



# Antibacterial Alkaloids and Polyketide Derivatives from the Deep Sea-Derived Fungus *Penicillium cyclopium* SD-413

Yan-He Li <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xiao-Ming Li <sup>1,2,4</sup>, Xin Li <sup>1,2,4</sup>, Sui-Qun Yang <sup>1,2,4</sup>, Xiao-Shan Shi <sup>1,2,4</sup>, Hong-Lei Li <sup>1,2,4,\*</sup> and Bin-Gui Wang <sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup>

- Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanhai Road 7, Qingdao 266071, China; liyanhe@qdio.ac.cn (Y.-H.L.); lixmqd@qdio.ac.cn (X.-M.L.); lixin@qdio.ac.cn (X.L.); yangsuiqun@qdio.ac.cn (S.-Q.Y.); Shixs@qdio.ac.cn (X.-S.S.)
- <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Wenhai Road 1, Qingdao 266237, China
- <sup>3</sup> College of Marine Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yuquan Road 19A, Beijing 100049, China
- <sup>4</sup> Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanhai Road 7, Qingdao 266071, China
- \* Correspondence: lihonglei@qdio.ac.cn (H.-L.L.); wangbg@ms.qdio.ac.cn (B.-G.W.); Tel.: +86-532-8289-8553 (B.-G.W.)

Received: 19 October 2020; Accepted: 2 November 2020; Published: 6 November 2020



MDP

**Abstract:** Nine secondary metabolites (1–9), including two new polyketide derivatives 9-dehydroxysargassopenilline A (4) and 1,2-didehydropeaurantiogriseol E (5), along with seven known related secondary metabolites (1–3 and 6–9), were isolated and identified from the deep sea-derived fungus *Penicillium cyclopium* SD-413. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of 1D/2D NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric analysis and the absolute configurations were determined by the combination of NOESY correlations and time-dependent density functional (TDDFT) ECD calculations. Compounds 1–9 inhibited some pathogenic bacteria including *Escherichia coli*, *E. ictaluri*, *Edwardsiella tarda*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Vibrio anguillarum*, and *V. harveyi*, with MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) values ranging from 4 to 32 µg/mL.

**Keywords:** *Penicillium cyclopium;* marine sediment-derived fungus; quinazoline alkaloid; polyketide derivatives; antibacterial activity

# 1. Introduction

Marine sediment-derived fungi have received considerable attention as a valuable resource of bioactive metabolites with diversified chemical structures including alkaloids, peptides, polyketides, and terpenoids [1–6]. These natural products are characterized by intriguing biological properties such as anticancer, antifouling, antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antiviral activities [4–9].

In our continuing excavation to identify new bioactive metabolites from deep sea-derived fungi [7–12], the fungus *Penicillium cyclopium* SD-413, which was obtained from a sediment sample collected from the East China Sea, was screened out for chemical investigations. As a result, two new polyketide derivatives, 9-dehydroxysargassopenilline A (4) and 1,2-didehydropeaurantiogriseol E (5), along with seven known related metabolites (1–3 and 6–9) (Figure 1), were isolated and identified from the culture extract of the fungus. Chemical structures of the isolated compounds were established by detailed interpretation of 1D/2D NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric data and the absolute configurations of compounds 4 and 5 were determined by ECD calculations. All of these compounds

were evaluated for antibacterial activities against some human and fish pathogenic bacteria. Herein, the details of isolation, structure elucidation, and biological activities of compounds **1–9** are described.



Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-9.

# 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Structure Elucidation of the Isolated Compounds

Compounds **1** and **2** were originally treated as new quinazoline alkaloids during the preparation of this manuscript, and their structures were elucidated by detailed analysis of NMR spectroscopic and high-resolution mass spectrometric data. However, when the manuscript was ready for submission, Cao and co-workers reported three new quinazoline-containing diketopiperazines, namely polonimides A–C, from the marine-derived fungus *Penicillium polonicum* HBU-114 [13]. Among them, polonimides A and B had virtually identical NMR data to those of compounds **1** and **2**, respectively. The NMR data of polonimides A and B were recorded on a Bruker AV-600 spectrometer, whereas for compounds **1** and **2**, the data were acquired on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer. For future reference, our NMR data of compounds **1** and **2** are listed in the Supporting Information (Figures S1–S11, Table S1).

