

Article



Anodized Aluminum Oxide Supported NiO-CeO₂ Catalyst for Dry Reforming of Propane

Jaya Karuppiah¹, Enakonda Linga Reddy² and Young Sun Mok^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Jeju National University, Jeju 63243, Korea; karuppiah08@gmail.com
- ² Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea; lingammm@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: smokie@jejunu.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-64-754-3682

Academic Editor: Simon Penner Received: 29 July 2016; Accepted: 26 September 2016; Published: 29 September 2016

Abstract: Nano-porous anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) supported Ni-Ce mixed metal oxide catalysts were prepared and tested for dry reforming of propane to produce synthesis gas. The presence of Ce efficiently suppressed the nickel particle sintering and improved the reducibility of nickel oxide supported on the AAO. The prepared NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst was highly efficient for the dry reforming of propane (DRP) with CO₂ over a temperature range of 480–580 °C. The catalyst achieved the best reforming performance of 90%–97%, and a H₂/CO ratio close to 1.37 at 580 °C. The AAO supported NiO-CeO₂ catalyst can be a promising catalytic system for DRP.

Keywords: dry reforming; propane; nickel; ceria; catalytic activity

1. Introduction

The energy-rich gas mixture consisting of hydrogen (H₂) and carbon monoxide (CO), called synthesis gas or syngas, is an important intermediate for the production of value-added chemicals and liquid fuels [1–3]. Dry reforming of methane with CO₂ to produce synthesis gas is a well-known process that has been extensively studied due to its industrial importance. During the last decade, the work in this area has been extended to other hydrocarbons such as ethane and propane [4–13], since ethane and propane are more readily activated at relatively low temperatures than methane. Up to now, the dry reforming of hydrocarbons has been investigated with various catalysts, including noble metals as well as non-noble metals [14,15]. Among them, nickel-based catalysts are generally preferred because it is easily available and inexpensive. However, the major drawback of nickel regarding the dry reforming of hydrocarbon is coking [16–19]. Therefore, much attention has been paid to the development of more reliable Ni-based catalysts with anti-coking performance. The use of Lewis bases such as magnesium oxide (MgO) and calcium oxide (CaO) as promoters can enhance the chemisorption of CO₂ that in turn reacts with C to form CO, thereby reducing the deposition of coke. Lanthanide oxides (e.g., CeO₂ and La₂O₃) are able to store and release oxygen, and the lattice oxygen species in these redox oxides can react with deposited carbon, leading to the removal of carbon.

Recently, anodic oxidation technology has been applied to prepare catalyst supports, which offers a strong metal-support interaction. Porous anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) templates are one of the most interesting structures because of their unbranched, controllable and nearly uniform pores [20–22]. The catalyst pore structure at the scale of 10–100 nm can affect the flow of reagent, the distribution of active sites, and the contact time between reacting gas and catalyst. Hence, the AAO can be a highly efficient catalyst support structure for applications in catalytic reforming reactions.

In the present investigation, the dry reforming of propane (DRP) with carbon dioxide (CO₂) was carried out over Ni-Ce oxides supported on the AAO as the catalyst. A series of NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts with different Ni/Ce ratios were prepared and their physicochemical properties were

systematically analyzed before and after the reforming reactions. The reactivity towards the DRP and the resistance against carbon deposition over different NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts were examined in a temperature range of 480–580 °C. The objectives were not only to obtain optimized, efficient NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts for practical applications but also to gain a better understanding of the fundamental aspects of the DRP process.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Characterization of the Catalyst before Reaction

Figure 1 presents the X-ray diffractograms of a series of 20%~80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts. Figure 1a shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of the AAO support itself, which presents two sharp peaks at $2\theta = 45^{\circ}$ and 66.2° corresponding to the characteristic peaks of amorphous γ -alumina. In all cases (Figure 1b–h), the characteristic peaks of CeO₂ cubic phase ($2\theta = 28.4^{\circ}$, 32.94° , 47.3° and 56.2°) appeared, which well match with JCPDS-ICDD PDF No. 43-1002. The catalyst samples also exhibited weak and broad peaks at $2\theta = 36.4^{\circ}$, 42.6° and 64.4° , corresponding to NiO phase (ICDD PDF No. 73-1523), when the NiO concentration was more than 50%. The weak and broad peaks indicate that NiO crystallites are finely dispersed in the CeO_2/AAO matrix. The characteristic diffraction peaks of NiO were well recognizable for the 60%~80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO, but their intensities diminished when decreasing the Ni loading. Ni in the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst can exist in either crystallized NiO phase or Ni²⁺ ions fused into the ceria lattice. The average crystallite size and lattice strain of both CeO₂ and NiO were estimated using Scherrer's relation, which were presented in Table 1. As seen in Table 1, the bigger the crystalline size of ceria, the smaller the lattice strain. This result suggests that some nickel ions (Ni²⁺) were incorporated into the CeO₂ lattice and formed a solid solution of NiO and CeO_2 ($Ce_{1-x}Ni_xO_{2-\delta}$) since the size of Ni²⁺ ion is smaller than that of Ce⁴⁺ ion [23–26]. Higher NiO loading inhibited the growth of CeO₂ [27–29].



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts: (**a**) Anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) support; (**b**) 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**c**) 30% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**d**) 40% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**e**) 50% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**f**) 60% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**g**) 70% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**h**) 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO.

