



# Article Modifiable Risk Factors and Trends in Changes in Glucose Regulation during the First Three Years Postdelivery: The St Carlos Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Prevention Cohort

Maria Arnoriaga-Rodriguez <sup>1,†</sup>, Verónica Melero <sup>1,†</sup>, Ana Barabash <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Johanna Valerio <sup>1</sup>, Laura del Valle <sup>1</sup>, Rocio Martin O'Connor <sup>1</sup>, Paz de Miguel <sup>1,2</sup>, José A. Diaz <sup>1,2</sup>, Cristina Familiar <sup>1</sup>, Inmaculada Moraga <sup>1</sup>, Alejandra Duran <sup>1,2</sup>, Inés Jimenez <sup>1</sup>, Martín Cuesta <sup>1,3</sup>, María José Torrejon <sup>4</sup>, Mercedes Martinez-Novillo <sup>4</sup>, Isabelle Runkle <sup>1,2</sup>, Mario Pazos <sup>1</sup>, Miguel A. Rubio <sup>1,2</sup>, Pilar Matia-Martín <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, and Alfonso L. Calle-Pascual <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario San Carlos, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Clínico San Carlos (IdISSC), 28040 Madrid, Spain; maria.arnoriaga.rodriguez@gmail.com (M.A.-R.); veronica.meleroalvarez10@gmail.com (V.M.); ana.barabash@gmail.com (A.B.); valeriojohanna@gmail.com (J.V.); lauradel\_valle@hotmail.com (L.d.V.); rocio@oconnor.es (R.M.O.); pazdemiguel@telefonica.net (P.d.M.); joseangeldiazperez561@gmail.com (J.A.D.); cristinafamiliarcasado@gmail.com (C.F.); inmamgg@hotmail.com (I.M.); aduranrh@hotmail.com (A.D.); i.jimenez.varas@gmail.com (I.J.); martin.cuesta@salud.madrid.org (M.C.); irunkledelavega@gmail.com (I.R.); mario.pazos@salud.madrid.org (M.P.); marubioh@gmail.com (M.A.R.)
- <sup>2</sup> UCM School of Medicine, Medicina II Department, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain
- <sup>3</sup> Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Diabetes y Enfermedades Metabólicas Asociadas (CIBERDEM), 28029 Madrid, Spain
- <sup>4</sup> Clinical Laboratory Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario San Carlos, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Clínico San Carlos (IdISSC), 28040 Madrid, Spain;
- mariajosefa.torrejon@salud.madrid.org (M.J.T.); mercedes.martineznovillo@salud.madrid.org (M.M.-N.)
- \* Correspondence: pilar.matia@gmail.com (P.M.-M.); acalle.edu@gmail.com (A.L.C.-P.)
- <sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Abstract: Objective: Evaluation of the influence of potential risk factors (RFs) on glycemic changes at 3 years postpartum. Methods: The glycemic status of 1400 women, in absence of a new pregnancy, was evaluated at 3 months (3 m) and 3 years (3 y) postpartum, after participation in the St. Carlos Gestational Study (2228 normoglycemic pregnant women followed from before gestational week 12 to delivery, from 2015–2017). Abnormal glucose regulation (AGR) was defined as fasting serum glucose  $\geq$  100 mg/dL and/or HbA1c  $\geq$  5.7% and/or 2 h 75 g OGTT glucose  $\geq$  140 mg/dL. In total, 12 modifiable and 3 unmodifiable RFs were analyzed. Results: 3 m postpartum, 110/1400 (7.9%) women had AGR; 3 y postpartum, 137 (9.8%) women exhibited AGR (110 with 3 m normal glucose tolerance [NGT]); 1263 (90.2%) had NGT (83 with 3 m AGR). More women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) progressed to AGR at 3 y (OR: 1.60 [1.33-1.92]) than women without GDM. Yet, most women with 3 m and/or 3 y AGR had no GDM history. Having  $\geq$ 2 unmodifiable RFs was associated with increased risk for progression to AGR (OR: 1.90 [1.28-2.83]) at 3 y postpartum. Having >5/12 modifiable RFs was associated with increased progression from NGT to AGR (OR: 1.40 [1.00–2.09]) and AGR persistence (OR: 2.57 [1.05–6.31]). Pregestational BMI  $\geq$  25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (OR: 0.59 [0.41–0.85]), postdelivery weight gain (OR: 0.53 [0.29–0.94]), and waist circumference > 89.5 cm (OR: 0.54 [0.36–0.79]) reduced the likelihood of NGT persisting at 3 y. Conclusions: 3-month and/or 3-year postpartum AGR can be detected if sought in women with no prior GDM. Modifiable and unmodifiable RF predictors of AGR at 3 y postpartum were identified. Universal screening for glycemic alterations should be considered in all women following delivery, regardless of prior GDM. These findings could be useful to design personalized strategies in women with risk factors for 3 y AGR.

Keywords: abnormal glucose regulation; changes in glucose regulation; postpartum risk factors



**Citation:** Arnoriaga-Rodriguez, M.; Melero, V.; Barabash, A.; Valerio, J.; del Valle, L.; O'Connor, R.M.; de Miguel, P.; Diaz, J.A.; Familiar, C.; Moraga, I.; et al. Modifiable Risk Factors and Trends in Changes in Glucose Regulation during the First Three Years Postdelivery: The St Carlos Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Prevention Cohort. *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 4995. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu15234995

Academic Editor: Antonio Brunetti

Received: 26 October 2023 Revised: 18 November 2023 Accepted: 29 November 2023 Published: 1 December 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as a state of abnormal glucose tolerance with an onset or initial detection during pregnancy. The prevalence of GDM is on the rise [1], and it represents one of the most frequent medical complications in pregnancy [2]. In addition to its well-known obstetric and neonatal complications [3], GDM is a recognized risk factor (RF) for future maternal and offspring obesity [4], abnormal glucose regulation (AGR), T2DM [4,5], and cardiovascular disease [6,7]. In turn, different RFs have been identified for GDM, including maternal overweight/obesity, delayed childbearing, a prior history of GDM, a family history of T2DM, and ethnicity [1,2,8,9]. Appropriate screening, diagnosis, and treatment [6] are associated with improved pregnancy outcomes. However, there is a lack of consensus regarding long-term follow-up and management in women with a prior history of GDM, as well as in their offspring [2].

Scientific societies recommend postnatal assessment of the glycemic status at 3 months postpartum in women diagnosed with GDM [1,6,10,11]. However, abnormal glucose regulation (AGR) 3 months postdelivery has also been observed in women without a history of GDM, suggesting that pregnancy might be a pro-diabetogenic state [12–15]. In spite of this, risk factors for post-natal AGR have yet to be established, particularly in women exhibiting prior normal glucose regulation (NGR). Yet, both progression to AGR from normoglycemia and reversion to normal glucose regulation (NGR) from AGR can be encountered, as has been described in subjects with prediabetes [16,17]. What is more, detection of glycemic alterations, followed by optimal management, could be crucial for a return to a normoglycemic state.

The San Carlos GDM Prevention Cohort consists of women participating in a series of studies that have sought to evaluate the effect of a Mediterranean diet (MedDiet), enhanced with extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) and nuts [18,19], on the development of GD, with that diet initiated before the 12th gestational week (GW). Women included in this cohort were evaluated during pregnancy, as well as in short- and long-term follow-up, regardless of prior GDM status. Thus, post-pregnancy evaluation of the study subjects provides a unique opportunity to assess postnatal glycemic changes. It also permits determination of risk factors for the later development of AGR, thereby facilitating identification of potential target populations for the intensification of preventive strategies.