The molecular formula of 9-dehydroxysargassopenilline A (4) was determined to be  $C_{15}H_{20}O_5$  on the basis of HRESIMS data (six degrees of unsaturation, Figure S12). The NMR spectroscopic data (Table 1, Figures S13 and S14) revealed the presence of 15 carbon atoms, which were clarified into two methyls, four methylenes, three methines, and six non-protonated carbons. Extensive analysis of its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data revealed that compound **4** is a derivative of 6,6-spiroketal, very similar to sargassopenilline A, which was previously isolated from the axenic culture of the marine alga-derived fungus *Penicillium thomii* KMM4645 [14]. However, signals at  $\delta_H$  4.15 and  $\delta_C$  66.1 for an oxygenated methine (CH-9) in the NMR spectra of sargassopenilline A disappeared in those of compound **4**, while resonances for an additional methylene at  $\delta_H$  1.40/1.94 and  $\delta_C$  29.4 were observed in the NMR spectra of compound **4** (Table 1). The above observation disclosed that the oxymethine (CH-9) of sargassopenilline A was replaced by a methylene group in compound **4**. This deduction was further verified by the proton-proton spin-coupling system from C-9 to C-12 and C-14 established by the COSY and HSQC analysis of compound **4** (Figures S15 and S16), as well as by the key HMBC correlations from H-1 and H<sub>2</sub>-9 to C-3 (Figure 2 and Figure S17). Thus, the planar structure of compound **4** was determined as shown in Figure 1.

4		NI	5		
$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz) <sup>a</sup>	δ <sub>C</sub> , Type <sup>b</sup>	- I <b>NO.</b>	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz) <sup>a</sup>	δ <sub>C</sub> , Type <sup>b</sup>	
4.34, d (14.7)	EQ 2 CH	1	7.26, d (5.9)	157.9, CH	
4.49, d (14.7)	<i>36.2,</i> СП <sub>2</sub>	2	5.19, d (5.9)	105.6, CH	
	95.7, C	3		199.0, C	
4.09, d (8.5)	69.6, CH	4		47.4, C	
	133.8, C	5	1.59, m	42.2, CH	
6.55, s	104.4, CH	6α	1.13, m	26.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	
	154.3, C	6β	2.75, m		
	108.9, C	$7\alpha$	1.00, m	29.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	
	149.7, C	7β	1.77, m		
	112.6, C	8	1.48, m	40.7, CH	
1.40, m	29.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	9α	0.81, m	35.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	
1.94, m		9β	1.85, m		
1.61 <i>,</i> m	18.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	10	1.89, m	40.1, CH	
1.80, m		11	5.48, dd (10.1, 2.1)	131.4, CH	
1.11, m	31.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	12	5.67, dd (10.1, 2.1)	128.3, CH	
1.55, m		13		85.3, C	
3.75, m	66.9 <i>,</i> CH	14	1.37, s	21.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	
1.01, d (6.3)	21.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	15	1.15, s	13.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	
1.95, s	8.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	16	3.22, m	66.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	
4.57, d (8.5)		16-OH	4.42, br		
8.93, s					
8.08, s					
	$\begin{array}{r} & \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \delta_{\rm H} ~ (J ~ {\rm in} ~ {\rm Hz}) ~^{\rm a} \\ \hline & 4.34, {\rm d} ~ (14.7) \\ & 4.49, {\rm d} ~ (14.7) \\ \hline & 4.09, {\rm d} ~ (8.5) \\ \hline & 6.55, {\rm s} \\ \hline & 6.55, {\rm s} \\ \hline & 1.40, {\rm m} \\ & 1.94, {\rm m} \\ & 1.61, {\rm m} \\ & 1.61, {\rm m} \\ & 1.80, {\rm m} \\ \hline & 1.11, {\rm m} \\ & 1.55, {\rm m} \\ & 3.75, {\rm m} \\ 1.01, {\rm d} ~ (6.3) \\ & 1.95, {\rm s} \\ \hline & 4.57, {\rm d} ~ (8.5) \\ \hline & 8.93, {\rm s} \\ & 8.08, {\rm s} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c }\hline & 4 \\ \hline \hline \delta_{\rm H} (\textit{J} \mbox{ in Hz})^{\rm a} & \delta_{\rm C}, \mbox{Type}^{\rm b} \\ \hline 4.34, \mbox{d} (14.7) & 58.2, \mbox{CH}_2 \\ & 95.7, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 4.49, \mbox{d} (14.7) & 95.7, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 4.09, \mbox{d} (8.5) & 69.6, \mbox{CH} \\ & 133.8, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 4.09, \mbox{d} (8.5) & 69.6, \mbox{CH} \\ & 133.8, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 6.55, \mbox{s} & 104.4, \mbox{CH} \\ \hline 154.3, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 108.9, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 108.9, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 108.9, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 149.7, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 112.6, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 149.7, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 112.6, \mbox{C} \\ \hline 1.40, \mbox{m} & 29.4, \mbox{CH}_2 \\ \hline 1.94, \mbox{m} \\ \hline 1.61, \mbox{m} & 18.5, \mbox{CH}_2 \\ \hline 1.80, \mbox{m} \\ \hline 1.11, \mbox{m} & 31.9, \mbox{CH}_2 \\ \hline 1.55, \mbox{m} \\ \hline 3.75, \mbox{m} & 66.9, \mbox{CH} \\ \hline 1.01, \mbox{d} (6.3) & 21.6, \mbox{CH}_3 \\ \hline 1.95, \mbox{s} & 8.6, \mbox{CH}_3 \\ \hline 4.57, \mbox{d} (8.5) \\ \hline 8.93, \mbox{s} \\ 8.08, \mbox{s} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of compounds 4 and 5 (measured in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>).

