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#### Abstract

This review article highlights recent developments in symmetry, combinatorics, topology, entropy, chirality, spectroscopy and thermochemistry pertinent to 2D and 1D nanomaterials such as circumscribed-cyclopolyarenes and their heterocyclic analogs, carbon and heteronanotubes and heteronano wires, as well as tessellations of cyclopolyarenes, for example, kekulenes, septulenes and octulenes. We establish that the generalization of Sheehan's modification of Pólya's theorem to all irreducible representations of point groups yields robust generating functions for the enumeration of chiral, achiral, position isomers, NMR, multiple quantum NMR and ESR hyperfine patterns. We also show distance, degree and graph entropy based topological measures combined with techniques for distance degree vector sequences, edge and vertex partitions of nanomaterials yield robust and powerful techniques for thermochemistry, bond energies and spectroscopic computations of these species. We have demonstrated the existence of isentropic tessellations of kekulenes which were further studied using combinatorial, topological and spectral techniques. The combinatorial generating functions obtained not only enumerate the chiral and achiral isomers but also aid in the machine construction of various spectroscopic and ESR hyperfine patterns of the nanomaterials that were considered in this review. Combinatorial and topological tools can become an integral part of robust machine learning techniques for rapid computation of the combinatorial library of isomers and their properties of nanomaterials. Future applications to metal organic frameworks and fullerene polymers are pointed out.


Keywords: combinatorics and symmetry; circumscribed-cyclopolyarenes; nanotubes; nanobands; tessellations of kekulenes; spectroscopy; chirality; isomer enumerations

## 1. Introduction

Two-dimensional nanomaterials, especially those arising from different forms of graphenes, for example, circumscribed-cyclopolyarenes, tessellations of kekulenes, septulenes and octulenes, nanobelts, and various forms of macrocycles that have pores have received significant attention over the years [1-36]. These nanomaterials not only possess interesting electronic properties but their pores with desirable electronic and geometrical features have opened up a plethora of novel applications [1-36]. Related one-dimensional nanomaterials such as carbon nanotubes and heteronanotubes and nanowires composed of $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ga} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ga} /$ As have been the topic of a number of studies because these materials exhibit very interesting electronic, geometrical, topological, chiral, polarizability, and optical properties [37-59]. Heteronuclear one-dimensional nanomaterials have novel optoelectronic properties and thus find many applications in the fabrication of nanodevices, for example, photonics, nanoelectronics, nanochirality and so forth [37-59]. Two-dimensional nanomaterials and mesoporous materials with cavities, for example, nanobelts comprising of several kekulene/octulene moieties and tubular forms of carbons and nitrogens are becoming increasingly important, as they exhibit optimal cavities for sequestration and transport of both anions such as chloride ions and cations such as toxic heavy metal ions including actinyl ions in high level nuclear wastes and other nuclear environmental
geo/biochemical applications [60-65]. Specifically, these environmental actinide studies have considered high coordination complexes of actinyls with mesoporous silica [61], minerals such as carbonates [63], phosphates [64] and solvated complexes [62,65]. Our understanding of such actinide complexes and the related laser spectroscopic studies [64] requires a detailed knowledge of their symmetries, coordination spheres, electronic structure, and symmetries of various electronic states and their geometries. For example, as shown in [63] the plutonyl carbonates form high coordination and high symmetry complexes which in turn govern their spectroscopic selection rules and the symmetry aspects of their electronic states. The high coordination and symmetries exhibited by these actinide complexes are in turn influenced by the symmetries of the $5 f$ and $6 d$ orbitals of actinides [62-65] within the symmetry of molecular or nanosphere environment. The symmetry, combinatorial, graph-theoretical and topological properties of polycyclic aromatics with cavities have been studied over the years [66-113]. Such polycyclic aromatics, for example, those made by circumscribing cyclopolyarenes are especially intriguing from the standpoint of enumerations of isomers, their electronic and magnetic properties which have given rise to a number of interesting concepts such ring currents, topological delocalization energies, conjugated circuits, aromaticity and superaromaticity [66-113]. Cycloarenes are derived from a synthesis of angular and linear annulations of benzene rings that result macro-cyclic systems with cavities that are especially suitable for sequestration of both anions and cations. As they exhibit intriguing magnetic as well as electronic properties, several graph-theory-based and combinatorial methods have been developed and employed as robust alternatives over the years to study the spectroscopic properties, electronic structures, and energetics of polycyclic aromatics, nanotubes and fullerenes including giant fullerenes over the decades [114-134]. Among several computational methods, topologically-based methods such as the conjugated circuit method, ring currents, topological resonance theories, aromatic sextets, spectral and matching polynomials etc., and combinatorial enumeration methods have been of considerable use in rapid and robust enumerations of structures and spectra as well as estimation for their stabilities [66-134], The advent of kekulene made of 12 fused benzene rings with a central cavity with $D_{6 h}$ symmetry stimulated significant interest in superaromaticity or superbenzene as a consequence of its planar cyclic conjugation [1-4]. A novel electronic feature of kekulene is that its $\pi$-electrons are delocalized within benzene rings as opposed to the entire framework providing a platform to study aromaticity in a new dimension. Moreover their structures with cavities offer intriguing possibilities for the environmental management, organic chemistry, rational drug design and delivery, as they possess optimal electronic and geometrical features for both trapping of toxic ions to transportation of heavy metal ions, halide anions, drugs and so forth [1-36].

Carbon nanotubes and their heteroanalogs such as $\mathrm{GaN}, \mathrm{GaAs}$ nanowires and related decorations with metals such as gold have opened up a new vista and a new state of matter that has culminated into significant research activities over the years [37-59]. These nanotubes exhibit intriguing optical properties and chirality, and thus their relative stabilities and reactivities in different forms such as zigzag, armchair, chiral etc., have been the subject matters of intense scrutiny over the years [34,35,39,57]. Heteronanotubes are especially interesting because of their structures that contain intertwining helical patterns [37-59]. Moreover chirality of heteronanotubes is caused by intriguing chiral interface states that give rise to very interesting conductivity patterns $[35,39]$. In particular heteronanotubes made of $\mathrm{Ga} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ga} / \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{N}$ as well as transition metals are promising materials as building blocks of metal organic frameworks. These nanomaterials find a variety of applications due to their unusual hyperpolarizability and conductive properties- all of which arise because of interesting combinatorics. These materials exhibit interesting aesthetics and thus the entire collection of nanowires, fullerenes, graphenes, giant fullerenes, nanotubes, and their heteroanalogs have been studied over the years [37-134]. Both structures and dynamics arising from rearrangements of pentagons on nanocones through Stone-Wales rotations of faces, and thus face colorings of fullerenes have been of interest [130]. Combinatorial studies can provide enlightening topological information on the isomerization
paths pertinent to the dynamics of pentagons on nanocones. The structures, enumeration of isomers arising from substituents of heteroatoms, chirality, spectroscopy, and topological indices of all these nanomaterials have been studied in recent times [120-134]. Furthermore other related fields of biological interest such as computational toxicology, drug discovery and design have been benefited by the techniques of combinatorial and topological origin such as quantitative molecular similarity analysis, quantitative structure activity relations, and targeted therapeutic approaches for computer aided drug discovery [135-143]. Quantitative descriptors are derived from their structures which in turn can yield quantitative measures from their molecular topologies, quantum chemically derived electronic parameters, shape, and other biological descriptors [135-143]. Combinatorial techniques not only facilitate the constructions of large data sets or libraries of a number of chemical compounds including nanomaterials but also aid in rapid computations of chemical properties of combinatorial libraries of molecules [106-112,120-134,143-147]. The related metal organic frameworks have opened up an entire field of reticular chemistry and MOFs and related COFs have enormous potentials in numerous applications including water harvesting [148,149]. Furthermore symmetry-based combinatorial enumeration of electronic states and geometries of heteronuclear clusters such as $\mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{As}_{\mathrm{n}}[150,151]$ are critical to planning and interpretations of computations of heteronuclear clusters, heteronuclear nanomaterials and nanowires comprised of $\mathrm{Ga} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ga} / \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$, and so forth. Relativistic electronic structure computations of molecules and clusters [152] are benefited by the inherent double group symmetries when spin-orbit coupling is included. The symmetry interplay between Jahn-Teller and spin-orbit effects is critical to our understanding of laser spectroscopic studies of clusters containing heavy atoms such as gold [153] and so forth. Detailed understanding of clusters and heteronano materials and heteronanowires is enhanced by the enumeration of structures, spectroscopic patterns, nuclear spin statistics and the symmetry properties of the electronic potential energy surfaces of such heteronuclear clusters [150,151] and molecules.