The aim of this study was to assess rates of reversion to NGR, persistence of AGR, progression to AGR, or persistence of normoglycemia, at 3 years postpartum, as related to the 3-month postpartum glycemic status of the women in the San Carlos GDM cohort. Additionally, risk factors for the aforementioned conditions were sought.

## 2. Research Design and Methods

# 2.1. Study Design

The study population originated from the San Carlos GDM Prevention Cohort, which includes several studies:

The San Carlos GDM Prevention Study was a randomized controlled trial (ISRCTN84389045; https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN84389045, accessed on 1 October 2023) directed towards evaluating the effect of an intervention based on a MedDiet enriched with EVOO and nuts on the incidence of GDM. The results indicated the intervention reduced the incidence of GDM and adverse pregnancy outcomes [18]. The recommendations were adopted as standard nutritional management in a real-world study in clinical practice (ISRCTN13389832; https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN13389832, accessed on 1 October 2023) [19]. This latter study was prospective and analyzed a single group of pregnant women receiving a motivational lifestyle interview, with emphasis on daily consumption of EVOO and nuts. Finally, a prospective, randomized intervention study was performed to detect the effect of different components of a MedDiet, in particular EVOO and nuts, on the likelihood of developing GDM in pregnant women with a BMI  $\geq$  25 and <35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (ISRCTN16896947; https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN16896947, accessed on 1 October 2023).

The Institutional Review Board and the Clinical Ethics Committee of the Hospital Clínico San Carlos approved the aforementioned studies (CI 13/296-E, CI 16/442-E and CI 16/316). Written informed consent forms were provided and signed by all participants.

# 2.2. Study Population

Universal screening for GDM is ordered for all pregnant women in our setting, with a response rate over 95% for attendance for the OGTT between 24–28 GW. Eligible participants were recruited by the Endocrinology and Nutrition Department of the Hospital Clínico San Carlos, a tertiary hospital in Madrid, Spain, with a reference healthcare population of approximately 445,000 patients, from January 2015 to November 2017, and were followed up for a median of 3 years after delivery.

Inclusion criteria were pregnancy in women  $\geq$  18 years of age, normoglycemia at 8–12 GW (FSG < 92 mg/dL, 5.1 mmol/L), and a single gestation. Exclusion criteria were gestational age at entry  $\geq$  14 GW, pre-gestational diabetes or a FSG  $\geq$  92 mg/dL ( $\geq$ 5.1 mmol/L), a multiple pregnancy, intolerance to nuts or EVOO, a new pregnancy during the 3-year follow-up, and medical conditions or pharmacological therapy that could compromise the effect of the intervention and/or the follow-up program.

A total of 3026 normoglycemic women attending their first gestational visit at 8–12 GW were assessed for inclusion. In total, 2529 agreed to participate in the study including postpartum follow-up, and 2228 were followed up until delivery. Of these 2228, 305 were not included in the postpartum study due to a change of address, with another 523 women excluded due to a second pregnancy. Finally, 1400 women (55.4% of the initial cohort) participated in the 3-year postpartum follow-up program, out of 1701 possible candidates (82.3%).

#### 2.3. Study Timeline and Intervention

The gestational protocol [18,19] and GDM screening protocol [20] has been previously described.

The postpartum follow-up protocol was as follows:

Visit 1, at 3 months postpartum, consisted of a clinical evaluation, a dietary questionnaire, blood and urine samples, and a motivational lifestyle interview. The latter consisted of dietary recommendations provided by dieticians during 1 h group sessions held with all women participating in the study. Concepts of the MedDiet were reinforced, with emphasis placed on a daily intake of  $\geq$ 40 mL daily EVOO, and at least 25–30 g of pistachios  $\geq$ 3 days/week.

Visit 2, at 3 years postpartum, consisted of a clinical evaluation, a dietary questionnaire, blood and urine samples, and a 2 h 75 g OGTT.

#### 2.4. Data Collection

Clinical and anthropometric data were collected: maternal age, ethnicity, educational level, employment, smoking status, personal history (hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, other diseases), obstetric history (number of pregnancies, prior GDM, miscarriages), and family history (diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, and MetS, the latter considered when >2 components of MetS were present in at least one first-degree family member). Pre-gestational body weight (BW), gestational BW, height, body mass index (BMI), and blood pressure were registered.

Laboratory tests: Blood samples were drawn after an overnight 8–10 h fast. Fasting serum glucose (FSG) was determined by the glucose oxidase method, serum triglycerides with a colorimetric enzymatic method using glycerol phosphate oxidase p-amino phenazone (GPO-PAP). HbA1c levels were standardized by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine using ion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography in gradient, with a Tosoh G8 analyzer (Tosoh Co., Tokyo, Japan). Serum insulin was determined by a chemiluminescence immunoassay in an Inmmulite 2000 xpi (Siemens, Healthcare Diagnostics, Munich, Germany). The homeostatic model assessment– insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as FSG (mmol/L) × fasting serum insulin (FBI) ( $\mu$ U/mL)/22.5. Serum levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) were measured in an Olympus 5800 (Beckman-Coulter, Brea, CA, USA).

Dietary and lifestyle assessment: The Diabetes Nutrition and Complication Trial (DNCT) questionnaire was used to assess physical activity and eating habits, as previously described [21]. The 14-point Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener (MEDAS) was used to evaluate the degree of adherence to a MedDiet pattern [22]. These were filled out at each visit by a dietician in a personal interview.

# 2.5. Categorization of Glucose Testing at 3 Months and 3 Years Postpartum

Categories based on FSG and/or HbA1c at 3 months after delivery were as follows: women were defined as NGR when exhibiting an FSG < 100 mg/dL (<5.6 mmol/L) and HbA1c < 5.7% (<39 mmol/mol), whereas AGR was diagnosed when FSG was  $\geq$ 100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L) and/or HbA1c  $\geq$  5.7% (39 mmol/mol). At 3 years postpartum, a 2 h serum glucose following a 75 g OGTT  $\geq$  140/mg/dL was considered abnormal, whereas a result < 140 was considered normal [23].

## 2.6. Unmodifiable and Modifiable RF

Three non-modifiable RF were evaluated: a family history of T2DM and/or >2 components of the MetS (categorized as 0 if none, 1 if  $\geq$ 1 presented); parity (0: primiparous, 1: multiparous); age (0: <35, 1:  $\geq$ 35 years). Women were classified as being in an unfavorable category when at least 2 unmodifiable risk factors coexisted.

