



Article Facile Fabrication of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ Hollow Nanostructure by a One-Needle Syringe Electrospinning Method for a High-Selective H₂S Gas Sensor

Kee-Ryung Park¹, Ryun Na Kim², Yoseb Song³, Jinhyeong Kwon^{1,*} and Hyeunseok Choi^{1,*}

- ¹ Smart Manufacturing System R&D Department, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology (KITECH), 89 Yangdaegiro-gil, Ipjang-myeon, Seobuk-gu, Chungchengnam-do, Cheonan 31056, Korea; pkr86@kitech.re.kr
- ² Department of Energy Engineering, Dankook University, 119, Dandae-ro, Dongnam-gu, Chungcheongnam-do, Cheonan-si 31116, Korea; imryunna@naver.com
- ³ Korea Institute for Rare Metals, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology (KITECH), 156 Gaetbeol-ro, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 21999, Korea; songys88@kitech.re.kr
- * Correspondence: jhs0909k@kitech.re.kr (J.K.); hchoi@kitech.re.kr (H.C.)

Abstract: Herein, a facile fabrication process of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers through one-needle syringe electrospinning and the following calcination process is presented. The various compositions of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers are simply created by controlling the metal precursor ratios of Zn and Fe. Moreover, the different diffusion rates of the metal oxides and metal precursors generate a hollow nanostructure during calcination. The hollow structure of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ enables an enlarged surface area and increased gas sensing sites. In addition, the interface of ZnO and ZnFe₂O₄ forms a p-n junction to improve gas response and to lower operation temperature. The optimized ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ has shown good H₂S gas sensing properties of 84.5 (S = R_a/R_g) at 10 ppm at 250 °C with excellent selectivity. This study shows the good potential of p-n junction ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ on H₂S detection and affords a promising sensor design for a high-performance gas sensor.

Keywords: ZnO; ZnFe₂O₄; hollow nanostructure; electrospinning; H₂S; gas sensor

1. Introduction

The development of IoT-based smart sensor systems including smart devices [1], selfdriving cars [2], home automation [3], and data mining [4] has been intensively prompted to evolve various environmental sensors. Particularly, semiconductor-based gas sensors have been broadly studied due to clear working principles, simple device structure, and good response activity to toxic gases [5,6]. Typical semiconductor gas sensors are manufactured via complex stepwise photolithography processes [7,8]. Although the traditional fabrication process ensures massive wafer-scale productivity, this method cannot meet small-volume and on-demand capacities. In addition, fragile supply chain issues on the process materials lead to increasing total production time and cost. Therefore, the demand for facile fabrication routes with common materials is increasing.

Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is one of the hazardous gas molecules of which even tiny concentrations bring permanent damages to humans' metabolic and nervous systems [9]. However, it is derived from commonly accessible environments such as rotten foods, water purification plants, and underground sewer networks. Therefore, a H₂S monitoring sensor with a fast response time and low operation temperature is required to prevent gas poisoning. Various semiconductor metal oxide nanomaterials including CuO [10], Fe₂O₃ [11], In₂O₃ [12], SnO₂ [13], WO₃ [14], and ZnO [15] have been explored for H₂S gas sensor applications. While ZnO has been widely used as a gas sensor application due to its properties of non-toxic, low cost, excellent physical/chemical stability, and n-type



Citation: Park, K.-R.; Kim, R.N.; Song, Y.; Kwon, J.; Choi, H. Facile Fabrication of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ Hollow Nanostructure by a One-Needle Syringe Electrospinning Method for a High-Selective H₂S Gas Sensor. *Materials* **2022**, *15*, 399. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ma15020399

Academic Editor: Yury A. Skorik

Received: 24 November 2021 Accepted: 30 December 2021 Published: 6 January 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). wide bandgap of 3.2 eV, the broad/unconfined gas sensing characteristics of the ZnO nanostructure easily tends to lose selectivity for the target gas [16].