Topological entropy of a nanomaterial is an important information-theoretic concept which appears to characterize the information content or order/disorder content of such nanomaterials. Consequently, graph entropy has been a subject matter of some recent works on tessellations of kekulenes and metal organic frameworks [144,154]. The relative stabilities of various phases of graphenes and nanotubes, for example, the chiral form, zigzag, armchair, and so forth, have been the topic of several studies [35,39], it is believed that graph entropies can provide additional insights into these materials. Phase transformation of armchair to zigzag graphene edge structures, since the synthesis of single-walled carbon nanotubes, has been of interest, especially as such studies could provide insights into the origin of chirality in nanotubes [39]. The relative stabilities of different phases depend on their Gibbs free energies which in turn depend on both energetics and entropies [39-41,144]. Stimulated by such vast studies on these nanomaterials, we have undertaken the present review of this interesting subject with focus on their combinatorial properties.

The objective of this review is to outline symmetry-based combinatorial techniques, and in particular, Sheehan's modification of Pólya's theorem that the present author has generalized to all irreducible representations as well as computational techniques based on such enumerations including walks and sequences [134,155-159]. Group-theoretically based combinatorial structures for all irreducible representations of the point groups of the nanomaterials such as tessellations of cyclopolyarenes, heteronanotubes, etc., are applied to the enumerations of chiral structures, achiral structures and position isomers of such materials. We have also reviewed the used of topologically based techniques such as distance degree sequence vectors for partitioning the vertices of nanomaterials for rapid and robust computations of their thermodynamic and spectroscopic properties. We have applied the techniques to demonstrate the rapid construction of the ESR hyperfine patterns of nanomaterials as well as ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and proton NMR spectroscopic patterns including multiple quantum NMR. This review brings together combinatorics, group theory and topological techniques with applications to heteronanotubes, circumscribed-
cyclopolyarenes, tessellations of graphene fragments with cavities such as tessellations of kekulenes, and so forth.

## 2. Combinatorial Methods: Generalized Character Cycle Indices, Sheehan's Method, Euler's Totient Function and Character Based Enumerations

Pólya's enumeration theorem [155-157] deals with the enumeration of equivalence classes of configurations when a group acts on a set of objects. Pólya's work was inspired by the isomer enumeration and Burnside's lemma which enumerates different necklaces for a set of colored beads with different colors. In 1937 Pólya [156] developed his enumeration technique which became subsequently known as Pólya's theorem. The current author [155] extended this method as well as the Harary-Palmer power group enumeration theorem to all IRs of the group under action. The present author [134] also extended Sheehan's [157] modification of Pólya's theorem to encompass all irreducible representations of the acting group. Such generalized combinatorial methods have been applied to nuclear spin statistics of rovibronic levels of molecules, multiple quantum NMR, ESR hyperfine patterns, vibrational modes of large molecules, and the enumeration of chiral and stereo isomers of polysubstituted molecules, nanotubes and giant fullerenes and. The present author's generalization of Sheehan's modification to all IRs was recently applied to the combinatorial enumerations that dealt with isomers and chirality of heteronanotubes [134]. Consequently, we briefly summarize this formalism which is applied to the nanotubes in a subsequent section

There are several large systems such as nanotubes, 2D-nanosheets, tessellations of cyclopolyarenes, and circumscribed cyclopolyarenes, etc., for which the combinatorial enumerations become efficient if the set $D$ of vertices of such nanomaterials is partitioned into equivalence classes denoted by $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n} / 2}$ for even n , and $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{Y}_{(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2}$ for odd $n$. For instance, for a cylindrical nanotube with a cross section of $m$ vertices, the total number of vertices in the tube (D) is $m n$, and hence the equivalence classes of vertices $Y_{\mathrm{i}}$ have following orders depending on the odd/even parity of $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|=2 \mathrm{~m}, \text { for a nanotube of even length } n, \text { for all } \mathrm{i}, 1 \leq i \leq \mathrm{n} / 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left|\mathrm{Y}_{1}\right|=\mathrm{m},\left|\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|=2 \mathrm{~m} \mid$ for a nanotube of odd length $n$, for all $\mathrm{i} \neq 1,2 \leq i \leq(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2$.
Consequently, a vertex coloring of the set of vertices in $D$, a map from the sets $D$ to $R$, with R as the set of colors and D further divided into Y -sets can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=i=1 n / 2 Y_{i} Y_{i} \cap Y_{j}=\varnothing \text { for } i \neq j \wedge \text { forevenn } \vee D=i=1 \frac{n+1}{2} Y_{i} Y_{i} \cap Y_{j}=\varnothing \text { for } i \neq j \wedge \text { foroddn } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sheehan's modification [157] of Pólya's theorem [156] facilitates partitioning of colorings for the various equivalence classes, and thus it is more powerful than the ordinary Pólya's combinatorial enumeration. The current author has further generalized Sheehan's theorem to all characters of irreducible representations of the group of action, and thus a direct technique has been developed to enumerate both chiral and achiral colorings. Suppose the generalized character cycle index (GCCI) for the character $\chi$ of the irreducible representation $\Gamma$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \varepsilon G^{\prime}} \chi(g) \prod_{i} \prod_{j} s_{\mathrm{ij}}^{c_{\mathrm{ij}}(g)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all permutation representations of $g \in G ; c_{i j}(g)$ is the number of $j$-cycles of $g \in G$ contained in the set $Y_{i}$ upon its action on the members of the objects in the D set which may be for example carbon centers of a nanotube. The index $i$ varies from 1 to $n / 2$ or $(n+1) / 2$ for even and odd $n$, respectively. The second index $j$ is the orbit length for the orbit of a permutation contained within the $Y_{i}$ set for the action of $g \in G$.

A number of nanostructures contain cross sections with cyclic rotational symmetries, and hence the rotational subgroup for the cyclic part yields a cycle index provided by the Euler totient function. Therefore such an enumeration scheme can be applied to a variety of nanostructures such as cylindrical nanotubes, circumscribed-kekulenes, septulenes, octulenes, tessellations of kekulenes and nanosheets and nanobelts derived from graphenes.

We can obtain multinomial generators from the GCCIs shown above for each of the irreducible representations in the group $G$ acting on the structure. For this purpose we introduce $[n]$ as an ordered partition of $n$ into $p$ parts given that $n_{1} \geq 0, n_{2} \geq 0, \ldots, n_{p} \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i}=n$. Let us assign arbitrary weights $\lambda$ s and $n_{1}$ colors of the type $\lambda_{1}, n_{2}$, colors of the type $\lambda_{2} \ldots \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}$ colors of the type $\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}$. Then a multinomial generator is constructed by a generalization of Pólya's enumeration to all IRs using the multinomial expansion:

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{p}\right)^{n}=\sum_{[n]}^{p}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& & n &  \tag{5}\\
n_{1} & n_{2} & \cdot & . & n_{p}
\end{array}\right) \lambda_{1}^{n_{1}} \lambda_{2}^{n_{2}} \ldots \ldots \lambda_{p-1}^{n_{p-1}} \lambda_{p}^{n_{p}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& & \mathrm{n} & & \\
\mathrm{n}_{1} & \mathrm{n}_{2} & \cdot & \cdot & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}\right) \text { are multinomials defined as } \\
&\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
n_{1} & n_{2} & \cdot & . & n_{p}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots \ldots n_{p-1}!n_{p}!} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the coloring palette, R can be divided into sets $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2} \ldots$ such that $R=\cup_{i=1}^{m / 2} R_{i}$, for an even $m$ and $R=\cup_{i=1}^{(m+1) / 2} R_{i}$ for an odd $m$ and $\left|\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ Hence in the most general case, the weight $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is assigned for each color $r_{j}$ in the set $R_{i}$. In such a set up the multinomial generator for each IR for coloring the vertices of structures that can be divided is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G F^{\chi}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{p}\right)=P_{G}^{\chi}\left\{s_{i k} \rightarrow\left(w_{i 1}^{k}+w_{i 2}^{k}+\ldots+w_{i, p_{i}-1}^{k}+w_{i, p_{i}}^{k}\right)\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current author provided a geometrical interpretation for the above expansion. That is, the multinomial function thus obtained for each IR different colors yield the equivalence classes of vertex colorings that transform according to the IR with the character $\chi$. It can thus be seen that the number of such multinomial generators equals the number of IRs of the acting group.

