

Article Anthraquinone Derivatives from a Marine-Derived Fungus Sporendonema casei HDN16-802

Xueping Ge¹, Chunxiao Sun¹, Yanyan Feng¹, Lingzhi Wang¹, Jixing Peng³, Qian Che¹, Qianqun Gu¹, Tianjiao Zhu^{1,2}, Dehai Li^{1,2} and Guojian Zhang^{1,2,*}

- Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, Chinese Ministry of Education, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China; 15610568273@163.com (X.G.); sunchunxiao93@163.com (C.S.); yy15715321143@163.com (Y.F.); wlz8866wlz@163.com (L.W.); cheqian064@ouc.edu.cn (Q.C.); guqianq@ouc.edu.cn (Q.G.); zhutj@ouc.edu.cn (T.Z.); dehaili@ouc.edu.cn (D.L.)
- ² Laboratory for Marine Drugs and Bioproducts of Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao 266237, China
- ³ Key Laboratory of Testing and Evaluation for Aquatic Product Safety and Quality, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China; pengjixing1987@163.com
- * Correspondence: zhangguojian@ouc.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-532-82032065

Received: 30 April 2019; Accepted: 29 May 2019; Published: 4 June 2019



Abstract: Five new anthraquinone derivatives, auxarthrols D–H (1–5), along with two known analogues (6–7), were obtained from the culture of the marine-derived fungus *Sporendonema casei*. Their structures, including absolute configurations, were established on the basis of NMR, HRESIMS, and circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopic techniques. Among them, compound 4 represents the second isolated anthraquinone derivative with a chlorine atom, which, with compound 6, are the first reported anthraquinone derivatives with anticoagulant activity. Compounds 1 and 3 showed cytotoxic activities with IC₅₀ values from 4.5 μ M to 22.9 μ M, while compounds 1, 3–4, and 6–7 showed promising antibacterial activities with MIC values from 12.5 μ M to 200 μ M. In addition, compound 7 was discovered to display potential antitubercular activity for the first time.

Keywords: anthraquinone derivatives; *Sporendonema casei*; marine-derived fungus; cytotoxic activities; antibacterial activities

1. Introduction

Anthraquinones and their derivatives are a group of pigmented polyketides widely produced by fungi. Apart from their bright color attributed to the typical conjugate system in their structure, they have also attracted the attention of scientists due to their diversity of structures and wide range of pharmacological effects, such as their anti-infective, anti-inflammatory, and α -glucosidase inhibitory activities and cytotoxicity against cancer cells [1,2]. Following the discovery of altersolanol A reported in 1967 [3], a series of anthraquinone derivatives have been discovered from various fungal genera, including *Alternaria* [4,5], *Streptomyces* [6,7], *Dactylaria* [8], *Bostryconema* [9], *Stemphylium* [10], *Pleospora* [11], *Auxarthron* [12], *Ampelomyces* [13], *Nigrospora* [14], and *Phomopsis* [15].

During our exploration of novel bioactive secondary metabolites obtained from marine-derived microorganisms, a fungus *Sporendonema casei* HDN16-802 isolated from a sediment sample collected from Zhangzi Island was selected due to its special morphological characteristic (orange color) and tremendous metabolic profile identified via HPLC-UV. Further chemical study generated five new anthraquinones, named auxarthrols D–H (**1**–**5**), along with two known analogues (**6**–**7**). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that anthraquinone derivatives have been isolated from the fungus *S. casei*. The cytotoxicity, antibacterial, anticoagulant, and antitubercular activities of **1**–**7** were



tested. Herein, we will describe the isolation, structural elucidation, and biological activities of the isolated compounds.

2. Results and Discussion

Sporendonema casei HDN16-802 was cultured (45 L) under static conditions with oatmeal medium at room temperature for one month. The fermentation product (mycelium and broth) was extracted with ethyl acetate to provide the crude extract (10 g). The crude extract was fractionated by different kinds of chromatography, including silica gel vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC), C-18 column chromatography (ODS), Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography, medium performance liquid chromatography (MPLC), and finally HPLC to yield **1** (10.0 mg), **2** (2.1 mg), **3** (5.1 mg), **4** (5.0 mg), **5** (4.5 mg), **6** (10.1 mg), and **7** (5.0 mg) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Structures of 1-7.