Twelve modifiable RFs were evaluated: (i) pre-pregnancy and (ii) 3 month postpartum BMI (0: <25, 1:  $\geq$ 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); (iii) weight change, defined as the difference between pre-pregnancy and 3-month postpartum BW (0:  $\leq$ 0, 1: >0 kg); (iv) waist circumference as per Spanish criteria (0: <89.5 cm, 1:  $\geq$ 89.5 cm); (v) hypertension (0: systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 130 and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) < 85 mmHg, 1: SBP  $\geq$  130 and/or DBP  $\geq$  85 mmHg); (vi) dyslipidemia (0: HDL-c  $\geq$  50 mg/dL and triglycerides < 150 mg/dL, 1: HDL-c < 50 mg/dL and/or triglycerides  $\geq$  150 mg/dL); (vii) post-natal alcohol consumption (0: between 15 and 30 g alcohol/day, 1: <15 or  $\geq$ 30 g alcohol/day); (viii) smoking habits (0: no or former, 1: smokers). Eating patterns were evaluated using (ix) the nutrition questionnaire (0:  $\geq$ 4, 1: <4 score) and (x) MEDAS (0:  $\geq$ 6, 1: <6 score). Physical activity was evaluated with the (xi) activity score (PAS) (0:  $\geq$ 0, 1: <0 score) and (xii) daily minutes of exercise activity of at least moderate intensity (0:  $\geq$ 15, 1: <15 min/day). Women were classified in the unfavorable group when >5 modifiable risk factors coexisted.

## 2.7. Study Outcomes

The primary endpoint was to evaluate glycemic status at 3 years postpartum as a function of the 3-month postpartum glycemic state. Specifically, 3-year reversion or persistence of AGR and 3-year progression or persistence of NGR rates were considered.

The secondary endpoint was to identify different pre-gestational, gestational, and 3-month postdelivery risk factors that could influence glycemic changes.

#### 2.8. Statistical Analysis

Variables are presented with their number and frequency distribution or the median and interquartile range (IQR). Continuous variables are given as mean and standard deviation ( $\pm$ SD) and were compared using Student's *t* test or the Mann–Whitney *U* test if the distribution of quantitative variables was not normal, as verified by the Shapiro–Wilk test. Comparison between group characteristics for categorical variables was evaluated by the  $\chi^2$  test.

The magnitude of association between 3-year postpartum glucose regulation status (persistence or reversion of normoglycemia and progression or persistence of abnormal glucose regulation) and modifiable or unmodifiable risk factors was evaluated using the crude odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI).

All *p* values are 2-tailed at <0.05. Analyses were performed using SPSS, version 21 (Chicago, IL, USA).

## 3. Results

Women participants were older than non-participants, were more frequently nonsmokers, in possession of university degrees, and were qualified workers. Additionally, they showed higher scores in the nutrition and MEDAS questionnaires when compared with non-participants. However, the rates of GDM (20.6% vs. 15.2%), of pre-term deliveries, and of small-for-gestational-age babies was higher in participants. No differences in anthropometric, blood pressure, glycemic, and lipid parameters were found between the two groups (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Pre-pregnancy and pregnancy characteristics of women included in the San Carlos GDM Prevention Cohort eligible for the postdelivery program.

Non-Participants ( <i>n</i> = 1129)	Participants ( <i>n</i> = 1400)	р	
Sociodemographic features			
$32.1 \pm 5.5$	$33.1\pm4.9$	0.001	
724 (64.1)	935 (66.8)		
41 (3.6)	35 (2.5)	0.040	
683 (60.5)	964 (68.9)	0.001	
839 (74.3)	1134 (81.0)	0.001	
604 (53.5)	801 (57.2)		
113 (10.0)	95 (6.8)	0.001	
458 (40.6)	625 (44.6)		
324 (28.7)	360 (25.7)	0.251	
		0.535	
417 (36.9)	458 (32.7)	0.018	
463 (41.0)	603 (43.1)	0.130	
Pre-pregnancy features			
$61.9 \pm 11.4$	$61.7\pm11.2$	0.655	
$23.4\pm4.0$	$23.4\pm4.0$	0.896	
$0.1 \pm 3.2$	$0.5\pm3.1$	0.003	
		0.016	
$-1.8\pm1.0$	$-1.9\pm1.0$	0.441	
Pregnancy and delivery features			
$109\pm10$	$109\pm10$	0.167	
$67\pm9$	$67\pm9$	0.613	
$80\pm 6$	$80\pm 6$	0.560	
$5.1\pm0.3$	$5.2\pm0.2$	0.181	
$1.2\pm1.4$	$1.1 \pm 1.3$	0.126	
	Sociodemographic features $32.1 \pm 5.5$ 724 (64.1)         364 (32.2)         41 (3.6)         683 (60.5)         839 (74.3)         604 (53.5)         113 (10.0)         458 (40.6)         347 (30.7)         324 (28.7)         37 (3.3)         417 (36.9)         463 (41.0)         Pre-pregnancy features         61.9 ± 11.4         23.4 ± 4.0         0.1 ± 3.2         4.8 ± 1.7         -1.8 ± 1.0         Pregnancy and delivery features         109 ± 10         67 ± 9         80 ± 6         5.1 ± 0.3	Non-Fariticipants (n = 1129)         (n = 1400)           Sociodemographic features $32.1 \pm 5.5$ $33.1 \pm 4.9$ 724 (64.1)         935 (66.8) $364 (32.2)$ $430 (30.7)$ 41 (3.6)         35 (2.5) $683 (60.5)$ $964 (68.9)$ 839 (74.3)         1134 (81.0) $604 (53.5)$ $801 (57.2)$ 113 (10.0)         95 (6.8) $95 (6.8)$ 458 (40.6) $625 (44.6)$ $347 (30.7)$ 415 (29.6) $324 (28.7)$ $360 (25.7)$ 37 (3.3)         48 (3.4) $417 (36.9)$ $458 (32.7)$ 463 (41.0) $603 (43.1)$ $97ee-pregnancy features$ $61.9 \pm 11.4$ $61.7 \pm 11.2$ 23.4 $\pm 4.0$ 23.4 $\pm 4.0$ $23.4 \pm 4.0$ $23.4 \pm 4.0$ 0.1 $\pm 3.2$ $0.5 \pm 3.1$ $4.8 \pm 1.7$ $5.0 \pm 1.8$ $-1.8 \pm 1.0$ $-1.9 \pm 1.0$ $-1.9 \pm 1.0$ $-1.9 \pm 1.0$ Pregnancy and delivery features $109 \pm 10$ $109 \pm 10$ $67 \pm 9$ $80 \pm 6$ $80 \pm 6$ $80 \pm 6$ $5.1 \pm 0.3$ $5.2 \pm 0.2$	

	Non-Participants ( $n = 1129$ )	Participants $(n = 1400)$	p
Triglycerides (mg/dL) (8–12 GWs)	80 ± 33	$82\pm41$	0.901
GDM (24–28 w)	172 (15.2)	289 (20.6)	< 0.001
Bodyweight gain (24 GWs)	$7.4\pm4.8$	$7.1\pm4.1$	0.176
Bodyweight gain (38 GWs)	$11.7\pm6.7$	$11.8\pm 6.3$	0.863
Insulin treatment	40 (23.3)	70 (24.2)	0.432
High BP or preeclampsia	45 (4.0)	53 (3.8)	0.960
Prematurity (<37 GWs)	40 (3.5)	90 (6.4)	0.001
Cesarean section	244 (21.6)	296 (21.2)	0.740
LGA (>90 percentile)	42 (3.7)	50 (3.6)	0.471
SGA (<10 percentile)	34 (3.0)	80 (5.7)	0.001

Table 1. Cont.

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for quantitative variables or number (%) for qualitative variables. Statistical significance at p < 0.05. BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FSG, fasting serum glucose; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment–insulin resistance; LGA, large for gestational age, and SGA, small for gestational age (both according to local tables, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, 2014); MEDAS, Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener; MetS, metabolic syndrome; SBP, systolic blood pressure; GWs, gestational weeks.