## 3. Applications to Polyarenes Enumeration \& Spectroscopy

### 3.1. Enumeration of Hetero-Substituted Polyarenes and Polysubstituted Polyarenes

Circum-polyarenes are usually planar circumscribed structures comprising of macrocycles that are reminiscent of donut structures (See Figure 1) with $D_{m h}$ point group symmetries and the combinatorics of these structures were considered by the author in [124]. In this section we consider these cicum-polyarenes of considerable recent interest. A special case of such structures are kekulenes for $m=6$ for (Figure 1, Structure 1). Likewise other circumpolyarenes are obtained with holes, for example, when $m=7$ for septulenes (Figure 1, Structure 2), $\mathrm{m}=8$ corresponds to octulenes (Figure 1, Structure 3) and so forth. Table 1 displays such a general series comprising of circum-polyarenes together with their general formula for various values of $m$ and $n$. We define $m$ as the cyclicity and $n$ as the number of circumscribings around the primitive structure $(\mathrm{n}=1)$. As most structures of circumpolyarenes are considered to be planar, the combinatorics of polysubstituted isomers of such circum-polyarenes can be constructed within the rotational subgroup or the $D_{m}$ group. The GCCIs of such structures depend on the point groups as well as the set D (carbon nuclei or protons) and also if the cardinality of the set D is odd or even. Let D be the set of carbon nuclei of polyarenes (structures are displayed in Figures 1 and 2). When carbon vertices are colored in effect, we would be enumerating the hetero-polyarenes for example, aza-polyarenes. For circumscribed m-cyclic polyarenes let $n$ be the order of circumscribing (for example, for Structure 8 in Figure 2, $n=2$ ). In the case of regular kekulene (Structure 1;

Figure 1), it can be seen that $\mathrm{n}=1$ and $\mathrm{m}=6$ and for circumscribed kekulene (Structure 8; Figure 2), $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $\mathrm{m}=6$ while the corresponding values for circumscribed septulenes are $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $\mathrm{m}=7$ For the sake of self-completeness and illustrations, we have used the same notations and reproduced the equations from Ref. [124].


Kekulene 1


## CircumKekulene 1

Figure 1. Structures of kekulene, septulene and circumkekulene in the series of circum-polyarenes. Reprinted with permission from [124] copyright (2018) American Chemical Society.

Table 1. m-Cyclopolyarenes Series with circumscribings of order $n$. Reprinted with permission from [124] copyright (2018) American Chemical Society.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | Name | Formulae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | Kekulene | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ |
| 1 | 7 | Septulene | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ |
| 1 | 8 | Octulene | $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{32}$ |
| 1 | 9 | Nonulene | $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{36}$ |
| 2 | 6 | $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ |  |
| 2 | 7 | 2-Kekulene | $\mathrm{C}_{105} \mathrm{H}_{35}$ |
| 2 | 8 | $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{40}$ |  |
| 2 | 9 | 2-Septulene | $\mathrm{C}_{135} \mathrm{H}_{45}$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 2-Nonulene | $\ldots$ |
| n | N |  | $\ldots$ |
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Figure 2. Structures of coronoids and circumscribed-coronoids with holes forming $D_{6 h}$ symmetries (Reprinted with permission from [75] copyright (1993) American Chemical Society).

For doubly-circumscribed septulene, etc. Hence different cases of polysubstituted circumscribed Cyclopolyarenes can be encumbered by the general formalism outlined in Section 2. For any n-circumscribed m-cyclopolyarene one obtains the GCCI for even $m$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d) s_{d}^{\frac{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)}{d}}+\frac{m}{2} s_{1}^{(2 n+2)} s_{2}^{k}+\frac{m}{2} s_{2}^{\frac{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)}{2}}\right\} \text { if } \mathrm{m} \text { is even, } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right) / 2-(n+1)$ and $n$ is even, sum is over all divisors $d$ of $m$, and $\varphi(\mathrm{d})$ is the Euler totient function defined in the previous section.

The GCCI for odd $m$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d) s_{d}^{\frac{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)}{d}}+m s_{1}^{(n+1)} s_{2}^{k} \text { if } \mathrm{m}\right. \text { is odd } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\left[\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+4 \mathrm{n}+3\right)-(\mathrm{n}+1)\right] / 2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above GCCIs can be applied to any circum-polyarenes, for example, kekulene (Figure 1, Structure 1) which corresponds to case $m=6$ and $n=1$. In this case, the GCCI for the totally symmetric representation is obtained by (11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{48}+2 s_{6}^{8}+2 s_{3}^{16}+4 s_{2}^{24}+3 s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{22}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The GCCI when applied to 2-circumscribed-kekulene (structure 8 in Figure 2) or $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $m=6$ we arrive at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{90}+2 s_{6}^{15}+2 s_{3}^{30}+4 s_{2}^{45}+3 s_{1}^{6} s_{2}^{42}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For septulene (structure 2 in Figure 1) which corresponds to $m=7$ and $n=1$ the GCCI becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{7}}=\frac{1}{14}\left[s_{1}^{56}+6 s_{7}^{8}+7 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{27}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 2-circumscribed septulene corresponds to circumscribing Structure 2 in Figure 1 or $\mathrm{m}=7$ and $\mathrm{n}=2$, that is, $\mathrm{C}_{105} \mathrm{H}_{35}$. By substituting $\mathrm{m}=7$ and $\mathrm{n}=2$ in the general equation we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{7}}=\frac{1}{14}\left[s_{1}^{105}+6 s_{7}^{15}+7 s_{1}^{3} s_{2}^{51}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For octulene we substitute $\mathrm{m}=8$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ in the general expression to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{8}}=\frac{1}{16}\left[s_{1}^{64}+4 s_{8}^{8}+2 s_{4}^{8}+5 s_{2}^{32}+4 s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{30}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise for a 2-circumscribed octulene, $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{40}$, we compute the GCCI by substituting $m=8$ and $n=2$ in Equation (8) resulting in Equation (16):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{8}}=\frac{1}{16}\left[s_{1}^{120}+4 s_{8}^{15}+2 s_{4}^{30}+5 s_{2}^{60}+4 s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{58}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we take up polysubstitution of circum-polyarenes that is, replacing some or all of the protons of circum-polyarenes with other atoms such as $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$, etc. For this scenario we construct the GCCIs, with the object set D defined as the set of protons of the circum-polyarenes. We obtain the following cases for circum-polyarenes depending on the parities of $m$ and $n$, where $m$ is the cyclicity and $n$ is the circumscribing order. First case: $m$ even and $n$ are both odd we have:
Case (1): m even, $n$ odd, D: protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d) s_{d}^{\frac{m(n+3)}{d}}+\frac{m}{2} s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{p}+\frac{m}{2} s_{2}^{\frac{m(n+3)}{2}}\right\}, \mathrm{p}=\{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3) / 2-2\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (2): m even, $n$ even, D: protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d) s_{d}^{\frac{m(n+3)}{d}}+\frac{m}{2}\left(s_{1}^{2}| | s_{2}^{q}\right)+\frac{m}{2} s_{2}^{\frac{m(n+3)}{2}}\right\}, \mathrm{q}=\{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3) / 2-1\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (3): m odd, n odd, D : protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d) s_{d}^{\frac{m(n+3)}{d}}+m s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{r}\right\}, \mathrm{r}=\{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3) / 2-1\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (4): m odd, $n$ even, D: protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d) s_{d}^{\frac{m(n+3)}{d}}+m s_{1} s_{2}^{t}\right\}, \mathrm{t}=\{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3)-1\} / 2 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For kekulene with $\mathrm{m}=6$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{24}+2 s_{6}^{4}+2 s_{3}^{8}+4 s_{2}^{12}+3 s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{10}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a 2-circumscribed kekulene (Str 8, Figure 2), $m=6, n=2$ we obtain Equation (22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{30}+2 s_{6}^{5}+2 s_{3}^{10}+4 s_{2}^{15}+3 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{14}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cycle index of septulene, $m=7, n=1$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{14}\left[s_{1}^{28}+2 s_{7}^{4}+7 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{13}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a 2-circumscribed septulene, $m=7, n=2$ the GCCI is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{14}\left[s_{1}^{35}+2 s_{7}^{5}+7 s_{1} s_{2}^{17}\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an octulene, $\mathrm{m}=8, \mathrm{n}=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{8}}=\frac{1}{16}\left[s_{1}^{32}+4 s_{8}^{4}+2 s_{4}^{8}+5 s_{2}^{16}+4 s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{14}\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for a 2-circumscribed octulene, $m=8, n=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{8}}=\frac{1}{16}\left[s_{1}^{40}+4 s_{8}^{5}+2 s_{4}^{10}+5 s_{2}^{20}+4 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{18}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