The surface morphology of the bare AAO support was examined with field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). As seen in Figure 2, it showed a well-defined porous structure with a homogeneous pore size distribution. The morphologies of 20%, 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts with two different magnifications (1 μ m and 400 nm) are shown in Figure 3. The surface of the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts showed segregated agglomerations of NiO and CeO₂ nanoparticles with lack of uniformity in size as well as a great heterogeneity in morphology. From Figure 3a, a well-defined nanorod like feature can be seen for the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst, as can the agglomerations of nanoparticles for the 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO (Figure 3b,c).

NiO:CeO ₂	$S_{\rm BET}~({\rm m^2/g})$	N	iO	CeO ₂		
		Crystal Lattice S	ize Strain (nm) Å	Crystal Size (nm)	Lattice Strain Å	
AAO as prepared	23		-	-	-	
20%:80%	15.1	9	1.1	16	1.1	
30%:70%	14.6	-	-	23	0.8	
40%:60%	13.9	15	0.4	11	1.5	
50%:50%	12.5	14	0.1	23	0.8	
60%:40%	12.7	-	-	18	1.0	
70%:30%	13.1	20	0.1	10	1.4	
80%:20%	12.8	31	0.4	-	-	

Table 1. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area, mean crystallite sizes, and lattice strains (as determined by XRD) of the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts with different Ni content.



Figure 2. FE-SEM (field emission scanning electron microscopy) image of the bare anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) support.



Figure 3. SEM images of (**a**) 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (**b**) 50% NiO-CeO₂/AAO and (**c**) 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO with two magnifications (left: 1 μ m; right: 400 nm scale).

Figure S1 shows the temperature programmed reduction (TPR) curves for the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts with different Ni contents. In all cases, two reduction peaks, the first one at temperatures in the range of 208–240 °C and the second one at temperatures from 432 to 496 °C, depending on the Ni content, were observed. The first peak is due to the reduction of mildly interactive NiO with CeO₂ on the AAO support [30–33]. The second one was attributed to the reduction of NiO species that was strongly interactive with CeO₂. The second reduction peak for the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO was located at around 432 °C, while that of the 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO shifted to 496 °C, revealing a stronger interaction of NiO with CeO₂ on the AAO support [31,34]. Namely, the reduction of NiO (to Ni) that is strongly bound to the CeO₂ requires a higher temperature.

The valence state and fraction of Ce and Ni ions on the surface of the 20%, 50%, and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts before dry reforming reactions were studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Typical XPS spectra of O 1s and Ni 2p, Ce 3d of the catalysts before the reaction are given in Figure 4. The O 1s spectra of the 50% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst showing a main peak centered at 529.4 eV has been assigned to the lattice oxygen in CeO₂ and NiO (O^{2-} species). The additional peak at 531.1 eV can be allotted to oxygen ion deficiencies in the subsurface layer of metal oxides arising from the Ce-Ni solid solution formation [35]. The 20% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts showed only one peak at 531.1 eV which was ascribed to the different oxygen defects. For the 20%, 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts, the Ni 2p region resolved by curve fitting contained both Ni^0 (~853.5 eV) and Ni^{2+} species (~856.7 eV). All three catalysts showed two distinct bands at around 853.5 eV and 856.8 eV that were correlated to the interaction between the support and the respective Ni^0 and Ni^{2+} atoms. The fraction of Ni^0 was in the order of 80% > 50% > 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO. Meanwhile, there was no significant variation observed in Ni²⁺ distribution on the surface. In the Ce 3d spectrum, eight peaks were observed as shown in Figure 4c. One can divide these peaks into four groups and assign them to four different spin-orbit doublets, i.e., (v_0, u_0) , (v_1, u_1) , (v_2, u_2) , and (v_3, u_3) , respectively. Among them, those labelled "v" are for $3d_{5/2}$ and those labelled "u" are for $3d_{3/2}$. The doublets $(v_0, u_0), (v_2, u_2)$ and (v_3, u_3) correspond to the various states of Ce⁴⁺, while the doublet (v_1, u_1) is considered as the character of Ce³⁺. The deconvoluted binding energies of Ce 3d spectra are summarized in Table 2, which distinctly shows that the fraction of Ce³⁺ (intensities of v_1 and u_1) significantly decreased on account of the formation of CeO₂ for the 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO. It is obvious that the observed binding energies of Ce⁴⁺ and Ce³⁺ are consistent with the previous reports [35,36]. The appearance of characteristic Ce³⁺ bands are labelled at 884.4 eV (v_1) and 900.8 eV (u_0) suggests that Ce existed in both Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ oxidation states on the surfaces of the 20%, 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO. It can be seen that the intensity of Ce³⁺ 3d peaks in the 20%, 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO samples apparently increased when increasing the ceria content. The presence of Ce³⁺ aids the interaction with the peripheral NiO species to form the solid solution.

Catalvet	Ce 3d _{5/2}			Ce 3d _{3/2}				
Catalyst	v_0	v_1	v_2	v_3	<i>u</i> ₀	u_1	u_2	<i>u</i> ₃
20%NiO-CeO ₂ /AAO	882.5	884.4	889.3	898.4	900.7	904.3	907.5	917.0
50%NiO-CeO2/AAO	882.5	884.3	887.4	898.4	900.9	904.4	907.5	916.9
80%NiO-CeO ₂ /AAO	882.6	884.3	889.0	898.2	900.8	904.4	907.5	916.9
Assignments	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ³⁺	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ³⁺	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ⁴⁺

Table 2. De-convoluted results of XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) spectra for the 20%~80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts.



