## Supplementary Materials

## I. Analytics

Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to tetramethylsilane ( 0.00 ppm ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and trichloro-fluoro-methane ( 0.00 ppm ) for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}$. Coupling constants (J) are given in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$ and the following abbreviations are used for the description of the NMR: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quartet (q), multiplet (m), doublet of doublet (dd).

Semi preparative and analytical HPLC was performed with a Dinoex HPLC system equipped with a 680 HPLC pump and a UVD170U UV-detector ( $210 \mathrm{~nm}, 230 \mathrm{~nm}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 286 nm ) using a reversed-phase column (analytical column: Luna, C18, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 250 x 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; Gemini, C18, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; semi preparative column: Luna, C18, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 250 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$; Synergi, C12, max-RP, 250x10 mm ) at a flow rate of $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for the semi preparative column and $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for the analytical column unless otherwise stated. For radio-HPLC an additional GabiStar radiodetector (Raytest) was used. Dionex Chromeleon software was used for UV-data analysis and Raytest Gina star software for radioactivity detection.

## Folate-azide

For the synthesis of the folate-azide, reported procedures from literature [12, 19] were slightly modified (see scheme S1.1 and S1.2). In brief, N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-glutamic acid- $\alpha$-methylester was coupled to 2-(2-(2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethan-1-amine using COMU as coupling agent and DIPEA to yield Boc-Glu(OMe)-PEG3-azide (tert-butyl-3-(2-(2-(2-(2-ethoxy)ethoxy)-ethoxy)ethylcarbamoylazid)-1-(methoxycarbonyl)-propylcarbamate). After deprotection, Glu(OMe) PEG3-azide was reacted with $\mathrm{N}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}^{10}$-diacetyl pteroic acid using COMU and DIPEA to give the final folate-azide.

Scheme S1.1: Synthesis of Glu(OMe)-PEG3-azide
(methyl-4-(2-(2-(2-(2-ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethylcarbamoyl- azid)-3-aminobutanoate. Boc-Glu(OMe) $=\mathrm{N}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-glutamic acid- $\alpha-$ methylester; COMU $=1$-cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidenaminooxy)dimethylamino-morpholino- carbenium hexafluorophosphate; DIPEA = N,N-Diiso- propylethylamine;.


## ${ }^{19}$ F-DBCO-folate

semi-preparative HPLC: solvent A is water, solvent $B$ is acetonitrile; flow: $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; method: $0-17 \min 5-95 \%$ B, $17-19 \min 95 \%$ B, 19-20 min $5-95 \%$ A

MS (ESI positive): m/z 562.3 ([M] $]^{2+}, 100 \%$ ), 1123.3 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 10 \%$ ), calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{FN}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : 1123.5.

Due to the low yields no NMR was recorded.
Analytical HPLC: solvent A is water with $0.1 \%$ TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) and solvent B is acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ TFA. The following method was used: 0-40 min, $5-95 \%$ eluent B (gradient). Retention time is 21.89 min , purity $\geq 98 \%$.

## ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-Ala-folate


semi-preparative HPLC system: solvent A is water, solvent $B$ is acetonitrile; flow: $3.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; method: 0-5 $\mathrm{min} 0 \% \mathrm{~B}, 5-14 \mathrm{~min} 0-60 \% \mathrm{~B}, 14-17 \mathrm{~min} 95 \%$ B ,17-18 $\mathrm{min} 95 \% \mathrm{~B}, 18-19 \mathrm{~min} 95-5 \% \mathrm{~B}$.

Analytical HPLC: solvent A is ammonium formate solution ( 50 mM ) and B is acetonitrile. The following method was used: 0-5 min 100\% A (isocratic), 5-18 min 0-95\% B (gradient), 18-22 min 95\% B (isocratic), 22-25 min 5-100\% A (gradient). Retention time 11.93 min , purity $\geq 97 \%$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.90-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-24), 2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-25), 3.34-$ 3.58 (m, 13H, H-30 - H-38 and H-51), $3.80-3.81$ (m, 2H, H-29), $4.25-4.28$ (m, 1H, H-23), 4.35 (s, 2H, H-49), 4.50 (s, 1H, H-50), 5.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), $4.56-4.58$ (m, 2H, H-39), $4.71-4.74$ (m, 2H, H-11), 5.36 $5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-52), 6.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-14 / 18\right), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-27), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-22), 7.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-15 / 17\right), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-45), 8.67$ (s, 1H, H-8), 9.57 (br, 2H, H-19).

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=26.9 \& 27.1$ (C24), 32.3 (C25), 42.0 (C49), 47.3 (C51), 50.3 (C39), 52.7 (C23), 66.9 (C11), 69.1 (C29), 69.5 - 70.1 (C30 - C38), 111.6 (C14/18), 126.5 (C45), 128.5 (C16), 129.4 (C15/17), 132.1 (C9), 138.3 (C46), 148.9 (C8), 151.2 (C13), 154.1 (C4), 158.5 (C2/5), 158.7 (C2/5), 166.8 (C21), 168.1 (C6/53), 168.2 (C6/53), 172.3 (C26), 174.1 (C41).

