



Article Lichen or Associated Micro-Organism Compounds Are Active against Human Coronaviruses

Lowiese Desmarets ¹, Marion Millot ², Marylène Chollet-Krugler ³, Joël Boustie ³, Charline Camuzet ¹, Nathan François ¹, Yves Rouillé ¹, Sandrine Belouzard ¹, Sophie Tomasi ³, Lengo Mambu ² and Karin Séron ^{1,*}

- ¹ Univ. Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1019—UMR9017—Center for Infection and Immunity of Lille (CIIL), F-59000 Lille, France; lowiese.desmarets@ibl.cnrs.fr (L.D.); yves.rouille@ibl.cnrs.fr (Y.R.); sandrine.belouzard@ibl.cnrs.fr (S.B.)
- ² Univ. Limoges, Laboratoire LABCiS, UR 22722, F-87000 Limoges, France; marion.millot@unilim.fr (M.M.); lengo.mambu@unilim.fr (L.M.)
- ³ Univ. Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes)—UMR 6226, F-35700 Rennes, France; marylene.chollet@univ-rennes.fr (M.C.-K.); joel.boustie@univ-rennes.fr (J.B.);
- sophie.tomasi@univ-rennes.fr (S.T.)
- Correspondence: karin.seron@ibl.cnrs.fr

Abstract: (1) Background: Since the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic, efforts have been made to identify antiviral compounds against human coronaviruses. With the aim of increasing the diversity of molecule scaffolds, 42 natural compounds, of which 28 were isolated from lichens and 14 from their associated microorganisms (bacteria and fungi), were screened against human coronavirus HCoV-229E. (2) Methods: Antiviral assays were performed using HCoV-229E in Huh-7 and Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells and SARS-CoV-2 in a Vero-81-derived clone with a GFP reporter probe. (3) Results: Four lichen compounds, including chloroatranol, emodin, perlatolic acid and vulpinic acid, displayed high activities against HCoV-229E (IC₅₀ = 68.86, 59.25, 16.42 and 14.58 μ M, respectively) and no toxicity at active concentrations. Kinetics studies were performed to determine their mode of action. The four compounds were active when added at the replication step. Due to their significant activity, they were further tested on SARS-CoV-2. Perlatolic acid was shown to be active against SARS-CoV-2. (4) Conclusions: Taken together, these results show that lichens are a source of interesting antiviral agents against human coronaviruses. Moreover, perlatolic acid might be further studied for its pan-coronavirus antiviral activity.

Keywords: antiviral; natural product; coronavirus; HCoV-229E; SARS-CoV-2; lichen compounds

1. Introduction

The ongoing pandemic of COVID-19 caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has highlighted the lack of effective antiviral drugs against human coronaviruses. Coronaviruses are members of the *Coronaviridae* family, divided in four genera: Alpha-, Beta-, Delta- and Gammacoronavirus. Seven Alpha- and Betacoronaviruses have been identified to infect humans. Four of them are associated with mild common-cold-like disease (HCoV-OC-43, HCoV-229E, HKU-1, HCoV-NL-63). The other three, including SARS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and SARS-CoV-2 have been responsible for outbreaks of severe pneumonia-like illness. To date, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are still circulating. Coronaviruses are viruses with zoonotic origin, and all of them have a wild animal ancestor [1]. They are enveloped positive single-stranded RNA viruses. Their genome of approximately 30 Kb encodes for structural, non-structural (Nsp) and accessory proteins [2]. The structural proteins, membrane (M), envelope (E) and spike (S), are present at the surface of the virion, and the nucleoprotein N is associated with the RNA genome in the particle. The 16 Nsp are derived from two polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, which are cleaved by viral proteases and are



Citation: Desmarets, L.; Millot, M.; Chollet-Krugler, M.; Boustie, J.; Camuzet, C.; François, N.; Rouillé, Y.; Belouzard, S.; Tomasi, S.; Mambu, L.; et al. Lichen or Associated Micro-Organism Compounds Are Active against Human Coronaviruses. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 1859. https:// doi.org/10.3390/v15091859

Academic Editor: Simone Brogi

Received: 11 August 2023 Revised: 27 August 2023 Accepted: 29 August 2023 Published: 31 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). involved in the formation of replication–transcription complexes [3]. Accessory proteins are involved in immune response escape and other not yet fully explored functions.

The coronavirus' life cycle can be roughly divided in three main steps: entry, replication/translation and assembly/secretion [2]. The S protein plays a major role in entry because it interacts with cellular receptors and mediates the fusion of the virus with the cellular membranes. Two entry pathways have been described for HCoVs: direct fusion at the plasma membrane mediated by the cellular protease TMPRSS2, or endocytosis and fusion with the endosomal membrane mediated by cathepsins [4]. Cellular receptor usage is virus-dependent, being the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, the dipeptidylpeptidase IV (DDP4) for MERS-CoV and the aminopeptidase N (APN) for HCoV-229E. Once the viral genome is released in the cytoplasm, pp1a and pp1ab are translated, and the resulting Nsp forms the replication–transcription complex (RTC), enabling the replication of the viral RNA genome and the production of several subgenomic mRNAs, the latter being translated in structural and accessory proteins [3]. New virions are assembled in the endoplasmic reticulum–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC), and the virions are secreted via the secretory pathway or lysosomal exocytosis [5,6].