Women with 3-month AGR, and their 3-year evolution

A total of 110 women (7.9%) presented AGR at 3 months postpartum. They were more frequently of Latin American origin and were less likely to possess college degrees than women with NGR. They also had a higher pregestational BW and BMI, and had been diagnosed more often with GDM (44% vs. 19%; p < 0.001) as well as preeclampsia. Newborns were more frequently SGA, and the women exhibited a less favorable 3-month postpartum metabolic profile (Table 2). A total of 60% did not have a prior diagnosis of GDM.

Most of these women with 3-month AGR (83/110 (75.5%) presented normoglycemia by the time of the 3-year visit. These women were younger, had a lower BMI, waist circumference, and higher HDL levels at the 3-year visit than the 27/110 (24.5%) in whom AGR persisted. The latter women also had a higher BW than the former and had lost less weight from 3 months to 3 years postdelivery (Table 3).

Table 2. Comparison between women with abnormal glucose regulation (AGR) and normal glucose

regulation (NGR) at 3 months postdelivery.

Participants (n = 1400)

NGR AGR
(n = 1290) (n = 110) p

	nGR ( <i>n</i> = 1290)	AGR (n = 110)	p
	Sociodemographic featu	res	
Age (years)	$33.1\pm4.9$	$33.3\pm4.6$	0.724
Ethnicity			
Caucasian	878 (68.1)	57 (51.8)	
Latin American	383 (29.7)	47 (42.7)	
Others	29 (2.2)	6 (5.5)	0.002
University degree	903 (70.0)	61 (55.5)	0.001
Workers	1051 (81.5)	83 (75.5)	0.231

	NGR ( <i>n</i> = 1290)	AGR ( <i>n</i> = 110)	p
Smoking status			
Never smokers	734 (56.9)	67 (60.9)	0 505
Current smokers	85 (6.6)	10 (9.1)	0.505
First pregnancy	585 (45.3)	40 (36.4)	0.422
Prior GDM	42 (3.3)	6 (5.5)	
Miscarriage	411 (31.9)	47 (42.7)	0.347
Family history MetS (>2 components)	257 (19.9)	25 (22.7)	0.893
	Pre-pregnancy feature	25	
Body weight (kg)	$61.0 \pm 11.0$	$64.0 \pm 14.0$	0.012
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	$23.3\pm3.9$	$24.6\pm4.8$	0.001
Questionnaires (scores)			
Nutrition	$0.5\pm3.1$	$0.1 \pm 3.1$	0.095
MEDAS Physical activity	$5.0 \pm 1.8 \ -1.9 \pm 1.0$	$4.7 \pm 1.7 \ -1.8 \pm 0.9$	0.166 0.784
i itysicai activity	$\frac{-1.9 \pm 1.0}{\text{Pregnancy and delivery fe}}$		0.764
SBP (mmHg) (8–12 GWs)	$108 \pm 10$	$110 \pm 11$	0.021
DBP (mmHg) (8–12 GWs)	$\frac{103 \pm 10}{67 \pm 9}$	$\frac{110 \pm 11}{68 \pm 9}$	0.396
FSG (mg/dL) (8–12 GWs)	$80.3 \pm 6.0$	$82.8 \pm 5.6$	<0.001
HbA1c (%) (8–12 GWs)	$5.1 \pm 0.2$	$5.3 \pm 0.4$	0.045
	$3.1 \pm 0.2$ $1.1 \pm 1.3$		
HOMA-IR (8–12 GWs)		$1.4 \pm 1.5$	0.007
Triglycerides (mg/dL) (8–12 GWs)	80 ± 37	104 ± 73	<0.001
GDM (24–28 w)	245 (19.0)	44 (40.0)	<0.001
Bodyweight gain (24 w)	$7.2\pm4.2$	$6.7\pm3.7$	0.343
Bodyweight gain (38 w)	$11.8\pm6.4$	$11.3\pm5.4$	0.407
Insulin treatment	55 (4.3)	15 (13.6)	0.204
High BP or preeclampsia	43 (3.3)	10 (9.1)	0.036
Prematurity (<37 w)	79 (6.1)	11 (10.0)	0.088
Cesarean section	267 (20.7)	29 (26.4)	0.567
LGA (>90 percentile)	43 (3.3)	7 (6.4)	0.091
SGA (<10 percentile)	67 (5.2)	13 (11.8)	0.007
	3 months postpartum	l	
Body weight (kg)	$66.3\pm11.6$	$69.7\pm12.8$	0.015
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$25.1\pm4.3$	$26.6\pm4.8$	0.005
Weight change (3 m—pregestational)	$4.7\pm5.5$	$6.0\pm7.1$	0.052
Waist circumference (cm)	$85.4\pm9.5$	$90.0\pm9.9$	< 0.001
SBP (mmHg)	$111\pm12$	$113\pm11$	0.142
DBP (mmHg)	$71\pm9$	$72\pm9$	0.583
FSG (mg/dL)	$83.6\pm7.0$	$94.9 \pm 12.1$	< 0.001

	Participants ( $n = 1400$ )		
	NGR ( <i>n</i> = 1290)	AGR ( <i>n</i> = 110)	p
HbA1c (%)	$5.2\pm0.3$	$5.6\pm0.3$	<0.001
HOMA-IR	$1.8\pm2.4$	3.4 ±4.9	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	$80\pm43$	$95\pm 65$	0.006
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	$64\pm17$	$60 \pm 13$	0.027
Questionnaires (scores)			
Nutrition	$3.9\pm3.5$	$3.5\pm3.5$	0.071
MEDAS	$6.2\pm1.9$	$5.9 \pm 1.8$	0.377
Physical activity	$-1.6\pm0.9$	$-1.7\pm0.8$	0.618
Exercise activity (min/d)	$16 \pm 90$	$3\pm16$	0.065

Table 2. Cont.

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for quantitative variables or number (%) for qualitative variables. Statistical significance at the *p* < 0.05 level. BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FSG, fasting blood glucose; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment–insulin resistance; FSG, fasting serum glucose; LGA, large for gestational age, and SGA, small for gestational age (both according to local tables, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, 2014); m, months; MEDAS, Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener; MetS, metabolic syndrome; AGR, abnormal glucose regulation; NGR, normal glucose regulation; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SGA, small for gestational age; W, weeks.

#### Women with 3-month NGR, and their 3-year evolution

One thousand two hundred and ninety women were normoglycemic at 3 months postpartum. The majority, 1180/1290 (91.5%), remained so at 3 years (Table 3). However, 110/1290 (8.5%) went from normoglycemia at 3 months to displaying AGR at the 3-year visit. Compared with the former, the latter women were older and displayed a higher BMI and waist circumference and more elevated triglyceride levels at 3 months. Furthermore, pregestational obesity was more prevalent, as was weight gain at both the 3-month and 3-year visits versus pregestational weight, and they had lost less weight between the two postnatal visits compared with those women who remained normoglycemic. The majority of 3-year AGR women had not been diagnosed with GDM.

#### Women with 3-year AGR

Of 137 (9.8%) women exhibiting AGR 3 years postpartum, 27 (1.9%) also did so at 3 months, whereas the majority, 110 (7.9%), were NGT at 3 months. Of the 1263 (90.2%) women with NGT at 3 years postpartum, 1180 (84.3%) had maintained it, whereas 83 (5.9%) had normalized glucose regulation from 3 months postpartum (Table 3).