${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=-194.3(\mathrm{~F}-54)$
MS (ESI positive): m/z (\% rel Int): 394.2 ([M] ${ }^{2+}, 100 \%$ ), 787.3 ([M] $]^{+}, 80 \%$ ), calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{FN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : 786.32.

## II. ${ }^{18}$ F-Radiolabeling

## II.1. General radiolabeling methods

Radiosyntheses were performed manually (starting activities $\leq 8 \mathrm{GBq}$ ) or in a manipulator-equipped hot cell (starting activities $>8 \mathrm{GBq}$ ) using conventional heating. N.c.a. $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoride was produced using the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ nuclear reaction. The aqueous ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-solution was trapped on an Sep Pak light Waters Accell Plus QMA cartridge, which was pre-conditioned with 1.0 M potassium carbonate solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and millipore water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. For elution, a solution of Kryptofix ${ }^{\odot}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and potassium carbonate $(1 \mathrm{mg}, 7.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (acetonitrile:water/1:1) was used. The azeotropic drying was performed at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min under reduced pressure ( 250 mbar ) and helium flow. Within this time, dry acetonitrile ( $3 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and evaporated to yield the final dry $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoride-base mixture.

## II.3. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-DBCO-folate

semi-preparative HPLC: solvent $A$ is water, solvent $B$ is acetonitrile; flow of $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, isocratic method: 50\% A

 performed using water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) as eluent A and eluent $B$ was acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ TFA. The following gradient method was used: 0-40 min, $5-95 \%$ B.

## II.2. Synthesis of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Alakyne

semi-preparative HPLC: solvent $A$ is 50 mM ammonium formate solution, solvent $B$ is acetonitrile; flow of $3.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, gradient method: 0-5 min $100 \%$ A, $5-18 \mathrm{~min} 0-95 \%$ B, $18-22 \mathrm{~min} 95 \%$ B, 22-25 min 5-100\% A


Figure S2. Analytical radio-HPLC chromatogram of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Alakyne. Analytical radio-HPLC was performed with the same gradient as described for the semi-preparative HPLC.

## II.3. ${ }^{\text {18F-Ala-Folate }}$

semi-preparative HPLC: see ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Alakyne


Figure S3. Analytical radio-HPLC chromatogram of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Ala-Folate. Analytical radio-HPLC was performed with the same gradient method as described for the semi-preparative HPLC.

## III. In vitro studies

## III.1. Stability in human serum albumin



Figure S4. Stability of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-DBCO-folate and ${ }^{18}$ F-Ala-folate in human serum albumin at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and 2 h .

## III.2. FACS analysis of human KB and OC316 cells



Figure S5. FACS analysis of human KB and OC316 cells. $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells were stained with $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-human FOLR1-APC (R\&D System) and analyzed by a LSRII (Becton Dickinson) flow cytometer equipped with DIVA software (version 6.0).

## III.3. PIE-charts for uptake assay



Figure S6. Activity distribution of $5 \mathrm{nM}{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-DBCO-folate in uptake assay at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a})$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (b).


Figure S7. Activity distribution of $5 \mathrm{nM}^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Ala-folate in uptake assay at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a})$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{b})$.

## IV. Ex vivo biodistribution

Table 1. Ex vivo biodistribution studies of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-DBCO-folate in healthy and KB tumor bearing balb/c and balb/c nu/nu mice after 60 min p.i. Errors are given as standard deviation. Bold framed cells reflect FR-positive tissues. n.d. = no data.

|  | healthy balb/c mice |  | balb/c mice, KB xenograft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60 min p.i. | 60 min p.i. | 60 min p.i. | 60 min p.i. |
|  | ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) | Blocked $^{\text {a }}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ | Blockade ${ }^{\text {a }}(\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |
| organ/tissue | [\%ID/g tissue] | [\%ID/g tissue] | [\%ID/g tissue] | [\%ID/g tissue] |
| Pancreas | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.09 \pm 0.05$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $0.03 \pm 0.02$ |
| Inguinal lymph nodes | $0.18 \pm 0.08$ | $0.10 \pm 0.08$ | $0.48 \pm 0.14$ | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ |
| Lung | $0.35 \pm 0.27$ | $0.36 \pm 0.11$ | $0.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.13 \pm 0.05$ |
| Blood | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.12 \pm 0.05$ | $0.09 \pm 0.04$ | $0.06 \pm 0.04$ |
| Heart | $0.09 \pm 0.01$ | $0.11 \pm 0.06$ | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ |
| Liver | $0.24 \pm 0.02$ | $0.33 \pm 0.08$ | $0.18 \pm 0.07$ | $0.14 \pm 0.06$ |
| Intestines (empty) | $0.87 \pm 0.57$ | $0.33 \pm 0.22$ | $0.42 \pm 0.52$ | $0.56 \pm 0.81$ |
| Spleen | $0.07 \pm 0.02$ | $0.11 \pm 0.06$ | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | $0.05 \pm 0.03$ |
| Left kidney | $3.90 \pm 0.38$ | $0.40 \pm 0.07$ | $4.83 \pm 0.90$ | $0.30 \pm 0.04$ |
| Right kidney | $3.99 \pm 0.37$ | $0.38 \pm 0.07$ | $4.76 \pm 0.89$ | $0.30 \pm 0.02$ |
| Muscle | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $0.07 \pm 0.05$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ |
| Stomach (empty) | n.d. | n.d. | $0.82 \pm 0.64$ | $0.22 \pm 0.09$ |
| Appendix | n.d. | n.d. | $0.13 \pm 0.02$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ |
| Tumor |  |  | $0.48 \pm 0.14$ | $0.09 \pm 0.04$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ In the blocking group, each animal received $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of native folic acid in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 2 min before radiotracer injection.