<sup>a</sup> Measured at 500 MHz; <sup>b</sup> measured at 125 MHz.



Figure 2. Key COSY (bold lines) and HMBC (arrows) correlations of compounds 1, 4, and 5.

The relative configuration of compound 4 was assigned by analysis of NOESY data (Figure 3 and Figure S18). The key NOE correlations from H-4 to H-9 $\alpha$  and H-12 oriented these protons to the same face of the molecule. The absolute configuration of compound 4 was established by the TDDFT-ECD calculation in Gaussian 09 [15]. After geometry optimization, the minimum energy conformers were obtained, and then the TDDFT method at the B3LYP/6-31G level was employed to generate the calculated ECD spectrum of compound 4 (Figure 4). The experimental ECD spectrum of compound 4 exhibited excellent accordance with that calculated for the absolute configuration (3*R*, 4*R*, 12*S*) in compound 4 (Figure 4), which established the absolute configuration of compound 4.

1,2-Didehydropeaurantiogriseol E (5) was isolated as a colorless oil and its HRESIMS data (Figure S19) gave the molecular formula as  $C_{16}H_{22}O_3$ . The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table 1, Figures S20 and S21) of compound 5 showed a close relationship to that of peaurantiogriseol E, a derivative of polyketide isolated from *Penicillium aurantiogriseum* [16]. However, signals for two methylenes (C-1 and C-2) in the NMR spectra of peaurantiogriseol E were not present in those of compound 5. Instead, two olefinic methines at  $\delta_{C/H}$  157.9/7.26 (CH-1) and  $\delta_{C/H}$  105.6/5.19 (CH-2) were observed in the NMR spectra of compound 5 (Figures S20–S24). Furthermore, the HMBC correlations (Figure 2

and Figure S24) from H-1 to C-13 and from H-2 to C-4 revealed the presence of dihydropyranone ring in compound **5**.



**Figure 3.** Key NOESY correlations for 1, 2, 4, and 5 (solid lines:  $\beta$ -orientation; dashed lines:  $\alpha$ -orientation).



Figure 4. Experimental and calculated ECD spectra of compounds 4 and 5.