## Analysis of modifiable and unmodifiable risk factors

Logistic regression analysis was used to identify independent predictors of the glycemic changes. Women with prior GDM were more likely to progress from 3-month normo-glycemia to AGR at 3 years postpartum and less likely to remain normoglycemic compared with those who had not developed GDM during pregnancy. The same was the case for women with at least two unmodifiable risk factors. Similarly, pregestational overweight/obesity, the lack of recuperation of pregestational weight at 3 months, and having a central distribution of fat reduced the probability of maintaining NGR from 3 months to 3 years postpartum, thereby increasing the rate of progression from NGR to AGR during this time period.

	3 m AGR (110)			3 m NGR ( <i>n</i> = 1290)			
	3 y NGR Reversion ( <i>n</i> = 83)	3 y AGR Persistence (n = 27)	p	3 y AGR Progression ( <i>n</i> = 110)	3 y NGR Persistence ( <i>n</i> = 1180)	р	
Age (years)	$32.7\pm4.6$	$34.9\pm4.5$	0.031	$34.8\pm4.5$	$32.9\pm4.9$	0.001	
GDM (24–28 GWs)	30 (36.1)	14 (51.2)	0.001	50 (45.5)	195 (16.5)	0.001	
Breastfeeding 3 m. n (%) Exclusive (months) Mixed (months)	79 (95) 5.2 + 1.3 10.4 + 6.9	25 (92) 4.9 + 1.5 9.9 + 7.8	0.653 0.121 0.351	$101 (92) \\ 4.6 + 1.9 \\ 10.1 + 7.4$	1125 (95) 4.9 + 1.6 10.6 + 7.9	0.165 0.095 0.314	
3 m body weight (kg)	$69.5 \pm 11.8$	$69.8 \pm 12.3$	0.008	$69.0\pm11.8$	$66.0\pm11.5$	0.031	
$3 \text{ m BMI } (\text{kg/m}^2)$ <25 25-29.9 $\geq 30$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.2\pm 4.6\\ 57(68.7)\\ 16(19.3)\\ 10(12.0)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27.6 \pm 5.1 \\ 15  (55.6) \\ 6  (22.2) \\ 6  (22.2) \end{array}$	0.014	$26.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 69 (62.7) \\ 25 (22.7) \\ 16 (14.5)$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.0 \pm 4.2 \\ 859 \ (72.8) \\ 232 \ (19.7) \\ 89 \ (7.5) \end{array}$	0.014	
Pre-gestational obesity	11 (13.3)	3 (11.1)	0.907	13 (11.8)	63 (5.3)	0.005	
3 y weight (kg)	64.0 ± 13.4	67.6 ± 10.8	0.023	67.3 ± 11.1	63.0 ± 10.8	0.023	
Bodyweight change 3 m minus pregestational 3 y minus 3 m 3 y minus pregestational	$4.2 \pm 6.5 \ -4.1 \pm 3.9 \ 0.8 \pm 0.5$	$6.6 \pm 7.1 \\ -2.1 \pm 5.1 \\ 0.9 \pm 0.6$	0.056 0.026 0.917	$6.6 \pm 6.9 \\ -0.7 \pm 5.0 \\ 4.8 \pm 5.6$	$4.7 \pm 5.5 \\ -2.0 \pm 5.6 \\ 1.2 \pm 2.5$	0.011 0.014 0.033	
3 m waist (cm)	$88.9\pm8.9$	$90.3\pm10.2$	0.036	$87.6\pm8.9$	$85.2\pm9.6$	0.036	
3 m SBP (mmHg)	$110 \pm 10$	$113\pm12$	0.071	$113\pm14$	$110 \pm 12$	0.071	
3 m DBP (mmHg)	$68\pm 6$	$73\pm9$	0.175	$73\pm12$	$71\pm9$	0.175	
3 m FSG (mg/dL)	$92\pm11$	$96\pm12$	0.021	$86\pm7$	$83\pm7$	0.001	
3 m HbA1c (%)	$5.6\pm0.3$	$5.7\pm0.3$	0.042	$5.3\pm0.2$	$5.2\pm0.3$	0.001	
3 m HOMA-IR	$2.6\pm2.2$	$3.5\pm5.5$	0.907	$1.8\pm1.2$	$1.7\pm2.4$	0.907	
3 m HDL-c (mg/dL)	$62\pm13$	$52\pm12$	0.016	$62\pm19$	$64\pm16$	0.627	
3 m Triglycerides (mg/dL)	$90\pm51$	$109\pm94$	0.399	$82\pm34$	$80\pm43$	0.006	
3 m Questionnaires (scores) Nutrition MEDAS Physical activity	$3.7 \pm 3.6 \\ 6.1 \pm 1.8 \\ -1.6 \pm 0.9$	$2.9 \pm 3.3$ $5.6 \pm 1.6$ $-1.8 \pm 0.6$	0.429 0.366 0.499	$4.0 \pm 3.5$ $6.0 \pm 2.1$ $-1.5 \pm 0.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \pm 3.5 \\ 6.2 \pm 1.8 \\ -1.6 \pm 0.9 \end{array}$	0.282 0.377 0.339	
3 m exercise activity (min/d)	$32\pm18$	$0\pm 6$	0.415	$14\pm69$	$34\pm96$	0.065	

**Table 3.** Glycemic status change at 3 years (3 y) postdelivery according to metabolic characteristics at 3 months (3 m) postdelivery.

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for quantitative variables or number (%) for qualitative variables. Statistical significance at the *p* < 0.005 level. AGR, abnormal glucose regulation; NGR, normal glucose regulation; BMI; body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FSG, fasting serum glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment–insulin resistance; FBG, fasting blood glucose; m, months; MEDAS, Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener; NGR, normal glucose regulation; SBP, systolic blood pressure; w, weeks.

The presence of >5 unfavorable modifiable risk factors was also associated with a lower probability of maintaining NGR from 3 months to 3 years postpartum (0.74:0.51–0.99), as well as of reversal of 3-month AGR (0.49:0.25–0.97). Thus, these risk factors were associated with an increased risk for progression from NGR at 3 months to AGR after 3 years (1.40:1.00–2.09), as well as with the persistence of AGR (2.57:1.05–6.31, all *p* < 0.05). Data are shown in Table 4.