All structures in Figure 2 exhibit $D_{6 h}$ symmetry and they arise from circumcising the graphene structure with holes of various sizes. In particular, the kekulene series belong to the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ series with $\mathrm{p}=6\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+4 \mathrm{n}+3\right)$ and $\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{n}+3)$. Likewise structures 7,10,14 (Figure 2) correspond to the series $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ where $\mathrm{p}=6\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+6 n+5\right)$ and $\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{n}+5)$ and structures 9,13 correspond to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ where $\mathrm{p}=6\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+8 n+7\right)$ and $\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{n}+7)$, and the subsequent members of the series are given by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{p}=6\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+10 \mathrm{n}+9\right)$ and $\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{n}+9)$. Therefore all coronoids with $D_{6 h}$ symmetry form the series $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ with $\mathrm{p}=6\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+2 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{m}+1)+2 \mathrm{~m}+1\right)$ and $q=6(n+2 m+1)$ for positive integers $m$ and $n$. The GCCIs that are derived for the circumscribed kekulenes ( $D_{6 h}$ groups) can also be employed for all other circumscribed coronoids that exhibit $D_{6 h}$ point groups. For instance, the GCCI for carbon nuclei of Str 11, Figure 2, with $\mathrm{m}=6$ and $\mathrm{n}=3$ is displayed in Equation (27):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{144}+2 s_{6}^{24}+2 s_{3}^{48}+4 s_{2}^{72}+3 s_{1}^{8} s_{2}^{68}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding cycle index for the protons of $\operatorname{Str} 11(m=6$ and $n=3$ : Figure 2) with 36 protons is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{36}+2 s_{6}^{6}+2 s_{3}^{12}+4 s_{2}^{18}+3 s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{16}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Structure 13 (Figure 2) corresponds to $\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{54}$ and thus the GCCI for the carbons is given by (29):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D_{6}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[s_{1}^{162}+2 s_{6}^{27}+2 s_{3}^{54}+4 s_{2}^{81}+3 s_{1}^{6} s_{2}^{78}\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of polysubstituted isomers is enumerated from the GCCI by Pólya's substitution, that is, substituting for every $s_{k}$ by $\left(w_{1}{ }^{k}+w_{2}{ }^{k}+w_{3}{ }^{k}+w_{4}{ }^{k}\right)$ in the GCCI. To illustrate we obtain the expression (30) for kekulenes by such a substitution:

$$
\begin{align*}
G F_{D_{6}}= & \frac{1}{12}\left[\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}+w_{4}\right)^{48}+2\left(w_{1}^{6}+w_{2}^{6}+w_{3}^{6}+w_{4}^{6}\right)^{8}+2\left(w_{1}^{3}+w_{2}^{3}+w_{3}^{3}+w_{4}^{3}\right)^{16}\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \left.+4\left(w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}+w_{3}^{2}+w_{4}^{2}\right)^{24}+3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}+w_{4}\right)^{4}\left(w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}+w_{3}^{2}+w_{4}^{2}\right)^{22}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient of $\mathrm{w}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{b1}} \mathrm{w}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{b} 2} \mathrm{~W}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{b} 3} \mathrm{w}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{b4}}$ in (30) generates the number of heterosubstituted kekulenes or colorings of carbon vertices by $b_{1}$ substituents of first kind, $b_{2}$ substituents of second kind, $b_{3}$ substituent of third kind, and $b_{4}$ substituents of fourth kind. Table 2 shows some of the terms thus obtained for 3 colors $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$. As seen from Table 2 five monosubstituted compounds are enumerated. There are 109 isomers for disubstitution and so on. In accord with a binomial distribution, the maximum distribution of isomers is reached for substitution of carbons by 16 substituents of the first kind, 16 substituents of the second kind and 16 substituents of the third kind, etc., which can be inferred from Table 2 as $112,945,455,375,981,823,980$. Table 2 displays the unique terms in the multinomial expansion as partition vectors. Total number of isomers when there are 3 substituents is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{1}{12}\left[3^{48}+2 x 3^{8}+2 x 3^{16}+4 x 3^{24}+3 x 3^{4} x 3^{22}\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists no chirality as kekulene is a planar macrocycle.
Table 3 displays the isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{z}}$ which correspond to tri-substituted kekulenes or. In order to enumerate these isomers, we invoke the proton cycle index for kekulene derived from the general equation, that is, Equation (19). In order to enumerate the isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{z}}$ we substitute every $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$ by $\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{w}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{w}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ in Equation (19). The coefficients for various terms $w_{1}{ }^{x} w_{2}{ }^{y} W_{3}{ }^{z}$ are shown in Table 3 yield the isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{z}}$. As can be seen from Table 3, There are 3 isomers for $(23,1,0)$ or 3 isomers for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}$ (see, Table 3). Likewise, the number of isomers for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ is 226,150 and $788,825,460$ isomers are enumerated for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}$. The results displayed in Table 3 required such an elegant combinatorial technique and the computer codes that we have developed for up to 10 substituents.

Both kekulene and septulene have the same number of monosubstituted isomers for the substitution carbon centers. There are 124 isomers for disubtituted hetero-septulenes that contain two N atoms. The maximum is reached at $536,056,343,620,384,863,061,500$ for $(19,19,18)$ for carbon colorings for septulenes. The combinatorics for the protons implies 3 isomers for monosubstituion of septulene with say Cl . Likewise we obtain 34 isomers for a dichloro-septulenes; the maximum is reached at $45,574,776,390$ for $(10,9,9)$. The results for octulenes can also be obtained in an analogous manner and they can be found in ref. [124].

Table 2. Enumeration of 3-colorings of Carbon Vertices of Kekulene. Reprinted with permission from [124] copyright (2018) American Chemical Society.

| Coefficient | Vector | Coefficient | Vector | Coefficient |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4800 | 103561517895 | 3792 | 88851068896152860 | 29109 |
| 5 | 4710 | 383177575979 | 36102 | 234243726928693460 | 28119 |
| 109 | 4620 | 1254034278774 | 35112 | 546568695999885020 | 27129 |
| 1467 | 4530 | 11184050659015080 | 29145 | 1135181137598290860 | 26139 |
| 16398 | 4440 | 21622497914498712 | 28155 | 2108193541021176180 | 25149 |
| 142945 | 4350 | 37839371323512066 | 27165 | 3513655901417556180 | 24159 |
| 1024059 | 4260 | 60097825014257646 | 26175 | 5270483851893361530 | 23169 |
| 6137527 | 4170 | 86807969439172982 | 25185 | 7130654622923896350 | 22179 |
| 31453488 | 4080 | 114221012397502010 | 24195 | 8715244538987104690 | 21189 |
| 139767835 | 3990 | 137065214861482908 | 23205 | 9632638700903207990 | 20199 |
| 545091767 | 38100 | 150119044840161540 | 22215 | 257668099806453820 | 281010 |
| 1882967013 | 37110 | 5364479296722 | 3666 | 655882435174711976 | 271110 |
| 5805816362 | 36120 | 27588742194948 | 3576 | 1475735479246515564 | 261210 |
| 16077455055 | 35130 | 120700745906895 | 3486 | 2951470957321010124 | 251310 |
| 40193661777 | 34140 | 455980568919225 | 3396 | 5270483852702315676 | 241410 |
| 91105252497 | 33150 | 1504735876402143 | 32106 | 8432774162750027376 | 231510 |
| 187904675706 | 32160 | 4377413392313808 | 31116 | 12122112859683551010 | 221610 |
| 353702280690 | 31170 | 11308317935237736 | 30126 | 15687440169664356522 | 211710 |
| 609154167250 | 30180 | 26096118180492360 | 29136 | 18302013532416736122 | 201810 |
| 961821475230 | 29190 | 54056244829095960 | 28146 | 19265277401095105380 | 191910 |
| 1394641595644 | 28200 | 100904990136381816 | 27156 | 1609893249573173544 | 261111 |
| 1859521084850 | 27210 | 170277170927824422 | 26166 | 3488102040361648164 | 251211 |
| 2282140209534 | 26220 | 260423908201122666 | 25176 | 6707888538605476404 | 241311 |
| 2579809646726 | 25230 | 361699872642264870 | 24186 | 11499237494286660144 | 231411 |
| 2687302591938 | 24240 | 456884049357215480 | 23196 | 17632164157359925680 | 221511 |
| 191 | 4611 | 525416656969664460 | 22206 | 24244225715985790086 | 211611 |
| 4349 | 4521 | 550436497519708228 | 21216 | 29948749413533412462 | 201711 |
| 64927 | 4431 | 137943703250100 | 3477 | 33276388237117085060 | 191811 |
| 713757 | 4341 | 586260729113235 | 3387 | 7266879251045544564 | 241212 |
| 6136471 | 4251 | 2149622651528715 | 3297 | 13415777076559133640 | 231312 |
| 42952525 | 4161 | 6878792458628112 | 31107 | 22040205198015185064 | 221412 |
| 251570847 | 4071 | 19385687790199632 | 30117 | 32325634287639864600 | 211512 |
| 1257841915 | 3981 | 48464219421777720 | 29127 | 42427395004047433380 | 201612 |
| 5450620065 | 3891 | 108112489398538680 | 28137 | 49914582355035448050 | 191712 |
| 20712315283 | 37101 | 216224978713510800 | 27147 | 52687614710209402350 | 181812 |
| 69668620785 | 36111 | 389204961579264336 | 26157 | 23735605596070004280 | 221313 |
| 209005757019 | 35121 | 632458062469307646 | 25167 | 37298808793141434264 | 211413 |
| 562707643953 | 34131 | 930085385883855630 | 24177 | 52218332309646863976 | 201513 |
| 1366575493563 | 33141 | 1240113847767543320 | 23187 | 65272915386631793610 | 191613 |
| 3006465804441 | 32151 | 1501190447237652600 | 22197 | 72952081902429966390 | 181713 |
| 6012931267794 | 31161 | 1651309491932965436 | 21207 | 55948213191205903656 | 201414 |
| 10964756631510 | 30171 | 2418325503348480 | 3288 | 74597617584478171920 | 191514 |
| 18274593959490 | 29181 | 8598490570300620 | 3198 | 88584670884029471910 | 181614 |
| 27892800895190 | 28191 | 26655320766887340 | 30108 | 93795533874004088610 | 171714 |
| 39049920855330 | 27201 | 72696329114759460 | 29118 | 94490315606290645536 | 181515 |
| 50207040787046 | 26211 | 175682795387902440 | 28128 | 106301605056802235190 | 171615 |
| 59335593422274 | 25221 | 378393712685968980 | 27138 | 112945455375981823980 | 161616 |
| 64495210129142 | 24231 | 729759303148399260 | 26148 |  |  |
| 97657 | 4422 | 1264916124783420252 | 25158 |  |  |
| 1427470 | 4332 | 1976431445242048080 | 24168 |  |  |
| 15343647 | 4242 | 2790256157185981770 | 23178 |  |  |
| 128856651 | 4152 | 3565327312427067330 | 22188 |  |  |
| 880512171 | 4062 | 4128273729405627230 | 21198 |  |  |
| 5031358420 | 3972 | 4334687416503640196 | 20208 |  |  |
| 24527846695 | 3882 | 29617022995256180 | 3099 |  |  |