Compound 1 was isolated as a pale yellow solid with the molecular formula $C_{16}H_{18}O_8$, which was established on the basis of the (+)–HRESIMS ion peak at *m/z* 339.1076 [M+H]⁺ and *m/z* 361.0897 [M+Na]⁺, indicating eight degrees of unsaturation. The 1D NMR (¹H-NMR, ¹³C NMR, and DEPT) spectrum (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary Materials), together with HSQC correlations (Figure S5), provided five hydroxyl protons, including a chelated hydroxyl at δ_H 12.44 (s); two methyls, including one methoxy (δ_H 3.87, s; δ_C 56.7); one methylene (δ_H , 1.86, m; δ_C 34.2); five methines, including two *meta*-coupled aromatic *sp*² methines [δ_H 6.79, d (2.4), δ_C 106.1; δ_H 6.83, d (2.4), δ_C 105.0] and two *sp*³ oxygenated methines [δ_H 3.61, dd (5.7, 3.1), δ_C 73.7; δ_H 4.22, dd (4.5, 3.1), δ_C 71.3]; and eight non-protonated carbons, including two conjugated ketones (δ_C 197.3 and 200.1), four aromatic carbons (δ_C 166.1, 166.3, 110.1, and 137.6), and two oxygenated quaternary (δ_C 72.3 and 78.2) carbons. A careful comparison of the above signals with those of the known compound auxarthrol B [12] revealed a very similar hydroanthraquinone skeleton, while the most significant differences were the absence of a hydroxyl group and the appearance of a methine signal [δ_H 3.36, m; δ_C 48.0] attributed to C-1a (Table 2). The key HMBC correlations from H-1a to C-9 and C-2 (Figure 2 and Supplementary Materials) further confirmed the planar structure of **1**.

No.	1 ^a	2 ^a	2 ^b	3 ^a	4 ^a	5 ^a
1	1.86, m	1.92, d (14.6);	1.92, d (14.6);	1.82, d (14.2);	2.24, d (14.8);	2.35, dd (15.5);
		1.83, d (14.6)	1.83, d (14.6)	1.75, d (14.2)	1.74, d (14.8)	2.31, dd (15.5)
3	3.61, dd (5.7, 3.1)	3.57, d (3.0)	3.57, m	3.46, t (3.0)	3.61, d (3.6)	3.46, d (3.7)
4	4.22, dd (4.5, 3.1)	4.43, d (3.0)	4.43, t (3.5)	4.39, dd (3.0, 9.7)	4.69, d (3.6)	4.57, d (3.7)
6	6.79, d (2.4)	6.35, d (2.5)	6.35, d (2.5)	6.34, d (2.4)	6.82, d (2.5)	6.42, d (2.4)
8	6.83, d (2.4)	6.64, d (2.5)	6.64 <i>,</i> m	6.67, d (2.4)	6.96, d (2.5)	6.67, dd (2.4, 1.2)
9		4.73, s	4.73, d (9.5)	4.52, d (8.7)		4.83, d (1.2)
1a	3.36, (6.0, 1.5)					
4a				2.87, d (9.7)		
11	3.87, s	3.80, s	3.80, s	3.81, s	3.88, s	3.82, s
12	1.14, s	1.20, s	1.20, s	1.19, s	1.27, s	1.21, s
OH-2	4.28, s	5.69, s	5.69, s	5.44, s	6.24, s	
OH-3	4.43, d (5.7)		5.50, d (5.3)	4.81, d (3.0)	4.91, s	
OH-4	5.01, d (4.5)		4.52, d (3.5)	4.57, d (3.0)	4.96, s	
OH-4a	6.46, m	5.56, s	5.57, s			
OH-5	12.44, s	11.97, s	11.97, s	12.37, s	11.15, s	12.19, s
OH-1a		5.29, s	5.29, s	4.91, s	6.97, s	
OH-9			5.30, d (9.5)	5.46, d (8.7)		

Table 1. ¹H NMR data of compounds 1–5 (500 MHz, TMS, δ ppm, *J* in Hz).

Table 2. ¹³C NMR data of compounds 1–7 (125 MHz, DMSO, TMS, δ ppm).

No.	1	2	3	4	5
1	34.2, CH ₂	34.3, CH ₂	38.7, CH ₂	30.7, CH ₂	42.4, CH ₂
2	72.3, C	73.5, C	73.2, C	73.6, C	70.3, C
3	73.7, CH	76.9 <i>,</i> CH	75.2, CH	75.7, CH	72.9 <i>,</i> CH
4	71.3 <i>,</i> CH	64.6, CH	66.1, CH	63.5, CH	65.2, CH
5	166.1, C	164.8, C	163.8, C	163.6, C	164.9, C
6	106.1, CH	99.7, CH	99.5, CH	107.2, CH	100.1, CH
7	166.3, C	166.4, C	166.3, C	165.7, C	166.8, C
8	105.0, CH	106.2, CH	105.8, CH	106.4, CH	106.6, CH
9	197.3, C	70.0, CH	73.9, CH	190.6, C	68.6, CH
10	200.1, C	202.4, C	206.0, C	194.4, C	196.6, C
1a	48.0, CH	79.3, C	78.5, C	80.5, C	64.8, C
4a	78.2, C	78.1, C	53.3, CH	72.1, C	63.3, C
9a	137.6, C	148.4, C	149.0, C	134.5, C	145.9, C
10a	110.1, C	108.6, C	110.8, C	110.0, C	107.1, C
11	56.7, CH ₃	56.1, CH ₃	56.1, CH ₃	56.7, CH ₃	56.2, CH ₃
12	23.1, CH ₃	27.6, CH ₃	27.8, CH ₃	27.6, CH ₃	26.1, CH ₃



Figure 2. Key HMBC and ¹H-¹H COSY correlations of **1**–**5**.