The relative configuration of compound **5** was established by analysis of NOESY data (Figure 3 and Figure S25). The key NOE correlations from H-14 to H-5 and H-15 and from H-15 to H-8 and H-10 located these groups on the same side of the molecule. Similarly, the absolute configuration of compound **5** was determined by the TDDFT-ECD calculation in Gaussian 09 [15]. The TDDFT-ECD spectrum calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G level for the isomer (4*S*, 5*S*, 8*R*, 10*S*, 13*R*) of compound **5** matched well with the experimental ECD spectrum (Figure 4).

In addition to the two new secondary metabolites (**4** and **5**) and three known quinazoline alkaloids (**1–3**), four known derivatives of polyketide (**6–9**) were also isolated from the culture extract of the fungus *P. cyclopium* SD-413. By detailed spectroscopic analysis as well as comparisons with reported data, the structures of compounds **3** and **6–9** were identified as aurantiomide C (**3**) [17], craterellone D (**6**) [18], peaurantiogriseol A (7) [16], aspermytin A (**8**) [19], and 1-(2,8-dihydroxy-1,2,6 -trimethyl-1,2,6,7,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalen-1-yl)-3-hydroxy-1-propanone (**9**) [20], respectively.

#### 2.2. Antibacterial Activities of the Isolated Compounds

The obtained compounds **1–9** were evaluated for antibacterial activities against 2 human pathogenic bacteria (*Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) and 10 fish pathogenic bacteria (*Aeromonas hydrophila, Edwardsiella ictaluri, E. tarda, Micrococcus luteus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Vibrio alginolyticus, V. anguillarum, V. harveyi, V. parahemolyticus, and V. vulnificus*). Compounds **1–3** exhibited inhibitory activities against *E. coli, E. ictaluri, E. tarda,* and *V. harveyi*, with MIC values ranging from 4.0 to 32 µg/mL (Table 2), while compound **4** showed potent inhibitory activity against *M. luteus,* with an MIC value of 4.0 µg/mL, and compound **5** showed potent activities against *V. anguillarum* and *V. harveyi*, each with an MIC value of 4.0 µg/mL. These results indicated that the formation of dihydropyranone ring (**5** vs. **6–9**) in structures strengthened their effects against *V. anguillarum* and *V. harveyi*. However, the tested compounds were inactive against the remaining microorganisms.

Strains	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Chl <sup>a</sup>
Escherichia coli	8.0	4.0	8.0	n.a.	16	8.0	n.a.	32	16	2.0
Edwardsiella tarda	8.0	8.0	8.0	16	n.a.	32	16	32	8.0	2.0
Edwardsiella ictaluri	8.0	8.0	8.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	32	16	n.a.	0.5
Micrococcus luteus	32	n.a.	n.a.	4.0	32	n.a.	32	n.a.	n.a.	2.0
Vibrio harveyi	8.0	8.0	32	n.a.	4.0	8.0	n.a.	32	32	0.5
Vibrio anguillarum	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	32	4.0	32	16	32	n.a.	1.0

**Table 2.** Antibacterial activities of compounds 1–9 (MIC, μg/mL).

<sup>a</sup> Chl: chloramphenicol (positive control); n.a.: no activity (MIC > 64 µg/mL).

## 3. Experimental Section

#### 3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations: an Optical Activity AA-55 polarimeter (Optical Activity Ltd., Cambridgeshire, UK); UV spectra: a PuXi TU-1810 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shanghai Lengguang Technology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China); ECD spectra: a JASCO J-715 spectropolarimeter (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan); NMR spectra: a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer (Bruker Biospin Group, Karlsruhe, Germany); mass spectra: an API QSTAR Pulsar 1 mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA); analytical HPLC: a Dionex HPLC system, equipped with P680 pump (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA); and TLC: silica gel GF254 precoated plates (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Group Corporation, Qingdao, China). Column chromatography (CC): 100–200 mesh and 200–300 mesh silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>; Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Group Corporation), 40–63 µm RP-18 reverse-phase Si gel (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and Sephadex LH-20 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). All solvents were distilled prior to use.