	Normal Glucose Regulation (NGR)				Abnormal Glucose Regulation (AGR)			
	Persistence ( <i>n</i> = 1180): NGR at Both 3 Months and 3 Years Postpartum		Reversion ( <i>n</i> = 83) from AGR at 3 Months to NGR at 3 Years Postpartum		Progression ( <i>n</i> = 110): NGR at 3 Months, with AGR at 3 Years Postpartum		Persistence ( <i>n</i> = 27): AGR at Both 3 Months and 3 Years Postpartum	
	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)
GDM (24–28 w)	195 (78.9)	0.22 (0.15–0.33)	30 (68.2)	0.51 (0.21–1.23)	50 (20.4)	1.60 (1.33–1.92)	14 (31.2)	1.34 (0.88–2.05)
Unmodifiable risk factors								
Family history MetS	225 (19.1)	0.64 (0.43–0.96)	21 (25.3)	0.87 (0.70–1.08)	32 (29.1)	1.63 (1.04–2.54)	4 (14.8)	0.51 (0.16–1.66)
Parity	634 (53.7)	0.79 (0.55–1.14)	49 (59.0)	0.50 (0.22–1.14)	67 (60.9)	1.29 (0.87–1.93)	21 (77.8)	2.49 (0.89–6.65)
Age $\geq$ 35 years	577 (48.9)	0.63 (0.44–0.92)	41 (49.4)	1.06 (0.86–1.32)	66 (60.0)	1.64 (1.10–2.46)	15 (55.6)	1.28 (0.54–3.06)
Unfavorable group $\geq 2$	435 (36.9)	0.56 (0.39–0.80)	34 (41.0)	0.80 (0.42–1.55)	59 (53.6)	1.90 (1.28–2.83)	13 (48.1)	1.34 (0.56–3.20)
Modifiable risk factors								
$Preg\text{-}BMI \geq 25 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^2$	307 (26.0)	0.59 (0.41–0.85)	27 (32.5)	0.45 (0.22–0.92)	43 (39.1)	1.80 (1.19–2.71)	14 (51.9)	2.85 (1.12–7.25)
$Del\text{-}BMI \geq 25 \ kg/m^2$	321 (27.2)	0.69 (0.48–1.01)	26 (31.1)	0.66 (0.35–1.26)	41 (37.3)	1.50 (1.00–2.27)	12 (44.4)	1.75 (0.72–4.27)
Weight change > 0 kg	833 (70.6)	0.53 (0.29–0.94)	51 (61.4)	0.43 (0.20–0.93)	93 (84.5)	2.22 (1.10–4.48)	7 (25.9)	3.64 (0.93–14.39)
$WC \ge 89.5 \text{ cm}$	205 (17.4)	0.54 (0.36–0.79)	24 (28.9)	0.76 (0.39–1.48)	32 (29.1)	2.02 (1.29–3.12)	10 (37.0)	1.45 (0.58–3.61)
Hypertension	55 (4.7)	0.61 (0.35–1.08)	3 (3.6)	0.84 (0.65–1.08)	12 (10.9)	1.94 (1.04–4.04)	6 (22.2)	2.31 (0.35–15.14)
Dyslipidemia	164 (13.9)	0.98 (0.94–1.02)	13 (15.7)	0.64 (0.31–1.29)	12 (10.9)	1.28 (0.72–2.29)	7 (25.9)	1.89 (0.66–5.36)
Alcohol consumption	69 (5.8)	0.99 (0.92–1.05)	2 (2.4)	0.47 (0.17–1.33)	7 (6.4)	0.25 (0.53–2.98)	2 (7.4)	3.24 (0.43–24.20)
Smoking	7 (0.6)	na	0	na	0	na	0	na
Nutrition score < 4	636 (53.9)	0.68 (0.45–1.04)	32 (38.6)	0.79 (0.33–1.85)	71 (64.5)	1.42 (0.97–2.07)	12 (44.4)	1.38 (0.45–4.22)
MEDAS score < 6	683 (57.9)	0.99 (0.95–1.02)	52 (62.7)	0.87 (0.36–2.11)	68 (61.8)	1.19 (0.79–1.66)	16 (59.3)	1.11 (0.57–2.16)
Physical activity < 0	906 (76.8)	0.66 (0.32–1.37)	77 (92.8)	0.57 (0.09–3.58)	99 (90.0)	1.45 (0.76–2.76)	26 (96.3)	2.03 (0.23–17.62)
Exercise activity < 15 min	1026 (86.9)	0.93 (0.84–1.03)	81 (97.6)	na	100 (90.9)	1.83 (1.00–3.35)	27 (100)	1.33 (1.19–1.49)
Unfavorable group > 5	387 (32.8)	0.74 (0.51–0.99)	33 (39.8)	0.49 (0.25–0.97)	46 (41.8)	1.40 (1.00–2.09)	17 (63.0)	2.57 (1.05–6.31)

**Table 4.** Associations between unmodifiable and modifiable risk factors and glycemic status at 3-year follow-up according to metabolic characteristics at 3 months postdelivery.

Odds ratios in bold denote statistical significance at p < 0.05 level. Each variable in the first column is considered the comparison group vs. not having the variable. Unfavorable group: the presence of two or more unmodifiable risk factors or >5 modifiable risk factors. BMI, body mass index; Del, delivery; MEDAS, Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener; P-preg, pre-pregnancy; WC, waist circumference.

## 4. Discussion

Gestational diabetes mellitus markedly increases the risk for later development of T2DM [5,24–26] and cardiovascular disease [26]. While most studies focus on GDM detection and the risk of developing diabetes later in life, there is limited evidence on the presence or consequences of glycemic dysregulation following a normal gestation.

The current study prospectively evaluated the glycemic status of women at 3 years postpartum, following an initial assessment 3 months postdelivery, regardless of whether they had a prior diagnosis of GDM or not. Three-quarters of the women who had shown AGR at 3 months reverted to NGR after 3 years. However, 8.5% of the women who were normoglycemic at 3 months displayed AGR after 3 years, a finding more frequently observed in women with previous GDM. Yet, over half of the women displaying a deterioration in their postpartum glycemic profile had no prior diagnosis of GDM. Thus, a normal glycemic

profile during pregnancy or 3 months postdelivery does not guarantee normoglycemia in young women after pregnancy.

Previous studies deal primarily with follow-up of patients with GDM. Furthermore, they focus on the risk of development of T2DM following delivery [27–29]. One study that assessed GDM women at both 3 and 12 months postpartum reported that 17.1% of those with NGT at 3 months progressed to AGR at 12 [30]. A normal 3-month OGTT did not preclude the presence of prediabetes or diabetes 9 months later, detected in 10% of these women [30].

AGR 3 months postpartum was observed in 7.9% of the women we studied. The prevalence of AGR in our population was lower than what has been previously described, with rates ranging from 11 to 36% [31–34]. However, these studies applied different criteria for the diagnosis of GDM. Nor was there homogeneity in the timing and criteria of postpartum testing. The lower prevalence of AGR shortly after delivery that we observed could also be related to MedDiet dietary habits, and the fact that the women studied were predominantly Caucasian.

The current study broadens the scope of post-pregnancy glycemic evaluation by including women with no prior history of GDM, normally overlooked in studies of postdelivery glucose regulation [31–34], in spite of the fact that pregnancy is known to deteriorate glucose tolerance [35]. In total, 40% of the women displaying AGR 3 months postpartum in fact had a diagnosis of GDM, while 60%, a majority, did not. These findings suggest that postpartum glycemic screening should be extended to all women following delivery, lest those with undetected AGR be excluded from beneficial clinical interventions.

Women of an older age, as well as those with a family history of type 2 diabetes and/or metabolic syndrome, were less likely to present a normoglycemic status at 3-year follow-up than younger women and those with no such family history. The risk for progression from normoglycemia at 3 months to abnormal glucose regulation at 3 years was higher in women with at least two unmodifiable risk factors. As for modifiable risk factors, a higher pre-gestational and delivery BMI, greater weight gain during follow-up, central obesity, hypertension, and less than 15 min per day of moderate physical activity were associated with a higher probability of progression to abnormal glucose regulation. Furthermore, the combination of >5 modifiable risk factors was associated with the persistence of abnormal glucose regulation.

Some of these aforementioned factors have previously been found to be related to the onset of diabetes later in life in women with prior GDM, including an older age, a waist circumference  $\geq 88$  cm [28], and a higher BMI [30]. We did not, however, detect independent associations between insulin therapy during pregnancy and the later onset of AGR or dyslipidemia, as other studies have reported [28,29].

