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#### Abstract

For the Taiwan Power Company (Taipower), the margins of coordination times between the lateral circuit breakers (LCB) of underground 4-way automatic line switches and the protection equipment of high voltage customers are often too small. This could lead to sympathy tripping by the feeder circuit breaker (FCB) of the distribution feeder and create difficulties in protection coordination between upstream and downstream protection equipment, identification of faults, and restoration operations. In order to solve the problem, it is necessary to reexamine the protection coordination between LCBs and high voltage customers' protection equipment, and between LCBs and FCBs, in order to bring forth new proposals for settings and operations. This paper applies linear programming to optimize the protection coordination of protection devices, and proposes new time current curves (TCCs) for the overcurrent (CO) and low-energy overcurrent (LCO) relays used in normally open distribution systems by performing simulations in the Electrical Transient Analyzer Program (ETAP) environment. The simulation results show that the new TCCs solve the coordination problems among high voltage customer, lateral, feeder, bus-interconnection, and distribution transformer. The new proposals also satisfy the requirements of Taipower on protection coordination of the distribution feeder automation system (DFAS). Finally, the authors believe that the system configuration, operation experience, and relevant criteria mentioned in this paper may serve as valuable references for other companies or utilities when building DFAS of their own.
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## Nomenclature

CBL: Circuit Breaker
CO: Overcurrent
CTI: Coordination Time Interval
D/S: Distribution Substation
DFAS: Distribution Feeder Automation System
E.I.: Extremely Inverse

ETAP: Electrical Transient Analyzer Program
FCB: Feeder Circuit Breaker
FRTU: Feeder Remote Terminal Unit
FTU: Feeder Terminal Unit
LBS: Load Breaker Switch
LCB: Lateral Circuit Breaker
LCO: Low-energy Overcurrent
M.I.: Moderately Inverse
N.I.: Normal Inverse

S/S: Secondary Substation
Taipower: Taiwan Power Company
TCC: Time Current Curve
TDS: Time Dial Setting
V.I.: Very Inverse

## 1. Introduction

Power companies must continually improve the reliability of power supply and customer satisfaction through the enhancement of service quality. To these ends, the Taiwan Power Company (Taipower) has focused on the distribution feeder automation system (DFAS). The DFAS integrates computers, communications, and control technology into distribution systems. It is composed of control center facilities, feeder remote terminal units (FRTUs), feeder terminal units (FTUs), overhead/underground automatic line switches, and communication systems. Automatic line switches are being installed in the DFAS to replace traditional ones. Under normal conditions, the voltage and current information of the automatic line switches are collected and sent to the control center. When a fault occurs, the automatic line switches will isolate the fault and restore service functions as commanded by the control center, thus effectively improving the power quality.

Few papers focus only on the application of automatic line switches in DFAS, but no attempts have been made to address the coordination issues involved. To improve the cost effectiveness of the distribution automation system, Chen et al. proposed an immune algorithm to determine the optimal
location of automatic line switches [1]. Ho et al. examined the application of an immune algorithm to determine the optimal location of fault indicators in distribution systems. The fault indicators are then coordinated with line switches after they are installed at their optimal locations [2]. Chen et al. use artificial neural network to derive the unit commitment of automatic line switches for distribution automation systems [3]. There are some other researches on DFAS. Seol et al. [4] developed a protection coordination program for a distribution automation system for the Korea Electric Power Corporation. This program provides optimal operation in the distribution network, and can examine the validity of the settings of protection facilities by comparing them to the time current curves (TCCs). An efficient and reliable method for fault isolation and service restoration through shifting of the feeder tie switches in ungrounded systems has also been proposed [5]. Lin et al. developed a multiagent-based distribution automation system for the restoration of service in distribution systems following a fault. Typical distribution system configurations employed by Taipower were also used in this study [6]. The models and techniques needed to evaluate the reliability indices are described in [7]. Analyses of reliability have shown that DFAS is an effective approach to improve service quality. Dong et al. proposed a novel feeder automation system which is composed of a circuit breaker, protection and control unit, and backup source automation control. The system has the ability to isolate faulty segments quickly and selectively, and to automatically restore power [8]. A new methodology for determining the optimal level of investment in distribution systems automation has been proposed [9]. Automation remote-controlled circuit breakers, remote-controlled switches, remote-monitored fault detectors, autosectionalizers, and reclosers are adopted in the literature for optimal automation level of medium voltage distribution networks.