Table 2. Ex vivo biodistribution studies of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Ala-folate in healthy and KB tumor bearing balb/c and balb/c nu/nu mice after 60 min p.i. Errors are given as standard deviation. Bold framed cells reflect FR-positive tissues. n.d. = no data.

|  | healthy balb/c mice |  | balb/c mice, KB xenograft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60 min p.i. | 60 min p.i. | 60 min p.i. | 60 min p.i. |
|  | $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ | Blocked $^{\text {a }}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | Blockade ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $=4$ ) |
| organ/tissue | [\%ID/g tissue] | [\%ID/g tissue] | [\%ID/g tissue] | [\%ID/g tissue] |
| Pancreas | $0.33 \pm 0.20$ | $0.16 \pm 0.04$ | $0.26 \pm 0.11$ | $0.12 \pm 0.08$ |
| Inguinal lymph nodes | $0.93 \pm 0.67$ | $0.27 \pm 0.15$ | $0.57 \pm 0.15$ | $0.17 \pm 0.12$ |
| Lung | $0.36 \pm 0.16$ | $0.38 \pm 0.05$ | $0.28 \pm 0.05$ | $0.19 \pm 0.10$ |
| Blood | $0.29 \pm 0.29$ | $0.18 \pm 0.01$ | $0.17 \pm 0.05$ | $0.13 \pm 0.06$ |
| Heart | $0.32 \pm 0.21$ | $0.14 \pm 0.04$ | $0.22 \pm 0.09$ | $0.09 \pm 0.05$ |
| Liver | $1.51 \pm 1.26$ | $1.63 \pm 0.32$ | $1.71 \pm 1.02$ | $1.34 \pm 0.67$ |
| Intestines (empty) | $1.67 \pm 1.12$ | $4.86 \pm 3.97$ | $3.42 \pm 2.18$ | $1.49 \pm 1.23$ |
| Spleen | $0.15 \pm 0.09$ | $0.14 \pm 0.03$ | $0.16 \pm 0.04$ | $0.11 \pm 0.06$ |
| Left kidney | $19.90 \pm 8.63$ | $1.88 \pm 0.44$ | $14.49 \pm 3.42$ | $1.07 \pm 0.49$ |
| Right kidney | $20.55 \pm 9.71$ | $1.72 \pm 0.58$ | $14.27 \pm 3.35$ | $1.00 \pm 0.36$ |
| Muscle | $0.26 \pm 0.13$ | $0.15 \pm 0.02$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ | $0.22 \pm 0.13$ |
| Stomach (empty) | $0.71 \pm 0.24$ | $1.99 \pm 1.68$ | $0.95 \pm 0.50$ | $2.50 \pm 1.80$ |
| Appendix | $0.20 \pm 0.11$ | $3.11 \pm 1.71$ | $0.39 \pm 0.22$ | $0.13 \pm 0.04$ |
| Tumor |  |  | $1.68 \pm 0.13$ | $0.26 \pm 0.06$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ In the blocking group, each animal received $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of folic acid in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 2 min before radiotracer injection.

## V. Time-Activity Curve



Figure S8: Accumulation kinetics of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{DBCO}$-folate and ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Ala-Folate. Analysis of a dynamic PET scan over 60 min p.i.

## VI. PET/MR-studies



Figure S9: MIP PET images of a KB-tumor bearing mouse which received blocking dose of folic acid. Static scan over 10 min 50 min p.i. (a) ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-DBCO-folate and (b) ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-Ala-folate. $\mathrm{Tu}=\mathrm{KB}$-tumor, $\mathrm{Gb}=$ gallbladder, $\mathrm{Li}=$ liver, $\mathrm{Ki}=$ kidney, $\mathrm{Int}=$ intestines, $\mathrm{Bl}=$ bladder.

