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#### Abstract

The study of topological indices - graph invariants that can be used for describing and predicting physicochemical or pharmacological properties of organic compounds - is currently one of the most active research fields in chemical graph theory. In this paper we study the Schultz index and find a relation with the Wiener index of the armchair polyhex nanotubes $T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$. An exact expression for Schultz index of this molecule is also found.
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## 1. Introduction

Topological indices are a convenient method of translating chemical constitution into numerical values that can be used for correlations with physical, chemical or biological properties. This method has been introduced by Harold Wiener as a descriptor for explaining the boiling points of paraffins [1-3]. If $d(u, v)$ is the distance of the vertices $u$ and $v$ of the undirected connected graph $G$ (i.e., the number of edges in the shortest path that connects $u$ and $v$ ) and $V(G)$ is the vertex set of $G$, then the Wiener index of $G$ is the half sum of distances over all its vertex pairs $(u, v)$ :

$$
W(G)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(u, v) .
$$

A unified approach to the Wiener topological index and its various recent modifications is presented. Among these modifications particular attention is paid to the Hyper-Wiener, Harary, Szeged, Cluj and

Schultz indices as well as their numerous variants and generalizations [4-10]. The Schultz index of the graph $G$ was introduced by Schultz [14] in 1989 and is defined as follows:

$$
S(G)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)}(\operatorname{deg}(u)+\operatorname{deg}(v)) d(u, v)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(u)$ is the degree of the vertex $u$.
The main chemical applications and mathematical properties of this index were established in a series of studies [12-15]. Also a comparative study of molecular descriptors showed that the Schultz index and Wiener index are mutually related [16-18].
Carbon nanotubes, the one-dimensional carbon allotropes, are intensively studied with respect to their promise to exhibit unique physical properties: mechanical, optical electronic etc. [19-21]. In [19], Diudea et al. obtained the Wiener index of $T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$, the armchair polyhex nanotube (see Figure 1). Here we find a relation between the Schultz index and Wiener index of this molecule. By using this relation we find an exact expression for the Schultz index of the same. The Appendix includes a Maple program [22] to produce the graph of $T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$, and to compute the Schultz index of the graph.

## 2. Schultz index of armchair polyhex nanotubes

Throughout this paper $G:=T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ denotes an arbitrary armchair polyhex nanotube in terms of its circumference $2 p$ and their length $q$, see Figure 2. At first we consider an armchair lattice and choose a coordinate label for it, as illustrated in Figure 2. The distance of a vertex $u$ of $G$ is defined as

$$
d(u)=\sum_{x \in V(G)} d(u, x),
$$

the summation of distances between $v$ and all vertices of $G$. By considering this notation the following lemma gives us a relation between the Schultz and Wiener index of $G$.

Figure 1. A $T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ Lattice with $p=5$ and $q=7$.


Lemma 1. For the graph $G=T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ we have

$$
S(G)=6 W(G)-2 \sum_{u \in \text { level } 1} d(u) .
$$

Figure 2. An armchair polyhex nanotube [19].


Figure 3. Distances from $x_{01}$ to all vertices of $T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ with $p=5$ and $q=7$.