Table 3. Enumeration of isomers of tri-substituted kekulenes or $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{z}}$ (Reprinted with permission from [124] copyright (2018) American Chemical Society).

| Coefficient | Vector $\mathbf{( x ,} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ | Coefficient | Vector $\mathbf{( x , \mathbf { y } , \mathbf { z } )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2400 | 4292790 | 1644 |
| 3 | 2310 | 13731492 | 1554 |
| 31 | 2220 | 34329060 | 1464 |
| 181 | 2130 | 68650260 | 1374 |
| 934 | 2040 | 111559140 | 1284 |
| 3597 | 1950 | 148738030 | 1194 |
| 11395 | 1860 | 163616810 | 10104 |
| 29007 | 1770 | 41190876 | 1455 |
| 61698 | 1680 | 96108684 | 1365 |
| 109298 | 1590 | 178483956 | 1275 |
| 164110 | 14100 | 267723414 | 1185 |
| 208474 | 13110 | 327216106 | 1095 |
| 226150 | 12120 | 208237164 | 1266 |
| 49 | 2211 | 356962872 | 1176 |
| 519 | 2121 | 490830354 | 1086 |
| 3573 | 2031 | 545356070 | 996 |
| 17785 | 1941 | 560936856 | 1077 |
| 67443 | 1851 | 70168970 | 987 |
| 202149 | 1761 | 788825460 | 888 |

Combinatorial identities for all n -circum-m-polyarenes that contain $u$ substituents for carbon centers are obtained as:
Case (1): m even

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{C})=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{k})}{\mathrm{d}}}+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{mk}}{2}}\left(1+\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}, \mathrm{k}=\left\{n^{2}+4 n+3\right\}\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (2): m odd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{C})=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{k})}{\mathrm{d}}}+\mathrm{mu} \frac{\mathrm{mk}+\mathrm{n}+1}{2}\right\}\right\}, \mathrm{k}=\left\{n^{2}+4 n+3\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combinatorial identities for proton substituents are given:
Case (1): m even, $n$ odd, protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{H})=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3)}{\mathrm{d}}}+\frac{m}{2} u^{\frac{m(n+3)}{2}}\left(u^{2}+1\right)\right\}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (2): m even, $n$ even, protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{H})=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3)}{\mathrm{d}}}+\frac{m}{2} u^{\frac{m(n+3)}{2}}(u+1)\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (3): m odd, n odd, protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{H})=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3)}{\mathrm{d}}}+m u^{\frac{m(n+3)}{2}+1}\right\} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (4): m odd, $n$ even, protons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{H})=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}+3)}{\mathrm{d}}}+m u^{\frac{m(n+3)+1}{2}}\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Applications to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, Proton NMR and Multiple Quantum NMR of Polyarenes

Combinatorial techniques discussed in the previous sections can be applied to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, proton NMR and multiple-quantum NMR patterns. The number of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals or the number of equivalence classes of carbons for the cycloarenes is the number of isomers for the monosubstitution; the coefficient of $(n-1,1)$ where $n$ is the number of carbons enumerates the NMR signals. There are 5 monosubstituted isomers for carbon replacements of kekulene (see Table 2) suggesting that there are $5{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals for kekulenes. Analogously there are five ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals for septulene and the same for octulene. By using the general combinatorial identities derived for isomer counts, we prove below that for all cycloarenes with $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{mh}}$ groups, there are $5{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{8 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2} \mathrm{~s}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{m}{2} s_{2}^{4 m}\right\} \text { if } \mathrm{m} \text { is even. } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the coefficient of $\mathrm{w}_{1}{ }^{8 \mathrm{~m}-1} \mathrm{w}_{2}$ in (41) can be seen to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 m}\left[\binom{8 m}{1}+\frac{m}{2}(4)\right]=\frac{1}{2 m}[8 m+2 m]=5 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar simplification can be carried out to gather the coefficient for $m$ even and $n=$ 1 , and it can be shown to be 5 . It can be shown that for any n-circumscribed cyclopolyarene, the number enumerated for monosubstitution is given by Equation (40):

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{D_{m}}^{\chi} & =\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\left(m\binom{n^{2}+4 n+3}{1}\right)+\frac{m}{2}(2 n+2)\right\}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)+\frac{m}{2}(2 n+2)\right\}  \tag{40}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}+5 n+4\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As can be seen from the above expression, the number of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal enumeration for all circumscribed polyarenes is only dependent on $n$, the order of circumscribing and not on the cyclicity or $m$.

The combinatorial methods can be applied to enumerate the proton NMR signals for any order n of circumscribing and the result is shown below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n+5) / 2 \text { if } n \text { is odd, } \\
& (n+4) / 2 \text { if } n \text { is even. } \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

For example, as seen from the above expression for $\mathrm{n}=3$, that is, for the triply circumscribed polyarenes, the number of proton NMR signals is 4 .

Two-quantum or n-2 quantum NMR spectra bear direct relation to structurally dependent dipolar couplings compared to ordinary 1-quantum NMR spectra. Likewise enumeration of triangular interactions contain information on 3-quantum and so on. That is, from a graph-theoretical standpoint 2-quantum spectra depend on various equivalence classes of edges in the graph while n-1 quantum NMR depends only the vertex automorphisms. The GCCI polynomials can be applied for both bosons and fermions. For multiple quantum NMR of protons or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (fermions) the two possible spin orientations can be represented by $\alpha$ or $\beta$. Thus the combinatorial generators for multiple quantum NMR of circumscribed-cyclopolyarenes for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei are given as follows for even and odd m :
$G F_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{d / m} \chi(g) \varphi(d)\left(\alpha^{d}+\beta^{d}\right)^{\frac{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)}{d}}+\frac{m}{2}(\alpha+\beta)^{(2 n+2)}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)^{k}+\frac{m}{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)^{\frac{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)}{2}}\right\}$ if $m$ is even,
where $k=m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right) / 2-(n+1)$, sum is over all divisors $d$ of $m$, and $\varphi(d)$ is the Euler totient function.

$$
G F_{D_{m}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left\{\sum_{\frac{d}{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(d)\left(\alpha^{d}+\beta^{d}\right)^{\frac{m\left(n^{2}+4 n+3\right)}{d}}+m(\alpha+\beta)^{(n+1)}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)^{k}\right\} \text { if } m \text { is odd }
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\left[\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+4 \mathrm{n}+3\right)-(\mathrm{n}+1)\right] / 2 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a special case, for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ multiple quantum NMR of kekulene and circumscribed kekulene the generating function for the totally symmetric representation is given by Equation (44).