Entry and replication have been the two main targets for antiviral strategies against coronaviruses. The high efficacy of vaccines based on S proteins as the main antigen (either through mRNA or recombinant proteins) proved the major role played by this protein in coronavirus infections. For SARS-CoV-2 infections, the use of monoclonal neutralizing antibodies to inhibit entry has shown its efficacy in vitro and in vivo. However, their efficacies were lowered by the appearance of SARS-CoV-2 variants which were resistant to most of the commercial neutralizing antibodies [7,8]. The main targets for replication inhibitors are the viral proteases and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). Before being able to produce specific antiviral compounds against SARS-CoV-2, a repositioning strategy of existing compounds (antiviral or not) approved for their use in humans has been performed. Among the hundreds of compounds that have been tested, only remdesivir, a RdRp inhibitor [9], has been approved for its use in patients suffering from COVID-19. Remdesivir is administrated intravenously, which limits its use for early treatment [10]. Molnupiravir, another RdRp inhibitor, has shown interesting antiviral capacity [11]. However, its efficacy in patients is controversial [12]. More recently, Paxlovid, a combination of nirmaltrevir, a molecule inhibiting the SARS-CoV-2 protease Mpro, and ritonavir, a molecule which prevents nirmatrelvir degradation, has been launched [13]. This molecule, which was originally designed for SARS-CoV, was adapted to SARS-CoV-2. This drug has proven its efficacy by lowering the risk of progression to severe COVID-19 by 89% [14]. Many other compounds are currently being evaluated in clinical trials and hopefully will be available for COVID-19 therapy in the following years. Despite the tremendous effort of the scientific community and pharmaceutical companies to produce efficient treatments against SARS-CoV-2, few molecules are available so far. Due to the capacity of viruses to mutate and resist single-molecule therapy, it is important to identify many more antiviral compounds to be able to produce bi- or tri-therapies in the future. HCoVs are a permanent threat, and the emergence of a new highly pathogenic CoV is more than probable in the next decades.

Natural products have been shown to be a great source of antiviral molecules and hence might provide an alternative strategy for anti-CoV drug discovery [15,16]. Their major strength resides in their capacity to act on several protein targets [17]. Indeed, the antiviral activity of several natural compounds belonging to different chemical classes has already been demonstrated for CoVs, and different mechanisms of action related to structural diversity have been described [18–21]. Jo et al. highlighted the structural features required for activity using in silico analyses (hydrophobic aromatic ring, hydroxyl groups and carbohydrate moieties) [22]. For compounds without an aromatic ring, the presence of hydrophilic and lipophilic regions and the ability to form multiple hydrogen bonds through hydroxyl are needed. In addition, natural products issued from fungi or bacteria such as mycophenolic acid and sinefungin and their derivatives (remdesivir and BCX4430)

have proven to be effective antivirals. Recently, many natural compounds have been also evaluated through virtual docking against SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins, but validation of their in vitro and in vivo antiviral potency will be necessary [23–25].

Lichen compounds are endowed with diverse biological activities, including antiviral properties, and hence can be used as potential sources of new drugs [26]. Lichens are symbiotic organisms, offering a unique chemical reservoir of depsides, depsidones, depsones, dibenzofurans or pulvinic derivatives as well as more common compounds such as xanthones and anthraquinones [27]. Furthermore, the lichen thallus is a support for other microorganisms living inside and outside the thallus, including endo- and epi-lichenic fungi and bacteria [28]. These micro-organisms could be isolated and cultured to produce other specific natural compounds. Here, we screened several classes of compounds produced by lichens or their associated bacteria and fungi against HCoV-229E and identified potent antiviral activity in four of them. One of these compounds, the depside perlatolic acid, was also shown to be active against SARS-CoV-2.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was obtained from Eurobio. Remdesivir (GS-5734) and GC376 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). Camostat mesylate, tariquidar, and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were obtained from Sigma (Saint Louis, MO, USA). The lichen compounds were resuspended in DMSO at 100 mM.

2.2. Natural Lichen Products

The lichen metabolites referenced in [29] were obtained as gifts from the Huneck' chemical library in the Berlin Museum. The other samples were previously isolated from phytochemically investigated lichens in Limoges, (Nouvelle Aquitaine, France), Rennes (Bretagne, France) or from lichen-associated micro-organisms Lichen compounds were extracted from the lichen thalli using organic solvents and purified through chromatographic processes, as described in the related references. The compounds were duly identified according to their spectroscopic features, including based on their nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HR-MS) spectra, and using polarimetry for compounds with asymmetric carbons. Microbial (bacteria or fungal) metabolites were obtained after culture of the associated bacteria [30] or the endolichenic fungi previously isolated from lichens [31], which were then purified and identified in the same way as the lichen metabolites.

2.3. Cells and Culture Conditions

The human hepatoma cell line Huh-7 and F1G-red, a modified African monkey kidney cell line Vero-81 with a GFP reporter gene [32], were grown in DMEM with glutaMAX-I and 10% FBS in an incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. A stable cell line of Huh-7 cells expressing TMPRSS2 was produced using a lentiviral vector expressing TMPRSS2 [33].

2.4. Viruses

The following viral strains were used: recombinant HCoV-229E-Luc (kindly gifted by Pr. V. Thiel) and SARS-CoV-2 (isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/FRA/Lille_Vero-81-TMPRSS2/2020, NCBI MW575140).

2.5. Cell Toxicity Assay

 6×10^4 Huh-7 cells were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated for 16 h at 37 °C. The cells were then treated with each compound, either at 25 µg/mL for the screening experiment, or at increasing concentrations for the dose–response experiment, and incubated for 24 h or 48 h at 37 °C. An MTS [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-

4 of 15

(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium]-based viability assay (Cell Titer 96 Aqueous non-radioactive cell proliferation assay, Promega) was performed as recommended by the manufacturer. The absorbance of formazan at 490 nm was detected using a plate reader (ELX 808 Bio-Tek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA).

2.6. HCoV-229E Infection Inhibition Assays

2.6.1. Luciferase Assay

HCoV-229E-Luc was first mixed with the compounds at the appropriate concentrations. On the day before infection, 6×10^4 Huh-7 cells and Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 37 °C. The cells were inoculated with HCoV-229E-Luc at a MOI of 0.5 in a final volume of 50 μ L for 1 h at 37 °C in the presence of the different compounds, either at 25 μ g/mL for the screening experiment or at increasing concentrations for the dose–response experiment. The virus was removed and replaced with culture medium containing the different compounds for 6 h at 37 °C. The cells were lysed in 20 μ L of Renilla Lysis Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and luciferase activity was quantified using a Tristar LB 941 luminometer (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany) with the Renilla Luciferase Assay System (Promega) as recommended by the manufacturer.

2.6.2. Time-of-Addition Assay

To determine at which step of the infectious cycle the compounds executed their effects, a time-of-addition assay was performed, for which each compound (and 50 μ M of camostat mesylate, 200 nM of remdesivir and 50 μ M of GC376 as a control) was added at different time points: 1 h before (referred to as the 'pretreatment' condition), during (referred to as the 'inoculation' condition) or after inoculation of HCoV-229E-Luc in Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells. For the third condition, the compounds were added after removal of the inoculum (referred to as the 'PI' condition, post-inoculation), either at the end of the inoculation or 1 h after inoculation (referred to as the '1 h PI' and '2 h PI' conditions, respectively) and were left in the medium for the rest of the incubation time. The cells were lysed at 7 h post-inoculation, and the luciferase activity was quantified as described.