Proof: For each $k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq q$ put $A_{k}:=\{u \in V(G) \mid u \in$ level $k\}$ (see Figure 2). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(G) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)}(\operatorname{deg}(u)+\operatorname{deg}(v)) d(u, v) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u) d(u, v)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v) d(u, v) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u) d(u, v)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v) d(u, v) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(u, v)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v) \sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u, v) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u) d(u)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v) d(v) \\
& =\sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u) d(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\operatorname{deg}(u)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } u \in A_{1} \cup A_{q} \\ 3 & \text { if otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Also in the graph $G$ it is clear that $\sum_{u \in A_{1}} d(u)=\sum_{u \in A_{q}} d(u)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(G) & =\sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u) d(u)=\sum_{u \in A_{1} \cup A_{q}} \operatorname{deg}(u) d(u)+\sum_{u \in V(G) \backslash\left(A_{1} \cup A_{q}\right)} d e g(u) d(u) \\
& =\sum_{u \in A_{1} \cup A_{q}} 2 d(u)+\sum_{u \in V(G) \backslash\left(A_{1} \cup A_{q}\right)} 3 d(u) \\
& =3 \sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u)-2 \sum_{u \in A_{1}} d(u) \\
& =6 W(G)-2 \sum_{u \in A_{1}} d(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
To compute the $d(u)$ in the graph $G$, when $u$ is a vertex in level 1 , we first prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2. The sum of distances of one vertex of level 1 to all vertices of level $k$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{k}:=\sum_{x \in \text { level } k} d\left(x_{10}, x\right) & =\sum_{x \in \text { level } k} d\left(x_{11}, x\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& = \begin{cases}2 p^{2}+k^{2}-2 k-2 p+1+H(p, k) & \text { if } 1 \leq k<p \\
p(p+2 k-2) & \text { if } k \geq p,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H(p, k)= \begin{cases}2 p-1 & \text { if } k+p \text { is even } \\ 2 p & \text { if } k+p \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Proof: We calculate the value of $w_{k}$. We consider that the tube can be built up from two halves collapsing at the polygon line joining $x_{10}$ to $x_{q, 0}$ (see Figure 2). The right part is the graph $G_{1}$ which consists of vertical polygon lines $0,1, \ldots, p$ and $x_{10}$ is one of the vertices in the first row of the graph $G_{1}$. The left part is the graph $G_{2}$ which consists of vertical polygon lines $(p+1),(p+2), \ldots, 2 p-1$. We change the indices of the vertices of $G_{2}$ in the following way:

$$
V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{\hat{x}_{j i} \mid \hat{x}_{j, i}=x_{j, 2 p-i} \in V(G)\right\}
$$

(See Figure 3)
We must consider two cases:
Case 1: If $k \geq p$. In the graphs $G_{1}$ and for $0 \leq i<k$ we have

$$
d\left(x_{10}, x_{k, i}\right)=k+i-1 .
$$

Also in the graphs $G_{2}$ and for $1 \leq i<k$ we have

$$
d\left(x_{10}, \hat{x}_{k, i}\right)=k+i-1 .
$$

So

$$
\sum_{x \in \text { level } k} d\left(x_{10}, x\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(k+i-1)+(0+k-1)+(p+k-1)=p(p+2 k-2) .
$$

Case 2: If $k<p$. First suppose that $1 \leq i<k$. In the graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ we have

$$
d\left(x_{10}, x_{k, i}\right)=k+i-1=d\left(x_{10}, \hat{x}_{k, i}\right)=k+i-1 .
$$

Now suppose that $k \leq i \leq p$. Then in the graph $G_{1}$ we can see that if $k$ is odd, then

$$
d\left(x_{10}, x_{k, i}\right)= \begin{cases}2 i & \text { if } i \text { is even } \\ 2 i-1 & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

and if $k$ is even, then

$$
d\left(x_{10}, x_{k, i}\right)= \begin{cases}2 i-1 & \text { if } i \text { is even } \\ 2 i & \text { if } i \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Also in $G_{2}$ we have

$$
d\left(x_{10}, \hat{x}_{k, i}\right)= \begin{cases}2 i & \text { if } i \text { is even } \\ 2 i+1 & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

if $k$ is odd and

$$
d\left(x_{10}, \hat{x}_{k, i}\right)= \begin{cases}2 i+1 & \text { if } i \text { is even } \\ 2 i & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

if $k$ is even.
All of this distances give us

$$
\sum_{x \in \text { level } k} d\left(x_{10}, x\right)=2 p^{2}+k^{2}-2 k-2 p+1+H(p, k) .
$$

For other vertices we can convert those to $x_{10}$ by changing transfer vertices and apply a similar argument by choosing suitable $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ and compute $w_{k}$.

By a straightforward computation (if irem means the positive integer remainder) we can see:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(p, k) & =2 p-1+\operatorname{irem}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}, 2) \\
& =2 p-1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k-\operatorname{irem}(\mathrm{p}, 2)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{irem}(p, 2)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } p \text { is even } \\ 1 & \text { if } p \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

So, by Lemma 1 , when $1 \leq k \leq p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{k}=2 p^{2}+k^{2}-2 k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k-\operatorname{irem}(\mathrm{p}, 2)+1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also in the graph $G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{10}\right) & =\sum_{x \in \text { level } 0} d\left(x_{10}, x\right)+\sum_{x \in \text { level } 1} d\left(x_{10}, x\right)+\cdots+\sum_{x \in \text { level } q} d\left(x_{10}, x\right) \\
& =w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
d\left(x_{10}\right)=d\left(x_{11}\right)=\cdots=d\left(x_{2 p-1,1}\right)=w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{q} .
$$

