

Supplementary Material

Helmet Phthalocyaninato Iron Complex as a Primary Drier for Alkyd Paints

Jan Honzíček ^{1,*}, Eliška Matušková ¹, Štěpán Voneš ¹ and Jaromír Vinklárček ²

¹ Institute of Chemistry and Technology of Macromolecular Materials, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, Studentská 573, 532 10 Pardubice, Czech Republic

² Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, Studentská 573, 532 10 Pardubice, Czech Republic

* Correspondence: jan.honzicek@upce.cz; Tel.: +420-466-037-229

Table S1. Drying times for formulations of Borch OXY-Coat in S471.¹

C (%)	τ_2 (h)	τ_3 (h)	τ_4 (h)
0.003	0.3	7.8	13.0
0.001	0.8	2.8	9.6
0.0006	1.7	3.8	6.7
0.0003	3.2	6.0	6.0
0.0001	8.6	>24	>24

¹ Tack-free time (τ_2), dry-hard time (τ_3) and dry-through time (τ_4).

Table S2. Assigned characteristic vibration modes for binder S622.

Citation: Honzíček, J.; Matušková, E.; Voneš, Š.; Vinklárček, J. Helmet Phthalocyaninato Iron Complex as a Primary Drier for Alkyd Paints. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 1220. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14051220>

Academic Editor: Barbara Pawelec

Received: 12 February 2021

Accepted: 02 March 2021

Published: 5 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Sign	IR	Raman	IR	Raman	Assignment
Fresh sample			Cured sample		
a	3525 w-br	–	3457 m-br	–	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
b	3070 vw	3074 m	3074 vw	3075 m	$\nu(\text{C-H, arom.})$
c	3008 w	3009 w	–	–	$\nu_a(\text{cis-C=C-H})$
d	2954 vw	2958 sh	2955 sh	2958 sh	$\nu_a(\text{C-H, CH}_3)$
e	2923 s	2928 vw	2926 m	2928 vw	$\nu_a(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
f	–	2901 vs	–	2906 s	$\nu_s(\text{C-H, CH}_3)$
g	2853 m	2854 w	2855 w	2857 w	$\nu_s(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
h	1728 vs	1731 m	1724 vs	1730 m	$\nu(\text{C=O})$
i	–	1657 m	–	–	$\nu(\text{cis-C=C-H})$
j	1599 w	1601 m	1599 w	1601 m	$\nu(\text{C=C, arom.})$
k	1580 w	1581 w	1580 w	1581 w	$\nu(\text{C=C, arom.})$
l	1465 m	–	1465 m	–	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_3/\text{CH}_2)$
m	–	1442 m	–	1441 m	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_3/\text{CH}_2)$
n	–	1302 m	–	1301 m	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
o	1259 vs	–	1255 vs	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
p	1119 vs	–	1119 s	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
q	1070 s	–	1069 s	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
r	1040 w	1042 m	1040 w	1043 m	$\nu_s(\text{C=C, arom., 1,2-disubst.})$
t	741 s	–	741 s	–	$\delta(\text{C-H, arom.})$
u	705 w	–	705 w	–	$\delta(\text{C=C, arom.})/\delta(\text{cis-C=C-H})$

Table S3. Assigned characteristic vibration modes for binder FP07.

Sign	IR	Raman	IR	Raman	Assignment
Fresh sample			Cured sample		
a	3524 w-br	–	3468 m-br	–	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
b	3068 vw	3074 w	3071 vw	3075 w	$\nu(\text{C-H, arom.})$
c	3008 w	3010 w	–	–	$\nu_a(\text{cis-C=C-H})$
d	2954 vw	2959 sh	2957 sh	2958 sh	$\nu_a(\text{C-H, CH}_3)$
e	2923 s	2926 sh	2926 m	2928 vw	$\nu_a(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
f	–	2901 vs	–	2905 s	$\nu_s(\text{C-H, CH}_3)$
g	2853 m	2854 w	2855 w	2857 vw	$\nu_s(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
h	1735 vs	1734 w	1727 vs	1732 w	$\nu(\text{C=O})$
i	–	1657 m	–	–	$\nu(\text{cis-C=C-H})$
j	1600 vw	1602 w	1601 vw	1601 w	$\nu(\text{C=C, arom.})$
k	1580 vw	1581 vw	1580 vw	1579 vw	$\nu(\text{C=C, arom.})$
l	1465 m	1458 sh	1465 m	1461 sh	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_3/\text{CH}_2)$
m	–	1441 m	–	1441 m	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_3/\text{CH}_2)$
n	–	1302 m	–	1306 m	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
o	1259 s	–	1256 s	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
p	1119 s	–	1119 s	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
q	1072 m	–	1071 m	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
r	1040 w	1042 w	1040 w	1043 w	$\nu_s(\text{C=C, arom., 1,2-disubst.})$
t	740 m	–	742 m	–	$\delta(\text{C-H, arom.})$
u	705 vw	–	705 vw	–	$\delta(\text{C=C, arom.})/\delta(\text{cis-C=C-H})$

Table S4. Assigned characteristic vibration modes for binder TI870.

Sign	IR	Raman	IR	Raman	Assignment
Fresh sample			Cured sample		
a	3526 w-br	–	3460 m-br	–	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
b	3068 vw	3076 w	3074 vw	3077 w	$\nu(\text{C-H, arom.})$
c	3008 w	3010 w	–	–	$\nu_a(\text{cis-C=C-H})$
d	2953 vw	2961 sh	2955 sh	2961 sh	$\nu_a(\text{C-H, CH}_3)$
e	2924 s	2928 sh	2926 m	2928 s	$\nu_a(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
f	–	2903 vs	–	2907 s	$\nu_s(\text{C-H, CH}_3)$
g	2854 m	2854 w	2855 w	2860 vw	$\nu_s(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
h	1732 vs	1732 w	1727 vs	1732 w	$\nu(\text{C=O})$
i	–	1657 m	–	–	$\nu(\text{cis-C=C-H})$
j	1608 vw	1606 vw	1609 vw	1607 w	$\nu(\text{C=C, arom.})$
k	–	1593 vw	–	1593 vw	$\nu(\text{C=C, arom.})$
l	1466 m	1463 sh	1464 m	1461 sh	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_3/\text{CH}_2)$
m	1438 sh	1441 m	1438 sh	1441 m	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_3/\text{CH}_2)$
n	–	1304 m	–	1307 m	$\delta(\text{C-H, CH}_2)$
o	1299 m	–	1298 w	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
p	1227 s	–	1228 s	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
q	1161 s	–	1162 s	–	$\nu(\text{C-O, ester})$
s	–	1004 w	–	1004 w	$\nu_s(\text{C=C, arom., 1,3-disubst.})$
t	728 s	–	729 s	–	$\delta(\text{C-H, arom.})$

Table S5. Kinetic parameters for formulation Borch OxyCoat/S471.

C (%)	t_{ind} (h)	k_{max} (h ⁻¹)	$t_{1/2}$ (h)
0.003	0.3	1.64	0.8
0.001	0.8	0.70	1.8
0.0006	1.3	0.53	2.7
0.0003	2.3	0.25	5.3
0.0001	5.4	0.06	18.2