Figure 4. (**a**) O 1s; (**b**) Ni 2p; (**c**) Ce 3d XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) spectra of 20%, 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts.

2.2. Propane/CO₂ Reforming

The performance of the catalysts with different Ni and Ce loadings were examined in terms of C₃H₈ and CO₂ conversions at various temperatures up to 580 °C, which is illustrated in Figure 5. The obtained results showed that both C₃H₈ and CO₂ conversions were the highest with the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst. At a lower reaction temperature of 500 °C, 20% and 30% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst exhibited 55% and 40% C₃H₈ conversion, and 45% and 40% CO₂ conversion, respectively, while at 580 °C the same catalysts exhibited 97% and 90% C₃H₈ conversion and 90% and 80% CO₂ conversion, respectively. As expected from the strong endothermic reforming reaction ($\Delta H^0_{298 \text{ K}} = 644.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$), the catalysts explored in this work showed their best catalytic activity at 580 °C. Increasing the nickel concentration from 20% to 80% tended to decrease the propane and CO₂ conversion due to the lower nickel dispersion at higher nickel loadings. Also, it is clear that the conversion efficiency of CO₂ was lower than that of C₃H₈ for all the catalysts, which can be explained by the additional propane consumption caused by its cracking into carbon. The C₃H₈ cracking into carbon and H₂ consumed more C₃H₈ than the stoichiometric value. Meanwhile, the 50% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst was less active for propane reforming when compared with 40% and 60% catalyst. This might be due to the low BET surface area and large crystal size (23 nm) of ceria (Table 1).



Figure 5. C₃H₈ (lower) and CO₂ (upper) conversion efficiencies obtained with different catalysts.

The product concentrations of H₂ and CO obtained during the DRP with various NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts are represented in Figure 6, where it can be seen that the CO and H₂ concentrations increased when gradually increasing the reaction temperature. The H₂ concentration was higher than that of CO, as the temperature increased, because of the C₃H₈ cracking. From the effects of temperature on the conversion and product concentrations, it can be concluded that increasing the temperature is beneficial for the improvement in the conversion and the generation of syngas. Under the tested conditions, the adequate temperature appears to be 580 °C for the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO. The H₂/CO ratios acquired with the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts are shown in Figure S2 as a function of reaction temperature. At temperatures in the range of 480–580 °C, the 20% and 30% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts may be due to the ultrafine NiO/CeO₂ nanoparticles or nanorods with high dispersion over the AAO support. It has been reported that the stability and dispersion of metal is significantly improved by the metal-oxygen species formed on the surface of CeO₂ [37]. It has also been well recognized that the state of metallic Ni phases such as reduced and dispersed phases as well as the redox ability of CeO₂ has a strong influence on the catalytic activity of CeO₂-based Ni catalyst [38].



Figure 6. Concentrations of H_2 (top) and CO (bottom) produced by the DRP with different catalysts.

The catalytic stability of the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts was examined over the period of 55 h at 500 °C, which is shown in Figure 7. It appears that the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO showed higher and more stable catalytic activity during the DRP, compared to the other catalysts. For instance, the C₃H₈ and CO₂ conversions over the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst was 54% and 43% at the start of the reaction, which decreased to 49% and 39%, respectively, after 55 h of the reaction. These small decreases in the C₃H₈ and CO₂ conversions confirm its higher stability over the other catalysts. The higher activity and long-term stability of the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO are credited to its features such as high thermal stability, redox behavior, reducibility and surface acidity [39]. The stability of the catalysts was also examined in terms of H₂ and CO concentrations under the same conditions (Figure 7b). Decreases in the C₃H₈ and CO₂ conversion efficiencies as well as in the H₂ and CO concentrations with elapsed time were observed for the catalysts. For the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst, the H₂ and CO concentrations slightly increased in the early stage, probably because some further reduction of the metal oxide species occurred. As presented in Figure 7a,b, the catalytic stability did not decrease much during the 10-h reforming reaction on stream.



Figure 7. Stability of the catalysts over the period of 55 h at 500 °C. (**a**) CO₂ (top) & C₃H₈ (bottom) conversions and (**b**) product concentrations (H₂ and CO).

2.3. Characterization of the Catalyst after Reforming

One of the major drawbacks regarding the dry reforming of hydrocarbon is catalyst deactivation mainly due to the deposition of carbon [8,26,40]. So as to investigate the formation of carbon during the reforming reaction, the spent catalysts were collected after 10 h DRP reaction and characterized by FE-SEM, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Raman spectroscopy, XPS and temperature programmed oxidation (TPO) analysis. Figure 8 shows the FE-SEM images of the spent catalysts. The FE-SEM images of the spent catalysts exhibited changes in the morphology from the fresh ones. Unlike the fresh catalysts, heterogeneous morphologies were observed in the used catalysts due to the presence of carbon deposits. As seen, the morphology of the deposited carbon over the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO was quite different from the cases of 30%, 50%, and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; limited filamentous carbon was produced for the 20% and 30% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts, while in the cases of the 50% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO, nearly all active sites were veiled by long carbon filaments. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis of the used catalyst (20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO) is shown in Figure S3, where the elemental composition is also provided. In the used catalyst, the presence of carbon can clearly be seen.