This leads us to the following corollary:
Corollary 1. For each vertex $u$ on level 1 we have

$$
d(u)=w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{q} .
$$

Now suppose that $p>q$. Then by lemma 2 and equation (1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u) & =\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left(2 p^{2}+k^{2}-2 k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k-\operatorname{irem}(\mathrm{p}, 2)+1}\right) \\
& =2 p^{2} q+\frac{q^{3}}{3}-\frac{q^{2}}{2}-\frac{q}{3}+\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{-\operatorname{irem}(p, 2)+1+q}+\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{-\operatorname{irem}(p, 2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also if $p \leq q$, then by Lemma 1 and equation (1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u)= & w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{p-1}+w_{p}+w_{p+1}+\cdots+w_{q} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(2 p^{2}+k^{2}-2 k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k-\operatorname{irem}(\mathrm{p}, 2)+1}\right)+ \\
& \sum_{k=p}^{q} p(p+2 k-2) \\
= & \frac{p^{3}}{3}+\frac{p^{2}}{2}-\frac{p}{3}-\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{-\operatorname{irem}(p, 2)+1+p}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{-\operatorname{irem}(p, 2)+1}+p^{2} q-p q+p q^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We summarize the above results in the following proposition
Corollary 2. For each vertex $u$ on level $1, d(u)$ is given by
Case 1: $p$ is even

$$
d(u)= \begin{cases}2 p^{2} q+\frac{q^{3}}{3}-\frac{q^{2}}{2}-\frac{q}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{q+1} & \text { if } \quad p>q \\ \frac{p}{6}\left[2 p^{2}+3 p-2+6 p q-6 q+6 q^{2}\right] & \text { if } \quad p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

Case 2: p is odd

$$
d(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 p^{2} q+\frac{q^{3}}{3}-\frac{q^{2}}{2}-\frac{q}{3}-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{q} & \text { if } & p>q \\
\frac{p^{3}}{3}+\frac{p^{2}}{2}-\frac{p}{3}-\frac{1}{2}+p^{2} q-p q+p q^{2} & \text { if } & p \leq q
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 1. The Wiener index of $G:=T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ nanotubes is given by
Case 1: $p$ is even

$$
W(G)= \begin{cases}\frac{p}{12}\left[3(-1)^{q+1}+3+24 q^{2} p^{2}-8 q^{2}+2 q^{4}\right] & \text { if } \quad p>q \\ \frac{-p^{2}}{6}\left[8 q-4 p+p^{3}-4 q p^{2}-4 q^{3}-6 q^{2} p\right] \quad \text { if } \quad p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

Case 2: p is odd

$$
W(G)= \begin{cases}\frac{p}{12}\left[3(-1)^{q}-3+24 q^{2} p^{2}-8 q^{2}+2 q^{4}\right] & \text { if } p>q \\ \frac{-p}{6}\left[-4 p^{3} q-4 p q^{3}-6 q^{2} p^{2}+3+8 q p-4 p^{2}+p^{4}\right] & \text { if } \quad p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

Proof: See [19].
Now we are in the position to prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 2. The Schultz index of $G:=T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ nanotubes is given by
Case 1: $p$ is even

$$
S(G)= \begin{cases}\frac{p}{6}\left[-48 p^{2} q+72 p^{2} q^{2}+3(-1)^{q+1}+3-8 q^{3}-12 q^{2}+6 q^{4}+8 q\right] & \text { if } p>q \\ \frac{-p^{2}}{3}\left[-18 q^{2} p+3 p^{3}-6 p-12 p^{2} q-12 q^{3}+12 q+4 p^{2}-4+12 p q+12 q^{2}\right] & \text { if } p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

Case 2: p is odd

$$
S(G)= \begin{cases}\frac{p}{6}\left[72 q^{2} p^{2}+6 q^{4}-12 q^{2}-3+3(-1)^{q}-48 p^{2} q-8 q^{3}+8 q\right] & \text { if } p>q \\ \frac{-p}{3}\left[-12 p^{3} q-12 p q^{3}-18 p^{2} q^{2}+3+12 p q-6 p^{2}+3 p^{4}+\right. & \\ \left.4 p^{3}-4 p+12 p^{2} q+12 p q^{2}\right] & \text { if } p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

Proof: According to Lemma 1 we must calculate $6 W(G)-\sum_{u \in \text { level } 1} d(u)$. But by corollary 1 we have

$$
d(u)=w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{q} .
$$

Since there are $2 p$ vertices on level 1 therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(G)=6 W(G)-4 p d(u) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally by replacing $d(u)$ from corollary 1 in the equation (2) the result obtains.