More than half (55.4%) of the 2529 women who were studied during pregnancy were included in the 3-month and 3-year follow-up evaluations. They represented over 80% of potential participants, once women who had changed address or had a second pregnancy were excluded. This high response rate could reflect that long-term adherence to a MedDiet enriched with EVOO and nuts, coupled with physical activity, was, in fact, feasible for the women participants. This, in turn, could suggest long-term compliance. The high educational level of the women involved as well as better lifestyle habits could also have been decisive factors in determining participation.

Among the strengths of the current study is the breadth of the assessment of a cohort of women, not limited to patient self-reference but also including both a clinical and laboratory evaluation at the time points studied. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this study provides one of the most comprehensive assessments performed to date of different modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors, not only during pregnancy but also at pregestational and early postpartum stages, permitting identification of women prone to abnormal glucose regulation. An additional strength is that early screening at 3 months postpartum was universal for all women, regardless of their risk for glycemic disorders,

through follow-up of a MedDiet pattern enhanced with EVOO and nuts. This MedDiet pattern is feasible for all women to follow and could limit the rate of progression to AGR.

The study is not exempt from limitations. First of all, the diagnostic criteria for AGR at 3 months postpartum were based on the use of HbA1c and FSG. The OGTT was not performed at 3 months, but rather at 3 years postpartum. This could underestimate the rate of women with early postpartum AGR. However, >90% of participants were breastfeeding at that time, rendering the OGTT inconvenient for many. In fact, a recent review on the subject of postpartum screening highlights that the OGTT could be a barrier to women's participation in postdelivery testing [36]. Furthermore, the combination of A1c–FSG appears to detect a similar number of women with prediabetes, while assuring a higher rate of participation. Secondly, alcohol consumption was low in our population. This could be a consequence of the active discouragement of alcohol intake during lactation. Thirdly, participants dedicated little time to exercise, with over half spending merely an average of 15 min daily in physical activity. If the women studied had exercised more, their glycemic results would have presumably improved. However, we cannot rule out that this short amount of time was sufficient to obtain some degree of metabolic benefit. A further limitation is that the use of pharmacological contraception was not registered, and thus, and its influence on glucose regulation cannot be estimated.

In conclusion, we observed that only 40% of the women with AGR in the early postpartum period had a prior diagnosis of GDM. The majority of women displaying this disorder had in fact been normoglycemic throughout pregnancy. Nor had GDM been diagnosed in most of the women displaying 3-year AGR. Thus, all women ought to be candidates for early postpartum screening, with at least an FSG and A1c, and not solely those with previous GDM. Furthermore, additional follow-up should be considered for those women with risk factors for a later appearance of AGR.

Implications for Future Research

Women showing normal glucose regulation shortly after delivery may go on to exhibit glycemic alterations after 3 years. This was seen above all in those over 35 years of age, as well as in women with a family history of metabolic syndrome, pre-pregnancy obesity, those failing to attain their pre-gestation weight, and in women exhibiting a central distribution of body fat. We believe the aforementioned groups should therefore be the object of post-pregnancy monitorization, in an attempt to detect glycemic alterations before the long-term development of T2DM and cardiovascular complications, regardless of their glycemic status at 3 months post-partum. Efforts should be directed towards limiting the number of unfavorable modifiable risk factors in women following pregnancy, whether they have presented GDM during gestation or not, even in the face of a normal glycemic status 3 months post-pregnancy. We recommend that all women at risk for medium-term, 3-year postpartum AGR be the object of specific recommendations and follow-up that should be included in clinical guidelines.

Author Contributions: M.A.-R., A.B., A.L.C.-P., A.D., P.M.-M., M.A.R., P.d.M., J.A.D., L.d.V., V.M., J.V., I.R., M.P. and R.M.O. were involved in conceptualization and design, data curation, analysis, and interpretation of data. A.L.C.-P. was responsible for funding acquisition. A.L.C.-P., C.F., I.M., I.J., M.A.-R., M.J.T., M.M.-N., M.P., A.D., P.d.M., A.B., L.d.V., V.M., J.V. and R.M.O. were involved in supervision, validation, and visualization of researched data, and contributed to discussion and investigation. M.C., M.P., P.M.-M., M.A.-R. and M.A.R. were involved in data research and reviewed and edited the manuscript. Writing—original draft: A.L.C.-P., M.A.-R., V.M., I.R. and P.M.-M. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Writing—review & editing: M.A.-R., A.L.C.-P., P.M.-M., V.M., and I.R. A.L.C.-P. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by grants from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III/MICINN of Spain under grant number PI20/01758, and European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) "A way to build Europe". The design and conduct of the study, collection, management, analysis, and

interpretation of the data, preparation, review, and approval of the manuscript, and decision to submit the manuscript for publication are the responsibilities of the authors alone and independent of the funders.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Institutional Review Board and the Clinical Ethics Committee of the Hospital Clínico San Carlos approved the aforementioned studies (CI 13/296-E: 22/07/2013; CI 16/442-E: 08/11/2016; and CI 16/316: 15/07/2016). Written informed were provided and signed by all participants.

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed were provided and signed by all participants.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We wish to acknowledge our deep appreciation to the administrative personnel, nurses, and dieticians from the Laboratory Department (María Dolores Hermoso Martín, María Victoria Saez de Parayuelo RN, and Jose Luis Espadas), the Pregnancy and Diabetes Unit, and to all members of the Endocrinology and Nutrition and Obstetrics and Gynecology departments of the Hospital Clinico Universitario San Carlos, Madrid, Spain.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no potential conflict relevant to this article. The research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