2.7. SARS-CoV-2 Infection Inhibition Assays

A total of 4.5×10^3 F1G-red cells per well [32] were seeded in 384-well plates at 37 °C and inoculated 24 h later with SARS-CoV-2 at a MOI of 0.2 in the presence of 50 nM tariquidar and lichen compounds or GC376 at different concentrations. Images were acquired 16 h later using an InCell-6500 automated confocal microscope (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA), and the percentages of infection and total cell numbers were assessed as described [32].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical calculations were carried out using Prism 10 statistical software (Graph Pad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for statistical comparisons of groups of data. Then, individual differences between treatments were identified using Dunn's test as a post hoc test. Prism 10 was also used for determination of the IC₅₀ and CC₅₀ values.

3. Results

3.1. Screening of 42 Compounds Isolated from Lichens

In order to identify new antiviral compounds against HCoVs and broaden the chemical structure diversity, 42 compounds isolated from lichens or their associated bacteria and fungi (Table 1) were tested against HCoV-229E-Luc. All the compounds were previously described in the literature (listed in Table 1) and were characterized using NMR spectroscopy and HR-MS. Huh-7 cells transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing the TMPRSS2 protease gene were used to mimic the in vivo entry pathway. In parallel, the toxicity of these compounds in Huh-7 cells was assessed using an MTS assay at 24 h. The toxicity and antiviral activity of each compound were tested at 25 $\mu g/mL$, and the results are presented in Figure 1.

Table 1. Lichen and microbial metabolites tested in vitro.

\mathbf{N}°	Name of Compound	Class of Compound Source		Reference
		Aliphatic acids		
1	Caperatic acid		Flavoparmelia caperata	[34]
2	Roccellic acid		Lepraria membranacea	[35]
		Amino-acid derivatives		
3	N-Acetyltyramine		Endolichenic fungus Nemania aena var aureolatum	*
4	Cyclo(L-Tyr-L-Tpn)		α -Proteobacterium MOLA 1416 (lichen-associated bacterium)	[36]
5	Cyclo(L-Br-Tyr-D-Pro)		<i>Nocardia ignorata</i> (lichen-associated bacterium)	[37]
6	Enniatin B		Fusarium avenaceum (endolichenic fungus)	*
7	Indole-3- carboxaldehyde		<i>Nocardia ignorata</i> (lichen-associated bacterium)	[37]
8	<i>N-</i> Methyldactinomycin		<i>Streptomyces cyaneofuscatus</i> (lichen-associated bacterium)	[38]
		Anthraquinones		
9	Emodin		Nephroma laevigatum	[39]
10	Parietin		Xanthoria parietina	[29]
11	(+)-Rugulosin		Coniochaeta lignicola (endolichenic fungi)	[40]
12	Solorinic acid		Solorina crocea	[29]
		Chromones and Xanthones		
13	2,5- dichlorolichexanthon		Pertusaria aleianta	[29]
14	Lepraric acid		Roccella fuciformis	[41]
15	Tri- <i>O-</i> methylarthothelin		Dimelaena cf. australiensis	
		Depsides		
16	Atranorin		Parmotrema tinctorum	[29]
17	Erythrin		Roccella phycopsis	[36]
18	Evernic acid		Evernia prunastri	[29]
19	Perlatolic acid		Cladonia portentosa	[42]
20	Squamatic acid		Cladonia squamosa	
		Depsidones		
21	Fumarprotocetraric acid		Cetraria islandica	[29]
22	Norstictic acid		Pleurosticta acetabulum	[43]
23	Psoromic acid		Squamarina cartilaginea	[29]
24	Variolaric acid		Ochrolechia parella	[44]
25	Salazinic acid		Parmelia saxatilis	*

N°	Name of Compound	Class of Compound	Source	Reference
		Dibenzofurans		
26	Didymic acid		Cladonia incrassata	[45]
27	(–)-Placodiolic acid		Leprocaulum microscopicum	[46]
28	(–)-Usnic acid		Leprocaulum microscopicum	[46]
		Lactones and macrolides		
29	Aspicilin		Aspicilia caesiocinerea	[29]
30	(+)-Roccellaric acid		Cetraria islandica	[47]
31	(–)-Lichesterinic acid		<i>Cetraria komarovii</i> Synthetic compound	[48]
		Pulvinic acid derivative		
32	Vulpinic acid		Letharia vulpina	[29]
		Phenolic acid and derivatives		
33	β-Orcinol carboxylic acid		Pseudevernia furfuracea	[29]
34	Chloroatranol		Evernia prunastri	[29]
35	Tyrosol acetate		Endolichenic fungi Nemania aena var aureolatum	*
36	Tyrosol		Endolichenic fungi Nemania aena var aureolatum	*
		Terpenes		
37	3β-Acetoxyhopan- 1β,22-diol		Pseudoparmelia texana	[49]
38	Helvolic acid		Endolichenic fungi Nemania aena var aureolatum	[50]
39	16α-Hydroxykauran		Ramalina tumidula	[29]
40	Hopane-6α,7β,22-triol		Nephroma laevigatum	*
41	Zeorin		Leprocaulum microscopicum	[46]
		Others derivatives		
42	6-Methoxy-2-methyl-3- heptylprodiginine		α-Proteobacterium MOLA 1416 (lichen-associated bacterium)	[36]

Table 1. Cont.

* Isolated from lichens or endolichenic fungi. Millot, Mambu. Unpublished results.