This study investigates the coordination time intervals (CTIs) among the protection devices of the duty point of high voltage customers, automatic line switches lateral protection relays, feeder overcurrent protection relays, bus-interconnection overcurrent protection relays, and distribution transformer overcurrent protection relays, so that the entire protection scheme of the distribution systems can be formulated, particularly for the two-level protection scheme below the feeder circuit breaker (FCB).

The software used to produce the simulation results is the Electrical Transient Analyzer Program (ETAP), which is widely recognized and currently in use worldwide for power system analysis. ETAP offers a suite of fully integrated electrical engineering software solutions that include load flow, short circuit, transient stability, relay coordination, optimal power flow, and arc flash.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 3 describes the design criteria of the current distribution systems employed by Taipower, for example, Distribution Substation and Secondary Substation Distribution Transformer Feeder Protective Relay Setting Criteria. Section 4 reviews existing regulations and proposes a new one regarding the relay settings of the underground 4 -way automatic line switch. Linear programming is adopted in Section 5 to solve the problem of coordination optimization among protection equipments. In Section 6, the current status of distribution feeder protection coordination is then examined, including a thorough description of the optimal setting for the overcurrent (CO) and low-energy overcurrent (LCO) relays used in distribution substations and secondary substations in the distribution systems. Finally, the results are discussed and the conclusions are summarized in Section 7.

## 2. Design Criteria of Current Distribution Systems Employed by Taipower for Protection Coordination Requirements

Most of the faults on the Taipower power grid are detected via the application of CO relays, LCO relays, or reclosers because the fault current is normally higher than the load current [10]. The Taipower Distribution Transformer Feeder Protection Relay Setting Criteria for distribution substations and secondary substations are shown in Tables 1 and 2. As can be seen in the Tables, the operation times of the relays are based on an 8 kA fault current; in the Taipower distribution systems, under normal conditions, the fault current at a feeder outlet seldom exceeds 8 kA . In addition, Taipower protection relay engineers adopt these criteria to review/examine the protection coordination with the protection devices of the customer.

Table 1. Distribution substation distribution transformer feeder protective relay setting criteria.

| Range of protection | Relay type | Operation Time | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary | CO, LCO | Below 20 cycles |  |
| 23.9 kV or 11.95 kV FCB |  |  |  |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary | CO, LCO | Below 35 cycles |  |
| 23.9 kV or 11.95 kV Tie CB |  | CO: Below 50 cycles | Enable LCO for IED |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary | CO, LCO | LCO: Below 50 cycles | or E/M type relay |
| 23.9 kV or 11.95 kV Main CB |  | CO: Below 65 cycles |  |
| Distribution Transformer Primary | CO, LCO | LCO: Below 10 cycles |  |
| 161 kV Main CB |  |  |  |

The operation time of 51 Z relay (in Figure 4 ) is 65 cycles.
Table 2. Secondary substation distribution transformer feeder protective relay setting criteria.

| Range of protection | Relay type | Operation Time | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary | CO, LCO | Below 15 cycles |  |
| 23.9 kV or 11.95 kV FCB |  |  |  |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary | CO, LCO | Below 25 cycles |  |
| 23.9 kV or 11.95 kV Tie CB |  | CO: Below 35 cycles | Enable LCO for IED |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary | CO, LCO | LCO: Below 35 cycles | or E/M type relay |
| 23.9 kV or 11.95 kV Main CB |  | CO: Below 42 cycles |  |
| Distribution Transformer Primary | CO, LCO | LCO: Below 10 cycles |  |
| 69 kV Main CB |  |  |  |

The operation time of 51 Z relay (in Figure 4) is 55 cycles.

When a fault occurs on the load side of downstream primary protection devices, the devices should issue a fault signal to remove the fault and make it unnecessary for the upstream backup protection devices to become active. The backup protection must have a higher rating and must be properly coordinated with the primary protection to ensure proper operation.

If a fault (a three-phase short circuit or a single-line-to-ground fault) occurs in the outgoing section at the duty point, the upstream protection relay (CO or LCO) of a lateral should provide backup protection for the primary protection devices or power fuse. If a fault takes place in the outgoing section of a lateral, the upstream protection relay (CO or LCO) of the feeder should act as a
coordination pair with the protection relay of a lateral. If a fault takes place in the outgoing section of a feeder, the upstream protection relay of a bus-interconnection should provide backup protection for the primary relay of the feeder. If a fault takes place in the outgoing section of a feeder, the upstream protection relay of the secondary of the distribution transformer should provide backup protection for the primary relay of the bus-interconnection. The $\Delta-Y$ distribution transformer with grounded neutral causes the primary side and secondary side to be isolated from each other by a zero-sequence network, so it is not necessary to coordinate between the LCO relay of the primary side and the ground relay 51 Z of the secondary side.