- ElSayed, N.A.; Aleppo, G.; Aroda, V.R.; Bannuru, R.R.; Brown, F.M.; Bruemmer, D.; Collins, B.S.; Hilliard, M.E.; Isaacs, D.; Johnson, E.L.; et al. 15. Management of Diabetes in Pregnancy: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2023. *Diabetes Care* 2022, 46 (Suppl. 1), S254–S266. [CrossRef]
- McIntyre, H.D.; Catalano, P.; Zhang, C.; Desoye, G.; Mathiesen, E.R.; Damm, P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 2019, 5, 47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Metzger, B.E.; Lowe, L.P.; Dyer, A.R.; Trimble, E.R.; Chaovarindr, U.; Coustan, D.R.; Hadden, D.R.; McCance, D.R.; Hod, M.; HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group; et al. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008, 358, 1991–2002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Metzger, B.E. Long-term outcomes in mothers diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus and their offspring. *Clin. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2007**, *50*, 972–979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bellamy, L.; Casas, J.-P.; Hingorani, A.D.; Williams, D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2009, 373, 1773–1779. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kitzmiller, J.L.; Dang-Kilduff, L.; Taslimi, M.M. Gestational diabetes after delivery. Short-term management and long-term risks. Diabetes Care 2007, 30 (Suppl. 2), S225–S235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Metzger, B.E.; Coustan, D.R. Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. The Organizing Committee. *Diabetes Care* 1998, 21 (Suppl. 2), B161–B167.
- Yun, S.; Kabeer, N.H.; Zhu, B.-P.; Brownson, R.C. Modifiable Risk factors for developing diabetes among women with previous gestational diabetes. *Prev. Chronic Dis.* 2006, 4, A07.
- 9. Sweeting, A.; Wong, J.; Murphy, H.R.; Ross, G.P. A Clinical Update on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Endocr. Rev.* 2022, 43, 763–793. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gabbe, S.G.; Landon, M.B.; Warren-Boulton, E.; Fradkin, J. Promoting health after gestational diabetes: A national diabetes education program call to action. *Diabetes Obstet. Gynecol.* **2012**, *119*, 171–176. [CrossRef]
- Diabetes in Pregnancy: Management from Preconception to the Postnatal Period; NICE Guideline, No. 3; National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE): London, UK, 2020. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555331/ (accessed on 23 October 2023).
- 12. Baird, J.D. Some aspects of carbohydrate metabolism in pregnancy with special reference to the energy metabolism and hormonal status of the infant of the diabetic woman and the diabetogenic effect of pregnancy. *J. Endocrinol.* **1969**, *44*, 139–172. [CrossRef]
- 13. Dahlgren, J. Pregnancy and Insulin Resistance. *Metab. Syndr. Relat. Disord.* 2006, 4, 149–152. [CrossRef]
- Sonagra, A.D.; Biradar, S.M.; Dattatreya, K.; Murthy, D.S.J. Normal Pregnancy—A State of Insulin Resistance. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 2014, 8, CC01–CC03. [CrossRef]
- Persson, M.; Winkvist, A.; Mogren, I. Lifestyle and health status in a sample of Swedish women four years after pregnancy: A comparison of women with a history of normal pregnancy and women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015, 15, 57. [CrossRef]
- 16. Nabila, S.; Kim, J.-E.; Choi, J.; Park, J.; Shin, A.; Lee, S.-A.; Lee, J.-K.; Kang, D.; Choi, J.-Y. Associations between modifiable risk factors and changes in glycemic status among individuals with prediabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2023**, *46*, 535–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 17. Cuesta, M.; Fuentes, M.; Rubio, M.; Bordiu, E.; Barabash, A.; de la Torre, N.G.; Rojo-Martinez, G.; Valdes, S.; Soriguer, F.; Vendrell, J.J.; et al. Incidence and regression of metabolic syndrome in a representative sample of the Spanish population: Results of the cohort di@bet.es study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care* 2020, *8*, e001715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Assaf-Balut, C.; Garcia De La Torre, N.; Durán, A.; Fuentes, M.; Bordiú, E.; Del Valle, L.; Familiar, C.; Ortolá, A.; Jiménez, I.; Herraiz, M.A.; et al. A Mediterranean diet with additional extra virgin olive oil and pistachios reduces the incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM): A randomized controlled trial: The St. Carlos GDM prevention study. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0185873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de la Torre, N.G.; Assaf-Balut, C.; Varas, I.J.; del Valle, L.; Durán, A.; Fuentes, M.; del Prado, N.; Bordiú, E.; Valerio, J.J.; Herraiz, M.A.; et al. Effectiveness of following mediterranean diet recommendations in the real world in the incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus (gdm) and adverse maternal-foetal outcomes: A prospective, universal, interventional study with a single group. The St Carlos study. *Nutrients* 2019, *11*, 1210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Metzger, B.E.; Gabbe, S.G.; Persson, B.; Buchanan, T.A.; Catalano, P.A.; Damm, P.; Dyer, A.R.; de Leiva, A.; Hod, M.; International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel; et al. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2010, 33, 676–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. The Diabetes and Nutrition Study Group of the Spanish Diabetes Association (GSEDNu). Diabetes nutrition and complications trial (DNCT): Food intake and targets of diabetes treatment in a sample of spanish people with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **1997**, *20*, 1078–1080. [CrossRef]
- Schröder, H.; Fitó, M.; Estruch, R.; Martínez-González, M.A.; Corella, D.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Lamuela-Raventós, R.; Ros, E.; Salaverría, I.; Fiol, M.; et al. A Short screener is valid for assessing mediterranean diet adherence among older spanish men and women. J. Nutr. 2011, 141, 1140–1145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2009, 32 (Suppl. 1), S62–S67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kim, C.; Newton, K.M.; Knopp, R.H. Gestational diabetes and the incidence of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. *Diabetes Care* **2002**, 25, 1862–1868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Vounzoulaki, E.; Khunti, K.; Abner, S.C.; Tan, B.K.; Davies, M.J.; Gillies, C.L. Progression to type 2 diabetes in women with a known history of gestational diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* **2020**, *369*, m1361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Daly, B.; Toulis, K.A.; Thomas, N.; Gokhale, K.; Martin, J.; Webber, J.; Keerthy, D.; Jolly, K.; Saravanan, P.; Nirantharakumar, K. Correction: Increased risk of ischemic heart disease, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes in women with previous gestational diabetes mellitus, a target group in general practice for preventive interventions: A population-based cohort study. *PLoS Med.* 2019, *16*, e1002881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Auvinen, A.-M.; Luiro, K.; Jokelainen, J.; Järvelä, I.; Knip, M.; Auvinen, J.; Tapanainen, J.S. Type 1 and type 2 diabetes after gestational diabetes: A 23 year cohort study. *Diabetologia* 2020, *63*, 2123–2128. [CrossRef]
- 28. Göbl, C.S.; Bozkurt, L.; Prikoszovich, T.; Winzer, C.; Pacini, G.D.; Kautzky-Willer, A. Early possible risk factors for overt diabetes after gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **2011**, *118*, 71–78. [CrossRef]
- 29. Chew, W.F.; Rokiah, P.; Chan, S.P.; Chee, W.S.S.; Lee, L.F.; Chan, Y.M. Prevalence of glucose intolerance, and associated antenatal and historical risk factors among Malaysian women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Singapore Med. J.* **2012**, *53*, 814–820, Erratum in *Singapore Med. J.* **2013**, *54*, 58.
- Retnakaran, R.; Qi, Y.; Connelly, P.W.; Sermer, M.; Hanley, A.J.; Zinman, B. Risk of early progression to prediabetes or diabetes in women with recent gestational dysglycaemia but normal glucose tolerance at 3-month postpartum. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 2010, 73, 476–483. [CrossRef]
- 31. Lawrence, J.M.; Black, M.H.; Hsu, J.-W.; Chen, W.; Sacks, D.A. Prevalence and timing of postpartum glucose testing and sustained glucose dysregulation after gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **2010**, *33*, 569–576. [CrossRef]
- 32. Russell, M.A.; Phipps, M.G.; Olson, C.L.; Welch, H.G.; Carpenter, M.W. Rates of postpartum glucose testing after gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **2006**, *108*, 1456–1462. [CrossRef]
- Hunt, K.J.; Conway, D.L. Who returns for postpartum glucose screening following gestational diabetes mellitus? *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2008, 198, 404.e1–404.e6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Ferrara, A.; Peng, T.; Kim, C. Trends in postpartum diabetes screening and subsequent diabetes and impaired fasting glucose among women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus: A report from the translating research into action for diabetes (TRIAD) Study. *Diabetes Care* **2009**, *32*, 269–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Kühl, C. Glucose metabolism during and after pregnancy in normal and gestational diabetic women. 1. Influence of normal pregnancy on serum glucose and insulin concentration during basal fasting conditions and after a challenge with glucose. *Acta Endocrinol.* **1975**, *79*, 709–719.
- 36. Sanderson, H.; Loveman, E.; Colquitt, J.; Royle, P.; Waugh, N.; Tan, B.K. Improving uptake of postnatal checking of blood glucose in women who had gestational diabetes mellitus in universal healthcare settings: A systematic review. J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, 4. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.