To enhance electrical properties and gas sensitivity, the intact ZnO nanostructure is manipulated to get heterojunction nanostructure by adding complex metal oxides including spinel and/or perovskite [17]. $ZnFe_2O_4$ is a spinel-structured ferrite with a narrow bandgap (-1.9 eV) semiconductor material with high surface activity and low activation energy. Owing to the low activation energy, the ZnFe₂O₄ is capable of detecting reducing gases with high response signals [18]. Therefore, the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ heterojunction structure has anticipated obtaining enhanced gas sensing properties by adjusting the Fermi energy level, electron depletion region, and potential barrier at its interface. Several efforts have been dedicated to making a heterojunction nanostructure of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ in previous studies. For example, Wang et al. reported $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ composite hollow microspheres via the hydrothermal method [19]. The fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow microspheres have been adopted as a volatile organic pollutant detectable sensor for n-butanol, acetone, ethanol, and methanol. The ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ composite hollow microspheres-based gas sensor has shown high response, good reversibility, and fast on/off characteristics during operation temperatures of as high as 320 °C. Similarly, Zhang et al. executed the synthesis of hollow $ZnFe_2O_4$ -ZnO hybrid spheres with good uniformity and high crystalline structure by using a two-step synthetic method [20]. The hybrid spheres turned into a paste-type solution and were coated on the alumina tube by dipping. The acetone gas-sensing performance was recorded at sensing concentrations of 10 to 200 ppm at 280 °C. Although these studies reported meaningful gas sensing performances, several issues have been revealed such as material synthetic yield and high operating temperature.

In this study, the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers were fabricated by the electrospinning process. The hollow nanofiber structure has advantages of high surface-to-volume area for inner/outer structure, high porosity, and good chemical/mechanical stability [21,22]. Furthermore, the fabrication route provides facile nanomaterial manipulations, high productivity, and controlled stable outputs from the homogeneous mixture of the metal precursor solution. In particular, the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers were acquired by using a one-needle syringe condition. Various ratios of Zn and Fe precursors were mixed as a processing solution to make different compositions of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers. The various as-spun ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers were calcined at 600 °C for 3 h to remove residues and to convert to a high porosity nanostructure. After the calcination process, the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers became porous and hollow structures, having enhanced surface area. The fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers have been employed as a high-sensitive gas sensor application for detecting H₂S gas.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Material Preparations

 $Zn(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, ethanol, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), and poly(vinylpyrrolidene) (PVP; Mw = 1,300,000) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

2.2. Preparations of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ Hollow Nanofibers

ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers were formed via an electrospinning process including calcination at 600 °C by the Kirkendall effect. To prepare the electrospinning solution, one-dimensional hollow nanofibers containing Zn and Fe precursors and PVP were synthesized via a single-nozzle electrospinning technique. To prepare the solution, 0.5 g Zn(NO₃)₃·6H₂O and 20 wt% Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were dissolved in a mixed solution of ethanol and DMF (wt% = 1:1) with 1 g of DI water under stirring at room temperature for 1 h. Then, 0.45 g of PVP was added to the dissolved solution of Zn and Fe precursors. The resulting solution was loaded into a syringe for electrospinning at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/h under an applied voltage of 19 kV with 15 cm of the distance of the needle-tip-collector. The as-spun nanofibers were calcinated at 600 °C with increasing temperature rate of 3 °C/min for 3 h. Finally, ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers were obtained.

2.3. Material Characterizations

The synthesized materials were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S-4800, Hitachi High-Technologies, Co., Tokyo, Japan), X-ray diffractometry (XRD, D/MAX-2500-PC, Rigaku International Co., Tokyo, Japan) with Cu K α X-ray source (λ = 1.5418 Å) at 2 θ , high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM, JEM-2100 F, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), high-angle annular dark-field scanning tunneling electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM), selected-area electron diffraction (SAED), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Theta Probe XPS, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with a base pressure of 4.8×10^{-9} mbar using a monochromatic Al K α X-ray source (h ν = 1486.6 eV)