Figure 8. FE-SEM images of the spent catalysts. (a) 20%; (b) 30%; (c) 50%; (d) 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO with two magnifications (left: 1 μ m; right: 400 nm scale).

The deposition of the carbonaceous material on the catalyst surface during the reforming was further evidenced by the TEM images shown in Figure 9. The TEM images definitely show the formation of carbonaceous material on the catalyst surface. The TEM images of the used catalysts depict carbon nanotubes formed during the DRP with diameters ranging from 10 to 100 nm along with NiO and CeO₂ particles embedded in them.

The Raman spectra of the spent catalysts (20%-80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO) are presented in Figure 10. It is noticed that all the used catalysts invariably showed two distinct bands, one located at 1590–1600 cm⁻¹ and the other at 1380–1400 cm⁻¹. The former and the latter are ascribed to the amorphous carbon and the graphitic carbon, respectively [41–43]. For the 70% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst, the intensity of the D band is stronger than that of the G band, implying that amorphous carbon is predominant. The relative ratio of the D band intensity to the G band intensity was 1.37 for the spent 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst, and 1.06 for the spent 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst. These results demonstrate that the carbon deposited on the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst was highly graphitized, which agrees well with the TEM images. In this figure, a few peaks corresponding to CeO₂ can also be observed for all the catalysts, indicating that the formation of carbon is less when ceria loading on the AAO support is higher and there is no carbon deposited on CeO₂.



Figure 9. TEM (transmission electron microscopy) images of the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst after the DRP with different magnifications: (**a**) 100 nm; (**b**) 50 nm; (**c**) 20 nm; (**d**) 10 nm.



Figure 10. Typical Raman spectra of the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts after the DRP.

The catalyst deactivation during the reforming reaction is mainly caused by two reasons such as carbon deposition and metal sintering. The metal sintering leads to aggregation of metal particles during the reaction and causes catalyst deactivation. To date, it is well known that the deposition of carbon on the surface is one of the main reasons for catalyst deactivation. For more information on the carbon species formed during reforming reactions, we monitored the XPS scanning in the C 1s region after the reforming reaction on the 20% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts. The C 1s spectra in Figure 11a showed a narrow band at 284.7 eV and a shoulder peak at 287.12 eV, which reveals the presence of two types of carbonaceous species, i.e., carbonaceous layer on the surface of the grains and filaments [44,45]. These two types of carbon species may be detected at a binding energy of 284.5 eV. Also, the metal sintering is not ignorable, though the effect is limited. Here, the observed long-term stability of NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst further reveals the limited sintering of Ni and Ce particles and good coking resistance as well. So as to understand more about it, we have compared the oxidation state of Ni and Ce before and after the reforming reaction. The XPS spectra of Ce (3d) and Ni (2p) regions for the 20% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO are shown in Figure 11b,c. After the reaction, the intensity of Ce³⁺ band was observed to decrease, which might have been due to the sintering and some Ni clusters covered by the AAO support. Here, neither sintering nor diffusion of ceria into the bulk should be put aside either. A small reduction of Ce⁴⁺ to Ce³⁺ can be best sensed as the small increase of the 903.9 eV and 885.3 eV intensity and also the weaker 899.3 eV and 880.2 eV components, which are the characteristics of Ce^{3+} [46,47]. After an elaborate analysis of the Ce 3d spectra, it can be concluded that the reduction of Ce is limited during DRP reactions. The Ni 2p spectra of the 20% and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts are shown in Figure 11c, where the observed binding energy values at 854.2 eV (Ni $2p_{3/2}$) and 872.8 eV (Ni $2p_{1/2}$) further confirmed the presence of Ni²⁺ in the catalyst. In addition, the presence of metallic Ni⁰ and Ni²⁺ indicated the reduction of Ni²⁺ to Ni under an inert atmosphere.



Figure 11. (a) C 1s; (b) Ce 3d and (c) Ni 2p XPS spectra of 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO and 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts.

The typical TPO profiles (desorbed CO_2) of the spent NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts are shown in Figure 12, which clearly showed the presence of three different types of carbonaceous species on the catalysts formed during the DRP. The first peak observed between 100 and 200 °C is attributed to the active carbon species reacted with oxygen at low temperatures. The second broad peak located at the higher temperature region (400~700 °C) is ascribed to the amorphous and/or graphitic carbon. It is obvious that the addition of CeO₂ modifier had a significant effect on decreasing the intensity of this peak. The last peak at around 750 °C is attributed to the filamentous form of carbon [48]. It is obvious that the addition of this basic modifier reduced its intensity, and it can be said that the addition of this modifier enhanced the basicity of the catalyst, increased the CO₂ adsorption and inhibited the CO decomposition reaction, suppressing the coke formation [48,49].



Figure 12. TPO profiles of different NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts after the DRP.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Preparation of AAO Support and NiO-CeO₂/AAO Catalyst

Porous AAO was used as the catalyst support; it was prepared by anodization of aluminum sheet using aqueous oxalic acid solution [50–53]. Briefly, a high purity aluminum foil was anodized in the oxalic acid solution of 0.3 M at 40 V for 24 h at a temperature of 10 °C to form a porous γ -Al₂O₃ layer, and then, pore widening treatment was performed with the same aqueous oxalic acid solution mentioned above for 4 h at 30 °C. When the pore widening treatment was finished, it was immersed in deionized water for sufficient time to wash out the residual oxalic acid. Finally, the AAO support was calcined in air atmosphere at a temperature of 450 °C for 4 h.