One important coordination regulation states that the maximum clearing time of the primary power fuse should not exceed $75 \%$ of the minimum melting time of the backup power fuse. This guarantees that the primary power fuse will operate fast enough to prevent damage of the backup power fuse due to partial melting. CO relays are substituted for backup power fuses, and the CTI between the maximum clearing time of the primary power fuse and the operating time of the backup CO relays should be at least 0.3 s . CO relays are substituted for primary power fuses, and the CTI between the operating time of the primary CO relays and the minimum melting time of the backup power fuse should be at least 0.3 s .

The following passage appears in the Taipower Electrical Code for Switches or Protection Devices at the Duty Point for High Voltage Customers who Select Criteria V. Regarding Protection Equipment Operation Time Intervals (II): For a customer whose primary protection is based on fuse protection, Taipower should install a disconnected switch at the duty point, and the customer should use Taipower's feeder primary protective relay as its backup protection. The time difference between the feeder primary protective relay operation time and the customer's primary protective fuse maximum clearing time should be at least 0.3 s . However, the time difference may be reduced to 0.1 s if the coordination time margin is less than or equal to 1.0 s .

The following passage appears in Taipower Electrical Code for Switches or Protection Devices at the Duty Point for High Voltage Customers who Select Criteria II. For Underground Distribution Systems:

1. For the current-limiting fuse or power fuse used for primary protection, the criterion of the fuse's current rating is 1.3 times the customer's load current. If fuses of the proper rating are not available, a load break switch (LBS) or something similar should be installed at the duty point to provide isolation.
2. For load currents between 50 A and 150 A , depending on future demand of the DFAS, a 200 A underground 4-way automatic line switch should be installed.
3. For load currents between 151 A and 300 A , depending on future demand of the DFAS, a 600 A underground 4 -way automatic line switch should be installed.

Based on the above, if a high voltage customer's load current is below 30 A and the NX-40 current limit fuse is used for primary protection, an underground 4-way automatic line switch is used for backup protection. If the load current is between 30 and 50 A and an S\&C PF-65E power fuse may be used for primary protection, an underground 4 -way automatic line switch may be used for backup protection. If the load current is between 50 and 150 A and a 200 A underground 4-way automatic line switch is used for primary protection, a Taipower feeder protection relay may be used for backup protection. If the load current is between 151 and 300 A and a 600 A underground 4 -way automatic
line switch is used for primary protection, a Taipower feeder protection relay may be used for backup protection. If the maximum clearing time of the fuse is less than 1 s , the CTI between the fuse and the 4 -way automatic line switch should be 0.1 s .

For the transformer 51Z neutral relay, the transformer LCO ground relay, and the feeder LCO ground relay, the suggestions of Taipower engineers are as follows:

1. 51Z: IEC Normal Inverse.
2. Transformer LCO ground relay: ANSI/ IEEE Very Inverse.
3. Feeder LCO ground relay: ANSI/IEEE Extremely Inverse.

## 3. Underground 4-way Automatic Line Switch

The underground 4-way automatic line switches used in the DFAS employed by Taipower are depicted in Figure 1. There are two LBS-type main feeder switches and two CB-type lateral switches in the underground 4 -way automatic line switch. The voltage and current ratings of the main feeder switches are 24 kV and 600 A , respectively; the ratings of the lateral switches are 24 kV and 200 A or 600 A . For automation purpose, the CB-type lateral switches are gradually replacing the original power fuse PF-125E and function as lateral circuit breakers (LCBs). The CBs in the automatic line switch must be comprised of CO and LCO relays, and the included current transformers supervise the load current and fault current that passes through the CB. If a three-phase short circuit or single-phase ground fault takes place in the outgoing of a lateral, proper settings of the CO or LCO relay can isolate the fault via the trip action of the CB , and the protection relay of the feeder will not be activated.

Figure 1. One-line diagram of underground 4-way automatic line switch.


LBS: Load Break Switch
LCB: Lateral Circuit Breaker

Four brands (FORTUNE, TATUNG, SCHNEIDER, and GOODWELL) of underground 4-way automatic line switches are currently used in the Taipower distribution systems. The Taipower Underground 4-Way Automatic Line Switch Material Requirement provides standard time current curve window (TCC Window) characteristics for relay operation of the automatic line switch, as shown in Figure 2. The terms "TCC WINDOW, UPPER" and "TCC WINDOW, LOWER" denote the upper and lower boundaries of the TCC Window.