GF(kekulene-multiple-quantum ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) $=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{48}+5 \alpha^{47} \beta+109 \alpha^{46} \beta^{2}+1467 \alpha^{45} \beta^{3}+16398 \alpha^{44} \beta^{4}+142945 \alpha^{43} \beta^{5}+1024059 \alpha^{42} \beta^{6}+6137527 \alpha^{41} \beta^{7}+31453488 \alpha^{40} \beta^{8}+ \\
& 139767835 \alpha^{39} \beta^{9}+545091767 \alpha^{38} \beta^{10}+1882967013 \alpha^{37} \beta^{11}+5805816362 \alpha^{36} \beta^{12}+16077455055 \alpha^{35} \beta^{13}+ \\
& 40193661777 \alpha^{34} \beta^{14}+91105252497 \alpha^{33} \beta^{15}+187904675706 \alpha^{32} \beta^{16}+353702280690 \alpha^{31} \beta^{17}+609154167250 \alpha^{30} \beta^{18}+ \\
& 961821475230 \alpha^{29} \beta^{19}+1394641595644 \alpha^{28} \beta^{20}+1859521084850 \alpha^{27} \beta^{21}+2282140209534 \alpha^{26} \beta^{22}+ \\
& 2579809646726 \alpha^{25} \beta^{23}+2687302591938 \alpha^{24} \beta^{24}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms that are not shown can be generated by an interchange of $\alpha$ with $\beta$ which is attributed to the symmetry of binomial distribution, and it also arises from color symmetry of spin- $1 / 2$ fermions. Although both kekulene and septulene have the same second coefficient of 5, the third term has a coefficient of 124 for septulene and 109 for kekulene suggesting that n-2-quantum NMR spectra of the two structures are different. The corresponding proton multiple-quantum NMR yield four different expressions depending on the odd/even parties of $m$ and $n$. In particular, the generating function for multiple quantum proton NMR of kekulene is computed by Equation (45):

GF(kekulene-m-quantum proton NMR ) $=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{24}+3 \alpha^{23} \beta+31 \alpha^{22} \beta^{2}+181 \alpha^{21} \beta^{3}+934 \alpha^{20} \beta^{4}+3597 \alpha^{19} \beta^{5}+11395 \alpha^{18} \beta^{6}+29007 \alpha^{17} \beta^{7}+61698 \alpha^{16} \beta^{8}+  \tag{45}\\
& 109298 \alpha^{15} \beta^{9}+164110 \alpha^{14} \beta^{10}+208474 \alpha^{13} \beta^{11}+226150 \alpha^{12} \beta^{12}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient of the third term for the expression for septulene is 34 which is different from kekulene; the first 2 terms are the same implying that $n-2$ quantum spectra yield structural contrasts of these species because these spectra include dipolar couplings.

## 4. Applications to Nanotubes: Enumerations \& Chirality

In this section we consider the applications of the GCCI combinatorial methods for the enumeration of chiral and achiral isomers of nanotubes of any cross section and length. For example, a cylindrical nanotube with a square cross section is shown in Figure 3 and hence the symmetry group is $\mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~h}}(\mathrm{~m}=4)$. In the general case of a cylindrical nanotube with a cross-section composed of $m$ vertices, the GCCI for such a cylindrical nanotube length $n$ are obtained with four expressions depending on the parities of $m$ and $n$ :
m odd; n odd; $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}$ plane passes through the central layer; each of $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ axes passes through a vertex of the central layer; $\sigma_{\mathrm{v}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}$ planes pass through n vertices:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{D_{m h}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m h}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{~d}}}+\mathrm{ms}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{(\mathrm{mn}-1) / 2}\right.  \tag{46}\\
\left.+s_{1}^{m} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2}+\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~d}}} \mathrm{~s}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}-1)}{2 \mathrm{~d}}}+m s_{1}^{m} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

m odd; n even; $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}$ plane does not pass through any vertex of the tube; each of $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ axes passes through the centers of edges; $\sigma_{\mathrm{v}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}$ planes pass through $n$ vertices:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{D_{m h}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m h}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{i}}}+\mathrm{ms}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}\right.  \tag{47}\\
& \left.+\mathrm{s}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}+\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{2 \mathrm{~d}}}+m s_{1}^{m} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$m$ even; $n$ odd;; each of $m / 2 C_{2}$ axes passes through the centers of edges; each of $m / 2 C_{2}$ axes passes through two vertices; $\mathrm{m} 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}$ planes pass through 2 n vertices; $\mathrm{m} / 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}$ planes pass through the centers of the edges:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{D_{m h}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m h}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{m}{2} s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{(\mathrm{mn}-2) / 2}+\frac{m}{2} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}\right.  \tag{48}\\
& \left.+\mathrm{s}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}+\sum_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}} ; \mathrm{d} \text { even }} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{~d}}}+\sum_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}} ; \mathrm{d} \text { odd }} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~d}}} \mathrm{~s}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}-1)}{2 \mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{m}{2} s_{1}^{2 n} s_{2}^{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~m}-2) / 2}+\frac{m}{2} s_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$m$ even; $n$ even; each of $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ axes passes through the centers of the edges; $\mathrm{m} / 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}$ planes pass through 2 n vertices; $\mathrm{m} / 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}$ planes pass through the centers of the edges:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{D_{m h}}^{\chi}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}} \sum_{g \varepsilon D_{m h}} \chi(g) s_{1}^{b_{1}} s_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \ldots s_{n}^{\mathrm{bn}}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~m}}\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{m}} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{~d}}}+\mathrm{ms}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}\right.  \tag{49}\\
\left.+\mathrm{s}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}+\sum_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}} ; \mathrm{d} \text { even }} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{~d}}}+\sum_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}} ; \mathrm{d} \text { odd }} \chi(g) \varphi(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{\frac{\mathrm{mn}}{2 \mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{m}{2} s_{1}^{2 n} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~m}-2) / 2}+\frac{m}{2} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{\mathrm{mn} / 2}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the above expressions, the sum is over divisors d of $\mathrm{m}, \varphi(d)$ is the Euler totient function defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(d)=d \prod_{p / d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above product is computed over all prime numbers $p$ that divide d. The Euler totient function is expressible in terms of the Möbius as shown in Equation (51):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(d)=d \sum_{p / d} \frac{\mu(p)}{p}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is computed over all prime divisors of $d$ and $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function.


Figure 3. A TUC4[4,m]-Tubular nanotube of cross section $C_{4}$ of length $m$. Reprinted with permission from [129] copyright (2021) Taylor \& Francis.

The GCCIs can de exemplified by considering a cylindrical nanotube with a cross section of 10-beaded necklace. For such a tube, the character table of the $D_{10 h}$ point group with 16 conjugacy classes and 16 IRs needs to be considered. We note that character values g and $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ which are golden ratio and its inverse, respectively; an accidental degeneracy of the GCCIs arises, resulting in several GCCIs of two dimensional IRs to become identical. Moreover even though the golden ratio is irrational, the sum $g+\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ is an integer resulting in integral GCCIs for all IRs of the group $D_{m h}$. Note that the GCCIs of the $A_{1 g}$ and $A_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ IRs are of special interest, as these GCCIs enumerate the achiral, chiral as well as all stereo-position isomers for the colorings of the nanotubes for various vertex colorings. Furthermore, the GCCIs enumerate both heteronanotubes of different kinds and polysubstituted nanotubes including fluorochloro nanotubes and hydrogenated nanotubes, etc. Balasubramanian et al. [129] have applied these techniques to a variety of nanotube enumerations and we shall consider some of their salient findings.

Figures 4 and 5 show nanotubes of cross section $C_{6}$ of even length $m(20)$ and odd $m$ (21), respectively with a $D_{6 h}$ symmetry while the a tube with $C_{4}$ cross section is considered in Figure 3. Application of the formulae derived earlier, for example, for the simplest case of a tube with 3 layers $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6}(\mathrm{~m}=6)$ and odd length (Figure 5) gives the cycle indices for the $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ IRs of the $D_{6 h}$ group as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g}=\frac{1}{24}\left\{s_{1}^{18}+2 s_{6}^{3}+2 s_{3}^{6}+s_{2}^{9}+3 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{8}+3 s_{2}^{9}+s_{2}^{9}+2 s_{3}^{2} s_{6}^{2}+2 s_{6}^{3}+s_{1}^{6} s_{2}^{6}+3 s_{1}^{6} s_{2}^{6}+3 s_{2}^{9}\right\}  \tag{52}\\
& P_{D 6 h}^{A 1 u}=\frac{1}{24}\left\{s_{1}^{18}+2 s_{6}^{3}+2 s_{3}^{6}+s_{2}^{9}+3 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{8}+3 s_{2}^{9}-s_{2}^{9}-2 s_{3}^{2} s_{6}^{2}-2 s_{6}^{3}-s_{1}^{6} s_{2}^{6}-3 s_{1}^{6} s_{2}^{6}-3 s_{2}^{9}\right\} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of even length $\mathrm{m}(20): D_{6 h}$.