Nickel and ceria were loaded onto the AAO support by impregnating with aqueous Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O solutions. Keeping the total molarity of the Ni and Ce at 1.0 M, the molarity of Ni was changed from 0.2 to 0.8 M. For instance, the prepared catalyst, NiO_(X=0.2) + CeO_{2(Y=0.8)} (X + Y = 1.0 M), was denoted as 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO in term of Ni molar percentage. The prepared catalysts were calcined at a temperature of 450 °C for 6 h. The content of metal oxides in the prepared NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst was about ~4 wt % (obtained by measuring the weight of the support before and after catalyst loading).

3.2. Characterizations

The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas of the catalysts were measured with a surface area analyzer (AUTOSORB-1-MP, Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). Before the surface area analysis, each sample was degassed under vacuum condition at 200 °C for 4 h. The X-ray diffractograms of the catalysts were obtained by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (Rigaku D/max-2200H, Tokyo, Japan) with a Cu K α radiation source. An FE-SEM (JEM-1200EX II, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) and a TEM (JEM-2100HR, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV were employed to observe the surface structure and the distribution of the metal oxides over the AAO support. The Raman spectra of the catalyst were measured by micro-Raman microscopy (LabRm HR, Horiba Scientific, Irvine, CA, USA). The Raman spectra were excited by a 514 nm Ar⁺ ion laser with a power of 30 MW at room temperature. The characteristics of the catalyst surface was also examined using an XPS (VG Microtech, ESCA 2000, East Grinstead, West Sussex, UK) with monochromatic Mg K_{α} radiation (1253.6 eV) operated at 13 kV and 15 mA X-ray excitation source.

Temperature-programmed experiments, i.e., TPR and TPO were performed with an online gas chromatograph (Micro-GC CP-4900, Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The sample (100 mg) was placed on the quartz frit in the center of the reactor tube that was heated in an electric furnace. For the TPR investigation, the temperature was increased from 25 to 650 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C·min⁻¹ and the amount of H₂ uptake was monitored. The TPO experiments were performed with spent samples. First, the 100 mg of sample was heated at 450 °C for 30 min (pretreatment) and then cooled down to 20 °C in a flow of N₂ (30 mL·min⁻¹). Then the sample was ramped to 850 °C (linear heating rate of 5 °C·min⁻¹) in a flow of 30% O₂ (30 mL/min, N₂ balance). The analysis of CO₂ was performed with the gas chromatograph (GC) (Micro-GC CP-4900, Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

3.3. Catalytic Activity Test

The DRP experiments were carried out in a self-designed thermo-catalytic fixed bed reactor. The catalyst plate (10 g, 5 cm × 6 cm) was cut into small pieces with a size of 2–3 mm² and then packed in the reactor. The catalytic activity for the dry reforming was examined over a temperature range of 480–580 °C for all the catalysts with different Ni and Ce contents. The reactants such as C_3H_8 and CO_2 were directed to the reactor at a proportion of 10/30/60 ($C_3H_8/CO_2/N_2$). The flow rate of the feed gas was 300 mL·min⁻¹ throughout this work. The concentrations of reactants and products were analyzed by the GC. All the gases were detected in the present work by a thermal conductivity

detector (TCD). The concentration of propane and CO_2 are analyzed by GC (Micro-GCCP-4900; Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA, 10 m PPQ column), the concentration of hydrogen and CO are analyzed by GC (Micro-GCCP-4900; Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA, 10 m M5A column), and to cross check the H₂ and CO concentration we used Bruker 450-GC equipped with TCD detector; and 20 m CP-Molsieve 5A column. Argon used as a carrier gas for both GC's.

The overall reaction of propane with CO_2 can be written as follows:

$$C_3H_8 + 3CO_2 = 6CO + 4H_2, \ \Delta H^0_{298K} = 644.8 \ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$$
 (1)

As understood from Equation (1), the dry reforming of propane is a strongly endothermic reaction that is favored at high temperatures. The conversion (X_A) was calculated using the Equation (2) below [8,54,55].

$$X_{\rm A}(\%) = \frac{C_{\rm A0} - C_{\rm A}}{C_{\rm A0} + \varepsilon_{\rm A} C_{\rm A}} \times 100(\%)$$
⁽²⁾

Here, C_{A0} and C_A are the inlet and outlet concentrations of the reactant (C_3H_8 or CO_2), respectively, and ε_A is defined as

$$\varepsilon_A = \frac{\eta_{X_{A=1}} - \eta_{X_{A=0}}}{\eta_{X_{A=0}}}$$
(3)

where $\eta_{X_{A=0}}$ indicates the total number of moles of reactants (no conversion) while $\eta_{X_{A=1}}$ stands for the total number of moles of products (complete conversion). For the feed gas composition of 10/30/60 (C₃H₈/CO₂/N₂), ε_A is calculated to be 0.6. Since ε_A is not small, the fractional change in the volumetric flow rate with the reaction progress cannot be ignored in calculating the conversion (*X*_A).