2.4. Gas Sensor Performance Measurement

First, 200 mg of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanotubes were dispersed in 1000 μ L of ethanol. The $3~\mu L$ of ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4 solution was then dropped on the SiO_2 substrate with Au electrodes on a hot-plate at 60 °C for 15 min. The gas sensing tests were carried out using a company (GMC 1200, ATOVAC, Yongin, Korea) gas sensor measurement system equipped with a data acquisition system (2450 Sourcemeter, Keithley, Solon, OH, USA). The resistance was calculated by the recorded current from applied constant DC voltage of 5 V. The gas concentration was controlled by changing the mixing ratio of nitrogen and H_2S (10 ppm in nitrogen) with fixed oxygen of 21% using mass flow controller (Model 5850E, Brooks Instrument, Hatfield, PA, USA) between 0.3 and 10 ppm. Nitrogen and oxygen were used as the carrier gas at a fixed flow rate of 500 sccm. The operating temperature was controlled from 100 to 280 °C using a ceramic heater. A schematic of the gas sensor system is illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1. Various analyte gases (CH₃COCH₃, NO₂, and C_2H_5OH) were exposed to envisage the gas selectivity of the sensor as a function of different concentration. The response was used to characterize the sensor performance using the equation Response = R_a/R_g , where R_a and R_g are the electrical resistance of the sensor under dry air and the concentration of H₂S gas, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Structure and Surface Morphologies

The $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ hollow nanofibers were fabricated by electrospinning and the following calcination process. In order to find the optimum synthetic compositions of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers, different Zn and Fe precursor ratios of 100:0, 90:10, 80:20, and 70:30 were used for the electrospinning process. As depicted in Figure 1a, the various composition of Zn and Fe precursors were dissolved in a mixed solution of PVP, ethanol, DMF, and deionized water for the electrospinning. The calcination process completely removed the unnecessary materials and formed hollow nanostructures for the various ratios of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers. Furthermore, the metal precursor ingredients of zinc nitrate and iron nitrate converted towards ZnO and ZnFe2O4, respectively. At that moment, the hollow nanostructure was generated by the Kirkendall effect [13,23,24]. In detail, during the calcination process, the positions of the metal atoms are exchanged with the vacancies generated from the PVP removal. While the Zn and Fe ions rapidly diffuse to the outer surface of the nanofiber, the metal oxides are rarely spread out to the inner structure of the nanofiber. Accordingly, the different diffusion rates between the metal oxides and metal precursors make hollow nanostructures. Figure 1b represents various morphologies of the as-fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers (i) 100: 0, (ii) 90:10, (iii) 80:20, and (iv) 70:30 wt%. All of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers had porous nanostructure, indicating enlarged surface area. Especially, the ratio of 80:20 result shows long-shapes of nanotube structure with approximately 150 nm in diameter with around 15 nm of wall thickness such that it provides relatively easy manipulation features. The XRD patterns of the fabricated

various ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanostructure were compared by the Zn and Fe precursor ratios of 100:0, 90:10, 80:20, and 70:30 wt% as shown in Figure 1c. All the diffraction peaks in the spectrum correspond to the specific crystal planes of the hexagonal ZnO (JCPDS Card No. 01-080-0075) and the spinel ZnFe₂O₄ (JCPDS Card No. 01-070-6491), indicating that the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanocomposites were properly fabricated. No peaks were observed associated with other impurity phases. In addition, the increase of Fe content reduced the diffraction peaks intensity of ZnO and increased the intensity of ZnFe₂O₄ diffraction peaks in the materials.



Figure 1. (a) Schematic process of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers through one-needle syringe electrospinning and the following calcination process. (b) FE-SEM images of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers of various compositions by controlling the precursor ratios of Zn and Fe for (i) 100:00, (ii) 90:10, (iii) 80:20, and (iv) 70:30. (c) XRD patterns of various ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers.

3.2. TEM and XPS Analysis

The fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanostructure was further analyzed by TEM and EDS mapping. As mentioned, the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanostructure from 80:20 wt% of Zn and Fe precursor ratio has a proper nanostructure, therefore, it was selected as a standard specimen in this study. The morphology images of the hollow shape and porous surface are observed in Figure 2a(i). The thickness of the individual nanotube was approximately 15 nm. The lattice distances of the ZnO and ZnFe₂O₄ are measured in Figure 2a(ii). For the ZnO, lattice distances on the (101) and (110) planes were observed. ZnFe₂O₄ showed an interplanar spacing of 0.30 nm for the (311) plane. Figure 2a(iii) indicates the SAED patterns of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanostructure, showing the fabricated nanostructures had polycrystalline and slight ring patterns for ZnO and ZnFe₂O₄. The EDS mapping images in Figure 2b represent homogeneous element distributions including Zn, O, and Fe for the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber. The chemical states of the fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber were identified using XPS analysis, as shown in Figure 2c. The XPS examined three elements, Zn, Fe, and O. While the pristine ZnO had a significant peak at 1021 eV for Zn2p_{3/2}, the measured Zn2p peak slightly shifted to around 1021.7 eV due to