### 3.2. Fungal Material

The fungus *Penicillium cyclopium* SD-413 was isolated from a marine sediment sample collected from the East China Sea in May 2017. It was identified using a molecular biological protocol described in our previous report [21] by DNA amplification and the sequencing of the ITS (internal transcribed spacer) region. The sequenced data derived from the fungal strain were deposited in GenBank (accession no. MN818582). A BLAST search result showed that the sequence was most similar (99%) to the sequence of *Penicillium cyclopium* (accession no. MT990551.1). The strain is preserved at the Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IOCAS).

# 3.3. Fermentation

For the purpose of chemical composition analysis, the fresh mycelia of *P. cyclopium* SD-413 were grown on PDA (potato dextrose agar) medium at 28 °C for five days and then cultivated in a 1 L conical flask (100 flasks) with solid rice medium (each flask contained 70 g rice; 0.1 g corn flour; 0.3 g peptone; 0.1 g sodium glutamate; and 100 mL naturally sourced and filtered seawater, which was obtained from the Huiquan Gulf of the Yellow Sea near the campus of Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IOCAS), pH 6.5–7.0) for 30 days at room temperature.

## 3.4. Extraction and Isolation

The whole fermented cultures were extracted four times with EtOAc. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure to yield an organic extract (71.2 g), which was fractionated by vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) on silica gel eluting with different solvents of increasing polarity from petroleum ether (PE) to MeOH to yield nine fractions (Frs. 1–9) based on TLC and HPLC analysis. Fr. 5 (6.65 g), eluted with PE-EtOAc (2:1), was further purified by CC over Lobar LiChroprep RP-18 with a MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (from 10:90 to 100:0) to yield ten subfractions (Fr. 5.1–5.10). Fr. 5.6 (126.1 mg) was further purified by CC on Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) and then by semi-preparative

HPLC (55% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 5 mL/min) to yield compounds **3** (5.9 mg,  $t_R$  22.5 min) and **4** (20.7 mg,  $t_R$  27.3 min). Fr. 7 (23.52 g), eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (20:1), was further purified by CC on silica gel, eluting with a PE-EtOAc gradient (from 5:1 to 2:1), to yield two subfractions (Fr. 7.1 and Fr. 7.2). Fr. 7.1 (12.91 g) was further purified by CC over Lobar LiChroprep RP-18 with a MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (from 10:90 to 100:0) to yield ten subfractions (Fr. 7.1.1–7.1.10). Fr. 7.1.6 (100.9 mg) was purified by CC on silica gel eluting with a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH gradient (from 200:1 to 20:1), and then by CC on Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH), obtained compounds **6** (16.1 mg) and **7** (10.7 mg). Fr. 7.1.7 was further purified by CC over RP-18 eluting with a MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (10:90 to 100:0) and by semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 60% to 85%, 5 mL/min) to obtain **1** (8.3 mg,  $t_R$  18.1 min), **2** (8.2 mg,  $t_R$  22.3 min), and **5** (29.3 mg,  $t_R$  26.7 min). Fr. 7.2 (10.42 g) was further purified by CC over Lobar LiChroprep RP-18 with a MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (from 10:90 to 100:0) to yield ten subfractions (Fr. 7.2.1–7.2.10). Fr. 7.2.3 (201.3 mg) was purified by CC on silica gel eluting with a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> by the purified by CC on silica gel eluting the A CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> by the purified by CC over Lobar LiChroprep RP-18 with a MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (from 10:90 to 100:0) to yield ten subfractions (Fr. 7.2.1–7.2.10). Fr. 7.2.3 (201.3 mg) was purified by CC on silica gel eluting with a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH gradient (from 200:1 to 20:1)

and then by CC on Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) to obtain compounds 8 (64.6 mg) and 9 (12.9 mg).

Polonimide A (1): Amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +67.3$  (*c* 0.10, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 212 (7.32), 312 (4.48) nm; ECD (0.33 mg/mL, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 227 (+25.18), 250 (-16.35), 274 (-3.28), 295 (-6.27), 329 (+4.20) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, Table S1; HRESIMS *m*/z 356.1609 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 356.1607), 378.1438 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na, 378.1436).