As shown in Figure 1A, 6-methoxy-2-methyl-3-heptylprodiginine had a severe and significant effect on cell viability at the tested concentration (p = 0.021). Some of the other compounds, including enniatin B, everinic acid, perlatolic acid, psoromic acid, variolaric acid and (–)-placodiolic acid had a mild, though not significant, effect on cell viability. For the antiviral assays, five compounds were identified to strongly (approximately $2 \times \text{Log}_{10}$) and significantly reduce HCoV-229E-Luc infection (Figure 1B): perlatolic acid (p = 0.020), vulpinic acid (p = 0.031), enniatin B (p = 0.022), (–)-placodiolic acid (p = 0.029) and 6-methoxy-2-methyl-3-heptylprodiginine (p = 0.011). However, as the latter two also had a greater effect on cell viability, they were not further considered for investigation in the present study. In addition, for two other compounds, chloroatranol and emodin, a reduction in infection of approximately $1 \times \text{Log}_{10}$ was observed, although this was not



significant with our test conditions. Based on these results, among the 42 tested compounds, five were selected for further investigation.

Figure 1. Toxicity in Huh-7 cells and antiviral activity of lichen compounds against HCoV-229E-Luc. (**A**) Huh-7 cells were incubated with each compound at 25 µg/mL for 24 h. An MTS assay was performed to monitor cell viability. (**B**) Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells seeded in a 96-well plate were inoculated with HCoV-229E-Luc in the presence of natural compounds at 25 µg/mL. The cells were lysed 7 h post-inoculation, and luciferase activity was quantified. The data are expressed relative to the control DMSO at 0.02%, for which a value of 100 was attributed. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM of 3 experiments. Significantly different from the control (DMSO): * p < 0.05.

3.2. Determination of IC_{50} of the Active Compounds

Dose–response experiments were performed to confirm antiviral activity of the selected molecules. The toxicity of the compounds was also determined at the same concentrations at 24 h and 48 h (Figure 2A). Antiviral assays were performed for Huh-7 and Huh-7/TMPRSS2 to cover the two entry pathways (Figure 2B).

As shown in Figure 2B, the antiviral activity against HCoV-229E-Luc was confirmed for perlatolic acid, vulpinic acid, emodin, and chloroatranol, while for enniatin B, the antiviral activity could not be confirmed. The decrease in luciferase activity was weaker in the Huh-7 cells compared to the Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells because the luciferase level was lower in Huh-7 cells than Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells due to a reduced infection rate. However, it was confirmed that four compounds exerted an antiviral activity against HCoV-229E-Luc in Huh-7 and Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells, demonstrating that they were able to inhibit virus infection via the two entry pathways. The CC_{50} , IC_{50} and selectivity index (SI; CC_{50}/IC_{50}) of each compound are shown in Table 2.



Figure 2. Dose–response experiments. For each compound, toxicity at 24 h and 48 h (**A**) and antiviral activity (**B**) were determined with increasing concentrations of each molecule. The method was the same as in Figure 1. The antiviral activity and the toxicity were assessed at 0, 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 μ g/mL. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM of 3 experiments.

		Huh-7		Huh-7/TMPRSS2	
	CC ₅₀ (µM) *	IC ₅₀ (μM)	SI	IC ₅₀ (μM)	SI
Perlatolic acid	48.9	20.81 ± 7.44	2.3	16.42 ± 1.66	3.0
Vulpinic acid	155	19.86 ± 9.46	7.8	14.58 ± 5.55	10.6
Émodin	>185	59.58 ± 1.62	>3.1	59.25 ± 2.47	>3.1
Chloroatranol	>268	65.81 ± 6.27	>4	68.86 ± 11.52	>3.9
* CC at 48 h					

Table 2. Toxicity, activity and selectivity index of lichen compounds against HCoV-229E.

* CC₅₀ at 48 h.

The results showed that perlatolic acid and vulpinic acid were the most active, as they had the lowest IC₅₀ values (16.42 \pm 1.66 μ M and 14.58 \pm 5.55 μ M, respectively). Moreover, vulpinic acid displayed a higher SI than perlatolic acid. For emodin and chloroatranol, the CC₅₀ and SI values could not be calculated because the CC₅₀ was higher than the tested concentrations.

3.3. Kinetic Study

To gain insights into the mechanism of action of the active compounds, a time-ofaddition assay was performed for chloroatranol, emodin, perlatolic acid and vulpinic acid. The concentration used for this assay was fixed at approximately $2 \times IC_{50}$. The compounds were added at different time points during infection of HCoV-229E-Luc in Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells, either before inoculation (pre-treatment), during the inoculation (entry) or 1 h or 2 h post-inoculation (replication) (Figure 3A). Camostat mesylate, a TMPRSS2 inhibitor, was added as an entry inhibitor control. Remdesivir (RdRp inhibitor) and GC376 (M^{pro} protease inhibitor) were added as controls of the replication step. The results show that the four molecules were active when they were added at the post-inoculation step, most likely the replication step, with similar kinetics of action than remdesivir and GC376 (Figure 3B). No antiviral activity was observed when the compounds were added before or during the inoculation step, demonstrating that they are unlikely to inhibit entry. Even if the concentration used for this assay was fixed at $2 \times IC_{50}$, the antiviral inhibition capacity was different between the molecules.



Figure 3. Time-of-addition assay. (A) Schematic representation of the experiment. (B) Lichen molecules (140 μ M chloroatranol, 120 μ M emodin, 40 μ M vulpinic acid and 40 μ M perlatolic acid) and controls (50 μ M camostat, 50 μ M GC376 and 200 nM remdesivir) were added at different time points during infection of Huh-7/TMPRSS2 cells by HCoV-229E-Luc, either 1 h before inoculation (pre-treatment), during inoculation for 1 h (inoculation), 1 h post-inoculation for 6 h (1 h PI), 2 h post-inoculation for 5 h (2 h PI), or during all the steps (All steps). Cells were lysed 7 h post-inoculation and luciferase activity was quantified. Results are the mean \pm SEM of 3 experiments performed in triplicate.

3.4. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Activity

Due to their interesting effects on HCoV-229E, these molecules were further investigated for their potential pan-coronavirus activity. Therefore, the antiviral activity of chloroatranol, emodin, perlatolic acid and vulpinic acid were tested against SARS-CoV-2 in Vero-81-derived F1G-red cells [32]. The protease inhibitor GC376 was added as a control. As shown in Figure 4, chloroatranol and vulpinic acid were not active. Emodin seemed toxic at the highest concentration tested (200 μ M); therefore, the antiviral activity observed was more likely due to toxicity. The only active compound against SARS-CoV-2 was perlatolic acid, which exerted a dose-dependent inhibition of infection without toxicity, with an IC₅₀ value of 31.9 μ M. As expected, GC376 was active against SARS-CoV-2, with an IC₅₀ value of 0.22 μ M.