Figure 5. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of odd length m (21): $D_{6 h}$. Reprinted with permission from [129] copyright (2021) Taylor \& Francis.

Likewise for a tube of $C_{4}$ cross section (Figure 3) of length 99 , the cycle indices are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{D 4 h}^{A 1 g}=\frac{1}{16}\left\{s_{1}^{396}+2 s_{4}^{99}+s_{2}^{198}+2 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{197}+2 s_{2}^{198}+s_{2}^{198}+2 s_{4}^{99}+s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{196}+2 s_{1}^{198} s_{2}^{99}+2 s_{2}^{198}\right\}  \tag{54}\\
& P_{D 4 h}^{A 1 u}=\frac{1}{16}\left\{s_{1}^{396}+2 s_{4}^{99}+s_{2}^{198}+2 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{197}+2 s_{2}^{198}-s_{2}^{198}-2 s_{4}^{99}-s_{1}^{4} s_{2}^{196}-2 s_{1}^{198} s_{2}^{99}-2 s_{2}^{198}\right\} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

The cycle indices thus obtained in the above illustration is for the entire set D of all $m n$ vertices of the nanotube. To illustrate the Sheehan's modification, the explicit partitions of equivalence classes of the vertices are considered. For the example under consideration, we use the case of a tube with $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ cross section and length of 3 . For this case, we obtain two equivalences classes $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ where the first class is for the central layer and hence contains 6 vertices. The set $Y_{2}$ for this case consists of the top and bottom equivalent layers and thus 12 vertices. The GCCIs thus obtained for the $D_{6 h}$ symmetry nanotubes are show below with explicit partitions of the vertices so that Sheehan's modification can be applied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g}=\frac{1}{24}\left\{s_{11}^{6} s_{21}^{12}+2 s_{16} s_{26}^{2}+2 s_{13}^{2} s_{23}^{4}+s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}+3 s_{11}^{2} s_{22}^{8}+3 s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}+s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}+2 s_{13}^{2} s_{26}^{2}+2 s_{16} s_{26}^{2}+s_{11}^{6} s_{22}^{6}+3 s_{11}^{6} s_{22}^{6}+3 s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}\right\}  \tag{56}\\
& P_{D 6 h}^{A 1 u}=\frac{1}{24}\left\{s_{11}^{6} s_{21}^{12}+2 s_{16} s_{26}^{2}+2 s_{13}^{2} s_{23}^{4}+s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}+3 s_{11}^{2} s_{22}^{8}+3 s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}-s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}-2 s_{13}^{2} s_{26}^{2}-2 s_{16} s_{26}^{2}-s_{11}^{6} s_{22}^{6}-3 s_{11}^{6} s_{22}^{6}-3 s_{12}^{3} s_{22}^{6}\right\} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

As the vertices are partitioned, the Sheehan technique facilitates the assignment of different coloring palettes for the distinct equivalence classes. Consider a hexagonal cylinder with 3 layers wherein the color weights $1, a, b, c$ are assigned for the central layer, and color weights $1, \mathrm{~d}$, e for the top and bottom layers. In this setup the Sheehan's modification yields the following generating functions for the $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ IRs of the $D_{6 h}$ group:

$$
\begin{align*}
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(1+a+b+c)^{6}(1+d+e)^{12}+2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1+d^{3}+e^{3}\right)^{4}+\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6} \\
& +3(1+a+b+c)^{2}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}  \tag{58}\\
& +\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}+2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}+(1+a+b+c)^{6}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6} \\
& \left.+3(1+a+b+c)^{6}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}\right\} \\
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 u} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(1+a+b+c)^{6}(1+d+e)^{12}+2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1+d^{3}+e^{3}\right)^{4}+\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6} \\
& +3(1+a+b+c)^{2}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}  \tag{59}\\
& -\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}-2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2} \\
& -2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}-(1+a+b+c)^{6}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6} \\
& \left.-3(1+a+b+c)^{6}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}-3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall demonstrate the flexibility of the above GFs in that different scenarios with given restrictions can be considered in coloring palettes as a result of partitioning of vertices of the central layers and vertices of the top/bottom layers. Consequently, we obtain the results shown below:
(a) all vertices of the top/bottom layers are colored with a single color (white) while the vertices of the central layers are colored with different colors;
(b) all vertices of the central layers are colored with a single color (white) while the vertices of the top/bottom layers are colored with different colors;
(c) all vertices of the central layers and vertices of the top/bottom layers are colored with different colors chosen from a single set of colors without making any distinction between vertices of the central layers and vertices of the top/bottom layers.
(d) all vertices of the central layers are colored with colors chosen from one set while all vertices of the top/bottom layers are colored with colors chosen from a different set of colors thus making a distinction between vertices of the central layers and vertices of the top/bottom layers.
The $G F\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$ for the case (a) is computed by setting d and e to 0 in Equation (58):

$$
\begin{align*}
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(1+a+b+c)^{6}+2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)+2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\right. \\
& +3(1+a+b+c)^{2}+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}+\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}+2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}  \tag{60}\\
& \left.+2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)+(1+a+b+c)^{6}+3(1+a+b+c)^{6}+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The GF thus obtained for $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ can likewise be computed for other IRs and the coefficient obtained for each term enumerates the colorings of the vertices of the central layer with the corresponding color palette that transform according to the IR. That is, for instance, the partition [2 24111$]$ is enumerated by the term $1^{2} a^{2} b c$ or the number of ways to color the central vertices with 2 white, 2 blue, 1 green and 1 red, such that only the central vertices are colored keeping the top/bottom layers constant.

The GF for the $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ IR for case (b) generated by setting $a, b$ and $c$ to 0 in Equation (59), yielding:

$$
\begin{align*}
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 u} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(1+d+e)^{12}+2\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}+2\left(1+d^{3}+e^{3}\right)^{4}+\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}+3\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}\right. \\
& +3\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}-\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}-2\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}-2\left(1+d^{6}+e^{6}\right)^{2}-\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}  \tag{61}\\
& \left.-3\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}-3\left(1+d^{2}+e^{2}\right)^{6}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The $G F\left(A_{1 u}\right)$ shown above generates the number of chiral pairs for vertex-colorings for the top/bottom layers with 3 different colors (blue, red, purple) and retaining all central vertices of the tube in white colors.

The case (c) is the most common single-set-coloring scheme, as it involves coloring of all vertices of the nanotube with colors chosen from a single set of colors. Here no distinction is made between the central vertices and the vertices of the top/bottom layers, and thus all vertices are placed in one D set. Here the GF for each IR is obtained by replacing $w_{i j}=w_{j}$ for all $i$ and colors are selected from a single color set $R$. For four-coloring of the vertices, the GF $\left(A_{1 g}\right)$ is shown below for the tube with $C_{6}$ cross section and 3 layers:

$$
\begin{align*}
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(1+a+b+c)^{18}+2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)^{3}+2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{6}+\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{9}\right. \\
& +3(1+a+b+c)^{2}\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{8}+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{9}+\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{9} \\
& +2\left(1+a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)^{2}+2\left(1+a^{6}+b^{6}+c^{6}\right)^{3}  \tag{62}\\
& +(1+a+b+c)^{6}\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{6}+3(1+a+b+c)^{6}\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{6} \\
& \left.+3\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{9}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Tables 4 and 5 display the combinatorial results for the $\mathrm{C}_{6}$-tubes shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Tables 4 and 5 were generated from the corresponding GFs obtained from their GCCIs for the binomial colorings of these tubes which are shown below:
$\mathrm{C}_{6}$ tube of length 20:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(a+b)^{120}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}+2\left(a^{3}+b^{3}\right)^{40}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}+3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}+3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}\right. \\
& +\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}+3(a+b)^{40}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{40} \\
& \left.+3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}\right\} \\
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 u} & =\frac{1}{24}\left\{(a+b)^{120}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}+2\left(a^{3}+b^{3}\right)^{40}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}+3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}+3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}\right. \\
& -\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}-2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}-2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}-3(a+b)^{40}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{40} \\
& \left.-3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{60}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{6}$ tube of length 21 :

$$
\begin{align*}
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 g}= & \frac{1}{24}\left\{(a+b)^{126}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{21}+2\left(a^{3}+b^{3}\right)^{42}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}+3(a+b)^{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{62}\right. \\
& +3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{21}+2\left(a^{3}+b^{3}\right)^{2}\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}  \tag{65}\\
& \left.+3(a+b)^{42}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{42}+3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}\right\} \\
G F_{D 6 h}^{A 1 u}= & \frac{1}{24}\left\{(a+b)^{126}+2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{21}+2\left(a^{3}+b^{3}\right)^{42}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}+3(a+b)^{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{62}\right. \\
+ & 3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}-2\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{21}-2\left(a^{3}+b^{3}\right)^{2}\left(a^{6}+b^{6}\right)^{20}-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}  \tag{66}\\
- & \left.3(a+b)^{42}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{42}-3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{63}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 4. Combinatorics of binomial colorings of C6 tube of even length (20). Reprinted with permission from [129] copyright (2021) Taylor \& Francis.