Polonimide B (2): Amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +14.2$  (*c* 0.15, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 212 (7.32), 312 (4.48) nm; ECD (0.26 mg/mL, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 223 (+21.59), 246 (-18.10), 271 (-1.34), 295 (-5.81), 326 (+6.74) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, Table S1; HRESIMS *m*/z 341.1609 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 341.1608).

9-Dehydroxysargassopenilline A (4): Amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -83.5$  (*c* 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 235 (3.25), 282 (3.10) nm; ECD (7.21 mM, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 202 (+31.83), 206 (-17.93), 218 (+3.45), 229 (-0.51) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 279.1236 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 279.1238).

1,2-Didehydropeaurantiogriseol E (5): Amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -40.3$  (*c* 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 201 (2.49), 254 (1.95) nm; ECD (7.11 mM, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 215 (+11.73), 235 (+8.04), 267 (+27.67), 324 (-11.74) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 263.1644 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 263.1642).

#### 3.5. Antibacterial Assays

Antibacterial evaluation against human pathogenic bacteria (*Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) and fish pathogenic bacteria (*Aeromonas hydrophila, Edwardsiella ictaluri, E. tarda, Micrococcus luteus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Vibrio alginolyticus, V. anguillarum, V. harveyi, V. parahemolyticus, and V. vulnificus*) was carried out by the microplate assay with a microplate assay with three repetitions [22]. The bacteria including *A. hydrophila, E. ictaluri, E. coli, E. tarda, M. luteus, S. aureus, V. harveyi,* and *V. parahemolyticus* were incubated in LB medium (1% peptone, 1% NaCl, 0.5% yeast extract powder in distilled water), while the rest of the bacteria in assay were incubated in TSB medium (1.5% tryptone, 0.5% soytone, 0.5% NaCl in distilled water). The pathogenic bacteria and fish pathogenic strains were provided by the Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Chloramphenicol was used as positive control against bacteria.

#### 3.6. Computational Section

Conformational searches were performed via molecular mechanics using the MM+ method in HyperChem software (Version 8.0, Hypercube, Inc., Gainesville, FL, USA), and the geometries were further optimized at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level via Gaussian 09 software (Version D.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA) [15] to give the energy-minimized conformers. After that, the optimized conformers were subjected to the calculations of ECD spectra using TDDFT at B3LYP/6-31G level. Solvent effects of the MeCN solution were evaluated at the same DFT (density functional theory) level using the SCRF/PCM (polarizable continuum model) method.

### 4. Conclusions

In summary, chemical investigations of the deep sea-derived fungus *P. cyclopium* SD-413 provided two new polyketide derivatives, 9-dehydroxysargassopenilline A (**4**) and 1,2-didehydropeaurantiogriseol E (**5**), along with seven known related secondary metabolites (**1–3** and **6–9**). The structures of these compounds were elucidated on the basis of NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric analysis and the absolute configurations of compounds **4** and **5** were established by the TDDFT-ECD calculation. Compounds **1–5** exhibited inhibitory activities against some tested human and fish pathogenic bacteria, with MIC values ranging from 4.0 to 32  $\mu$ g/mL. These compounds might be used as leading compounds for the development of agents against the pathogenic bacteria.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1660-3397/18/11/553/s1. Figure S1: HRESIMS spectrum of compound **1**. Figure S2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) spectrum of compound **1**. Figure S3: <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) and DEPT spectra of compound **1**. Figure S4: COSY spectrum of compound **1**. Figure S5: HSQC spectrum of compound **1**. Figure S6: HMBC spectrum of compound **1**. Figure S7: NOESY spectrum of compound **1**. Figure S8: HRESIMS spectrum of compound **2**. Figure S9: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) spectrum of compound **2**. Figure S10: <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) and DEPT spectra of compound **2**. Figure S11: NOESY spectrum of compound **2**. Table S1: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of compounds **1** and **2**. Figure S12: HRESIMS spectrum of compound **4**. Figure S13: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) spectrum of compound **4**. Figure S14: <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) and DEPT spectra of compound **4**. Figure S16: HSQC spectrum of compound **4**. Figure S17: HMBC spectrum of compound **4**. Figure S18: NOESY spectrum of compound **4**. Figure S19: HRESIMS spectrum of compound **4**. Figure S18: NOESY spectrum of compound **5**. Figure S21: <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) and DEPT spectra of compound **5**. Figure S22: COSY spectrum of compound **5**. Figure S23: HSQC spectrum of compound **5**. Figure S24: HMBC spectrum of compound **5**. Figure S25: NOESY spectrum of compound **5**.