Figure 4. Antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2. F1G-red cells seeded in 384-well plates were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.2 in the presence of 50 nM tariquidar and the compounds at the indicated concentrations. Infection was recorded 16 h post-inoculation. Images were acquired using an InCell-6500 automated confocal microscope, and the nuclei and infected cells were quantified. The graphs represent the number of infected cells and the total number of cells relative to the DMSO control of two experiments performed in triplicate.

4. Discussion

Of the 42 lichen-associated compounds that were tested for their activity against HCoV-229E infection in Huh-7/TMPRSS2, only four had a clear antiviral activity. However, the antiviral activity of enniatin B, isolated from an endolichenic fungus *Fusarium avenaceum* could not be validated in dose–response experiments after the first screen. This compound has already been described as cytotoxic towards several cancer cell lines [51].

Moderate activity was observed for two compounds (emodin and chloroatranol), while perlatolic and vulpinic acids displayed higher activities. Each compound belongs to a different chemical class, including depside (perlatolic acid), monoaromatic (chloratranol), anthraquinone (emodin) and pulvinic derivatives (vulpinic acid) (Figure 5). Structurally, they all consist of one or more hydrophobic aromatic ring(s) and at least one hydroxyl group, allowing hydrogen bonding with the target. The time-of-addition assay showed that these compounds inhibit HCoV-229E infection similarly to remdesivir and GC376. It is therefore likely that they inhibited the replication step, but more research will be required to identify the exact target. Replication is one of the major targets of antiviral agents used in therapy. The efficacy of nirmatrelvir, an inhibitor of the main protease of SARS-CoV-2, in COVID-19 patients is proof that HCoV infection might be successfully inhibited by these types of molecules.



Figure 5. Chemical structures of the most active lichen compounds.

Emodin, an anthraquinone compound isolated from the lichen *Nephroma laevigatum* [52], is also biosynthetized by various plants [53]. It was previously described as an inhibitor of the interaction between the SARS-CoV spike protein and the host ACE2 in a dose-dependent manner [54]. Unfortunately, we could not observe any activity of emodin against SARS-CoV-2. Our results showed that emodin is active against HCoV-229E when added after the entry step, meaning that it is most likely a replication inhibitor. For parietin, its derivative with a closely related structure, a loss of activity was noticed. The methylation of the hydroxyl in position 3 for the former may prevent the formation of the hydrogen bond. Soloronic acid might lack activity due to the presence of a methoxy group and a ketone with a pentyl chain in its structure.

Chloroatranol is an hydrolyzed compound of chloroatranorin, a depside present in tree moss (*Pseudevernia furfuracea*) and oak moss (*Evernia prunastri*) used in fragrances [29]. Due to its potent skin sensitizing toxicity, choroatranol is now prohibited from use in cosmetics by the European Commission [55]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the potential antiviral activity of chloroatranol.

None of the dibenzofurans tested displayed any antiviral activity against HCoV-229E. The antiviral potencies of (+) or (-) usnic acids are markedly influenced by their absolute configuration. In two cases, for the inhibition of the replication of Epstein–Barr virus as well as against the influenza virus A (H1N1), the enantiomer (-) usnic acid was shown to be more active, with a higher selectivity index SI [56]. A recent paper highlights the potential of usnic acid as inhibitor against SARS-CoV-2 Mpro using a virtual screening [57]. We did not test the antiviral activity of usnic acid against SARS-CoV-2, but our results showed that it is not active against HCoV-229E. It is interesting to note that this same article revealed a significant Mpro inhibition ability of variolaric acid, which was shown herein to be inactive, at least against HCoV-229E. Moreover, a docking analysis demonstrated the ability of usnic acid and zeorin to inhibit the interaction between the spike protein RBD and ACE2 [58].

The activity against the main protease of SARS-CoV-2 of two other pulvinic acid derivatives, rhizocarpic acid and calycin, has been recently highlighted in silico among the 412 lichen compounds screened [23]. However, the in vitro evaluation conducted here on vulpinic, pulvinic and rhizocarpic acids and calycin did not confirm its potential against SARS-CoV-2 and/or HCoV-229E.

Among all the compounds investigated in this study, only perlatolic acid, a depside isolated from *Cladonia portentosa* in Ref. [42] was active against both HCoV-229E and SARS-CoV-2. This activity was lower than the cysteine protease inhibitor GC376, but synthesis of its analogues could be a good alternative to improve its activity. Perlatolic acid has already been highlighted for its anti-inflammatory activities and its ability to inhibit the prostaglandine E2 synthase-1, 5-lipoxygenase and NF-kB pathways [42,59]. A comparison

with the structural features of the other four depsides tested in this study may suggested the role of the carboxylic function and the lipophilic chains in antiviral activity (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Structure of the other depsides tested in vitro.

The esterification of erythrin and atranorin, together with the methyl group on the aromatic ring, contribute to the loss of the antiviral activity. In the same way, the lack of an alkyl chain in the depside core, such as for evernic acid, decreases the antiviral activity. Future in vitro evaluations of closely related compounds such as prasinic acid, anziaic acid, sphaerophorin or divaricatic acid will be of interest.