the existence of the Fe-containing component at 1022.1 Ev [25]. The Fe2p peaks consisted of different electron coordination of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺. ZnFe₂O₄ is a spinel structure material, so tetrahedral symmetry governs the electron state, therefore, signals of the Fe³⁺ peaks were stronger than those of the Fe²⁺ peaks [26,27]. Similarly, the O1s peak was formed with two major peaks of 530 and 531 eV, which is consistent with a value between ZnO and ZnFe₂O₄. Particularly, the low binding energy of 530 eV originated from the lattice O²⁻ ions and the high binding energy of 531 eV was consistent with adsorbed O⁻ and O₂⁻ [25,28]. The morphology structures of porosity and tube shape play a crucial role in gas sensing performance. That is, the target gas can be easily diffused into/out towards the designed material so that the gas sensing property is increased and the response/recovery time is reduced.



Figure 2. (a) TEM, HR-TEM, and SAED analysis results of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber after calcination at 600 °C. (b) EDS mapping images of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber. (c) XPS spectra of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber for Zn2p, Fe2p, and O1s.

3.3. Gas Sensing Performances

The fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers were used for gas sensor applications. In order to find the optimal sensing composition and performance, the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers synthesized from various content ratios of Zn and Fe precursors from 100:0 to 70:30 wt% were evaluated regarding sensing characteristics of H₂S gas at 250 °C (Supplementary Figure S2). As the Fe contents increased from 0 to 30 wt%, the initial resistance value in the air was also elevated due to the electron depletion region from the p-n junction. The fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber from the ratio of 80:20 wt% showed better sensing properties than others. (Table S1) Therefore, it was selected as a standard sensing material for the H₂S gas sensor. As shown in Figure 3a, the gas sensing properties of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber were examined over a wide range of temperatures from 150 to 280 °C with different concentrations of H₂S from 300 ppb to

10 ppm. Typically, for the semiconductor-type gas sensors, the chemical interaction between the sensor material and the target gas plays a significant role in the sensor reaction, and the temperature condition largely affects the chemical reaction: the low-temperature condition results in relatively low reaction signals since the oxygen species on the surface of the active materials have low thermal energy to interact. When the surrounding temperature rises, the interactions between an active material and gas increase for the following reasons: first, gas molecules with elevated thermal energy are able to overcome the energy barrier for the surface reactions. Second, oxygen species such as O_2^- are generated on the surface of the active material. The generated oxygen species will react with more electrons from the surface of the active material [29]. On the other hand, sensitivity is dramatically reduced in the excessive high-temperature environment because the low gas adsorption capacity of gas molecules causes low utilization of the active material [30]. Accordingly, the response time declined and the sensing response increased when the temperature rises from 150 to 250 °C. Then, the sensing responses abruptly decreased when the operating temperature was over 250 °C. Supplementary Figure S3 shows a detailed analysis of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ (20:80) hollow nanofiber sensor at 10 ppm and 280 °C. Electrical resistance was measured at around $10^6 \Omega$ at the initial stage in the air. Afterward, the target H₂S gas was injected, the resistance rapidly decreased to $10^4 \Omega$ within 200 s. The response time (T₉₀) was only 11 s. When the target gas injection was suspended, the electrical resistance was gradually recovered. The measured recovery time (D_{10}) was 280 s. Owing to the hollow nanostructure, the reaction and recovery time have a trade-off relationship. The hollow nanostructure provides large surface areas and fast air/gas penetrations to the inside of the structure. At the same time, the enlarged surface prevents the target gas from being sent out of the hollow structure. The highest and minimum response signals (S = R_a/R_g) for H₂S gas were individually detected at 10 ppm with 84.5 and 300 ppb with 2.27 when the temperature condition was 250 °C in Figure 3b. This sensitivity result is comparable and shows a superior sensing property over other ZnFe₂O₄-based H₂S gas sensors as shown in Table S2. As depicts in Figure 3c, the selectivity of the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ hollow nanofiber sensor was executed towards various gases such as H_2S , CH_3COCH_3 , NO_2 , and C_2H_5OH at the operating temperature of 250 °C. The sensor responses were obtained as 84.5, 1.01, 1.01, and 1.05 for H_2S (10 ppm), CH_3COCH_3 (500 ppm), NO_2 (500 ppm), and C_2H_5OH (500 ppm), respectively. The ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber showed very high selectivity to the H_2S than other gases.