This study seeks a substitute that has suitable curves in the TCC Window for the 4-way automatic line switch. To this end, we introduce the TATUNG ETR102 and SCHNEIDER VIP300 underground 4-way automatic line switches and compare their performance with the proposed time current curves. This study proposes four new TCCs for the CO and LCO relays of the 200 A and 600 A underground 4-way automatic line switch.

Figure 2. ETR102, VIP300, and TCC Window time current characteristic curves.


The typical characteristics of electromechanical CO relays for a family of similar relays from different manufacturers do not completely overlap one another. This increases the difficulty of their coordination. The ANSI and IEC committees have established unified formulae for CO relays, which relay manufacturers can now follow to facilitate better coordination results. This study uses ANSI/IEEE committee standard IEEE C37.112 for the selection of relay curves. The proposed parameters and the curve shapes of the 4-way automatic line switches are given in Table 3 and Figure 3. With regard to the aim of coordination, Figure 3 shows that the curve "Auto Line SW CO (200 A)" is inside of the TCC Window region, while the curve "Auto Line SW CO (600 A)" is outside the TCC Window region. These curves also include "instantaneous trip" parts.

Table 3. Proposed automatic line switch CO and LCO relays characteristic curve parameters.

| Automatic line switch | Time-current curve | Pick-up current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CO (200A) | IEEE C37.112, E.I., CT 1000 $/ 1, \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=0.17 / 0.5$, <br> Inst. $=3.2$, Delay: 0.05 s | 170 A |
| CO (600A) | IEEE C37.112, E.I., CT 1000/1, T/L $=0.38 / 0.5$, <br> Inst. $=3.2$, Delay: 0.05 s | 380 A |
| LCO (200A) | IEEE C37.112, E.I., CT $1000 / 1, \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=0.15 / 0.5$, <br> Inst. $=3.0$, Delay: 0.05 s | 150 A |
| LCO (600A) | IEEE C37.112, E.I., CT 1000/1, T/L $=0.24 / 0.5$, | 240 A |

Figure 3. Proposed automatic line switch CO and LCO relays time current characteristic curves.


The feasibility of these curves has been demonstrated for the settings of the realized relays, which resolves the miscoordination problem for relay setting. In this study, the proposal of new curves for the 200 A and 600 A automatic line switches addresses their miscoordination with the PF-65E when the fault current exceeds approximately 2 kA and 3.2 kA respectively, and the others satisfy the CTI requirements. The curves of the line switches ETR102 600 A and ETR102 200A are inside the TCC Window area, but the CTI between ETR102 600A and PF-65E is not sufficient when the fault current exceeds approximately 1.2 kA . The proposed new curve "Auto Line SW CO ( 600 A )" increases the amount of the fault current that can be managed and reduces the region of miscoordination. The LCO relay of the automatic line switch has not yet been installed in the Taipower distribution systems. This study suggests the setting parameters and reviews the problem of coordination.

## 4. Linear Programming for Coordination Optimization Problem

This study adopted linear programming [11] for CO/LCO relays coordination optimization. The nonlinear relay characteristics function as shown in APPENDIX A.1 Overcurrent relay Equation (A.1), one variable $T D S$ is optimized assuming that the $I_{\text {pickup }}$ is predefined for each CO/LCO relay, and this optimization problem can be viewed as a linear programming problem. The optimization objective function can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \mathrm{Obj}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of primary relays responding for near-end fault. The variables $t_{i}$ indicates the operating time of primary relays for near-end fault.

The coordination constraints between the primary and the backup relays are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\text {backup }}-t_{\text {primary }} \geq \mathrm{CTI} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{\text {backup }}$ and $t_{\text {primary }}$ reveal the operation time of backup relay and primary relay, respectively. CTI is the minimum coordination time interval; its value ranges from 0.2 to 0.5 s normally. In this study, the value of CTI was chosen depend upon Feeder Protection Relay Setting Criteria.

## 5. Current Status and Review of Distribution Feeder Protection Coordination

There are two types of substations in the Taipower power system that can be classified according to their voltage level: $161 / 22.8 / 11.4 \mathrm{kV}$ corresponds to a distribution substation (D/S) and $69 / 22.8 / 11.4 \mathrm{kV}$ corresponds to a secondary substation $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S})$. The main difference between a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$ and an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$ is the primary voltage level of the distribution transformer.

