

Supplementary Materials

Table S1: Number of individuals for each species, regarding the near-surface time series analysis.

Site	Species	Field survey for camera time series	AOI for extracting spectral indices	After "noisy" individual removal using LOESS function for feature selection and classification
Sataf, Southern-facing (S'S)	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	37	35	26
	<i>Pistacia palaestina</i>	91	75	75
	<i>Quercus calliprinos</i>	66	56	56
	<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	61	55	50
	<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>	73	50	49
	<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	7	4	-
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	291	238	227
	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	17	15	14
	<i>Sarcopoterium spinosum</i>	63	51	51
	<i>Crataegus azarolus</i>	2	2	-
	<i>Calicotome villosa</i>	89	59	57
	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	211	80	80
	Herbaceous patches	23	23	23
	Total S'S	1031	743	708
Sataf, Northern-facing (S'N)	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	29	24	22
	<i>Pistacia palaestina</i>	22	13	13
	<i>Quercus calliprinos</i>	132	86	86
	<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	10	9	-
	<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>	41	26	26
	<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	4	-	-
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	43	37	33
	<i>Euphorbia hierosolymitana</i>	6	4	-
	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	2	2	-
	<i>Cistus creticus</i>	1	1	-
	<i>Sarcopoterium spinosum</i>	45	33	33
	<i>Crataegus azarolus</i>	2	1	-
	<i>Prasium majus</i>	2	1	-
	<i>Arbutus andrachne</i>	23	14	13
	<i>Calicotome villosa</i>	32	24	24
	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	22	12	12
	Herbaceous patches	17	17	17
	Total S'N	433	304	279
Mata (M)	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	23	21	-
	<i>Pistacia palaestina</i>	43	34	30
	<i>Quercus calliprinos</i>	208	177	137
	<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	130	117	78
	<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>	69	55	44
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	32	29	17
	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	44	41	27
	<i>Styrax officinalis</i>	4	3	-
	<i>Sarcopoterium spinosum</i>	41	35	29
	<i>Crataegus azarolus</i>	1	-	-
	<i>Anagyris foetida</i>	18	13	13
	<i>Calicotome villosa</i>	65	58	57
	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	124	95	93
	Herbaceous patches	27	26	26
	Total M	829	704	551

Britanya (B)	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	44	41	14
	<i>Pistacia palaestina</i>	44	43	41
	<i>Pistacia atlantica</i>	2	2	-
	<i>Quercus calliprinos</i>	5	5	-
	<i>Quercus ithaburensis</i>	2	2	-
	<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	48	41	32
	<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>	70	64	58
	<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	14	11	-
	<i>Phillyrea latifolia</i>	2	2	-
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	108	100	37
	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	38	34	20
	<i>Sarcopoterium spinosum</i>	56	53	53
	<i>Ficus sp.</i>	1	-	-
	<i>Retama raetam</i>	1	1	-
	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	39	36	34
	<i>Ziziphus spina-christi</i>	4	2	-
	Herbaceous patches	26	26	25
	Total B	504	463	314
All sites	Total of four sites	2797	2214	1852

S2: Technical description of the forward stepwise-selection process

Input:

- **dates** (list of date sand their associated spectral indices)
- **classifier** (either SVM or RF)

Output

- **used_dates** (the dates in the order they were selected)

Process

1. Initialize **used_dates** as an empty list
2. While there are unused dates in **dates**
 - 2.1. Test the contribution of each of the remaining dates in **dates** to the already used dates in **used_dates** with a cross validation procedure and the input **classifier** (where the spectral indices from all the used dates and the tested date are used together)
 - 2.2. Add the top-performing date from (2.1) to **used_dates** and remove it from **dates**

Return **used_dates**

Table S3: Number of individuals for each species, regarding the overhead imagery analysis in site Mata (M).

Species	Field survey	Individuals that were attributed to segments for supervised classification and accuracy assessment ¹
<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	37	52
<i>Pistacia palaestina</i>	36	-
<i>Quercus calliprinos</i>	333	260
<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	296	244
<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>	127	58
<i>Phillyrea latifolia</i>	1	-
<i>Olea europaea</i>	47	45
<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	47	-
<i>Styrax officinalis</i>	8	-
<i>Cistus creticus</i> / <i>salviifolius</i>	87	41
<i>Sarcopoterium spinosum</i>	101	68
<i>Crataegus azarolus</i>	2	-
<i>Anagyris foetida</i>	32	-
<i>Arbutus andrachne</i>	2	-
<i>Calicotome villosa</i>	31	-
<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	83	57
Herbaceous patches ²	-	151
Total	1270	976

1. Species with less than 40 individuals within the UAV image were omitted from classification
2. Herbaceous patches were digitized by examining the UAV imagery and not in the field survey

Table S4: Confusion matrix for the classification results of the Sataf facing-south site (S'S), using the five optimal dates. The classification was carried out by RF classifier, using all spectral indices.

