



Article

Endothelium-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Associated with Poor Prognosis in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

Afroditi Nanou ^{1,*}, Linda Mol ², Frank A.W. Coumans ¹, Miriam Koopman ³, Cornelis J.A. Punt ⁴ and Leon W.M.M. Terstappen ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Medical Cell BioPhysics, University of Twente, 7522ND Enschede, The Netherlands; f.a.w.coumans@utwnte.nl
- ² Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organization, 6533AA Nijmegen, The Netherlands; L.Mol@iknl.nl
- ³ Department of Medical Oncology, University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584CS Utrecht, The Netherlands; m.koopman-6@umcutrecht.nl
- ⁴ Department of Epidemiology, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584CG Utrecht, The Netherlands; C.J.A.Punt@umcutrecht.nl

* Correspondence: a.nanou@utwente.nl (A.N); l.w.m.m.terstappen@utwente.nl (L.W.M.M.T); Tel.: +31-613-626-229 (A.N)

Received: 23 October 2020; Accepted: 11 December 2020; Published: 15 December 2020

Table S1. ACCEPT gates for the automated enumeration of tdEVs, edEVs, ldEVs, leukocytes and nucleated events.

Gates∖Mar ker	DNA ^{a,b}	CD4	15 a,b	CK ª/CD105 b Ma						
	Mean Intensi ty	Me Inter	ean 1sity	Mean Intensi ty	Max Intensi ty	Perimet er (in pixels)	Eccentric ity	Siz e (in µm ²)	Perimeter/A rea	Mean Intensi ty
tdEVs ^a	≤5	≤	5	>60	>90	>5	≤0.8	≤15 0	≤1	≤5
edEVs ^b	≤5	≤	5	>40	>60	>5	≤0.8	≤15 0	≤1	≤5
	DNA _{a,b}	CK a/C	D105 ^ь			CI	D45 a,b			Marke r1 ^{a,b}
	Mean Intensi ty	Mean Intensi ty	Overl ay with DNA	Mean Intensi ty	Max Intensi ty	Perimet er (in pixels)	Eccentric ity	Siz e (in µm ²)	Perimeter/A rea	Mean Intensi ty
ldEVs ^{a,b}	≤5	≤5	-	>30	>50	>5	≤0.8	≤15 0	≤1	≤5
leukocytesª , ^b	>30	≤5	-	>30	>50	-	-	>16	-	≤5
Nucleated ^{a,} ^b	>30	≤5	-	≤5	-	-	-	>16	-	≤5
CD105+ ldEVs ^b	≤5	>40	-	>30	>50	>5	≤0.8	≤15 0	≤1	≤5
CD105+ leukocytes ^b	>30	>40	>0.2	>30	>50	-	-	>16	-	≤5

The exponents a and b correspond to the CTC and CEC kit, respectively.

		weak for Q <	< 0.4 (in grey), moder	ate for ().4 ≤ <i>q</i> <0.	.6 (in black) ai	nd strong for ().6≤q≤0.8 (ii	n bold bla	ck).		
	Parameters	CTC kit isolated CEC kit isolated											
		Leukocytes	Nucleated	ldEVs	CTCs	tdEVs	Leukocytes	CD105 Leukocytes	Nucleated	CD105 ldEVs	ldEVs	CECs	edEVs
ed	CBC Leukocytes	.208 **	.257**	.170 **	.276**	.234 **	.378 **	.112*	.299 **	0.023	.227 **	0.009	.238 **
SC-bas	CBC hemoglobin	-0.072	179**	0.038	168**	167**	-0.064	202 **	204**	127*	111*	179 **	315 **
G	CBC platelets	0.072	.109 *	0.037	.208**	.197**	.266 **	.151 **	.207 **	0.000	.155 **	0.075	.260 **
	Leukocytes	1.000	.473 **	.437 **	.160**	.200**	.172**	.184 **	.213**	0.022	-0.054	0.065	.164 **
kit ما	Nucleated		1.000	.366 **	.119*	.164 **	.140**	.212 **	.520 **	-0.079	-0.038	0.048	.190**
2	ldEVs			1.000	0.036	.186 **	.196 **	.135 **	.143**	-0.024	0.062	0.069	.140**
5.3	CTCs				1.000	.694 **	-0.004	-0.012	-0.012	-0.010	0.017	0.015	.285**
	tdEVs					1.000	-0.026	0.007	-0.022	0.023	-0.020	0.023	.283**
	Leukocytes						1.000	.202**	.286 **	0.009	.459 **	0.033	.142**
-	CD105							1.000	184 **	218 **	-0.013	447 **	/17 **
ateo	Leukocytes							1.000	.104	.210	-0.015	.44/	.417
solè	Nucleated								1.000	-0.007	0.041	0.015	0.058
it i	CD105									1.000	178**	147 **	201 **
N N	ldEVs									1.000	.170	.147	.291
CE	ldEVs										1.000	-0.009	.120 *
-	CECs											1.000	.450 **
	edEVs												1.000