In conclusion, this study led to the identification of new antiviral agents against HCoVs, and one of them, perlatolic acid, was active against two coronaviruses of different genus, alphacoronavirus (HCoV-229E) and betacoronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). Interestingly, they all inhibited infection at the post-inoculation step, which was most likely the replication step. Replication is the major target of the antivirals used so far for COVID-19 treatment, with inhibitors targeting the RdRp, such as remdesivir and monulpiravir, or Mpro inhibitors, such as nirmatrelvir. Efforts should be made to better characterize the mechanisms of action of these natural compounds isolated from lichens and to identify their targets.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.S. and S.T.; methodology, L.D., Y.R. and S.B.; validation, L.D., Y.R. and C.C.; formal analysis, K.S. and L.D.; investigation, L.D., N.F. and C.C.; resources, J.B., M.C.-K., M.M., L.M. and S.T.; writing—original draft preparation, K.S.; writing—review and editing, L.D., S.B., S.T., M.M., L.M., J.B., Y.R. and M.C.-K.; supervision, K.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS: COVID and ViroCrib programs). L.D. is a recipient of a CNRS and Institut Pasteur de Lille fellowship.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank Volker Thiel for providing HCoV-229E-Luc. We are also grateful to Robin Prath and Nicolas Vandenabeele for their technical help in the BSL3 facility. We thank Solenn Ferron (CorInt team) for the preparation of the samples and Robert Lücking and Harrie Sipman (Botanical Garden and Botanical Museum in Berlin) for giving us access to samples from Siegfried Huneck' chemical library.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Forni, D.; Cagliani, R.; Clerici, M.; Sironi, M. Molecular evolution of Human coronavirus genomes. *Trends Microbiol.* 2017, 25, 35–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- V'kovski, P.; Kratzel, A.; Steiner, S.; Stalder, H.; Thiel, V. Coronavirus biology and replication: Implications for SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2020, 19, 155–170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 3. Malone, B.; Urakova, N.; Snijder, E.J.; Campbell, E.A. Structures and functions of coronavirus replication-transcription complexes and their relevance for SARS-CoV-2 drug design. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2022**, *23*, 21–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoffmann, M.; Kleine-Weber, H.; Schroeder, S.; Krüger, N.; Herrler, T.; Erichsen, S.; Schiergens, T.S.; Herrler, G.; Wu, N.-H.; Nitsche, A.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on Ace2 and TMPRSS2 and Is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell* 2020, *181*, 271–280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Chen, D.; Zheng, Q.; Sun, L.; Ji, M.; Li, Y.; Deng, H.; Zhang, H. ORF3a of SARS-CoV-2 promotes lysosomal exocytosis-mediated viral egress. *Dev. Cell* **2021**, *56*, 3250–3263.e5. [CrossRef]
- 6. Ghosh, S.; Dellibovi-Ragheb, T.A.; Pak, E.; Qiu, Q.; Fisher, M.; Takvorian, P.M.; Bleck, C.; Hsu, V.; Fehr, A.R.; Perlman, S.; et al. β-Coronaviruses use lysosomal organelles for cellular egress. *bioRxiv* **2020**, *7*, 192310. [CrossRef]
- Bruel, T.; Hadjadj, J.; Maes, P.; Planas, D.; Seve, A.; Staropoli, I.; Guivel-Benhassine, F.; Porrot, F.; Bolland, W.-H.; Nguyen, Y.; et al. Serum neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron sublineages BA.1 and BA.2 in patients receiving monoclonal antibodies. *Nat. Med.* 2022, 28, 1297–1302. [CrossRef]
- Takashita, E.; Yamayoshi, S.; Simon, V.; van Bakel, H.; Sordillo, E.M.; Pekosz, A.; Fukushi, S.; Suzuki, T.; Maeda, K.; Halfmann, P.; et al. Efficacy of Antibodies and Antiviral Drugs against Omicron BA.2.12.1, BA.4, and BA.5 Subvariants. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2022, 387, 468–470. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wang, M.; Cao, R.; Zhang, L.; Yang, X.; Liu, J.; Xu, M.; Shi, Z.; Hu, Z.; Zhong, W.; Xiao, G. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res.* **2020**, *30*, 269–271. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gottlieb, R.L.; Vaca, C.E.; Paredes, R.; Mera, J.; Webb, B.J.; Perez, G.; Oguchi, G.; Ryan, P.; Nielsen, B.U.; Brown, M.; et al. Early Remdesivir to Prevent Progression to Severe COVID-19 in Outpatients. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2022**, *386*, 305–315. [CrossRef]
- Sheahan, T.P.; Sims, A.C.; Zhou, S.; Graham, R.L.; Pruijssers, A.J.; Agostini, M.L.; Leist, S.R.; Schäfer, A.; Dinnon, K.H.; Stevens, L.J.; et al. An orally bioavailable broad-spectrum antiviral inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in human airway epithelial cell cultures and multiple coronaviruses in mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2020, *12*, eabb5883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Butler, C.; Hobbs, R.; Gbinigie, O.; Rahman, N.M.; Hayward, G.; Richards, D.; Dorward, J.; Lowe, D.; Standing, J.F.; Breuer, J.; et al. Molnupiravir Plus Usual Care Versus Usual Care Alone as Early Treatment for Adults with COVID-19 at Increased Risk of Adverse Outcomes (PANORAMIC): Preliminary Analysis from the United Kingdom Randomised, Controlled Open-Label, Platform Adaptive Trial. *Lancet* 2022, 401, 281–293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Owen, D.R.; Allerton, C.M.N.; Anderson, A.S.; Aschenbrenner, L.; Avery, M.; Berritt, S.; Boras, B.; Cardin, R.D.; Carlo, A.; Coffman, K.J.; et al. An oral SARS-CoV-2 Mpro inhibitor clinical candidate for the treatment of COVID-19. *Science* 2021, 374, 1586–1593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hammond, J.; Leister-Tebbe, H.; Gardner, A.; Abreu, P.; Bao, W.; Wisemandle, W.; Baniecki, M.; Hendrick, V.M.; Damle, B.; Simón-Campos, A.; et al. Oral Nirmatrelvir for High-Risk, Nonhospitalized Adults with COVID-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2022, 386, 1397–1408. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ali, S.I.; Sheikh, W.M.; Rather, M.A.; Venkatesalu, V.; Muzamil Bashir, S.; Nabi, S.U. Medicinal plants: Treasure for antiviral drug discovery. *Phytother. Res.* 2021, *35*, 3447–3483. [CrossRef]
- 16. Vougogiannopoulou, K.; Corona, A.; Tramontano, E.; Alexis, M.N.; Skaltsounis, A.-L. Natural and Nature-Derived Products Targeting Human Coronaviruses. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 448. [CrossRef]
- 17. Christy, M.P.; Uekusa, Y.; Gerwick, L.; Gerwick, W.H. Natural Products with Potential to Treat RNA Virus Pathogens Including SARS-CoV-2. J. Nat. Prod. 2021, 84, 161–182. [CrossRef]
- Müller, C.; Schulte, F.W.; Lange-Grünweller, K.; Obermann, W.; Madhugiri, R.; Pleschka, S.; Ziebuhr, J.; Hartmann, R.K.; Grünweller, A. Broad-spectrum antiviral activity of the eIF4A inhibitor silvestrol against corona- and picornaviruses. *Antivir. Res.* 2018, 150, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- Kim, D.E.; Min, J.S.; Jang, M.S.; Lee, J.Y.; Shin, Y.S.; Song, J.H.; Kim, H.R.; Kim, S.; Jin, Y.-H.; Kwon, S. Natural Bis-Benzylisoquinoline Alkaloids-Tetrandrine, Fangchinoline, and Cepharanthine, Inhibit Human Coronavirus OC43 Infection of MRC-5 Human Lung Cells. *Biomolecules* 2019, *9*, 696. [CrossRef]
- Cinatl, J.; Morgenstern, B.; Bauer, G.; Chandra, P.; Rabenau, H.; Doerr, H. Glycyrrhizin, an active component of liquorice roots, and replication of SARS-associated coronavirus. *Lancet* 2003, 361, 2045–2046. [CrossRef]
- Meunier, T.; Desmarets, L.; Bordage, S.; Bamba, M.; Hervouet, K.; Rouillé, Y.; François, N.; Decossas, M.; Sencio, V.; Trottein, F.; et al. A Photoactivable Natural Product with Broad Antiviral Activity against Enveloped Viruses, Including Highly Pathogenic Coronaviruses. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2022, 66, e01581-21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jo, S.; Kim, S.; Shin, D.H.; Kim, M.-S. Inhibition of SARS-CoV 3CL protease by flavonoids. J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 2020, 35, 145–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Joshi, T.; Sharma, P.; Joshi, T.; Pundir, H.; Mathpal, S.; Chandra, S. Structure-based screening of novel lichen compounds against SARS Coronavirus main protease (Mpro) as potentials inhibitors of COVID-19. *Mol. Divers.* 2021, 25, 1665–1677. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, D.; Hamdoun, S.; Chen, R.; Yang, L.; Ip, C.K.; Qu, Y.; Li, R.; Jiang, H.; Yang, Z.; Chung, S.K.; et al. Identification of natural compounds as SARS-CoV-2 entry inhibitors by molecular docking-based virtual screening with bio-layer interferometry. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2021, 172, 105820. [CrossRef]
- 25. Wu, C.; Liu, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, P.; Zhong, W.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Q.; Xu, Y.; Li, M.; Li, X.; et al. Analysis of therapeutic targets for SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of potential drugs by computational methods. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* **2020**, *10*, 766–788. [CrossRef]

