

*Supplementary material*

# Evaluation of Compost and Biochar to Mitigate Chlorpyrifos Pollution in Soil and Their Effect on Soil Enzyme Dynamics

**Humera Aziz** <sup>1,\*</sup>, **Xiukang Wang** <sup>2,\*</sup>, **Ghulam Murtaza** <sup>3,\*</sup>, **Ambreen Ashar** <sup>4</sup>, **Sarfraz Hussain** <sup>5</sup>, **Muhammad Abid** <sup>6</sup>, **Behzad Murtaza** <sup>7</sup>, **Muhammad Hamzah Saleem** <sup>8</sup>, **Sajid Fiaz** <sup>9</sup> and **Shafaqat Ali** <sup>1,10</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>
- Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Government College University Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan; shafaqataligill@yahoo.com
- 
- <sup>2</sup>
- College of Life Sciences, Yan'an University, Yan'an 716000, China
- 
- <sup>3</sup>
- Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38000, Pakistan
- 
- <sup>4</sup>
- Department of Chemistry, Government College Women University Faisalabad 38860, Pakistan; ambreenashar2013@gmail.com
- 
- <sup>5</sup>
- Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad-38850, Pakistan; acpesticides@gmail.com
- 
- <sup>6</sup>
- Department of statistics, Government College University Faisalabad 38000, Pakistan; mabid@gcuf.edu.pk
- 
- <sup>7</sup>
- Department of Environmental Sciences, COMSATS University Islamabad, Vehari-Campus, Vehari 61100, Pakistan; behzadmurtaza@cuivehari.edu.pk
- 
- <sup>8</sup>
- College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China; saleemhamza312@webmail.hzau.edu.cn
- 
- <sup>9</sup>
- Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, The University of Haripur, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa 22620, Pakistan; sfiaz@uoh.edu.pk (S.F)
- 
- <sup>10</sup>
- Department of Biological Sciences and Technology, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan; shafaqataligill@yahoo.com
- 
- \* Correspondence: humeraaziz.uaf@gmail.com (H.A.); wangxiukang@yau.edu.cn (X.W.); gmurtazauf@gmail.com (G.M.)

**Table S1.** Selected physicochemical properties of the soil and amendments used in the study.

Characteristic	Soil	Compost	Biochar
<b>Texture</b>	Sandy clay loam	--	--
<b>Sand (%)</b>	56.4 ± 1.04	--	--
<b>Silt (%)</b>	18.9 ± 0.98	--	--
<b>Clay (%)</b>	24.7 ± 1.01	--	--
<b>pH<sub>w(1:10)</sub></b>	7.44 ± 0.10	6.25 ± 0.09	7.89 ± 0.08
<b>EC<sub>w(1:10)</sub> (dS m<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	3.21 ± 0.08	3.10 ± 0.15	4.01 ± 0.08
<b>TSS (mmol L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	32 ± 0.2	--	--
<b>Total organic carbon (%)</b>	0.87 ± 0.03	35.36 ± 1.32	43.80 ± 1.65
<b>Specific surface area (m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	0.09 ± 0.008	31.37 ± 0.04	94.83 ± 0.09
<b>Pore size (nm)</b>	--	21 ± 0.32	15.0 ± 0.51
<b>Pore volume (cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	--	0.0035 ± 0.0001	0.090 ± 0.0001
<b>CEC cmol kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	5.2 ± 0.87	107.5 ± 4.34	85 ± 3.94

Values are presented as means ± standard error of three replicates, EC: Electrical conductivity, TSS: Total soluble salts, CEC: Cation exchange capacity, The specific surface area of soil and amendments represents the BET surface area calculated from N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms at 77 K. The pore size and pore volume were computed using NOVA Win 2.0 software with N2 adsorption data.

**Table S2.** Treatment description and their abbreviations used in the study.

Treatment	Abbreviations
Control	CP <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub>
CP 100 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	CP <sub>100</sub>
CP100 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> + fresh compost 0.50%	CP <sub>100</sub> + FC
CP100 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> + Wheat straw biochar 0.50%	CP <sub>100</sub> + WSB

CP 200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	CP <sub>200</sub>
CP200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> + fresh compost 0.50%	CP <sub>200</sub> + FC
CP200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> + Wheat straw biochar 0.50%	CP <sub>200B0.25</sub>

**Table S3.** LSD- Main and Interaction effects (between time interval and treatments) for Chlorpyrifos degradation in non-sterilized and sterilized soil.

