## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# Analysis plan

Complication/outcome		Dose to structure*	Clinical factors	Analysis	Competing risks
Visual acuity (VA)		Retina (surface)	Age, gender,	Analysis i, and	Censoring:
deterioration		Macula (surface)	tumour height.	ii. were	death from any
i.	Pre-treatment VA ≤	Optic disc (surface)	tumour-optic	performed	cause. lost to
	0.5 logMAR. Post-	Globe (volume)	disc distance.	from Cox	follow-up.
	treatment VA	Lens (volume)	follow-up time	regression	relapse or
	increase of 0.3		(for logistic	10810001011	enucleation.
	logMAR compared		regression).	Analysis iii.	tumour under
	to initial		treatment time	was	the macula in
ii.	All natients			performed by	follow-up.
	regardless of initial			logistic	detached
	visual acuity.			regression	macula in
	Negative post-			-8	follow-up.
	treatment VA				tumour
	change of 0.3				covering the
	logMAR compared				optic disc in
	to pre-treatment				follow-up
	*				L L
iii.	The first negative				
	change after 3				
	months in post-				
	treatment VA of 0.3				
	logMAR compared				
	to pre-treatment				
	-			2	<u> </u>
Ma	aculopathy	Retina (surface)	Age, gender,	Lox	Censoring:
		Macula (surface)	tumour neight,	regression	death from any
		Clobe (volume)	diag distance	analysis	cause, lost to
		Long (volume)	treatment time		rolonso or
		Lens (volume)	tumour under		relapse of
			the macula at		tumour under
			hacaling		the macula in
			detached macula		follow-up
			at haseline		detached
			ar Dascille		macula in
					follow-up
Ontic neuronathy		Retina (surface)	Age gender	Cox	Censoring
~1	ac neur oputny	Macula (surface)	tumour height	regression	death from any
		Ontic disc (surface)	tumour-ontic	analysis	cause. Jost to
		Globe (volume)	disc distance	and y 010	follow-up
			treatment time.		relapse or
			/		

		tumour covering the optic disc at baseline		enucleation, tumour covering the optic disc in follow-up
Retinal detachment (post-treatment)	Retina (surface)	Age, gender, tumour height, tumour-optic disc distance, treatment time	Cox regression analysis	Censoring: death from any cause, lost to follow-up, relapse or enucleation
Ocular hypertension	Retina (surface) Optic disc (surface)	Age, gender, tumour height, tumour-optic disc distance, treatment time	Cox regression analysis	Censoring: death from any cause, lost to follow-up, relapse or enucleation
Vascular obliteration	Retina (surface) Macula (surface) Optic disc (surface) Globe (volume)	Age, gender, tumour height, tumour-optic disc distance, treatment time	Cox regression analysis	Censoring: death from any cause, lost to follow-up, relapse or enucleation
Cataract	Retina (surface) Lens (volume)	Age, gender, tumour height, tumour-optic disc distance, treatment time	Cox regression analysis	Censoring: death from any cause, lost to follow-up, relapse or enucleation

Table S1: Analysis plan. For each late complication we pre-specified variables to include in the Lasso selection process including dose to specific structures and clinical characteristics. Furthermore, we defined analysis methods and competing events for each of the late complications. \*Dose to specific areas/volumes of the structure ( $D_{2\%}$ ,  $D_{20\%}$ ,  $D_{50\%}$ ,  $D_{98\%}$ ) was used in the model. Furthermore, we included area/volume that received a specific dose ( $A/V_{200Gy}$ ,  $A/V_{100Gy}$ ,  $A/V_{80Gy}$ ,  $A/V_{50Gy}$ ,  $A/V_{20Gy}$ ,  $A/V_{10Gy}$ ).

### Visual acuity supplementary data



Figure S1: Bar chart of pre-treatment visual acuity and last visual acuity measure for all patients.

The overall risk of visual acuity loss is illustrated in the Kaplan-Meier curves in Figure S2 for both visual acuity deterioration (group 1) and pre-treatment visual acuity loss (group 2).



Figure S2: Kaplan-Meier analysis for visual acuity deterioration (group 1) and loss of pretreatment visual acuity (group 2). Dotted lines illustrate the 95% confidence intervals; the crosses illustrate censored patients.

#### Logistic regression

For explorative purposes we performed logistic regression analyses for visual acuity loss for both visual acuity deterioration (group 1) and pre-treatment visual acuity loss (group 2). In both analyses, visual acuity was defined as a negative difference of  $\geq 0.3$  logMAR between the pre-treatment measure and the measure at the last regular assessment.

The odds ratios are listed in Table B1.

	Odds ratio (95 % CI)
Visual acuity deterioration	
Optic disc-tumour distance	0.88 (0.78-0.99)
Macula A <sub>10Gy</sub> *	1.10 (0.96-1.27)
Macula A <sub>50Gy</sub> *	0.93 (0.60-1.47)
Macula A <sub>80Gy</sub> *	1.17 (0.73-1.87)
-	
Macula A <sub>50Gy</sub> * Macula A <sub>80Gy</sub> *	0.93 (0.60-1.47) 1.17 (0.73-1.87)

**Loss of pre-treatment visual acuity** No variables selected

Table S2: Odds ratios from logistic regression analyses for visual acuity deterioration and lossof pre-treatment visual acuity.

The dose-response model for the logistic regression analysis is illustrated in Figure S3A and in Figure S3B for three specific optic disc-tumour distances.



Figure S3: A) Dose response of visual acuity deterioration as a function of macula  $A_{10Gy}$ . The model adjusts for optic disc-tumour distance (2.4 mm), macula  $A_{50Gy}$  (26 %) and macula  $A_{80Gy}$  (8 %). The shaded area indicates the 95 % confidence intervals. B) Dose response of visual

# acuity deterioration as a function of macula $V_{10Gy}$ for three specific optic disc-tumour distances (2, 4 and 6 mm).

Model performance for the logistic regression analysis was assess using Hosmer-Lemeshow. The results showed acceptable calibration.



Figure S4: Hosmer-Lemeshow calibration curve

Late complications supplementary



Figure S5: Kaplan-Meier for late complications. A) maculopathy, B) optic neuropathy, C) ocular hypertension, D) Vascular obliteration, E) cataract and F) retinal detachment. Dotted lines illustrate the 95% confidence intervals; the crosses illustrate censored patients.

#### **Model performance**

Complication	5-year c-index	5-year Brier score	
Visual acuity deterioration	65.5 %	0.187	
Maculopathy	65.8 %	0.182	
Optic neuropathy	78.7 %	0.149	
Vasculopathy	69.0 %	0.176	
Cataract	64.7 %	0.218	

Table S3: 5-year concordance indices and Brier scores for each late complication



Figure S6: Concordance indices

Median dose area/volume histograms



Figure S7: Median dose area histograms for A) macula, B) optic disc, C) Retina. Median dose volume histograms for D) Lens and E) globe. The shaded light green area illustrates the 25 % and 75 % quartiles, respectively.