Figure 3. (a) H₂S gas sensing performances of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers with different gas concentrations from 300 ppb to 10 ppm with different operating temperatures of 150, 200, 250, and 280 °C. (b) Response-concentration fitting curves regarding operation temperature conditions. (c) Gas selectivity comparisons for the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers at 250 °C.

3.4. Mechanism

The H₂S gas sensing mechanism of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber is illustrated in Figure 4. The interface of the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ is similar to a p-n junction. Owing to the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ having a hollow nanostructure, H₂S gas can react to the inner/outer sites of the nanofiber. When the H₂S molecules react with the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ p-n junction, a chemical reaction occurs as follows: $2H_2S(g) + 3O^-$ (ads) $\rightarrow 2SO_2 + 2H_2O + 3e^-$. Then, the generated electron flows to the active material and reduces the electrical resistance of the gas sensor. As the hollow nanotube structure has large surface areas, it is able to react with more gas sources. Therefore, the gas sensing characteristics are improved compared to the pristine ZnO nanotube structure by matching the appropriate p-n junction ratio. In other words, a typical p-n junction is formed at the interfaces when ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles meet ZnO. The electrons tend to diffuse from the ZnO to the ZnFe₂O₄. At the same time, a built-in electric field will be created at the interface to balance the diffusion motion [31]. Then, the interfacial electrons and holes are pulled to the opposite direction by the formed build-in electric field. This effect can minimize the electron-hole recombination at the interface, lead to effective separation of the charged carriers, and increase the free electron density [32]. Since more electrons are participating in the absorption and dissociation process of oxygen molecules, oxygen species are much increased on the surface of the ZnO- $ZnFe_2O_4$ composites compared to that of the pristine ZnO. Similarly, the thicker depletion

layer is formed at the interface and it provides more sensitive properties to the target gas molecules for the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ hollow nanostructure. Therefore, when the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ gas sensor is exposed to H_2S , more electrons are released back to the conduction band of the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$, and thus a large conductance change can be achieved.



Figure 4. (a) Schematic of mechanism of the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ hollow nanofiber-based gas sensor. The hollow nanostructure provides enlarged surface areas and a diminished depletion layer. Band diagram of the $ZnO-ZnFe_2O_4$ heterostructure in (b) air and (c) H_2S environment.

