levels in mammalian cells. *PLoS Biol.* **2006**, *4*, e180. [CrossRef]
- Quax, T.E.; Claassens, N.J.; Soll, D.; van der Oost, J. Codon Bias as a Means to Fine-Tune Gene Expression. Mol. Cell 2015, 59, 149–161. [CrossRef]
- 9. Peeri, M.; Tuller, T. High-resolution modeling of the selection on local mRNA folding strength in coding sequences across the tree of life. *Genome Biol.* 2020, *21*, 63. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, Y.; Zhou, Y.S.; He, F.; Song, J.; Zhang, Z. Can simple codon pair usage predict protein-protein interaction? *Mol. Biosyst.* 2012, *8*, 1396–1404. [CrossRef]
- 11. Gutman, T.; Goren, G.; Efroni, O.; Tuller, T. Estimating the predictive power of silent mutations on cancer classification and prognosis. *NPJ Genom. Med.* **2021**, *6*, 67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Tuller, T.; Carmi, A.; Vestsigian, K.; Navon, S.; Dorfan, Y.; Zaborske, J.; Pan, T.; Dahan, O.; Furman, I.; Pilpel, Y. An evolutionarily conserved mechanism for controlling the efficiency of protein translation. *Cell* **2010**, *141*, 344–354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Dehal, P.; Boore, J.L. Two rounds of whole genome duplication in the ancestral vertebrate. *PLoS Biol.* 2005, *3*, e314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Lampson, B.L.; Pershing, N.L.; Prinz, J.A.; Lacsina, J.R.; Marzluff, W.F.; Nicchitta, C.V.; MacAlpine, D.M.; Counter, C.M. Rare codons regulate KRas oncogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* 2013, 23, 70–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Munk, M.; Villalobo, E.; Villalobo, A.; Berchtold, M.W. Differential expression of the three independent CaM genes coding for an identical protein: Potential relevance of distinct mRNA stability by different codon usage. *Cell Calcium* 2022, 107, 102656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Bourret, J.; Borveto, F.; Bravo, I.G. Subfunctionalisation of paralogous genes and evolution of differential codon usage preferences: The showcase of polypyrimidine tract binding proteins. *J. Evol. Biol.* **2023**, *36*, 1375–1392. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hia, F.; Yang, S.F.; Shichino, Y.; Yoshinaga, M.; Murakawa, Y.; Vandenbon, A.; Fukao, A.; Fujiwara, T.; Landthaler, M.; Natsume, T.; et al. Codon bias confers stability to human mRNAs. *EMBO Rep.* **2019**, *20*, e48220. [CrossRef]
- 18. Tatarinova, T.; Elhaik, E.; Pellegrini, M. Cross-species analysis of genic GC3 content and DNA methylation patterns. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **2013**, *5*, 1443–1456. [CrossRef]
- 19. Qureshi, O.; Cho, H.; Choudhary, M.; Seeling, J.M. A Nonsynonymous/Synonymous Substitution Analysis of the B56 Gene Family Aids in Understanding B56 Isoform Diversity. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0145529. [CrossRef]
- 20. Parvathy, S.T.; Udayasuriyan, V.; Bhadana, V. Codon usage bias. Mol. Biol. Rep. 2022, 49, 539–565. [CrossRef]
- 21. Baek, S.; Seeling, J.M. Identification of a novel conserved mixed-isoform B56 regulatory subunit and spatiotemporal regulation of protein phosphatase 2A during Xenopus laevis development. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **2007**, *7*, 139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Hershberg, R.; Petrov, D.A. General rules for optimal codon choice. PLoS Genet. 2009, 5, e1000556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Puigbo, P.; Bravo, I.G.; Garcia-Vallve, S. CAIcal: A combined set of tools to assess codon usage adaptation. *Biol. Direct* 2008, 3, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Puigbo, P.; Bravo, I.G.; Garcia-Vallve, S. E-CAI: A novel server to estimate an expected value of Codon Adaptation Index (eCAI). BMC Bioinform. 2008, 9, 65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tuller, T.; Veksler-Lublinsky, I.; Gazit, N.; Kupiec, M.; Ruppin, E.; Ziv-Ukelson, M. Composite effects of gene determinants on the translation speed and density of ribosomes. *Genome Biol.* 2011, 12, R110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Bentele, K.; Saffert, P.; Rauscher, R.; Ignatova, Z.; Bluthgen, N. Efficient translation initiation dictates codon usage at gene start. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2013**, *9*, 675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Osterman, I.A.; Chervontseva, Z.S.; Evfratov, S.A.; Sorokina, A.V.; Rodin, V.A.; Rubtsova, M.P.; Komarova, E.S.; Zatsepin, T.S.; Kabilov, M.R.; Bogdanov, A.A.; et al. Translation at first sight: The influence of leading codons. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020, 48, 6931–6942. [CrossRef]
- Costantini, M.; Cammarano, R.; Bernardi, G. The evolution of isochore patterns in vertebrate genomes. BMC Genom. 2009, 10, 146. [CrossRef]
- 29. Sharma, Y.; Miladi, M.; Dukare, S.; Boulay, K.; Caudron-Herger, M.; Gross, M.; Backofen, R.; Diederichs, S. A pan-cancer analysis of synonymous mutations. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 2569. [CrossRef]
- 30. Wright, F. The 'effective number of codons' used in a gene. Gene 1990, 87, 23–29. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.