Table 1. Schultz index of short tubes, $p>q$.

| $p$ | $q$ | $S(G)$ | $p$ | $q$ | $S(G)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 2 | 6912 | 5 | 2 | 4000 |
| 6 | 3 | 18366 | 5 | 3 | 10650 |
| 6 | 4 | 35424 | 5 | 4 | 20720 |
| 6 | 5 | 58656 | 9 | 5 | 193266 |
| 10 | 2 | 32000 | 9 | 6 | 288432 |
| 10 | 5 | 264160 | 9 | 7 | 404514 |
| 10 | 6 | 393440 | 9 | 8 | 542880 |
| 10 | 7 | 550560 | 15 | 8 | 2425440 |
| 10 | 8 | 736960 | 15 | 7 | 1823310 |
| 10 | 9 | 954400 | 15 | 6 | 1310160 |

Table 2. Schultz index of long tubes, $p \leq q$.

| $p$ | $q$ | $S(G)$ | $p$ | $q$ | $S(G)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 10816 | 3 | 4 | 4752 |
| 4 | 5 | 18304 | 3 | 5 | 8262 |
| 4 | 6 | 28352 | 3 | 6 | 13104 |
| 4 | 7 | 41344 | 3 | 7 | 19494 |
| 4 | 8 | 57664 | 3 | 8 | 27648 |
| 10 | 21 | 6810400 | 11 | 11 | 1954502 |
| 10 | 22 | 7641600 | 11 | 12 | 2371952 |
| 10 | 23 | 8536800 | 11 | 13 | 2839524 |
| 10 | 24 | 9498400 | 11 | 14 | 3359312 |
| 10 | 25 | 10528800 | 11 | 15 | 3935030 |

## 3. Experimental Section

Tables 1 and 2 show the numerical data for the Schultz index in tubes $T U V C_{6}[2 p, q]$ of various dimensions.
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## 4. Appendix

The following code is the MAPLE program [22] used to produce the graph of $T U H C_{6}[2 p, q]$ and to compute the Schultz index of the graph.

```
> restart;with(networks):
> l:=proc(p,q) (*generating the graph *)
    local G,i,j,k,ff,cc;G:=new();
        for i from 0 to (2*p-1) do
            for j from 1 to q do
            addvertex(a[i,j],G);
            end do;
    end do;
    for i from 0 to (2*p-1) do
                        for j from 1 to (q-1) do
                addedge ({a[i,j],a[i,j+1]},G);
            end do;
    end do;
            for i from 0 to (2*p-2)/2 do
                for k from 1 to iquo(q,2) do
                                    addedge({a[2*i, 2*k-1],a[2*i+1, 2*k-1]},G);
                        end do;
                    end do;
        for i from 0 to (2*p-4)/2 do
                        for k from 1 to iquo(q,2) do
                                    addedge({a[2*i+1,2*k],a[2*i+2, 2*k]},G);
            end do;
        end do;
    for ff from 1 to iquo(q,2) do
    addedge({a[2*p-1,2*ff],a[0,2*ff]},G);
    end do;
    if irem(q,2)=1 then
    for cc from 0 to 2*p/2-1 do
    addedge({a[2*cc,q],a[2*cc+1,q]},G);end do;
    end if ;return(G);
    end proc:
```

```
> m:=l(3, 8):(#Graph G:=TUVC_6[2*3,8] #)
> t :=edges (m):
> ii:=vertices(m) :
> T := allpairs(m,p):
> Sch:=proc(u)
    local b,o,pp;
    b:=0;
for o in ii do
        for pp in ii do
            b:=b+T[(pp,o)]*(vdegree (o,m) +vdegree (pp,m));
        end do;
    end do;
    return(b/2);
    end proc:
> Sch(u); 27648(#The Schultz index of the graph #)
```
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