**Author Contributions:** Y.-H.L. performed the experiments for the isolation, structure elucidation, antibacterial evaluation, and prepared the manuscript; X.-M.L. performed the 1D and 2D NMR experiments; X.L. performed the ECD calculations, S.-Q.Y. and X.-S.S. participated in the structure elucidation; H.-L.L. jointly contributed to supervise the research and revised the manuscript; B.-G.W. supervised the research work and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research work was financially supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA22050401), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31700043), and the Aoshan Scientific and Technological Innovation Project of Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (No. 2016ASKJ14). B.-G.W. acknowledges the support of the Research Vessel KEXUE of the National Major Science and Technology Infrastructure from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KEXUE2018G28) and the Taishan Scholar Project from Shandong Province. H.-L.L. appreciates the support of the Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (OF2019NO03).

Acknowledgments: The authors appreciate the High Performance Computing Environment Qingdao Branch of Chinese Academy of Science (CAS)—High Performance Computing Center of Institute of Oceanology of CAS for CPU time. H.-L.L. acknowledges the Young Scientists Partner Program of Shenyang Branch of Chinese Academy of Sciences-Shandong Academy of Sciences for project supporting.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- Carroll, A.R.; Copp, B.R.; Davis, R.A.; Keyzers, R.A.; Prinsep, M.R. Marine natural products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2020, 37, 175–223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Daletos, G.; Ebrahim, W.; Ancheeva, E.; El-Neketi, M.; Song, W.G.; Lin, W.H.; Proksch, P. Natural products from deep-sea-derived fungi-A new source of novel bioactive compounds? *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2018, 25, 186–207. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, S.C.; Liu, Z.M.; Tan, H.B.; Chen, Y.C.; Li, S.N.; Li, H.H.; Guo, H.; Zhu, S.; Liu, H.X.; Zhang, W.M. Tersone A–G, new pyridone alkaloids from the deep-sea fungus *Phomopsis tersa*. *Mar. Drugs* 2019, *17*, 394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Jiang, W.; Ye, P.; Chen, C.T.; Wang, K.; Liu, P.; He, S.; Wu, X.; Gan, L.; Ye, Y.; Wu, B. Two novel hepatocellular carcinoma cycle inhibitory cyclodepsipeptides from a hydrothermal vent crab-associated fungus *Aspergillus clavatus* C2WU. *Mar. Drugs* **2013**, *11*, 4761–4772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 5. Yao, Q.; Wang, J.; Zhang, X.; Nong, X.; Xu, X.; Qi, S. Cytotoxic polyketides from the deep-sea-derived fungus *Engyodontium album* DFFSCS021. *Mar. Drugs* **2014**, *12*, 5902–5915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Niu, S.W.; Liu, D.; Shao, Z.Z.; Proksch, P.; Lin, W.H. Eremophilane-type sesquiterpenoids in a deep-sea fungus *Eutypella* sp. activated by chemical epigenetic manipulation. *Tetrahedron* **2018**, *74*, 7310–7325. [CrossRef]
- 7. Li, X.D.; Li, X.M.; Yin, X.L.; Li, X.; Wang, B.G. Antimicrobial sesquiterpenoid derivatives and monoterpenoids from the deep-sea sediment-derived fungus *Aspergillus versicolor* SD-330. *Mar. Drugs* **2019**, *17*, 563. [CrossRef]
- Huang, Z.; Nong, X.; Ren, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhang, X.; Qi, S. Anti-HSV-1, antioxidant and antifouling phenolic compounds from the deep-sea-derived fungus *Aspergillus versicolor* SCSIO 41502. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2017, 27, 787–791. [CrossRef]