Soil Type	Treatment	Time Interval						Mean
		0 day	7 day	15 day	30 day	60 day	120 day	
Non-sterilized/original soil	CP 100	96.28 fgh	74.23 kl	69.00 lm	45.48 nop	23.54 qr	12.13 rs	53.44 E
	CP100 + FC	95.14 f-i	56.34 mn	40.15 op	20.33 qr	5.37 s	1.59 s	36.49 F
	CP100 + WSB	96.09 f-i	80.00 jkl	87.34 hij	56.48 mn	33.02 pq	22.23 qr	62.53 D
	CP200	194.41 a	135.11 c	120.21 de	108.00 ef	83.20 ijk	57.14 mn	116.34 B
	CP200 + FC	193.23 a	122.77 cd	105.08 fg	94.64 g-i	48.07 no	19.90 r	97.28 C
	CP200 + WSB	194.11 a	150.35 b	130.52 cd	119.36 de	93.09 ghi	79.00 jkl	127.74 A
	Mean	144.88 A	103.13 B	92.05 C	74.05 D	47.71 E	32.00 F	
Sterilized soil	CP 100	97.14 jkl	86.04 k-q	81.31 m-q	71.21 qrs	62.71 rs	40.21 t	73.10 F
	CP100 + FC	97.41 jkl	90.14 k-o	86.34 k-q	82.32 l-q	73.07 p-s	59.46 s	81.46 E
	CP100 + WSB	98.04 jk	96.02 j-m	91.37 k-n	87.21 k-p	80.14 n-q	75.43 o-r	88.04 D
	CP200	194.12 ab	180.04 b-e	162.21 fgh	150.73 h	131.34 i	107.48 j	154.32 C
	CP200 + FC	195.44 a	185.00 a-d	168.20 efg	159.77 gh	149.32 h	133.86 i	165.27 B
	CP200 + WSB	196.17 a	191.01 a-c	183.07 a-e	176.15 c-f	169.97 d-g	161.39 fgh	179.63 A
	Mean	146.39 A	138.04 B	128.75 C	121.23 D	111.09 E	96.31 F	

Data are shown as mean of three replicates. Treatments showing the same letters are statistically similar according to LSD test at  $p \leq 0.05$ . The capital letters show the main effects while, small letters represent interaction effects.

**Table S4.** Two-way Analysis of Variance Table for Chlorpyrifos degradation in non-sterilized soil.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Interval	5	148307	29661.4	462.40	0.0000
Treatment	5	121871	24374.2	379.97	0.0000
Interval*Treatment	25	13948	557.9	8.70	0.0000
Error	72	4619	64.1		
Total	107	288744			

Grand Mean 82.304, CV 9.73.

**Table S5.** Two-way Analysis of Variance Table for Chlorpyrifos degradation in sterilized soil.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Interval	5	29904	5980.9	67.06	0.0000
Treatment	5	205377	41075.4	460.57	0.0000
Interval*Treatment	25	5456	218.2	2.45	0.0017
Error	72	6421	89.2		
Total	107	247158			

Grand Mean 123.64 CV 7.64.

**Table S6.** LSD- Main and Interaction effects (between time interval and treatments) for soil enzymes activities.

Soil Enzymes	Treatment	Time Interval						Mean
		0 day	7 days	15 days	30 days	60 days	120 days	
Dehydrogenase	Control	15.530 bc	14.737 bcd	14.710 bcd	13.310 c-f	11.567 ef	14.500 bcd	13.923 B
	CP 100	2.983 n-r	2.807 0-r	2.550 o-r	3.330 m-q	7.540 h-j	11.717 ef	5.624 D
	CP100 + FC	20.077 a	15.013 b-d	12.933 def	13.813 b-e	15.690 b	20.967 a	16.696 A