To achieve optimal protection coordination, we will review the issue of CO and LCO relay coordination in the Taipower Minsheng D/S and Fuyuan S/S radial open-loop distribution systems, and will then offer appropriate suggestions. Our discussion includes duty point protection (switches or protective equipment) for high voltage customers, lateral protection (underground 4 -way automatic line switch/LCB), feeder protection (FCB), bus-interconnection protection (Tie CB), and the secondary of distribution transformer protection (Main CB). Taipower's radial open-loop distribution systems protection coordination scheme is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. TPC radial distribution systems protection coordination scheme.


### 5.1. Minsheng Distribution Substation

The Minsheng D/S is composed of sub-transmission lines, three distribution transformers, six static capacitances, four Main CBs, two Tie CBs, and eighteen FCBs. It also includes 161 kV bus bar and three 22.8 kV bus bars. A one-line diagram of the Minsheng D/S is presented in Figure 5.

Figure 5. One-line diagram of Minsheng D/S.


Using the coordination curve graphical capability of the commercial software package ETAP PowerPlot, the coordination problem can be completely eliminated. The original and proposed time current curves of the CO relays (Main CB, Tie CB and FCB) of the Minsheng D/S, the 4-way automatic line switches on the lateral line, and the high voltage customers' duty point protective device PF-65E, obtained using the PowerPlot program are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. The details of the original and proposed CO relays are given in Table 4.

Figure 6. Original CO relays of Minsheng D/S.


Figure 7. Proposed CO relays of Minsheng D/S.


Figures 6 and 7 show that the FCB, the original automatic line switches ( $200 \mathrm{~A}, 600 \mathrm{~A}$ ), and the proposed line switches are in coordination with each other for a CTI that exceeds 0.3 s , when the fault current exceeds 600 A . When the fault current exceed 2 kA , all the original and proposed automatic line switches are in miscoordination with the PF-65E for a CTI that is less than 0.1 s (except for the new 600 A automatic line switch), while the rest are in coordination at less than 2 kA .

For a fault current of 8 kA , the operation time of the relays of the Main CB, Tie CB, and FCB are given in Table 4. In the Minsheng $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$, the operation time of the original and the proposed protective devices satisfy the setting criteria and CTI requirements. The proposed IEEE standard curves can serve as references for relay manufacturers in their selection of curve types.

Table 4. Original and proposed CO relays of Minsheng D/S.

| Range of <br> Protection | Original <br> Protection Type | Original Operation Time | Proposal <br> Protection Type | Proposal Operation Time | Setting <br> Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Voltage Customer's Duty Point | PF-65E | 0.023 s | PF-65E | 0.023 s | N.A. |
| Lateral underground 4 -way automatic line switch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ETR102 (600 A) } \\ & \text { VIP300 (600 A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.028 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 0.033 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | IEEE C37.112 E.I. <br> CT 1000/1 <br> $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=0.38 / 0.5$ <br> Inst. $=3.2$ <br> Delay: 0.05 s | 0.05 s | N.A. |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV FCB | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT } 600 / 5 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=5 / 4.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.308 \mathrm{~s} \\ (18.5 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I. } \\ \text { CT 600/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=5.0 / 1.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0286 \mathrm{~s} \\ (17.2 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below <br> 20 cycles |
| Distribution <br> Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV Tie CB | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=5 / 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.578 \mathrm{~s} \\ (34.7 \mathrm{cycles}) \end{gathered}$ | IEEE C37.112 E.I <br> CT 2000/5 $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=5.0 / 0.28$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \mathrm{~s} \\ (33.6 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below <br> 35 cycles |
| Distribution <br> Transformer Secondary $23.9 \mathrm{kV} \text { Main CB }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=5 / 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.827 \mathrm{~s} \\ (49.6 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | IEEE C37.112 E.I. <br> CT 2000/5 $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=5.0 / 0.41$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.82 \mathrm{~s} \\ (49.2 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below <br> 50 cycles |

As shown in Figure 8, the pick-up currents of the FCB LCO relay and the automatic line switches VIP300 600A, and ETR102 600A are $240 \mathrm{~A}, 375 \mathrm{~A}$, and 370 A , respectively. Let us consider a line-to-ground fault that takes place in a lateral line. If we assume that value of the fault current is between 240 A and 370 A, the FCB LCO relay will become active before the automatic line switches issue a fault signal, and thus, the outage region will be enlarged. It would then be necessary to activate the automatic line switch LCO relay function.