4. Conclusions

ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers were fabricated by simple one-needle electrospinning with different ratios of Fe and Zn precursors. The as-spun nanofiber was calcined to remove unnecessary ingredients as well as to form a hollow nanostructure by different diffusion rates of metal oxides and metal precursors. The Zn and Fe precursor ratio of 80:20 verified the optimized surface structure and chemical properties through XRD, TEM, and XPS analysis. The diameter of the nanotube was 150 nm and the thickness of the shell was 15 nm. The H₂S gas sensing characteristic was carried out with concentrations of 300 ppb to 10 ppm at the conditions of 150 and 280 °C, respectively. The interfaces of the ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ generated a p-n junction which helped to obtain increased gas sensing properties. The ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ gas sensing performance was recorded as 84.5 (S = R_a/R_g) at 250 °C at 10 ppm of H₂S. The fabricated ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber represented high sensitivity and excellent selectivity for the H₂S gas application. Therefore, ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofibers are good candidates for oxide-based gas sensors along with large surface areas as well as proper properties of the p-n junction.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ma15020399/s1, Figure S1: A schematic of the gas sensor measurement system. Figure S2: Gas-sensing characteristics of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ nanotubes with different precursor ratio with Zn and Fe, Figure S3: Response and recovery properties of ZnO-ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanofiber under 10 ppm H₂S gas at 280 °C, Table S1: Selectivity data of the gas sensors fabricated with different ratios of Zn and Fe at conditions of 10 ppm of H₂S gas and 250 °C. Table S2: Comparisons of the ZnFe₂O₄ nanostructure-based H₂S gas sensors. **Author Contributions:** K.-R.P. designed and performed the experiments. K.-R.P. and J.K. wrote and revised the manuscript. R.N.K. and Y.S. conducted the material analysis. J.K. and H.C. advised on the development of the idea. H.C. supported funding for experiments. All authors discussed the results for the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by KITECH (Project: Development of Nano Material and Process for Smart Living Sensor).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors hereby sincerely acknowledge the funder.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kim, J.; Kim, M.; Lee, M.-S.; Kim, K.; Ji, S.; Kim, Y.-T.; Park, J.; Na, K.; Bae, K.-H.; Kyun Kim, H.; et al. Wearable smart sensor systems integrated on soft contact lenses for wireless ocular diagnostics. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 14997. [CrossRef]
- Kong, L.; Khan, M.K.; Wu, F.; Chen, G.; Zeng, P. Millimeter-wave wireless communications for iot-cloud supported autonomous vehicles: Overview, design, and challenges. *IEEE Commun. Mag.* 2017, 55, 62–68. [CrossRef]
- Pirbhulal, S.; Zhang, H.; E Alahi, M.E.; Ghayvat, H.; Mukhopadhyay, S.C.; Zhang, Y.-T.; Wu, W. A novel secure iot-based smart home automation system using a wireless sensor network. *Sensors* 2017, *17*, 69. [CrossRef]
- Hassan, K.; Tung, T.T.; Yap, P.L.; Rastin, H.; Stanley, N.; Nine, M.J.; Losic, D. Fractal design for advancing the performance of chemoresistive sensors. ACS Sens. 2021, 6, 3685–3695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Dey, A. Semiconductor metal oxide gas sensors: A review. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 2018, 229, 206–217. [CrossRef]
- 6. Nazemi, H.; Joseph, A.; Park, J.; Emadi, A. Advanced micro- and nano-gas sensor technology: A review. *Sensors* 2019, 19, 1285. [CrossRef]
- 7. Lee, E.K.; Park, C.H.; Lee, J.; Lee, H.R.; Yang, C.; Oh, J.H. Chemically robust ambipolar organic transistor array directly patterned by photolithography. *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1605282. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kang, H.; Cho, S.-Y.; Ryu, J.; Choi, J.; Ahn, H.; Joo, H.; Jung, H.-T. Multiarray nanopattern electronic nose (e-nose) by high-resolution top-down nanolithography. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 2002486. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lewis, R.J.; Copley, G.B. Chronic low-level hydrogen sulfide exposure and potential effects on human health: A review of the epidemiological evidence. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* **2015**, *45*, 93–123. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.-Y.; Lee, J.-H.; Kim, J.-H.; Mirzaei, A.; Woo Kim, H.; Kim, S.S. Realization of H₂S sensing by Pd-functionalized networked CuO nanowires in self-heating mode. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2019, 299, 126965. [CrossRef]
- Wu, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, H.; Sun, M.; Han, S.; Cai, C.; Shen, W.; Fu, Y. Ultrafast response/recovery and high selectivity of the H₂S gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids from one-step hydrothermal synthesis. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2019, 11, 12761–12769. [CrossRef]
- 12. Al Shboul, A.M.; Izquierdo, R. Printed chemiresistive In₂O₃ nanoparticle-based sensors with ppb detection of H₂S gas for food packaging. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2021**, *4*, 9508–9517. [CrossRef]
- 13. Park, K.-R.; Cho, H.-B.; Lee, J.; Song, Y.; Kim, W.-B.; Choa, Y.-H. Design of highly porous SnO₂-CuO nanotubes for enhancing H₂S gas sensor performance. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2020**, 302, 127179. [CrossRef]
- Choi, S.J.; Choi, C.; Kim, S.-J.; Cho, H.-J.; Hakim, M.; Jeon, S.; Kim, I.D. Highly efficient electronic sensitization of non-oxidized graphene flakes on controlled pore-loaded WO₃ nanofibers for selective detection of H₂S molecules. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 8067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Na, H.-B.; Zhang, X.-F.; Deng, Z.-P.; Xu, Y.-M.; Huo, L.-H.; Gao, S. Large-scale synthesis of hierarchically porous ZnO hollow tubule for fast response to ppb-level H₂S gas. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 11627–11635. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zhu, L.; Zeng, W. Room-temperature gas sensing of ZnO-based gas sensor: A review. Sens. Actuators A Phys. 2017, 267, 242–261. [CrossRef]
- 17. Wu, K.; Li, J.; Zhang, C. Zinc ferrite based gas sensors: A review. Ceram. Int. 2019, 45, 11143–11157. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, X.; Liu, J.; Wang, C.; Sun, P.; Hu, X.; Li, X.; Shimanoe, K.; Yamazoe, N.; Lu, G. Highly sensitive acetone gas sensor based on porous ZnFe₂O₄ nanospheres. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2015, 206, 577–583. [CrossRef]
- Wang, S.; Gao, X.; Yang, J.; Zhu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y. Synthesis and gas sensor application of ZnFe₂O₄–ZnO composite hollow microspheres. *RSC Adv.* 2014, 4, 57967–57974. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, R.; Zhang, T.; Zhou, T.; Lou, Z.; Deng, J.; Wang, L. Fast and real-time acetone gas sensor using hybrid ZnFe₂O₄/ZnO hollow spheres. *RSC Adv.* 2016, *6*, 66738–66744. [CrossRef]
- Abideen, Z.-U.; Kim, J.-H.; Lee, J.-H.; Kim, J.-Y.; Mirzaei, A.; Kim, H.W.; Kim, S.S. Electrospun Metal Oxide Composite Nanofibers Gas Sensors: A Review. J. Korean Ceram. Soc. 2017, 54, 366–379. [CrossRef]