4. Conclusions

The DRP with CO₂ was studied with the Ni-based catalysts supported on the AAO. The presence of CeO₂ as a promoter had favorable effects on the catalytic activity for the dry reforming, stability and suppression of carbon deposition. Among the evaluated catalysts (20%–80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO), the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO was found to be the most active, achieving a high C₃H₈ conversion of 97% at 580 °C. Besides, the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst exhibited a better stability than the others. It is also noteworthy that the CeO₂ basic centers had a positive influence in improving the catalytic properties. In particular, CeO₂ basic centers were able to promote the chemisorption and activation of CO₂, improving the catalytic activity. Besides, the chemisorbed CO₂ was also able to participate in the coke removal process and raise the catalytic stability. The deposited carbon was mainly in the form of nanotube. The graphitic carbon was observed to block the small pores of the NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst, which led to a decrease in the catalytic dry reforming performance. Finally, it could be concluded that the AAO-supported Ni-Ce plate-type catalyst derived from the impregnation method can be considered a very interesting system in comparison with those reported in the literature.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/6/10/154/s1. Figure S1: TPR (temperature programmed reduction) profiles of the catalysts: (a) 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (b) 30% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (c) 40% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (d) 50% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (e) 60% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (f) 70% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; (g) 80% NiO-CeO₂/AAO; Figure S2: H₂/CO ratios achieved by the DRP (dry reforming of propane) over different NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalysts; Figure S3: SEM-EDX spectrum of the 20% NiO-CeO₂/AAO catalyst after the DRP.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by Korea-EU collaboration program (KONNECT Joint Call) through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) (Grant No. NRF-2015K1A3A1A59074246).

Author Contributions: J.K. carried out the experimental work and analyzed the data; E.L.R. helped prepare the catalyst and participated in the interpretation of the results; Y.S.M. supervised all the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Aasberg-Petersen, K.; Dybkjær, I.; Ovesen, C.V.; Schjødt, N.C.; Sehested, J.; Thomsen, S.G. Natural gas to synthesis gas—Catalysts and catalytic processes. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* **2011**, *3*, 423–459. [CrossRef]
- 2. Ye, X.P.; Shoujie, R. Value-added chemicals from glycerol. ACS Symp. Ser. 2014, 1178, 43-80.
- Zinoviev, S.; Müller-Langer, F.; Das, P.; Bertero, N.; Fornasiero, P.; Kaltschmitt, M.; Centi, G.; Miertus, S. Next-generation biofuels: Survey of emerging technologies and sustainability issues. *ChemSusChem* 2010, *3*, 1106–1133. [CrossRef]
- Althenayan, F.M.; Yei Foo, S.; Kennedy, E.M.; Dlugogorski, B.Z.; Adesina, A.A. Bimetallic Co–Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst for propane dry reforming: Estimation of reaction metrics from longevity runs. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2010, 65, 66–73. [CrossRef]
- Siahvashi, A.; Chesterfield, D.; Adesina, A.A. Propane CO₂ (dry) reforming over bimetallic Mo–Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2013, 93, 313–325. [CrossRef]
- Siahvashi, A.; Adesina, A.A. Kinetic Study of Propane CO₂ Reforming over Bimetallic Mo–Ni/Al₂O₃ Catalyst. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2013, 52, 15377–15386. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Q.; Kong, M.; Liu, T.; Fei, J.; Zheng, X. Non-thermal plasma assisted CO₂ reforming of propane over Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst. *Catal. Commun.* 2011, *12*, 1318–1322. [CrossRef]
- Karuppiah, J.; Mok, Y.S. Plasma-reduced Ni/γ–Al₂O₃ and CeO₂–Ni/γ–Al₂O₃ catalysts for improving dry reforming of propane. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2014, *39*, 16329–16338. [CrossRef]
- 9. Huang, T.-J.; Wu, C.-Y.; Wang, C.-H. Fuel processing in direct propane solid oxide fuel cell and carbon dioxide reforming of propane over Ni–YSZ. *Fuel Proc. Technol.* **2011**, *92*, 1611–1616. [CrossRef]
- Adesina, A.A. The role of CO₂ in hydrocarbon reforming catalysis: Friend or foe? *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.* 2012, 1, 272–280. [CrossRef]
- 11. Gómez-Ramírez, A.; Rico, V.J.; Cotrino, J.; González-Elipe, A.R.; Lambert, R.M. Low temperature production of formaldehyde from carbon dioxide and ethane by plasma-assisted catalysis in a ferroelectrically moderated dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 402–408. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wang, X.; Wang, N.; Zhao, J.; Wang, L. Thermodynamic analysis of propane dry and steam reforming for synthesis gas or hydrogen production. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2010**, *35*, 12800–12807. [CrossRef]
- 13. Siahvashi, A.; Adesina, A.A. Synthesis gas production via propane dry (CO₂) reforming: Influence of potassium promotion on bimetallic Mo-Ni/Al₂O₃. *Catal. Today* **2013**, *214*, 30–41. [CrossRef]
- 14. Wang, H.Y.; Au, C.T. Carbon dioxide reforming of methane to syngas over SiO₂-supported rhodium catalysts. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **1997**, *155*, 239–252. [CrossRef]
- 15. Frusteri, F.; Arena, F.; Calogero, G.; Torre, T.; Parmaliana, A. Potassium-enhanced stability of Ni/MgO catalysts in the dry-reforming of methane. *Catal. Commun.* **2001**, *2*, 49–56. [CrossRef]
- 16. Bradford, M.C.J.; Vannice, M.A. Catalytic reforming of methane with carbon dioxide over nickel catalysts I. catalyst characterization and activity. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **1996**, *142*, 73–96. [CrossRef]
- 17. Shi, C.; Zhang, A.; Li, X.; Zhang, S.; Zhu, A.; Ma, Y.; Au, C. Ni-modified Mo₂C catalysts for methane dry reforming. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2012**, 431–432, 164–170. [CrossRef]
- Takanabe, K.; Nagaoka, K.; Aika, K.I. Improved resistance against coke deposition of titania supported cobalt and nickel bimetallic catalysts for carbon dioxide reforming of methane. *Catal. Lett.* 2005, 102, 153–157. [CrossRef]
- 19. Laosiripojana, N.; Sutthisripok, W.; Assabumrungrat, S. Synthesis gas production from dry reforming of methane over CeO₂ doped Ni/Al₂O₃: Influence of the doping ceria on the resistance toward carbon formation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2005**, *112*, 13–22. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Y.-H.; Shen, Y.-M.; Wang, S.-C.; Huang, J.-L. Fabrication of one-dimensional ZnO nanotube and nanowire arrays with an anodic alumina oxide template via electrochemical deposition. *Thin Solid Films* 2014, 570, 303–309. [CrossRef]
- 21. Masuda, H.; Fukuda, K. Ordered metal nanohole arrays made by a two-step replication of honeycomb structures of anodic alumina. *Science* **1995**, *268*, 1466–1468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Wang, X.; Han, G.-R. Fabrication and characterization of anodic aluminum oxide template. *Microelectron. Eng.* **2003**, *66*, 166–170. [CrossRef]
- 23. Mamontov, E.; Egami, T.; Brezny, R.; Koranne, M.; Tyagi, S. Lattice defects and oxygen storage capacity of nanocrystalline ceria and ceria-zirconia. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 11110–11116. [CrossRef]

