Supplementary Materials: The Role of Positron **Emission Tomography in Clinical Management of** Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms of the Pancreas.

Simone Serafini, Cosimo Sperti, Alessandra Rosalba Brazzale, Diego Cecchin, Pietro Zucchetta, Elisa Sefora Pierobon, Alberto Ponzoni, Michele Valmasoni and Lucia Moletta

Text S1. Report of statistical analysis performed for two sample binary diagnostic tests. 18-FDG PET (Test1) and ICG (Test 2).

Counts for Test 2

Counts for Tests 1 and 2

Counts for Test 1

True	Diagnost	ic Test Result		Diagnostic Test Result				
Condition	Positive	Negative	Total	Positive	Negative	Total		
Present	168	43	211	152	74	226		
Absent	10	198	208	78	108	186		
Total	178	241	419	230	182	412		

Two Sample Binary Diagnostic Tests

Sensitivity Confidence Intervals Section

			Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Statistic	Test	Value	Conf. Limit	Conf. Limit
Sensitivity (Se1)	1	0.7962	0.7368	0.8450
Sensitivity (Se2)	2	0.6726	0.6089	0.7304
Difference (Se1-Se2)		0.1236	0.0411	0.2050
Ratio (Se1/Se2)		1.1838	1.0575	1.3305

Specificity Confidence Intervals Section

-r				
			Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Statistic	Test	Value	Conf. Limit	Conf. Limit
Specificity (Sp1)	1	0.9519	0.9138	0.9737
Specificity (Sp2)	2	0.5806	0.5088	0.6492
Difference (Sp1-Sp2)		0.3713	0.2948	0.4480
Ratio (Sp1/Sp2)		1.6394	1.4572	1.8763

Likelihood Ratio Section

			Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Statistic	Test	Value	Conf. Limit	Conf. Limit
LR(Test=Posivitive)	1	16.5611	9.4512	32.2283
	2	1.6038	1.3319	1.9587
LR(Test=Negative)	1	0.2141	0.1611	0.2759
	2	0.5639	0.4486	0.7024

Odds Ratio Section

Lower 95.0%

Upper 95.0%

Statistic	Test	Value		Conf. Limit	Conf. I	Limit
Odds Ratio (+ 1/2)	1	73.2288		36.2013	148.1290	
	2	2.82	.93	1.8939	4	.2265
Odds Ratio (Fleiss)	1	73.22	.88	36.0892	170	.3492
	2	2.82	.93	1.8643	4	.3435
Hypothesis Tests of	the Equiva	lence of Se	nsitivity			
	-		-			Reject H0
		Lower	Upper			and Conclude
		90.0%	90.0%	Lower	Upper	Equivalence
	Prob	Conf.	Conf.	Equiv.	Equiv.	at the 5.0%
Statistic	Level	Limit	Limit	Bound	Bound	Significance Level
Diff. (Se1-Se2)	0.0012	0.0545	0.1920	-0.2500	0.2500	Yes
Ratio (Se1/Se2)	0.1770	1.0769	1.3051	0.8000	1.2500	No

Notes:

Equivalence is concluded when the confidence limits fall completely inside the equivalence bounds.

Hypothesis Tests of the Equivalence of Specificity

					Reject H0
	Lower	Upper			and Conclude
	90.0%	90.0%	Lower	Upper	Equivalence
Prob	Conf.	Conf.	Equiv.	Equiv.	at the 5.0%
Level	Limit	Limit	Bound	Bound	Significance Level
	0.3071	0.4356	-0.2500	0.2500	No
	1.4837	1.8337	0.8000	1.2500	No
	Prob Level	Lower 90.0% Prob Conf. Level Limit 0.3071 1.4837	Lower Upper 90.0% 90.0% Prob Conf. Conf. Level Limit Limit 0.3071 0.4356 1.4837	Lower Upper 90.0% 90.0% Lower Prob Conf. Conf. Equiv. Level Limit Limit Bound 0.3071 0.4356 -0.2500 1.4837 1.8337 0.8000	Lower Upper 90.0% 90.0% Lower Upper Prob Conf. Conf. Equiv. Equiv. Level Limit Limit Bound Bound 0.3071 0.4356 -0.2500 0.2500 1.4837 1.8337 0.8000 1.2500

Notes:

Equivalence is concluded when the confidence limits fall completely inside the equivalence bounds.

Tests Showing the Sensitivity Non-inferiority of Test 1 Compared to Test 2

				· - · · · · · · · · · ·		
						Reject H0
		Lower	Upper			and Conclude
		90.0%	90.0%	Lower	Upper	Non-inferiority
	Prob	Conf.	Conf.	Equiv.	Equiv.	at the 5.0%
Statistic	Level	Limit	Limit	Bound	Bound	Significance Level
Diff. (Se1-Se2)	0.0000	0.0545	0.1920	-0.2500	0.2500	Yes
Ratio (Se1/Se2)	0.0000	1.0769	1.3051	0.8000	1.2500	Yes

Notes:

H0: The sensitivity of Test 1 is inferior to Test 2.

Ha: The sensitivity of Test 1 is non-inferior to Test 2.

Tests Showing the Specificity Non-inferiority of Test 1 Compared to Test 2

					Reject 110
	Lower	Upper			and Conclude
	90.0%	90.0%	Lower	Upper	Non-inferiority
Prob	Conf.	Conf.	Equiv.	Equiv.	at the 5.0%
Level	Limit	Limit	Bound	Bound	Significance Level
	0.3071	0.4356	-0.2500	0.2500	Yes
	1.4837	1.8337	0.8000	1.2500	Yes
	Prob Level	Lower 90.0% Prob Conf. Level Limit 0.3071 1.4837	Lower Upper 90.0% 90.0% Prob Conf. Conf. Level Limit Limit 0.3071 0.4356 1.4837 1.8337	Lower Upper 90.0% 90.0% Lower Prob Conf. Conf. Equiv. Level Limit Limit Bound 0.3071 0.4356 -0.2500 1.4837 1.8337 0.8000	Lower Upper 90.0% 90.0% Lower Upper Prob Conf. Conf. Equiv. Equiv. Level Limit Limit Bound 0.3071 0.4356 -0.2500 0.2500 1.4837 1.8337 0.8000 1.2500 0.2500

Data at 110

Notes:

H0: The specificity of Test 1 is inferior to Test 2.

Ha: The specificity of Test 1 is non-inferior to Test 2.

Confidence Interval Method	
Difference C.I. Method:	Score w/ Skewness (Gart-Nam)
Ratio C.I. Method:	Score w/ Skewness (Gart-Nam)
Report Options	
Alpha - C.I.'s:	0.05
Alpha - Tests:	0.05
Proportion Decimals:	4
Probability Decimals:	4
-	
Equivalence or Non-Inferior	ity Settings

Max Equivalence Difference:0.25Max Equivalence Ratio:1.25



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).