When the fault current exceeds 1.6 kA , the proposed automatic line switch LCO relay (200A) is in miscoordination with the PF-65E for a CTI that is less than 0.1 s , as shown in Figure 9. The proposed automatic line switch LCO relay (600A) is in miscoordination with the PF-65E for fault current that exceeds 3 kA . All the proposed LCO relays for automatic line switches, FCBs, Tie CBs, Main CBs, and 51 Z (E.I.) are in coordination with each other, except for 51 Z (N.I.); therefore, the 51 Z (N.I.) is not recommended. The details of the setting parameters of the LCO and 51Z overcurrent relays for the Minsheng D/S are listed in Table 5.

### 5.2. Fuyuan Secondary Substation

The Fuyuan $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$ is composed of its sub-transmission lines, two distribution transformers, three static capacitances, two Main CBs, one Tie CB, and six FCBs. It also includes two 69 kV bus bars and two 22.8 kV bus bars.

Figure 8. Original LCO relays of Minsheng D/S.


Figure 9. Proposed LCO relays of Minsheng D/S.
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Table 5. Original and proposed LCO relays of Minsheng D/S.

| Range of Protection | Original <br> Protection Type | Original Operation Time | Proposal <br> Protection Type | Proposal Operation Time | Setting <br> Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Voltage Customer's Duty Point | PF-65E | 0.023 s | PF-65E | 0.023 s | N.A. |
| Lateral underground 4-way automatic line switch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ETR102 (600 A) } \\ & \text { VIP300 (600 A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.028 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 0.033 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | IEEE C37.112 E.I. <br> CT 1000/1 $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=0.24 / 0.5 \\ \text { Inst. }=3.0 \\ \text { Delay: } 0.05 \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 s | N.A. |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV FCB | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT } 600 / 5 \\ \text { T/L }=2 / 7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.311 \mathrm{~s} \\ (18.7 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I. } \\ \text { CT } 600 / 5 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=2.0 / 1.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.279 \mathrm{~s} \\ (16.7 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below 20 cycles |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV Tie CB | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=1.5 / 8.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.582 \mathrm{~s} \\ (34.9 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 V.I } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=1.5 / 0.88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.515 \mathrm{~s} \\ (30.9 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below 35 cycles |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV Main CB | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=1.5 / 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.821 \mathrm{~s} \\ (49.2 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 V.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=1.5 / 1.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.791 \mathrm{~s} \\ (47.5 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below <br> 50 cycles |
| 51Z | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SEL 351A U4-E.I. } \\ \text { CT 1000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=2.5 / 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.636 \mathrm{~s} \\ (38.2 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEC N.I. } \\ \text { CT 1000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=4.0 / 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.782 \mathrm{~s} \\ (106.9 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below 65 cycles |

A one-line diagram of the Fuyuan $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$ is presented in Figure 10.
Figure 10. One-line diagram of Fuyuan $S / S$.


In the Fuyuan $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$, the operation time of the CO relays of the FCB is 0.085 s ( 5.1 cycles) when the fault current is 8 kA as shown in Figure 11 and Table 6. Although this operation time satisfies the regulation requirement, the CTI is not sufficient in the FCB , the automatic line switch, and the PF-65E.

The proposed of IEEE C37.112 type protective devices, which conform to the regulations, are also given in Table 6.

Figure 11. Original CO relays of Fuyuan $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$.


Table 6. Original and proposed CO relays of Fuyuan S/S.

| Range of Protection | Original <br> Protection Type | Original Operation Time | Proposal <br> Protection Type | Proposal Operation Time | Setting <br> Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Voltage Customer's Duty Point | PF-65E | 0.023 s | PF-65E | 0.023 s | N.A. |
| Lateral underground <br> 4-way automatic line switch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ETR102 (600 A) } \\ & \text { VIP300 (600 A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.028 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 0.033 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I. } \\ \text { CT } 1000 / 1 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=0.38 / 0.5 \\ \text { Inst. }=3.2 \\ \text { Delay: } 0.05 \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 s | N.A. |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV FCB | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Siemens 7SJ62 } \\ \text { E.I. ANSI } \\ \text { CT } 600 / 5 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=5 / 1.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.085 \mathrm{~s} \\ (5.1 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I. } \\ \text { CT } 600 / 5 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=5.0 / 0.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.229 \mathrm{~s} \\ (13.7 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below 15 cycles |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV Tie CB | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Siemens 7SJ62 } \\ \text { E.I. ANSI } \\ \text { CT } 2000 / 5 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=2 / 3.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.244 \mathrm{~s} \\ (14.6 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=2.0 / 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.407 \mathrm{~s} \\ (24.4 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below 25 cycles |
| Distribution <br> Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV Main CB | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Siemens 7SJ62 } \\ \text { E.I. ANSI } \\ \text { CT } 2000 / 5 \\ \text { T/L }=2 / 5.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.407 \mathrm{~s} \\ (24.4 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=2.0 / 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.569 \mathrm{~s} \\ (34.1 \mathrm{cycles}) \end{gathered}$ | Below 35 cycles |

The TCCs of the proposed CO relays for an S/S are shown in Figure 12. The proposed line switches "Auto Line SW CO (200 A)" and "Auto Line SW CO (600 A)" can be applied to the D/S or S/S distribution systems.