- 24. Deraz, N.M. Effect of NiO content on structural, surface and catalytic characteristics of nano-crystalline NiO/CeO₂ system. *Ceram. Int.* **2012**, *38*, 747–753. [CrossRef]
- 25. Dobrosz-Gómez, I.; Kocemba, I.; Rynkowski, J.M. Au/Ce_{1-x}Zr_xO₂ as effective catalysts for low-temperature CO oxidation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2008**, *83*, 240–255. [CrossRef]
- 26. Xu, S.; Wang, X. Highly active and coking resistant Ni/CeO₂–ZrO₂ catalyst for partial oxidation of methane. *Fuel* **2005**, *84*, 563–567. [CrossRef]
- 27. Xu, S.; Yan, X.; Wang, X. Catalytic performances of NiO–CeO₂ for the reforming of methane with CO₂ and O₂. *Fuel* **2006**, *85*, 2243–2247. [CrossRef]
- Sohier, M.P.; Wrobel, G.; Bonnelle, J.P.; Marcq, J.P. Hydrogenation catalysts based on nickel and rare earths oxides: I. Relation between cations nature, preparation route, hydrogen content and catalytic activity. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 1992, 84, 169–186. [CrossRef]
- 29. Lamonier, C.; Ponchel, A.; D'Huysser, A.; Jalowiecki-Duhamel, L. Studies of the cerium-metal–oxygen–hydrogen system (metal = Cu, Ni). *Catal. Today* **1999**, *50*, 247–259. [CrossRef]
- 30. Solsona, B.; Concepción, P.; Hernández, S.; Demicol, B.; Nieto, J.M.L. Oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane over NiO–CeO₂ mixed oxides catalysts. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *180*, 51–58. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Zhu, A.; Zhang, Y.; Au, C.T.; Yang, X.; Shi, C. Catalytic reduction of NO by CO over NiO/CeO₂ catalyst in stoichiometric NO/CO and NO/CO/O₂ reaction. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2008, *81*, 141–149. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wang, Z.; Shao, X.; Larcher, A.; Xie, K.; Dong, D.; Li, C.-Z. A study on carbon formation over fibrous NiO/CeO₂ nanocatalysts during dry reforming of methane. *Catal. Today* **2013**, *216*, 44–49. [CrossRef]
- Jiménez-González, C.; Boukha, Z.; de Rivas, B.; González-Velasco, J.R.; Gutiérrez-Ortiz, J.I.; López-Fonseca, R. Behavior of coprecipitated NiAl₂O₄/Al₂O₃ catalysts for low-temperature methane steam reforming. *Energy Fuels* 2014, 28, 7109–7121. [CrossRef]
- Si, Z.; Weng, D.; Wu, X.; Ma, Z.; Ma, J.; Ran, R. Lattice oxygen mobility and acidity improvements of NiO–CeO₂–ZrO₂ catalyst by sulfation for NO_x reduction by ammonia. *Catal. Today* 2013, 201, 122–130. [CrossRef]
- 35. Rao, P.V.R.; Kumar, V.P.; Rao, G.S.; Chary, K.V.R. Vapor phase selective hydrogenation of acetone to methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) over Ni/CeO₂ catalysts. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 1665–1673. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, G.; Shen, Z.; Liu, M.; Guo, C.; Sun, P.; Yuan, Z.; Li, B.; Ding, D.; Chen, T. Synthesis and characterization of mesoporous ceria with hierarchical nanoarchitecture controlled by amino acids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, 110, 25782–25790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Kumar, P.; Sun, Y.; Idem, R.O. Comparative study of Ni-based mixed oxide catalyst for carbon dioxide reforming of methane. *Energy Fuels* **2008**, *22*, 3575–3582. [CrossRef]
- 38. Swaan, H.M.; Kroll, V.C.H.; Martin, G.A.; Mirodatos, C. Deactivation of supported nickel catalysts during the reforming of methane by carbon dioxide. *Catal. Today* **1994**, *21*, 571–578. [CrossRef]
- 39. Rezaei, M.; Alavi, S.M.; Sahebdelfar, S.; Bai, P.; Liu, X.; Yan, Z.-F. CO₂ reforming of CH₄ over nanocrystalline zirconia-supported nickel catalysts. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2008**, *77*, 346–354. [CrossRef]
- 40. Laosiripojana, N.; Assabumrungrat, S. Catalytic dry reforming of methane over high surface area ceria. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2005**, *60*, 107–116. [CrossRef]
- Sadanandam, G.; Ramya, K.; Kishore, D.B.; Durgakumari, V.; Subrahmanyam, M.; Chary, K.V.R. A study to initiate development of sustainable Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst for hydrogen production from steam reforming of biomass-derived glycerol. *RSC Adv.* 2014, 4, 32429–32437. [CrossRef]
- Carvalho, D.C.; Souza, H.S.A.; Filho, J.M.; Assaf, E.M.; Thyssen, V.V.; Campos, A.; Hernandez, E.P.; Raudel, R.; Oliveira, A.C. Nanosized Pt-containing Al₂O₃ as an efficient catalyst to avoid coking and sintering in steam reforming of glycerol. *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4*, 61771–61780. [CrossRef]
- De Sousa, F.F.; de Sousa, H.S.A.; Oliveira, A.C.; Junior, M.C.C.; Ayala, A.P.; Barros, E.B.; Viana, B.C.; Filho, J.M.; Oliveira, A.C. Nanostructured Ni-containing spinel oxides for the dry reforming of methane: Effect of the presence of cobalt and nickel on the deactivation behaviour of catalysts. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2012, 37, 3201–3212. [CrossRef]
- Ferencz, Z.; Erdőhelyi, A.; Baán, K.; Oszkó, A.; Óvári, L.; Kónya, Z.; Papp, C.; Steinrück, H.-P.; Kiss, J. Effects of support and Rh additive on Co-based catalysts in the ethanol steam reforming reaction. *ACS Catal.* 2014, *4*, 1205–1218. [CrossRef]