The relative configuration of the stereogenic carbons in **1** was detected by NOESY correlations and conformational analysis (Figure 3 and Figure S7). The NOESY correlations from H-3 to H-1a and 4a-OH, from H-1a to H-4, and from H-4 to 4a-OH indicated that H-1a and 4a-OH were located on the same face of the molecule, which meant that the B ring and C ring were *cis* fused. The NOESY correlations from H-1a and H-4 to H₃-12 oriented H₃-12 to the same side as H-1a and H-4. Computational simulation by Chemdraw (Minimize Energy program), together with the small *J* coupling constant between H-3 and H-4 (³*J*_{H-3, H-4} = 3.1 Hz), further confirmed the chair-chair conformation for rings B and C, where H-1a (ax), H-3 (eq), H-4 (ax), 4a-OH (eq), and H₃-12 (ax) were oriented on the same face, thus completing the relative configuration of the stereogenic carbons in **1** (Figure 3). To determine the absolute configuration of compound **1**, the theoretical calculated electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra of possible models were performed using TDDFT. The optimized conformation of the model was obtained and further used for the ECD calculation at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level. The pattern (2*S*, 3*R*, 4*S*, 1a*R*, 4a*S*)-**1** of the calculated ECD spectrum was in reasonable agreement with the experimental ECD spectra (Figure 4). Thus, the absolute configuration of **1** was established as 2*S*, 3*R*, 4*S*, 1a*R*, 4a*S*, and we named it auxarthrol D.



Figure 3. Key NOE correlations of 1-5.



Figure 4. Comparison of the calculated and experimental ECD spectra of 1-2, auxarthrols D-E.

Compound **2** was obtained as a pale yellow powder. Its molecular formula of $C_{16}H_{20}O_9$ with seven degrees of unsaturation was determined by the ion peak m/z 341.1237 [M+H]⁺ in the (+)–HRESIMS. The molecular formula was also corroborated by exploiting ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary Materials). A comparison of these data with **1** revealed the same skeleton with different substitutions. The upfield shift of C-9 (δ_C 69.0 Vs. δ_C 197.3) and the downfield shift of C-1a (δ_C 79.3 Vs. δ_C 48.0) indicated that both of the C-9 and C-1a positions were substituted by a hydroxyl group in **2** (Table 2). Key HMBC correlations from H-9 to C-9, C-8, and C-1; from 1a-OH to

C-1a and C-1; and from 9-OH to C-9 and C-9a (Figure 2 and Supplementary Materials) confirmed the locations of C-9 and C-1a hydroxyl groups, thus completing the planar structure of **2**. The relative configurations of the stereogenic carbons were determined by NOESY correlations and J coupling constant analysis (Table 1, Figure 3 and Supplementary Materials). The NOESY correlations from H-4 to both 1a-OH and 4a-OH and from H-3 to 4a-OH, together with the small J coupling constant between H-3 and H-4 (${}^{3}J_{H-3, H-4} = 3.0 \text{ Hz}$), indicated that 1a-OH, 4a-OH, H-3, and H-4 were located on the same face. Other NOE correlations from H-3 to H_3 -12 and 2-OH and from H-4 to 2-OH with the above evidence suggested a chair conformation of the C ring, where H-3 (eq), H-4 (ax), and 2-OH (ax) were on the same face, while H_3 -12 (eq) was oriented on the opposite face of the molecule. This conformation was further confirmed by using Chemdraw Minimize Energy simulation. Further NOESY correlation from H-9 to 4a-OH indicated that H-9 was on the same face as 4a-OH (Figure 3), thus providing the relative configuration of the stereogenic carbons of **2**. The absolute configuration of **2** was determined by comparing the experimental and calculated ECD spectrum using time-dependent density-functional theory (TDDFT). The good agreement of the calculated ECD spectrum of (2R, 3R, 4S, 9S, 1aR, 4aR)-2 with that of the experimental spectrum (Figure 4) suggested that the absolute configuration of 2 was 2*R*, 3*R*, 4*S*, 9*S*, 1*aR*, 4*aR*, and we named it auxarthrol E.