- 26. Zambare, V.P.; Christopher, L.P. Biopharmaceutical potential of lichens. Pharm. Biol. 2012, 50, 778–798. [CrossRef]
- 27. Honegger, R. Lichens and Their Allies Past and Present. Mycota 2022, 5, 133–183. [CrossRef]
- 28. Hawksworth, D.L.; Grube, M. Lichens redefined as complex ecosystems. New Phytol. 2020, 227, 1281–1283. [CrossRef]
- Huneck, S.; Yoshimura, I. Identification of Lichen Substances. In *Identification of Lichen Substances*; Huneck, S., Yoshimura, I., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1996; pp. 11–123. ISBN 978-3-642-85243-5.
- Parrot, D.; Antony-Babu, S.; Intertaglia, L.; Grube, M.; Tomasi, S.; Suzuki, M.T. Littoral lichens as a novel source of potentially bioactive Actinobacteria. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 15839. [CrossRef]
- Lagarde, A.; Jargeat, P.; Roy, M.; Girardot, M.; Imbert, C.; Millot, M.; Mambu, L. Fungal communities associated with *Evernia* prunastri, Ramalina fastigiata and Pleurosticta acetabulum: Three epiphytic lichens potentially active against Candida biofilms. Microbiol. Res. 2018, 211, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Desmarets, L.; Callens, N.; Hoffmann, E.; Danneels, A.; Lavie, M.; Couturier, C.; Dubuisson, J.; Belouzard, S.; Rouillé, Y. A reporter cell line for the automated quantification of SARS-CoV-2 infection in living cells. *Front. Microbiol.* 2022, 13, 1031204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Belouzard, S.; Machelart, A.; Sencio, V.; Vausselin, T.; Hoffmann, E.; Deboosere, N.; Rouillé, Y.; Desmarets, L.; Séron, K.; Danneels, A.; et al. Clofoctol inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication and reduces lung pathology in mice. *PLoS Pathog.* 2022, 18, e1010498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Dieu, A.; Mambu, L.; Champavier, Y.; Chaleix, V.; Sol, V.; Gloaguen, V.; Millot, M. Antibacterial activity of the lichens *Usnea Florida* and *Flavoparmelia caperata* (Parmeliaceae). *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2020**, *34*, 3358–3362. [CrossRef]
- Le Pogam, P.; Boustie, J.; Richomme, P.; Denis, A.; Schinkovitz, A. The inherent matrix properties of lichen metabolites in matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2017, *31*, 1993–2002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Parrot, D.; Intertaglia, L.; Jehan, P.; Grube, M.; Suzuki, M.T.; Tomasi, S. Chemical analysis of the Alphaproteobacterium strain MOLA1416 associated with the marine lichen *Lichina pygmaea*. *Phytochemistry* **2018**, 145, 57–67. [CrossRef]
- Noël, A.; Ferron, S.; Rouaud, I.; Gouault, N.; Hurvois, J.-P.; Tomasi, S. Isolation and Structure Identification of Novel Brominated Diketopiperazines from *Nocardia ignorata*—A Lichen-Associated Actinobacterium. *Molecules* 2017, 22, 371. [CrossRef]
- Parrot, D.; Legrave, N.; Intertaglia, L.; Rouaud, I.; Legembre, P.; Grube, M.; Suzuki, M.T.; Tomasi, S. Cyaneodimycin, a Bioactive Compound Isolated from the Culture of *Streptomyces cyaneofuscatus* Associated with *Lichina confinis. Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 2016, 3977–3982. [CrossRef]
- Lagarde, A.; Mambu, L.; Mai, P.-Y.; Champavier, Y.; Stigliani, J.-L.; Beniddir, M.A.; Millot, M. Chlorinated bianthrones from the cyanolichen Nephroma laevigatum. Fitoterapia 2021, 149, 104811. [CrossRef]
- 40. Toure, S.; Millot, M.; Ory, L.; Roullier, C.; Khaldi, Z.; Pichon, V.; Girardot, M.; Imbert, C.; Mambu, L. Access to Anti-Biofilm Compounds from Endolichenic Fungi Using a Bioguided Networking Screening. *J. Fungi* **2022**, *8*, 1012. [CrossRef]
- 41. Parrot, D.; Peresse, T.; Hitti, E.; Carrie, D.; Grube, M.; Tomasi, S. Qualitative and spatial metabolite profiling of lichens by a LC-MS approach combined with optimised extraction. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2015**, *26*, 23–33. [CrossRef]
- Bauer, J.; Waltenberger, B.; Noha, S.M.; Schuster, D.; Rollinger, J.M.; Boustie, J.; Chollet, M.; Stuppner, H.; Werz, O. Discovery of depsides and depsidones from lichen as potent inhibitors of microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1 using pharmacophore models. *ChemMedChem* 2012, 7, 2077–2081. [CrossRef]
- Delebassée, S.; Mambu, L.; Pinault, E.; Champavier, Y.; Liagre, B.; Millot, M. Cytochalasin E in the lichen *Pleurosticta acetabulum*. Anti-proliferative activity against human HT-29 colorectal cancer cells and quantitative variability. *Fitoterapia* 2017, 121, 146–151. [CrossRef]
- 44. Millot, M.; Tomasi, S.; Articus, K.; Rouaud, I.; Bernard, A.; Boustie, J. Metabolites from the Lichen *Ochrolechia parella* growing under two different heliotropic conditions. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2007**, *70*, 316–318. [CrossRef]
- Dieu, A.; Millot, M.; Champavier, Y.; Mambu, L.; Chaleix, V.; Sol, V.; Gloaguen, V. Uncommon Chlorinated Xanthone and Other Antibacterial Compounds from the Lichen *Cladonia incrassata*. *Planta Med.* 2014, *80*, 931–935. [CrossRef]
- Millot, M.; Martin-de-Lassalle, M.; Chollet-Krugler, M.; Champavier, Y.; Mambu, L.; Chulia, J.-A.; Lacaille-Dubois, M.-A. Two New Retigerane-Type Sesterterpenoids from the Lichen Leprocaulon microscopicum. Helv. Chim. Acta 2016, 99, 169–173. [CrossRef]
- 47. Horhant, D.; Lamer, A.-C.L.; Boustie, J.; Uriac, P.; Gouault, N. Separation of a mixture of paraconic acids from *Cetraria islandica* (L.) Ach. employing a fluorous tag—Catch and release strategy. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 6031–6033. [CrossRef]
- 48. Sweidan, A.; Chollet-Krugler, M.; van de Weghe, P.; Chokr, A.; Tomasi, S.; Bonnaure-Mallet, M.; Bousarghin, L. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of potential antibacterial butyrolactones. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *24*, 5823–5833. [CrossRef]
- 49. Huneck, S.; Preiss, A.; Schmidt, J.; Mendez, A.M. 3β-Acetoxyhopan-1β,22-diol, a triterpene from the lichen *Pseudoparmelia texana*. *Phytochemistry* **1983**, *22*, 2027–2030. [CrossRef]
- Lagarde, A. Études Phytochimiques du Lichen Nephroma laevigatum et de ses Champignons Endolichéniques. Évaluation des Activités Antiprolifératives et Anti-Biofilms. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Limoges, Limoges, France, 2017.
- 51. Prosperini, A.; Berrada, H.; Ruiz, M.J.; Caloni, F.; Coccini, T.; Spicer, L.J.; Perego, M.C.; Lafranconi, A. A Review of the Mycotoxin Enniatin B. *Front. Public Health* **2017**, *5*, 304. [CrossRef]
- 52. Bendz, G.; Bohman, G.; Santesson, J. Chlorinated Anthraquinones from *Nephroma laevigatum*. Acta Chem. Scand. 1967, 21, 2889–2890. [CrossRef]