	<i>P. dulcis</i>	<i>Q. calliprinos</i>	<i>R. lycioides</i>	<i>C. siliqua</i>	<i>P. palaestina</i>	Herbaceous patches	<i>C. villosa</i>	<i>P. lentiscus</i>	<i>O. europaea</i>	<i>P. halepensis</i>	<i>S. spinosum</i>
<i>P. dulcis</i>	69	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	5	0	1
<i>Q. calliprinos</i>	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
<i>R. lycioides</i>	3	1	31	2	4	0	0	1	6	0	1
<i>C. siliqua</i>	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	6	1	2	0
<i>P. palaestina</i>	2	1	8	0	58	0	0	3	3	0	0
Herbaceous patches	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	2
<i>C. villosa</i>	7	0	0	0	2	3	44	0	0	0	1
<i>P. lentiscus</i>	3	0	4	0	2	0	0	33	6	2	0
<i>O. europaea</i>	3	0	4	0	2	0	3	4	211	0	0
<i>P. halepensis</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	22	0
<i>S. spinosum</i>	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49

Table S5: Confusion matrix for the classification results of the Sataf facing-north site (S'N), using the five optimal dates. The classification was carried out by RF classifier, using all spectral indices.

	<i>P. dulcis</i>	<i>Q. calliprinos</i>	<i>R. lycioides</i>	<i>P. palaestina</i>	Herbaceous patches	<i>C. villosa</i>	<i>A. andrachne</i>	<i>O. europaea</i>	<i>P. halepensis</i>	<i>S. spinosum</i>
<i>P. dulcis</i>	9	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
<i>Q. calliprinos</i>	0	83	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
<i>R. lycioides</i>	2	0	20	0	0	3	0	0	1	0
<i>P. palaestina</i>	1	2	0	8	0	1	0	1	0	0
Herbaceous patches	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	1
<i>C. villosa</i>	0	0	1	0	0	19	0	0	0	4
<i>A. andrachne</i>	0	3	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
<i>O. europaea</i>	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	30	0	0
<i>P. halepensis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	0
<i>S. spinosum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	30

Table S6: Confusion matrix for the classification results of Mata site (M), using the five optimal dates. The classification was carried out by RF classifier, using all spectral indices.

	<i>P. dulcis</i>	<i>Q. calliprinos</i>	<i>R. lycioides</i>	<i>C. siliqua</i>	<i>P. palaestina</i>	Herbaceous patches	<i>C. villosa</i>	<i>P. lentiscus</i>	<i>O. europaea</i>	<i>S. spinosum</i>	<i>A. foetida</i>
<i>P. dulcis</i>	90	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Q. calliprinos</i>	0	125	0	4	1	0	0	7	0	0	0
<i>R. lycioides</i>	9	5	24	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	1
<i>C. siliqua</i>	0	10	1	13	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
<i>P. palaestina</i>	1	0	2	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herbaceous patches	1	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0
<i>C. villosa</i>	2	0	1	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	0
<i>P. lentiscus</i>	0	10	4	2	0	0	1	59	0	2	0
<i>O. europaea</i>	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	12	0	0
<i>S. spinosum</i>	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	25	0
<i>A. foetida</i>	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8

Table S7: Confusion matrix for the classification results of Britanya site (B), using the five optimal dates. The classification was carried out by RF classifier, using all spectral indices.

	<i>P. dulcis</i>	<i>R. lycioides</i>	<i>C. siliqua</i>	<i>P. palaestina</i>	Herbaceous patches	<i>P. lentiscus</i>	<i>O. europaea</i>	<i>P. halepensis</i>	<i>S. spinosum</i>
<i>P. dulcis</i>	24	8	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
<i>R. lycioides</i>	2	48	0	2	0	2	3	0	1
<i>C. siliqua</i>	1	0	13	0	0	1	1	4	0
<i>P. palaestina</i>	0	2	0	38	0	0	1	0	0
Herbaceous patches	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0
<i>P. lentiscus</i>	0	0	1	0	0	31	0	0	0
<i>O. europaea</i>	1	3	0	0	0	0	33	0	0
<i>P. halepensis</i>	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	8	0
<i>S. spinosum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53