



## **Supplementary Materials**

# Theoretical Study of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Adsorption Mechanisms on CaO surface

Yaming Fan <sup>1,2,†</sup>, Qiyu Weng <sup>2,3,4,†</sup>, Yuqun Zhuo <sup>2,3,4,\*</sup>, Songtao Dong <sup>1</sup>, Pengbo Hu <sup>2,3,4</sup> and Duanle Li <sup>2,3,4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Research Institute of Petroleum Processing, SINOPEC, Beijing 100083, China; fanymthu@163.com (Y.F.); dongst.ripp@sinopec.com (S.D.)
- <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory for Thermal Science and Power Engineering of the Ministry of Education, Department of Energy and Power Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; wqy17@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (Q.W.); hupb18@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (P.H.); liduanle@163.com (D.L.)
- <sup>3</sup> Tsinghua University-University of Waterloo Joint Research Center for Micro/Nano Energy and Environment Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- <sup>4</sup> Beijing Engineering Research Center for Ecological Restoration and Carbon Fixation of Saline–alkaline and Desert Land, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- \* Correspondence: zhuoyq@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn
- \* These authors contributed equally to this work

### **Optimization of slab model**

CaO (001) is a typical surface in describing CaO [1,2] surface. The surface size (1×1, 2×2, 3×3, 4×4) and layers (2, 3, 4, 5 and 6) of the slab models were optimized to their physical and chemical properties. The physical property included the  $z_{layers}$  (layer thickness) and  $z_{Ca-O}$  (superficial average Ca-O distance). The chemical property included  $E_{ads}$  (adsorption energy of As<sup>0</sup>), which could reflect CaO's property of capturing As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The  $\Delta z_{layers}$ ,  $\Delta z_{Ca-O}$  and  $E_{ads\_mean}$  was defined as follows:

$$\Delta z_{layers} = |z_{layers}_{relaxed} - z_{layers}_{fixed}|$$
(1)

$$\Delta z_{Ca-O} = |z_{Ca-O\_relaxed} - z_{Ca-O\_fixed}|$$
(2)

$$\Delta E_{ads} = |E_{ads} - E_{ads\_mean}| \tag{3}$$

The suffix of 'fixed' represented that the surface layer of slab models was fixed, while 'relaxed' represented the opposite. The suffix of 'mean' represented the mean adsorption energy.

surface size	${\it \Delta}$ Zlayers, Å	$\Delta z$ Ca-O, Å	$\Delta E_{ads}$ , kJ/mol
1×1	7.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>	7.0×10 <sup>-5</sup>	62.2
2×2	7.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>	6.0×10 <sup>-5</sup>	10.3
3×3	7.8×10-2	6.0×10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.5
4×4	7.7×10-2	6.0×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.8

 Table S1: Changes in physical and chemical properties of different surface size.

The *a*×*a*-surface (*a*=1, 2, 3 and 4) slab models (layer is fixed as 4 layers) were constructed and optimized. The changes in physical and chemical properties are shown in Table 2. Among the models, the 3×3-surface model has the second lowest  $\Delta z_{layers}$  (7.8×10<sup>-2</sup> Å), the lowest  $\Delta z_{Ca-O}$  (6.0×10<sup>-5</sup> Å) and the lowest  $\Delta E_{ads}$  (4.5 kJ/mol). The model surface size was therefore optimized as 3×3. The average adsorption energy  $E_{ads\_mean}$  did not include the 1×1-surface model due to the obvious large difference (62.2 kJ/mol).

layers	$\varDelta z$ layers, Å	$\Delta z$ ca-0, Å	$\Delta E_{ads}$ , kJ/mol
2	7.3×10-2	1.0×10-4	1.1
3	7.1×10-2	9.2×10-5	0.7
4	5.0×10-2	9.0×10-5	0.3
5	7.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.9
6	7.3×10-2	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.7

Table S2: Changes in physical and chemical properties of different layers.

The layer thickness was then optimized based on the 3×3-surface size. As shown in Table 3, the 4-layer model has demonstrated acceptable physical and chemistry properties (lowest  $\Delta z_{layers}$ , lowest  $\Delta z_{ca-O}$ , lowest  $\Delta E_{ads}$ ). As the consequent, the 4-layer 3×3-surface slab model was selected to simulate the adsorption of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on CaO surface in this study.



#### Initial adsorbate structures

Figure S1: Initial adsorbate structures.



**Figure S2:** Paths and structures of the physisorption and chemisorption reaction from chemisorption structure 1.

#### **PDOS Analysis**

PDOS (Partial Density of States) was calculated to describe the electronic structure changes of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CaO slab model surface during adsorption. Both the PDOS of physisorption structures and chemisorption structures are selected for discussion here.



**Figure S3:** PDOS of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CaO surface during physisorption and chemisorption (a. PDOS of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molecule; and b. PDOS of CaO surface).

#### Reference

- 1. Di Valentin, C.; Pacchioni, G.; Bernasconi, M. Ab initio molecular dynamics simulation of NO reactivity on the CaO (001) surface. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 8357-8362.
- 2. Xin, G.; Zhao, P.; Zheng, C., Theoretical study of different speciation of mercury adsorption on CaO (001) surface. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **2009**, *32*, 2693-2699.