

Figure S1. N pool-weighted plant δ^{15} N of five dominant tree species in Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve (DHSBR) in control plots (white bars) and N addition plots (shaded bars). Error bars indicate SE (*n* = 3).



Figure S2. Mean leaf δ^{15} N of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and ectomycorrhizal (ECM) trees in Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve (DHSBR). a) natural δ^{15} N abundance in control and in Nplots; and b) changes in leaf δ^{15} N values after one year of ¹⁵N tracer addition in both treatments. Different lowercase letter for the control plots indicate significant difference between leaf δ^{15} N of AM and ECM tree groups at $p \le 0.05$.

Table S1. Foliar δ^{15} N values of dominant co-occurring tree and understory plant species in Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve (DHSBR) in control and N-plots before (sampled in January 2013) and after one-year ¹⁵N addition (sampled in June 2014) in the two treatments. Values in parenthesis shows SE among plots (n = 3).

| Species name | Before ¹⁵ N addition | | After ¹⁵ N addition | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | Control plots | N-plots | Control plots | N-plots |
| Trees | | | | |
| Syzygium acuminatissimum | -4.1 (0.2) | -3.3 (0.1) | 113.6 (22.3) | 158.1 (67.5) |
| Castanopsis chinensis | -3.0 (0.5) | -1.8 (0.4) | 69.6 (4.7) | 133.9 (21.5) |
| Cryptocarya chinensis | -4.0 (0.1) | -3.3 (0.5) | 83.5 (17.7) | 38.6 (0.9) |
| Memecylon ligustrifolium | -3.7 (0.3) | -3.8 (0.1) | 58.8 (9.6) | 88.2 (6.3) |
| Syzygium rehderianum | -5.2 (0.6) | -4.7 (0.5) | 77.6 (16.2) | 65.3 (17.1) |
| Understory plants | | | | |
| Alpinia chinensis | -2.9 (0.3) | -0.0 (0.4) | 1045.7 (158.7) | 640.8 (78.9) |
| Blastus cochinchinensis | -6.6 (0.4) | -5.3 (0.7) | 1071.5 (330.1) | 402.5 (119.2) |
| Calamus rhabdocladus | -3.4 (0.5) | -3.2 (0.4) | 550.8 (183.6) | 286.1 (70.2) |
| Cryptocarya concinna | -3.6 (0.4) | -3.2 (0.1) | 734.2 (124.5) | 265.0 (28.3) |
| Tectaria harlandii | -4.0 (0.3) | -1.7 (0.2) | 1256.4 (116.7) | 690.3 (66.4) |
| Maesa salicifolia | -1.6 (0.4) | -0.1 (0.0) | 712.7 (29.3) | 536.2 (49.7) |
| Aidia canthioides | -3.1 (0.2) | -1.8 (0.5) | 191.9 (23.1) | 171.9 (13.6) |

Table S2. Estimated leaf biomass (kg ha⁻¹) of dominant tree species in the in experimental plots of the old-growth broad-leaved forest at Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve (DHSBR), southern China. Estimation of the biomass was conducted based on growth equation as described in previous ¹⁵N tracer study [41] using the same experimental plots.

| N pool (kg ha ⁻¹) | Fraction (%) | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 264 | 3.4 | |
| 2921 | 37.3 | |
| 1102 | 14.1 | |
| 127 | 1.6 | |
| 676 | 8.6 | |
| 588 | 7.5 | |
| <u>2147</u> | <u>27.4</u> | |
| | 100 | |
| | N pool (kg ha ⁻¹) 264 2921 1102 127 676 588 <u>2147</u> | |

¹This species was not sampled since the foliage could not be reached.

Table S3. Mean concentration (mg N L⁻¹) and δ^{15} N values of NH₄-N, NO₃-N and DON in precipitation, throughfall and soil solution in control plots (September 2012 to February 2013). Soil (0–50 cm) δ^{15} N values for bulk soil, TDN, NH₄-N, NO₃-N and DON were determined in 2007–2008 by extraction. For δ^{15} N values of precipitation, throughfall and soil solution, values in parenthesis shows SE among plots (*n* = 3).

| N sources | N form | Concentration (in water) | δ^{15} N values (‰) |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Precipitation | NH4-N | 2.9 | -17 (4) |
| | NO3-N | 1.2 | 4.1 (0.7) |
| | DON | | |
| Throughfall | NH4-N | 4.3 | -15 (2) |
| | NO3-N | 2.6 | -9.7 (0.8) |
| | DON | | |
| Soil (0–50 cm) | Bulk soil | | 3.7 |
| | TDN | | 7.7 |
| | NH4-N | | 6.1 |
| | NO3-N | | 1.6 |
| | DON | | 8.9 |
| Soil solution (0-20 | NH4-N | 2.4 | -23 (1) |
| cm) | | | |
| | NO3-N | 8.8 | -0.9 (1.0) |
| | DON | | |
| Added fertilizer | NH4-N | - | -3.3 |
| (NH4NO3) | | | |
| | NO ₃ -N | - | 1.8 |

Table S4. Leaf C:N ratio of the studied tree species grouped as ectomycorrhizal (ECM) and arbuscular mycorrhizal plants (AM). Values in parenthesis shows SE among plots (n = 3). In each treatment (control and N-plots), significant difference in leaf C:N ratio among the five tree species is indicated by different lowercase letters as in Table 1 while the difference between the two mycorrhizal group is indicated by different uppercase letters.

| Species name | Mycorrhizal type | C:N ratio | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Control plots | N-plots |
| Syzygium acuminatissimum | ECM | 25.5 (1.0)b | 23.7 (0.4)c |
| Castanopsis chinensis | ECM | 24.9 (1.1)b | 27.2 (0.2)bc |
| Cryptocarya chinensis | AM | 25.9 (0.2)b | 26.5 (1.7)bc |
| Memecylon ligustrifolium | AM | 32.2 (0.9)a | 32.4 (1.9)ab |
| Syzygium rehderianum | AM | <u>34.3 (0.6)a</u> | <u>36.0 (1.0)a</u> |
| Mycorrhizal group | | | |
| ECM average | | 25.2 (1.1)A | 25.5 (1.3)A |
| AM average | | 31.0 (0.6)B | 31.6 (1.7)B |