- Niu, S.; Si, L.; Liu, D.; Zhou, A.; Zhang, Z.; Shao, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, D.; Lin, W. Spiromastilactones: A new class of influenza virus inhibitors from deep-sea fungus. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2016, 108, 229–244. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.D.; Li, X.; Li, X.M.; Xu, G.M.; Liu, Y.; Wang, B.G. 20-Nor-isopimarane epimers produced by *Aspergillus wentii* SD-310, a fungal strain obtained from deep sea sediment. *Mar. Drugs* 2018, 16, 440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Chi, L.P.; Li, X.M.; Li, L.; Li, X.; Wang, B.G. New antibacterial thiodiketopiperazines from the deep sea-derived fungus *Epicoccum nigrum* SD-388. *Chem. Biodiver.* **2020**, *17*, e2000320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Li, X.L.; Chi, L.P.; Navarro-Vázquez, A.; Hwang, S.; Schmieder, P.; Li, X.M.; Li, X.; Yang, S.Q.; Lei, X.X.; Wang, B.G.; et al. Stereochemical elucidation of natural products from residual chemical shift anisotropies in a liquid crystalline phase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 2301–2309. [CrossRef]
- Guo, X.C.; Zhang, Y.H.; Gao, W.B.; Pan, L.; Zhu, H.J.; Cao, F. Absolute configurations and chitinase inhibitions of quinazoline-containing diketopiperazines from the marine-derived fungus *Penicillium polonicum*. *Mar. Drugs* 2020, *18*, 479. [CrossRef]
- Zhuravleva, O.I.; Sobolevskaya, M.P.; Afiyatullov, S.S.; Kirichuk, N.N.; Denisenko, V.A.; Dmitrenok, P.S.; Yurchenko, E.A.; Dyshlovoy, S.A. Sargassopenillines A–G, 6,6-spiroketals from the alga-derived fungi *Penicillium thomii* and *Penicillium lividum. Mar. Drugs* 2014, 12, 5930–5943. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; et al. *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2013.
- 16. Ma, Y.H.; Li, J.; Huang, M.X.; Liu, L.; Wang, J.; Lin, Y.C. Six new polyketide decalin compounds from mangrove endophytic fungus *Penicillium aurantiogriseum* 328#. *Mar. Drugs* 2015, *13*, 6306–6318. [PubMed]
- Xin, Z.H.; Fang, Y.C.; Du, L.; Zhu, T.J.; Duan, L.; Chen, J.; Gu, Q.Q.; Zhu, W.M. Aurantiomides A–C, quinazoline alkaloids from the sponge-derived fungus *Penicillium aurantiogriseum* SP0-19. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2007, 70, 853–855. [CrossRef]
- 18. Guo, H.; Feng, T.; Li, Z.H.; Liu, J.K. Five new polyketides from the basidiomycete *Craterellus odoratus*. *Nat. Prod. Bioprospect.* **2012**, *2*, 170–173. [CrossRef]
- 19. Tsukamoto, S.; Miura, S.; Yamashita, Y.; Ohta, T. Aspermytin A: A new neurotrophic polyketide isolated from a marine-derived fungus of the genus *Aspergillus*. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 417–420. [CrossRef]
- 20. Fujii, Y.; Asahara, M.; Ichinoe, M.; Nakajima, H. Fungal melanin inhibitor and related compounds from *Penicillium decumbens. Phytochemistry* **2002**, *60*, 703–708. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wang, S.; Li, X.M.; Teuscher, F.; Li, D.L.; Diesel, A.; Ebel, R.; Proksch, P.; Wang, B.G. Chaetopyranin, a benzaldehyde derivative, and other related metabolites from *Chaetomium globosum*, an endophytic fungus derived from the marine red alga *Polysiphonia urceolata*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, *69*, 1622–1625. [CrossRef]
- Song, F.; Liu, X.; Guo, H.; Ren, B.; Chen, C.; Piggott, A.M.; Yu, K.; Gao, H.; Wang, Q.; Liu, M.; et al. Brevianamides with antitubercular potential from a marine-derived isolate of *Aspergillus versicolor*. *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 4770–4773. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).