Table S2. Correlation between CBC-based parameters, CTC- and CEC- kit isolated objects of 395 mCRC patients using the Spearman's Rho correlation coefficient (ϱ). Correlation is considered to be weak for $\varrho < 0.4$ (in grey), moderate for $0.4 \le \varrho < 0.6$ (in black) and strong for $0.6 \le \varrho \le 0.8$ (in bold black).

** indicates significance at 0.01 level (2-tailed), * indicates significance at 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Clinical parameter	edEVs	CECs	tdEVs	CTCs
Primary tumor in situ	.146 **	-0.074	.323 **	.295 **
Presence of KRAS mutation	-0.082	-0.078	-0.042	-0.077
Presence of BRAF mutation	0.086	0.078	0.003	-0.037
Presence of NRAS mutation	-0.040	0.064	-0.121	-0.059
Right sidedness of primary tumor	0.081	0.060	0.056	-0.009
Treatment arm	-0.016	-0.075	0.055	0.061
Prior adjuvant therapy	213 **	109 *	159 **	133 *
ECOG performance status	.107 *	0.020	.123 *	.118 *
gender	-0.008	0.051	-0.050	134 *
Number of metastatic sites	.110 *	0.019	.112 *	0.084
age	-0.043	0.045	-0.093	135 *
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)	.277 **	0.093	.458 **	.485 **
Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP)	.348 **	0.080	.463 **	.486 **
CBC leukocytes	.238 **	0.009	.234 **	.276 *
CBC hemoglobin	315 **	179 **	167 **	168
CBC platelets	260 **	0.075	.197 **	.208 *

Table S3. Correlation between clinical parameters and CTCs, tdEVs, CECs and edEVs of 395 mCRC patients using the Spearman's Rho correlation coefficient (ϱ). Correlation is considered to be weak for $\varrho < 0.4$ (in grey), moderate for $0.4 \le \varrho < 0.6$ (in black) and strong for $0.6 \le \varrho \le 0.8$ (in bold black).

** indicates significance at 0.01 level (2-tailed), * indicates significance at 0.05 level (2-tailed).



Figure S1. Sampling distributions of min edEV size (**a**), max edEV size (**b**), min tdEV size (**c**) and max tdEV size (**d**). The black lines in each graph correspond to the respective cumulative distributions.



Figure S2. Frequencies of different populations co-isolated with the CTC (black dots) and CEC kits (grey dots), normalized to 1 mL of blood for comparison between the kits.



Figure S3. Cut-off optimization of the baseline values for CECs (**A**) and edEVs (**B**) in mCRC patients. For each possible cut-off, CECs and edEVs were correlated with PFS (top) or OS (bottom). The HR including 95% CI was plotted in dependence of the cutoff. The vertical line indicates the cut-off that results in the most significant correlation with OS. The value distribution of CECs and edEVs is shown as a rug plot at the bottom of the respective figure.



Figure S4. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves treating survival time dichotomized by the median OS time of the patient cohort as the classification variable. The addition of edEVs to CTCs (**A**) or tdEVs (**B**) results in significantly (p < 0.05) higher area under the curve (AUC) compared to solely CTCs or tdEVs.