- Dwivedi, S.P.D.; Pandey, V.B.; Shah, A.H.; Rao, Y.B. Chemical constituents of *Rhamnus procumbens* and pharmacological actions of emodin. *Phytother. Res.* 1988, 2, 51–53. [CrossRef]
- 54. Ho, T.-Y.; Wu, S.-L.; Chen, J.-C.; Li, C.-C.; Hsiang, C.-Y. Emodin blocks the SARS coronavirus spike protein and angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 interaction. *Antivir. Res.* 2007, 74, 92–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, C.-H.; Fang, M.-C.; Chen, Y.-Z.; Huang, S.-C.; Wang, D.-Y. Quantitative analysis of fragrance allergens in various matrixes of cosmetics by liquid-liquid extraction and GC-MS. J. Food Drug Anal. 2021, 29, 700–708. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sokolov, D.N.; Zarubaev, V.V.; Shtro, A.A.; Polovinka, M.P.; Luzina, O.A.; Komarova, N.I.; Salakhutdinov, N.F.; Kiselev, O.I. Anti-viral activity of (–)- and (+)-usnic acids and their derivatives against influenza virus A(H1N1)2009. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2012, 22, 7060–7064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gupta, A.; Sahu, N.; Singh, A.P.; Singh, V.K.; Singh, S.C.; Upadhye, V.J.; Mathew, A.T.; Kumar, R.; Sinha, R.P. Exploration of Novel Lichen Compounds as Inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro: Ligand-Based Design, Molecular Dynamics, and ADMET Analyses. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 2022, 194, 6386–6406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prateeksha, G.; Rana, T.S.; Ashthana, A.K.; Barik, S.K.; Singh, B.N. Screening of cryptogamic secondary metabolites as putative inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease and ribosomal binding domain of spike glycoprotein by molecular docking and molecular dynamics approaches. J. Mol. Struct. 2021, 1240, 130506. [CrossRef]
- Oettl, S.K.; Gerstmeier, J.; Khan, S.Y.; Wiechmann, K.; Bauer, J.; Atanasov, A.G.; Malainer, C.; Awad, E.M.; Uhrin, P.; Heiss, E.H.; et al. Imbricaric acid and perlatolic acid: Multi-targeting anti-inflammatory depsides from *Cetrelia monachorum*. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e76929. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.