Let us consider a line-to-ground fault that takes place in a lateral line in the Fuyuan S/S. As shown in Figure 13, assuming that the value of the fault current is between 240 A and 370 A , the LCO relay of the FCB will become active before the automatic line switches issue a fault signal, and thus, the outage region will be enlarged. As in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$ condition, it would be necessary to activate the automatic line switch LCO relay function in the S/S. The "Auto Line SW LCO" is analogous to the "Auto Line SW CO" because it can be used in either the D/S or the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$ distribution systems.

All the operation time settings of the original LCO relays satisfy the requirement of Taipower, but the CTI is not sufficient. The proposed LCO relays among automatic line switches, FCB, Tie CB, Main CB, and the $51 Z$ (E.I.) are in coordination with each other, except for the 51 Z (N.I.) across the main CB; therefore, the 51 Z (N.I.) is not recommended, as shown in Figure 14. In addition, the details of the setting parameters of the LCO and 51 Z relays for the Fuyuan $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$ are given in Table 7. The results of this study show that it is both feasible and practical to apply linear programming to determine the time dial setting (TDS) values of the CO and LCO relays for Main CB, Tie CB, and FCB in $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$.

Figure 12. Proposed CO relays of Fuyuan S/S.


Figure 13. Original LCO relays of Fuyuan S/S.


Figure 14. Proposed LCO relays of Fuyuan S/S.


Table 7. Original and proposed LCO relays of Fuyuan S/S.

| Range of Protection | Original <br> Protection Type | Original Operation Time | Proposal Protection Type | Proposal Operation Time | Setting <br> Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Voltage Customer's Duty Point | PF-65E | 0.023 s | PF-65E | 0.023 s | N.A. |
| Lateral underground 4-way automatic line switch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ETR102 (600 A) } \\ & \text { VIP300 (600 A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.028 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 0.033 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | IEEE C37.112 E.I. <br> CT 1000/1 $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=0.24 / 0.5 \\ \text { Inst. }=3.0 \\ \text { Delay: } 0.05 \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 s | N.A. |
| Distribution Transformer Secondary 23.9 kV FCB | Siemens 7SJ62 E.I. <br> ANSI <br> CT 600/5 <br> $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=2.0 / 4.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.154 \mathrm{~s} \\ (9.24 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 E.I. } \\ \text { CT } 600 / 5 \\ \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}=2.0 / 1.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \mathrm{~s} \\ (15 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | Below <br> 15 cycles |
| Distribution Transformer <br> Secondary 23.9 kV <br> Tie CB | Siemens 7SJ62 E.I. <br> ANSI <br> CT 2000/5 <br> T/L=1.5/3.0 | $\begin{gathered} 0.169 \mathrm{~s} \\ (10.1 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 V.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}=1.5 / 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.365 \mathrm{~s} \\ (21.9 \mathrm{cycles}) \end{gathered}$ | Below 25 cycles |
| Distribution Transformer <br> Secondary 23.9 kV <br> Main CB | N.A. | N.A. | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEEE C37.112 V.I. } \\ \text { CT 2000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=1.5 / 0.88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.535 \mathrm{~s} \\ (32.1 \mathrm{cycles}) \end{gathered}$ | Below 35 cycles |
| 51Z | $\begin{gathered} \text { GE Multilin IFC51 } \\ \text { CT 1000/5 } \\ \text { T/L }=2.0 / 5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.244 \mathrm{~s} \\ (14.6 \text { cycles }) \end{gathered}$ | IEC N.I. <br> CT $1000 / 5$ <br> T/L $=4.0 / 0.3$ <br> IEEE C37.112 E.I. <br> CT $1000 / 5$ <br> T/L $=4.0 / 2.2$ | 0.891 s $(53.5$ cycles) | Below 55 cycles |

## 6. Conclusions

Distribution feeder automation system (DFAS) can improve the operation of distribution system. Power interruption and restoration can be performed remotely without field crew. DFAS can perform rapid fault detection, fault isolation, power restoration in upstream region, and provide alternative power supply suggestion when a fault occurs. The advantages of DFAS include:

1. Less dispatch and traffic, and more safety for the crew personnel.
2. Faster fault identification and isolation, shorter outage time, and smaller region of outage.
3. Longer service time periods of distribution feed lines and equipments.