Compound 3 was obtained as a pale yellow powder. The molecular formula of 3 was deduced as $C_{16}H_{20}O_9$ (seven degrees of unsaturation) by (+)–HRESIMS m/z 357.1187 [M+H]⁺, which was also corroborated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data, as shown in Tables 1 and 2, which was 16 amu more than the molecular mass of compound 2, therefore revealing a close relationship between 3 and 2. According to 1D NMR spectra, the presence of a methine signal at 2.87 ppm and the absence of a hydroxy group in **3** along with the upfield shift of C-4a (δ_C 53.3 Vs. δ_C 78.1) suggested that the 4a-OH in 2 was replaced by a hydrogen atom in 3 (Tables 1 and 2), which was confirmed by the key HMBC correlation from H-4a to C-10 and C-4 (Figure 2 and Supplementary Materials). The relative configurations of the stereogenic carbons were also determined by NOESY correlations and J coupling constant analysis. The NOESY correlations from H-9 to H-4a and the large J coupling constant between H-4 and H-4a (${}^{3}J_{H-4, H-4a} = 9.7$ Hz) indicated that H-4 (ax) and 1a-OH (ax) were on the same face, while H-4a (ax) was located on the opposite face, indicating that the B ring and C ring were *trans* fused. By using the Minimize Energy simulation programe in Chemdraw, both B and C rings were proposed to adopt a chair conformation, which provided the lowest steric energy. The NOESY correlation from H-4 to H₃-12 with the small J coupling constant between H-3 and H-4 (${}^{3}J_{H-3, H-4} = 3.0 \text{ Hz}$) assigned H₃-12 and H-3 (eq) on the same face as H-4 (ax) (Figure 3), thus providing the relative configuration of the stereogenic carbons of **3**. The good agreement of the calculated ECD spectrum of (2*S*, 3*R*, 4*R*, 9*R*, 1a*S*, 4aR)-3 with that of the experimental spectrum (Figure 5) suggested that the absolute configuration of 3 was 2S, 3R, 4R, 9R, 1aS, 4aR, and we named it auxarthrol F.



Figure 5. Comparison of the calculated and experimental ECD spectra of 3-4, auxarthrols F-G.

Compound 4 was obtained as a pale yellow powder. Its molecular formula of $C_{16}H_{18}ClO_8$ (eight degrees of unsaturation) was determined by (+)–HRESIMS. The molecular formula was also

corroborated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2), suggesting that the structure of 4 resembled that of paradictyoarthrin A (8) [16], except for the absence of the hydroxyl group on C-9 and the presence of a keto-carbonyl signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 190.6, indicating that the C-9 hydroxyl was replaced by a ketone. Further 2D NMR data confirmed the planar structure of 4 (Figure 2 and Supplementary Materials). The relative configurations of the stereogenic carbons of 4 were established by NOESY correlations and *J* coupling constant analysis (Table 1, Figure 3 and Supplementary Materials). The NOESY correlations from H-4 and H-3 to 1a-OH indicated that 1a-OH and 4a-Cl were located on the opposite side of the B ring, while the NOESY correlation from H-4 to H₃-12 with a very small *J* coupling constant between H-3 and H-4 suggested that H-3 (eq), H-4 (ax), H₃-12 (ax), and 1a-OH (ax) were oriented on the same side of the C ring. Moreover, the calculated ECD spectrum of the model compound (2*S*, 3*R*, 4*S*, 1a*R*, 4a*S*)-4 was well-matched with the experimental ECD spectrum of 4 (Figure 5), thus confirming the absolute structure of 4, and we named it auxarthrol G.

Compound 5 was obtained as a pale yellow solid, with the molecular formula $C_{16}H_{18}O_8$ (eight degrees of unsaturation) from (+)–HRESIMS *m/z* 357.1187 [M+H]⁺ combined with ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2). A comparison of 1D NMR data with those reported for altersolanol O [17] revealed a similar hydroanthraquinone skeleton, while the only differences were the absence of C-1 hydroxy and the replacement of the C-9 carbonyl group with a C-9 hydroxyl group in 5, which was further confirmed by the key HMBC correlations from H-9 to C-1a and C-9a, and from H₂-1 to C-1a and C-9 (Figure 2 and Supplementary Materials). The relative configuration was also determined by NOESY correlations and *J* coupling constant analysis. The NOESY correlations from H-9 to H₃-12 and H₂-1 indicated that H-9 (ax) and H₃-12 (ax) were on the same face, showing that the B ring and C ring were *cis* fused with the C-1a and C-4a epoxide ring on the opposite side to H-9 (Figure 3). Further NOESY correlations from H-4 to 2-CH₃ with the small *J* coupling constant between H-3 and H-4 (³*J*_{H-3, H-4} = 3.7 Hz) suggested that H-3 (eq), H-4 (ax), and H₃-12 (ax) were on the same face of the C ring. The absolute configurations of the stereogenic carbons of **5** were determined as 2*S*, 3*R*, 4*S*, 1a*S*, 4a*S*, 9*S* by a comparison of the experimental and calculated ECD spectra (Figure 6). Compound **5** was named auxarthrol H.



Figure 6. Comparison of the calculated and experimental ECD spectra of 5, auxarthrol H.

By a comparison of the NMR and MS data with the literature, two known compounds were identified as 4-dehydroxyaltersolanol A (6) [14] and altersolanol B (7) [11] (Figure 1).

As a typical class of anthraquinone derivatives, auxarthrols characterized with multiple hydroxyl groups attached on the hydroanthraquinone skeleton were first isolated in 1969 [11] and this was followed by total synthesis and biosynthetic studies [18,19]. By the end of 2018, seventeen altersolanols [19] and three auxarthrols [12,19] had been discovered and because of their broad range of biological activities [20–22], this class of compounds has received growing attention from the natural product community.