- 22. Rianjanu, A.; Fauzi, F.; Triyana, K.; Wasisto, H.S. Electrospun Nanofibers for Quartz Crystal Microbalance Gas Sensors: A Review. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2021**, *4*, 9957–9975. [CrossRef]
- Fu, J.; Zhang, J.; Peng, Y.; Zhao, C.; He, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Pan, X.; Mellors, N.J.; Xie, E. Wire-in-tube structure fabricated by single capillary electrospinning via nanoscale kirkendall effect: The case of nickel-zinc ferrite. *Nanoscale* 2013, *5*, 12551–12557. [CrossRef]
- Ji, D.; Fan, L.; Tao, L.; Sun, Y.; Li, M.; Yang, G.; Tran, T.Q.; Ramakrishna, S.; Guo, S. The kirkendall effect for engineering oxygen vacancy of hollow Co₃O₄ nanoparticles toward high-performance portable zinc-air batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, 58, 13840–13844. [CrossRef]
- 25. Liu, J.; Zeng, M.; Yu, R. Surfactant-free synthesis of octahedral ZnO/ ZnFe₂O₄ heterostructure with ultrahigh and selective adsorption capacity of malachite green. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25074. [CrossRef]
- Sun, L.; Shao, R.; Tang, L.; Chen, Z. Synthesis of ZnFe₂O₄/ZnO nanocomposites immobilized on graphene with enhanced photocatalytic activity under solar light irradiation. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2013**, *564*, 55–62. [CrossRef]
- 27. Dhal, J.P.; Mishra, B.G.; Hota, G. Hydrothermal synthesis and enhanced photocatalytic activity of ternary Fe₂O₃/ZnFe₂O₄/ZnO nanocomposite through cascade electron transfer. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 58072–58083. [CrossRef]
- Hou, L.; Lian, L.; Zhang, L.; Pang, G.; Yuan, C.; Zhang, X. Self-sacrifice template fabrication of hierarchical mesoporous Bicomponent-active ZnO/ZnFe₂O₄ sub-microcubes as superior anode towards high-performance lithium-ion battery. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2015, 25, 238–246. [CrossRef]
- 29. Barsan, N.; Koziej, D.; Weimar, U. Metal oxide-based gas sensor research: How to? Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2007, 121, 18–35. [CrossRef]
- 30. Lim, S.K.; Hwang, S.-H.; Chang, D.; Kim, S. Preparation of mesoporous In₂O₃ nanofibers by electrospinning and their application as a CO gas sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2010**, *149*, 28–33. [CrossRef]
- 31. Zhao, S.; Shen, Y.; Hao, F.; Kang, C.; Cui, B.; Wei, D.; Meng, F. P-n junctions based on CuO-decorated ZnO nanowires for ethanol sensing application. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2021, 538, 148140. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wang, S.; Zhang, J.; Yang., J.; Gao, X.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, Z. Spinel ZnFe2O4 nanoparticle-decorated rod-like ZnO nanoheterostructures for enhanced gas sensing performances. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 10048–10057. [CrossRef]