This study discusses and analyzes the operations of the CO and LCO relays of the lateral circuit breakers (LCB) in an underground 4-way automatic line switch. When a fault occurs in the lateral, the relays should trip CBs, isolate the fault, and keep other customers on the same feeder from outage. To accomplish such goals and to benefit the most from system automation, all the protection equipments in the distribution circuit must be properly coordinated.

Based on Taipower regulations, this study discusses and formulates the problem of coordination of the power fuse PF-65E, automatic line switch, FCB, Tie CB, and Main CB. The simulation results
reported in this paper demonstrate that these new relay setting parameters can be implemented practically and can provide adequate resolution of the coordination problems of a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$ or an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}$ in the distribution systems.

## Appendix

## A.1. Overcurrent Relay

Equation (A.1) defines the operation time of an inverse-time overcurrent curve. By substituting different parameters in this equation, a characteristic curve can be accurately defined. Equation (A.1) is similar to IEC 255-03[1989-05] in addition to the B parameter. The constant B defines the definite time component that results from core saturation of an induction type relay.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(I)=\left(\frac{A}{M^{P}-1}+B\right) \times T D S \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$t(I)$ is the trip time in seconds;
$M$ is the $I_{\text {input }} / I_{\text {pickup }}$ ( $I_{\text {input }}$ is the current passing through the relay, and $I_{\text {pickup }}$ is the relay current set point);
$A, B$, and $P$ are constants and exponents to provide selected curve characteristics; and TDS is the time dial setting.

Table A1 lists three common standard characteristics: Moderately Inverse, Very Inverse, and Extremely Inverse trip characteristics.

The IEC Normal Inverse curve is given by Equation (A.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(I)=\left(\frac{0.14}{M^{0.02}-1}\right) \times T D S \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table A1. Constants and exponents for standard characteristics.

| Characteristics | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moderately Inverse | 0.0515 | 0.114 | 0.02 |
| Very Inverse | 19.61 | 0.491 | 2 |
| Extremely Inverse | 28.2 | 0.1217 | 2 |

## A.2. Power Fuse

The characteristic of a power fuse is represented by the relationship between its melting time and the current passing through it. The meaning of the melting time has not been standardized and may refer to short time, minimum melting time, average melting time, or total clearing time.

The lower edge of the melting time characteristics represents the minimum melting time, and is usually the average melting time minus $10 \%$. The maximum melting time is the average melting time plus $10 \%$. The total clearing time equals the maximum melting time plus the arcing time, which is represented by the upper edge of the melting time characteristics.

When performing protection coordination simulation involving a power fuse, two different equations have to be used because there are two characteristic curves to be considered. However, with two different sets of coefficients, Equation (A.3) is used in this research to simulate both two characteristic curves of a power fuse:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log T=d_{0}+\frac{d_{1}}{(\log I)}+\frac{d_{2}}{(\log I)^{2}}+\frac{d_{3}}{(\log I)^{3}}+\cdots+\frac{d_{6}}{(\log I)^{6}} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$T$ is the fuse melting time;
$I$ is the current passing through the power fuse;
$d_{i}$ is the coefficient of the term $(\log I)^{-i}$, as listed in Table A2 and Table A3.
Table A2. $d_{i}$ Coefficients of S\&C PF-65E and NX40 power fuse minimum melting time-current characteristic curve.

| TYPE | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S\&C PF-65E | 3512.67463700 | -7283.4290310 | 6279.49119600 | -2879.4850460 | 740.422235000 | -101.22028800 | 5.74708700000 |
| NX 40 | 2560.52864500 | -6571.5918580 | 6978.89863600 | -3918.4386520 | 1226.93364900 | -203.31680300 | 13.9428900000 |

Table A3. $d_{i}$ Coefficients of S\&C PF-65E and NX40 power fuse total clearing time-current characteristic curve.

| TYPE | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S\&C PF-65E | 1943.96830000 | -3743.1244560 | 2986.37122900 | -1261.7178240 | 297.420393000 | -37.074778000 | 1.90912600000 |
| NX 40 | -344.87564100 | 996.110588000 | -1139.0946960 | 676.590050000 | -221.68130100 | 38.0363470000 | -2.6716240000 |
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