Compounds 1–7 were tested for their cytotoxic activity against eleven types of human cancer cell lines using SRB staining [23] and MTT [24] methods, with doxorubicin hydrochloride (Dox) as a

positive control. Compounds **1** and **3** showed moderate cytotoxic activity against eleven human cancer cell lines, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 4.5 μ M to 22.9 μ M (Table 3). The antimicrobial activity of 1–7 was also evaluated and **1**, **3–4**, and **6–7** showed promising antibacterial activity, with MIC values ranging from 12.5 μ M to 200 μ M. (Table 4).

Comp.		IC ₅₀ (μM)											
	HL-60	Hela	HCT-116	MGC-803	HO8910	MDA-MB-231	SH-SY5Y	PC-3	BEL-7402	K562	L-02		
1	7.5	>50.0	14.5	21.8	>50.0	19.1	22.9	21.9	16.6	>50.0	>50.0		
3	4.5	10.7	7.8	17.7	18.7	10.1	17.2	20.0	21.3	16.5	22.2		
Dox ^a	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.4		

Table 3. Cytotoxic effect of 1 and 3 against eleven human cancer cell lines.

^a Dox stands for doxorubicin hydrochloride, which was used as a positive control.

	ΜΙC (μΜ)									
Comp.	Mycobacterium Phlei	Proteus Species	Bacillus subtilis	Candida albicans	Vibrio Parahemolyticus	Escherichia coli	Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
1	25.0	50.0	100	>200	50.0	100	50.0			
2	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200			
3	200	200	200	>200	>200	>200	200			
4	50.0	25.0	25.0	200	100	>200	100			
5	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200			
6	25.0	50.0	25.0	>200	25.0	>200	25.0			
7	25.0	100	25.0	>200	25.0	>200	12.5			
Positive Control	3.12 ^a	1.56 ^a	0.781 ^a	1.56 ^b	0.781 ^a	0.391 ^a	1.56 ^a			

Table 4. Antimicrobial effect of 1–7 on seven microorganisms.

^a Ciprofloxacin used as a positive control for bacteria; ^b Nystatin used as a positive control for *Candida albicans*.

Moreover, all the compounds were investigated for their anticoagulant activity using argatroban as a positive control (inhibition ratio: 65.0%). Compounds **4** and **6** displayed a moderate effect with an inhibition ratio of 47.8% and 51.5%, respectively (Table 5). In addition, all the compounds were tested for antitubercular activity, but only **7** displayed a weak antitubercular effect, with an MIC value of 20.0 μ g/mL (Table 6).

Comp.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Argatroban ^b
Inhibition ratio ^a	12.5	19.9	14.4	47.8	27.3	51.5	19.3	65.0

^a Data are expressed as inhibition ratio values (%); ^b Argatroban was used as a positive control.

 Table 6. Antitubercular activity of 1–7 against AlRa.

Comp.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Rifampin ^b
MIC ^a	>20.0	>20.0	>20.0	>20.0	>20.0	>20.0	20.0	1.0
				-				

^a Data are expressed as MIC values (μ g/mL); ^b Rifampin was used as a positive control.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

UV spectra were recorded on Waters 2487. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet NEXUS 470 spectrophotometer in KBr discs (Thermo Scientific, Beijing, China). Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). HRESIMS and ESIMS data were obtained on a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer. ECD spectra were measured on a JASCO J-715 spectra polarimeter (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). NMR spectra

were recorded on an Agilent 500 MHz DD2 spectrometer using TMS as the internal standard, and the chemical shifts were recorded as δ values. Semi-preparative HPLC was performed on an ODS column (HPLC (YMC-Pack ODS-A, 10 × 250 mm, 5 μ m, 3 mL/min)). MPLC was performed on a Bona-Agela CHEETAHTM HP100 (Beijing Agela Technologies Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Column chromatography (CC) was performed with silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc. Qingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences, San Francisco, CA, USA), respectively.

3.2. Fungal Material

The fungal strain HDN16-802 was isolated from the sediment sample of Zhangzi Island, collected from Dalian, Liaoning Province, China. The strain was identified as *Sporendonema casei* based on sequencing of the ITS region (GenBank: MK578184). A voucher specimen strain was prepared on potato dextrose agar slants and deposited at -20 °C in the Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, Chinese Ministry of Education.

3.3. Fermentation and Extraction

S. casei HDN16-802 was cultured on slants with PDA at 28 °C for 7 days. Further fermentation was carried out under static conditions at room temperature for 30 days in Erlenmeyer flasks (1000 mL), with each containing 53 g of oatmeal and naturally collected seawater (125 mL per flask) from Huiquan Bay, Qingdao, China. The pooled fermentation broth, together with mycelium (total of 45 L), were macerated and extracted with an equal volume of EtOAc three times. The organic layers were combined together and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield the extract (10 g).

