## OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of
Environmental Research and
Public Health
ISSN 1660-4601
www.mdpi.com/journal/ijerph

## Correction

## Correction: Jia, C. and Batterman, S. A Critical Review of Naphthalene Sources and Exposures Relevant to Indoor and Outdoor Air. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2010, 7, 2903-2939

## Chunrong Jia 1 and Stuart Batterman 2,\*

- School of Public Health, University of Memphis, 121 Browning Hall, Memphis, TN 38152 USA; E-Mail: cjia@memphis.edu
- Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan, 1420 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029 USA
- \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: stuartb@umich.edu; Tel.: +1-734-763-2417; Fax: +1-734-936-7283.

Received: 23 July 2011 / Published: 28 July 2011

The authors would like to make the following corrections to their published paper:

- 1 Table 1, p. 2906: units for all of the occupational standards (*i.e.*, units in the last three rows) should be in  $mg/m^3$ ; not in  $\mu g/m^3$ .
- 2 Table 2, p. 2909: units for reference [60] should be in  $\mu g/(m^2 h)$ ; not in  $g/(m^2 h)$ .
- 3 Paragraph 4, lines 3 and 4, p. 2911: For the following sentence citing reference [60], units should be in  $\mu g/(m^2 h)$ ; not in  $mg/(m^2 h)$ .

On an area basis, caulking has the highest emission rate, 310  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, among materials tested, followed by carpet pads (installed underneath carpets), 2.1 to 9.9  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. Emission rates fell below 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for other materials tested, which included solid and engineered materials and flooring materials.

We apologize for any inconvenience caused to the readers.

© 2011 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).