- 45. Vári, G.; Óvári, L.; Papp, C.; Steinrück, H.P.; Kiss, J.; Kónya, Z. The interaction of cobalt with CeO₂(111) prepared on Cu(111). *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 9324–9333. [CrossRef]
- 46. Mattos, L.V.; Jacobs, G.; Davis, B.H.; Noronha, F.B. Production of hydrogen from ethanol: Review of reaction mechanism and catalyst deactivation. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 4094–4123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Guil, J.M.; Homs, N.; Llorca, J.; de la Piscina, P.R. Microcalorimetric and infrared studies of ethanol and acetaldehyde adsorption to investigate the ethanol steam reforming on supported cobalt catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2015**, *109*, 10813–10819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Alipour, Z.; Rezaei, M.; Meshkani, F. Effects of support modifiers on the catalytic performance of Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst in CO₂ reforming of methane. *Fuel* **2014**, *129*, 197–203. [CrossRef]
- 49. García-Diéguez, M.; Herrera, C.; Larrubia, M.Á.; Alemany, L.J. CO₂-reforming of natural gas components over a highly stable and selective NiMg/Al₂O₃ nanocatalyst. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *197*, 50–57. [CrossRef]
- 50. Robert-Goumet, C.; Monier, G.; Zefack, B.; Chelda, S.; Bideux, L.; Gruzza, B.; Awitor, O.K. SEM and XPS studies of nanohole arrays on InP(1 0 0) surfaces created by coupling AAO templates and low energy Ar⁺ ion sputtering. *Surf. Sci.* **2009**, *603*, 2923–2927. [CrossRef]
- 51. Linga Reddy, E.; Karuppiah, J.; Lee, H.C.; Kim, D.H. Steam reforming of methanol over copper loaded anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) prepared through electrodeposition. *J. Power Sources* **2014**, *268*, 88–95. [CrossRef]
- 52. Li, A.-P.; Müller, F.; Birner, A.; Nielsch, K.; Gösele, U. Fabrication and microstructuring of hexagonally ordered two-dimensional nanopore arrays in anodic alumina. *Adv. Mater.* **1999**, *11*, 483–487. [CrossRef]
- 53. Linga Reddy, E.; Lee, H.C.; Kim, D.H. Steam reforming of methanol over structured catalysts prepared by electroless deposition of Cu and Zn on anodically oxidized alumina. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2015**, *40*, 2509–2517. [CrossRef]
- 54. Mok, Y.S.; Jwa, E.; Hyun, Y.J. Regeneration of C₄H₁₀ dry reforming catalyst by nonthermal plasma. *J. Energy Chem.* **2013**, *22*, 394–402. [CrossRef]
- 55. Levenspiel, O. Chemical Reaction Engineering, 3rd ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1999; pp. 83-89.



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).