3.4. Isolation

The extract (10 g) was fractionated by VLC column chromatography on silica gel using stepwise gradient elution with petroleum ether-CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (from PE only to PE with DCM in different ratios and DCM only later, and then from DCM only to DCM with MeOH in different ratios and MeOH only, depending on the polarity from small to large) to give six fractions (fraction 1 to fraction 6). Fraction 5 (eluted with 92:8 DCM-MeOH) was further separated by MPLC and then HPLC, eluting with MeOH/H₂O (35:65) to obtain 1 (t_R 28 min; 10.0 mg). Fraction 2 (eluted with 98:2 DCM-MeOH) was applied on a Sephadex LH-20 column and eluted with MeOH to provide six fractions (fraction 2-1 to fraction 2-6). Fraction 2-4 was separated by HPLC eluting with MeCN/H₂O (23:77) to obtain 4 (t_R 40 min; 5.0 mg) and 7 (t_R 35 min; 5.0 mg). Fraction 3 (eluted with 94:6 DCM-MeOH) was further separated by a C-18 ODS column with a step gradient elution of MeOH-H₂O (15:85-80:20), resulting in four fractions (fraction 3-1 to fraction 3-4). Fraction 3-1 was separated by HPLC eluting with MeCN/H₂O (gradient 15:85-25:75) to provide 2 (t_R 23 min; 2.1 mg), 3 (t_R 25 min; 5.1 mg), 5 (t_R 45 min; 4.5 mg), and 6 (t_R 50 min; 10.1 mg) and Fraction 3-1-3 was further purified by HPLC using MeOH/H₂O (24:76) as an eluent to obtain 5 (t_R 25 min; 7.9 mg).

Auxarthrol D (1): Pale yellow crystal; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –20.45 (c 0.03, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 248 (2.4), 295 (1.2), 350 (1.2) nm; CD (2.5 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) 218 (+0.74), 240 (–1.78) nm, 260 (+3.13)nm, 333 (–3.68) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3366, 2940, 2360, 1700, 1636, 1615, 1385, 1305, 1204, 1164, 1102, 1032, 910 cm⁻¹; for ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 339.1076 [M+H]⁺ (calculated for C₁₆H₁₉O₈, 339.1074)

Auxarthrol E (2): Pale yellow powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –19.0 (c 0.04, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 290 (2.4), 330 (1.6) nm; CD (2.5 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) 218 (–1.74), 240 (+0.58) nm, 300 (+3.78) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3356, 2934, 2361, 1717, 1625, 1577, 1376, 1291, 1204, 1158, 1074, 851 cm⁻¹; for ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 357.1187 [M+H]⁺ (calculated for C₁₆H₂₁O₉, 357.1180).

Auxarthrol F (3): Pale yellow powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –65.0 (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 280 (2.4), 340 (1.0) nm; CD (2.5 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) 218 (–1.54) nm, 240 (+0.78) nm, 300 (+3.12) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3379, 2925, 2362, 1626, 1375, 1296, 1204, 1160, 1084, 1032, 849, 780 cm⁻¹; for ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 341.1237 [M+H]⁺ (calculated for C₁₆H₂₁O₈, 347.1231).

Auxarthrol G (4): Pale yellow powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +5.38 (c 0.08, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 245 (2.4), 300 (1.0), 350 (1.2) nm; CD (2.5 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \epsilon$) 210 (+3.74), 267 (-1.78), 333 (2.73) nm; IR(KBr) ν_{max} 3375, 2924, 2359, 1636, 1615, 1385, 1296, 1205, 1162, 1030, 771 cm⁻¹; for ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 373.0685 [M+H]⁺ (calculated for C₁₆H₁₆O₈Cl, 373.0685).

Auxarthrol H (5): Pale yellow powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +12.17 (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε)274 (2.4), 315 (1.4) nm; CD (2.5 mM, MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) 218 (-1.74), 242 (+0.78) nm, 290 (+2.56) nm, 315 (-1.24) nm, 356 (+0.54) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3356, 2933, 2361, 1627, 1376, 1298, 1205, 1159, 1103, 1024, 950, 601 cm⁻¹; for ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 339.1080 [M+H]⁺ (calculated for C₁₆H₁₉O₈, 339.1074).

3.5. Assay of Cytotoxic Activity

Cytotoxic activity was evaluated as previously reported [25].

3.6. Assay of Antimicrobial Activity

Antimicrobial activity was evaluated as previously reported [26].

3.7. Assay of Anticoagulant Activity

Anticoagulant activity was evaluated as previously reported [27].