	CP100 + WSB	11.307 fg	9.030 gh	6.533i-l	7.437 h-k	8.420 hi	9.007 gh	8.541 C
	CP200	1.300 qr	1.203 r	1.023 r	1.983 pqr	3.100 n-r	4.613 l-o	2.404 E
	CP200 + FC	7.753 hij	6.653 i-l	5.110 lmn	9.187 gh	11.290 fg	13.783 b-e	9.425 C
	CP200 + WSB	5.500 j-m	4.500 l-p	3.940 m-p	4.190 m-p	5.200 k-n	6.513 i-l	5.069 D
	Mean	9.207 B	8.906 C	6.686 D	7.607 C	8.972 B	11.586 A	
Urease	Control	20.017 b	19.000 bc	19.497 bc	18.970 bc	17.753 cd	16.723 de	18.592 A
	CP 100	5.090 p-t	4.660 t-x	4.063 stu	5.910 o-s	6.017 o-r	7.007 no	5.617 E
	CP100 + FC	15.607 e	13.090 g	11.937 ghi	13.517 fg	15.237 ef	23.410 a	15.941 B
	CP100 + WSB	7.353 mno	6.863 p-s	6.447 nop	8.023 lmn	9.100 klm	10.410 ijk	8.267 D
	CP200	3.013 uv	2.503 yz	2.007 v	3.440 tuv	3.913 tu	4.240 rstu	3.323 F
	CP200 + FC	9.313 jkl	9.107 k-n	8.913 klm	9.937 jk	11.050 hij	12.680 gh	10.379 C
	CP200 + WSB	6.143 opq	5.220 r-w	4.410 q-u	6.833 nop	7.020 no	7.720 l-o	6.425 E
	Mean	9.505 B	8.635 C	8.182 C	9.519 B	10.013 B	11.741 A	
	Control	7.503 de	7.020 e-h	7.670 de	8.250 cd	8.183 cd	8.920 bc	8.1053 B
	CP 100	3.007 klm	1.343 v-z	0.653 pq	1.950 mno	3.543 jk	4.483 hij	2.7273 D
Phosphatase	CP100 + FC	8.133 cd	6.333 g-i	5.973 fg	8.023 cd	9.837 b	14.013 a	9.1960 A
	CP100 + WSB	4.820 ghi	4.037 m-p	3.670 ijk	5.230 gh	5.943 fg	6.043 fg	5.1413 C
	CP200	1.007 opq	0.503 yz	0.190 q	1.220 n-q	2.183 mno	3.023 klm	1.5247 E
	CP200 + FC	3.420 jkl	2.600 q-u	2.317 lmn	5.013 gh	6.743 ef	7.937 cde	5.0860 C
	CP200 + WSB	1.923 mno	1.807 t-x	1.460 nop	2.940 klm	3.023 klm	5.007 gh	2.8707 D
	Mean	4.2590 C	3.3776 D	3.1333 D	4.6610 C	5.6367 B	7.0610 A	

Data are shown as mean of three replicates. Treatments showing the same letters are statistically similar according to LSD test at  $p \leq 0.05$ . The capital letters show the main effects while, small letters represent interaction effects.

**Table S7.** Two way Analysis of Variance Table for Dehydrogenase activity .

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Interval	5	319.72	63.943	31.43	0.0000
Treatment	6	2804.45	467.409	229.73	0.0000
Interval*Treatment	30	317.09	10.570	5.20	0.0000
Error	84	170.91	2.035		
Total	125	3612.17			

Grand Mean 8.6123CV 16.56.

**Table S8.** Two-way Analysis of Variance Table for Urease activity.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Interval	5	161.98	32.397	23.23	0.0000
Treatment	6	3401.26	566.876	406.55	0.0000
Interval*Treatment	30	230.65	7.688	5.51	0.0000
Error	84	117.13	1.394		
Total	125	3911.02			

Grand Mean 9.7920 CV 12.30.

**Table S9.** Two-way Analysis of Variance Table for Phosphatase activity.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Interval	5	227.84	45.549	85.63	0.0000
Treatment	6	840.40	140.066	263.19	0.0000
Interval*Treatment	30	75.83	2.528	4.75	0.0000
Error	84	44.70	0.532		
Total	125	1188.78			

Grand Mean 4.6881CV 15.56.

**Table S10.** Completely randomized Analysis of Variance Table for Chlorpyrifos half lives in non-sterilized soil.

<b>Source</b>	<b>DF</b>	<b>SS</b>	<b>MS</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>P</b>
Treatment	5	10116.9	2023.39	45.0	0.0000
Error	12	539.3	44.94		
Total	17	10656.3			

Grand Mean 52.611 CV 12.74.

**Table S11.** Completely randomized Analysis of Variance Table for Chlorpyrifos half lives in sterilized soil.

<b>Source</b>	<b>DF</b>	<b>SS</b>	<b>MS</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>P</b>
Treatment	5	229643	45928.6	70.7	0.0000
Error	12	7799	649.9		
Total	17	237442			

Grand Mean 228.11 CV 11.18.