3.8. Assay of Antitubercular Activity

Antitubercular activity was evaluated as previously reported [28].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we reported the isolation and structural elucidation of five new bioactive anthraquinone derivatives (1–5), together with two known analogues (6–7), from *Sporendonema casei*. Compound 4 is the second anthraquinone derivative with a chlorine atom. Compounds 1–7 were evaluated for their cytotoxic, antimicrobial, anticoagulant, and antitubercular activity. Compounds 1 and 3 showed cytotoxic activities against eleven human cancer cell lines, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 4.5 μ M to 22.9 μ M, while 1, 3–4, and 6–7 showed promising antibacterial activity, with MIC values ranging from 12.5 μ M to 200 μ M. Compounds 4 and 6 displayed a moderate anticoagulant effect, which are the first anthraquinone derivatives with this activity. In addition, 7 was found to display potential antitubercular activity.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1660-3397/17/6/334/s1, 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS spectra of 1–7. Figures S1–S8: 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS spectra of Auxarthrol D (1); Figures S9–S17: 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS spectra of Auxarthrol E (2); Figures S18–S25: 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS spectra of Auxarthrol F (3); Figures S26–S33: 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS spectra of Auxarthrol G (4); Figures S34–S41: 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS spectra of Auxarthrol H (5).

Author Contributions: The contributions of the respective authors are as follows: X.G. drafted the work and performed the fermentation and extraction, as well as the isolation. X.G. and C.S. elucidated the constituents. Y.F. and L.W. were involved in the biological evaluations. J.P., Q.C., Q.G., T.Z., and D.L. contributed to checking and confirming all of the procedures of the isolation and identification. G.Z. designed the study, supervised the laboratory work, and contributed to the critical reading of the manuscript, and was also involved in structural determination and bioactivity elucidation. All the authors have read the final manuscript and approved the submission.

Funding: This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41806167, 41606166), the Marine S&T Fund of Shandong Province for the Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (Qingdao) (No. 2018SDKJ0401-2), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (201941001), and the Taishan Scholar Youth Expert Program in Shandong Province (tsqn201812021).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Zhou, Y.X.; Xia, W.; Yue, W.; Peng, C.; Rahman, K.; Zhang, H.; Evid, J. Rhein: A Review of Pharmacological Activities. *Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* **2015**, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Abu, N.; Ali, N.; Ho, W.; Yeap, S.; Aziz, M.Y.; Alitheen, N. Damnacanthal: A Promising Compound as a Medicinal Anthraquinone. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* **2014**, *14*, 750–755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zheng, C.J.; Shao, C.L.; Guo, Z.Y.; Chen, J.F.; Deng, D.S.; Yang, K.L.; Chen, Y.Y.; Fu, X.M.; She, Z.G.; Lin, Y.C.; Wang, C.Y. Bioactive hydroanthraquinones and anthraquinone dimers from a soft coral-derived *Alternaria sp.* fungus. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2012, 75, 189–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Kanamaru, S.; Honma, M.; Murakami, T.; Tsushima, T.; Kudo, S.; Tanaka, K.; Nihei, K.; Nehira, T.; Hashimoto, M. Absolute stereochemistry of altersolanol A and alterporriols. *Chirality.* **2012**, *24*, 137–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Suemitsu, R.; Kitagawa, N.; Horie, S.; Kazawa, K.; Harada, T. Isolation and Identification of Altersolanol B (7-Methoxy-2-methyl-(2R, 3S)-1, 2, 3, 4-tetrahydro-2, 3, 5-trihydroxyanthraquinone) from the Mycelium of Alternaría porri (Ellis) Ciferri. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 2014, *42*, 1801–1802. [CrossRef]
- Kasai, M.; Shirahata, K.; Ishii, S.; Mineura, K.; Marumo, H.; Tanaka, H.; OMURA, S. Structure of Nanaomycin E, A New Naaomycin. *J. Antibiot.* 1979, *5*, 442–445. [CrossRef]
- 7. Okabe, T.; Nomoto, K.; Tanaka, N. Lactoquinomycin B, A Novel Antibiotic. J Antibiot. 1986, 1, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 8. Becker, A.M.; Rickards, R.W.; Schmalzl, K.J.; Yick, H.C. Metabolites of Dactylaria Lutea, the Structures of Dactylariol and the Antiprotozoal Antibiotic Dactylarin. *J. Antibiot.* **1978**, *4*, 324–329. [CrossRef]
- 9. Noda, T.; Take, T.; Watanabe, T.; Abe, J. The Structure of Bostrycin. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 1339–1346. [CrossRef]
- Debbab, A.; Aly, A.H.; Edrada-Ebel, R.A.; Wray, V.; Müller, W.E.G.; Totzke, F.; Zirrgiebel, U.; Schächtele, C.; Kubbutat, M.H.G.; Lin, W.H.; et al. Bioactive Metabolites from the Endophytic Fungus *Stemphylium globuliferum* Isolated from Mentha pulegium. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2009, 72, 626–631. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ge, H.M.; Song, Y.C.; Shan, C.Y.; Ye, Y.H.; Tan, R.X. New and cytotoxic anthraquinones from *Pleospora sp.* IFB-E006, an endophytic fungus in Imperata cylindrical. *Planta. Med.* **2005**, *71*, 1063–1065. [CrossRef]
- 12. Alvi, K.A.; Rabenstein, J. Auxarthrol A and auxarthrol B: two new tetrahydoanthraquinones from Auxarthron umbrinum. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2004**, *31*, 11–15. [CrossRef]
- 13. Aly, A.H.; Edrada-Ebel, R.; Wray, V.; Müller, W.E.; Kozytska, S.; Hentschel, U.; Proksch, P.; Ebel, R. Bioactive metabolites from the endophytic fungus *Ampelomyces sp.* isolated from the medicinal plant Urospermum picroides. *Phytochemistry* **2008**, *69*, 1716–1725. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Uzor, P.F.; Ebrahim, W.; Osadebe, P.O.; Nwodo, J.N.; Okoye, F.B.; Muller, W.E.; Lin, W.; Liu, Z.; Proksch, P. Metabolites from Combretum dolichopetalum and its associated endophytic fungus Nigrospora oryzae-Evidence for a metabolic partnership. *Fitoterapia* **2015**, *105*, 147–150. [CrossRef]
- 15. Klaiklay, S.; Rukachaisirikul, V.; Phongpaichit, S.; Pakawatchai, C.; Saithong, S.; Buatong, J.; Preedanon, S.; Sakayaroj, J. Anthraquinone derivatives from the mangrove-derived fungus *Phomopsis sp.* PSU-MA214. *Phytochem. Lett.* **2012**, *5*, 738–742. [CrossRef]
- Isaka, M.; Chinthanom, P.; Rachtawee, P.; Srichomthong, K.; Srikitikulchai, P.; Kongsaeree, P.; Prabpai, S. Cytotoxic hydroanthraquinones from the mangrove-derived fungus Paradictyoarthrinium diffractum BCC 8704. J. Antibiot (Tokyo) 2015, 68, 334–338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Chen, B.; Shen, Q.; Zhu, X.; Lin, Y.C. The Anthraquinone Derivatives from the Fungus Alternaria sp. XZSBG-1 from the Saline Lake in Bange, Tibet, China. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 16529–16542. [CrossRef]
- Kelly, T.R.; Montury, M. A Regiochemically-controlled Synthesis of Altersolanol B. *Tetrahedron. Lett.* 1978, 45, 4309–4310. [CrossRef]
- 19. Locatelli, M. Anthraquinones: Analytical Techniques as a Novel Tool to Investigate on the Triggering of Biological Targets. *Curr. Drug. Targets.* **2011**, *12*, 366–380. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liu, P.; Wang, P.M.; Xu, J.Z. Assignment of NMR Data of Alterporriol I. Chem. Nat. Comp. 2017, 53, 653-657.
- 21. Pretsch, A.; Proksch, P.; Debbab, A. New Anthraquinone Derivatives. U.S. Patent 0 129 927 Al, 24 May 2012.
- Bai, H.; Kong, W.W.; Shao, C.L.; Li, Y.; Liu, Y.Z.; Liu, M.; Guan, F.F.; Wang, C.Y. Zebrafish Embryo Toxicity Microscale Model for Ichthyotoxicity Evaluation of Marine Natural Products. *Mar. Biotechnol. (NY)* 2016, 18, 264–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Mosmann, T. Rapid Colorimetric Assay for Cellular Growth and Survival: Application to Proliferation and Cytotoxicity Assays. *J. Immunol. Meth.* **1983**, *65*, 55–63. [CrossRef]

- Skehan, P.; Storeng, R.; Scudiero, D.; Monks, A.; Mahon, J.M.; Vistica, D.; Warren, J.T.; Bokesch, H.; Kenney, S.; Boyd, M.R. New Colorimetric Cytotoxicity Assay for Anticancer-Drug Screening. *J. Natl. Cancer. Inst.* 1990, 82, 1107–1112. [CrossRef]
- Yu, G.H.; Wu, G.W.; Sun, Z.C.; Zhang, X.M.; Che, Q.; Gu, Q.Q.; Zhu, T.J.; Li, D.H.; Zhang, G.J. Cytotoxic Tetrahydroxanthone Dimers from the Mangrove-Associated Fungus Aspergillus versicolor HDN1009. *Mar. Drugs* 2018, *16*, 335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Du, L.; Zhu, T.J.; Liu, H.B.; Fang, Y.C.; Zhu, W.M.; Gu, Q.Q. Cytotoxic polyketides from a marine-derived fungus, *Aspergillus glaucus*. J. Nat. Prod. **2008**, *71*, 1837–1842. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Xu, Z.; Liu, R.N.; Guan, H.S. Dual-target inhibitor screening against thrombin and factor Xa simultaneously by mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2017**, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Tang, J.; Wang, B.X.; Wu, T.; Wan, J.T.; Tu, Z.C.; Njire, M.; Wan, B.J.; Franzblauc, S.G.; Zhang, T.Y.; Lu, X.Y.; et al. Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Pyrazolo [1,5-a] pyridine-3-carboxamides as Novel Antitubercular Agents. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 814–818. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).