| $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 u}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1200 | 1 | 0 |
| 1191 | 10 | 0 |
| 1182 | 430 | 200 |
| 1173 | 13140 | 10270 |
| 1164 | 358580 | 327000 |

Table 4. Cont.

| [ $\lambda$ ] | $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1155 | 8076302 | 7805200 |
| 1146 | 153228940 | 151186610 |
| 1137 | 2485281890 | 2472015520 |
| 1128 | 35049847010 | 34972263360 |
| 1119 | 435895574270 | 435487149890 |
| 11010 | 4837163423172 | 4835187982608 |
| 10911 | 48366143812740 | 48357338386240 |
| 10812 | 439304123944130 | 439267535249120 |
| 10713 | 3649522483044260 | 3649380289048000 |
| 10614 | 27892495987962020 | 27891975424030480 |
| 10515 | 197106031753154350 | 197104231299671570 |
| 10416 | 1293505382317706270 | 1293499470458272960 |
| 10317 | 7913200546609255430 | 7913182073007147040 |
| 10218 | 45281066731050988200 | 45281011600933676850 |
| 10119 | 243087762638665331530 | 243087605202533277680 |
| 10020 | 1227593019502352645116 | 1227592588139680180808 |
| 9921 | 5845680586016149192080 | 5845679450165058565230 |
| 9822 | 26305561521626686809770 | 26305558641388642521880 |
| 9723 | 112084563868390564619870 | 112084556825344707148480 |
| 9624 | 453008439719843093324185 | 453008423084819935550720 |
| 9525 | 1739552395580465510782712 | 1739552357586305907062080 |
| 9426 | 6356056802607579048149000 | 6356056718581715136103840 |
| 9327 | 22128493997304209628417280 | 22128493817196173268087480 |
| 9228 | 73498212093520559324283920 | 73498211718937259300046560 |
| 9129 | 233166741598000966442884040 | 233166740841488289311513600 |
| 9030 | 707272449109079345066275200 | 707272447624069094082039400 |
| 8931 | 2053371625707638595138215800 | 2053371622872379689408576320 |
| 8832 | 5710939832691184420347395710 | 5710939827422078705100951680 |
| 8733 | 15229172884919521763241151730 | 15229172875382280514199523400 |
| 8634 | 38968765907621805546447563950 | 38968765890797737924153754120 |
| 8535 | 95751824795384727236237065030 | 95751824766445595988072443312 |
| 8436 | 226080697423665918179837712780 | 226080697375100662367959790680 |
| 8337 | 513264286027563834692713658510 | 513264285948013123131287760080 |
| 8238 | 1121077256300109181440804823910 | 1121077256172862735028690940680 |
| 8139 | 2357136795263310515884594697290 | 2357136795064474082599295897160 |
| 8040 | 4773202010358707257612086114170 | 4773202010055058999556359513408 |
| 7941 | 9313564898191311432444888679940 | 9313564897737983810337193741600 |
| 7842 | 17518372070312058850710272631220 | 17518372069650191620702994780120 |
| 7743 | 31777512127415150509871599803740 | 31777512126469853524471252843840 |
| 7644 | 55610646222809929010711390106200 | 55610646221488836306052022553040 |
| 7545 | 93920202509422472913833055244500 | 93920202507615427898786969026968 |
| 7446 | 153130764960751689179624148240700 | 153130764958331858395428437922800 |
| 7347 | 241099502278311827209649491672420 | 241099502275138843239010973758880 |
| 7248 | 366672159714557209135122371215500 | 366672159710482373202785259933120 |
| 7149 | 538783581620959439539367888026550 | 538783581615833385238761111253600 |
| 7050 | 765072685901281807653064962794306 | 765072685894964033815883701208344 |
| 6951 | 1050099764962022784801615163283710 | 1050099764954393034471851047572500 |
| 6852 | 1393401611199060099344586013233020 | 1393401611190030163150578065198920 |
| 6753 | 1787760557764276241571586760097890 | 1787760557753801778758977126918480 |
| 6654 | 2218147358706984761747143844517710 | 2218147358695075055554709343916900 |
| 6555 | 2661776830447873212340258083935022 | 2661776830434598506818581481178240 |
| 6456 | 3089562392483687387382849613409250 | 3089562392469181632858390724145920 |
| 6357 | 3468982335419907262008024739287820 | 3468982335404366657697752965321340 |
| 6258 | 3768032536748931605487595238564080 | 3768032536732607374058506770897600 |
| 6159 | 3959627411498706582271887678528880 | 3959627411481893631161976216665600 |
| 6060 | 4025621201690294701317661934726204 | 4025621201673315584661128579342944 |

Table 5. Combinatorics of binomial colorings of C6 tube of odd length (21). Reprinted with permission from [129] copyright (2021) Taylor \& Francis.

| [ $\lambda$ ] | $\mathbf{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1260 | 1 | 0 |
| 1251 | 11 | 0 |
| 1242 | 473 | 220 |
| 1233 | 15253 | 11910 |
| 1224 | 436673 | 398560 |
| 1215 | 10348033 | 10004800 |
| 1206 | 206573169 | 203879874 |
| 1197 | 3527122728 | 3508834432 |
| 1188 | 52385696704 | 52274232236 |
| 1179 | 686407979303 | 685795703012 |
| 11610 | 8028934612915 | 8025849284552 |
| 11511 | 84659644031796 | 84645309793480 |
| 11412 | 811283921490655 | 811221894648266 |
| 11313 | 7114189337715709 | 7113938271431488 |
| 11214 | 57421136296522190 | 57420179480527816 |
| 11115 | 428742633734011054 | 428739188570867700 |
| 11016 | 2974395957907605578 | 2974384184884777864 |
| 10917 | 19246072541004160475 | 19246034252371767360 |
| 10818 | 116545605020781110873 | 116545486120454041778 |
| 10719 | 662469593565669880140 | 662469240221433288120 |
| 10620 | 3544211884087688220237 | 3544210876693600321224 |
| 10521 | 17889830252498885495425 | 17889827492074590075716 |
| 10422 | 85383277805294190143784 | 85383270521181826240644 |
| 10323 | 386080901136593900270904 | 386080882599144920683392 |
| 10224 | 1656930517051376784683269 | 1656930471481634923631114 |
| 10125 | 6760276470775467874719927 | 6760276362433949041317696 |
| 10026 | 26261073896914647611843439 | 26261073647477690543603952 |
| 9927 | 97263236471662895274416233 | 97263235914956931417312702 |
| 9828 | 343895014286357966923112919 | 343895013080626398572379156 |
| 9729 | 1162127978543417947038420093 | 1162127976007021782564392832 |
| 9630 | 3757547129116693561369533113 | 3757547123929752463745097544 |
| 9531 | 11636274977618676741474605088 | 11636274967299175559427516672 |
| 9432 | 34545191334481858494269819958 | 34545191314493091129392663952 |
| 9333 | 98401454094672584680155613591 | 98401454056952557263117170000 |
| 9234 | 269156918536182307562667604533 | 269156918466792562463927930652 |
| 9135 | 707498185837591596996314883276 | 707498185713084020804419188984 |
| 9036 | 1788398191930007116460908717629 | 1788398191711979048251416225608 |
| 8937 | 4350157764075290579543044835397 | 4350157763702510856253493800128 |
| 8838 | 10188527394682682072242746308316 | 10188527394060064806948032860284 |
| 8739 | 22989497710884551633808458024272 | 22989497709868307190203644545008 |
| 8640 | 50002157520879567765636859278504 | 50002157519257903388142469358316 |
| 8541 | 104882574311653493200042912232931 | 104882574309122653904387904539904 |
| 8442 | 212262352772955598204815146300663 | 212262352769091372968466328442032 |
| 8343 | 414652037974188760093034977579044 | 414652037968414603187921841451176 |
| 8244 | 782184526177360507348845920120703 | 782184526168914032231473122983532 |
| 8145 | 1425314025477099466617348186776881 | 1425314025465000766616408261522640 |
| 8046 | 2509792088337944983451863878699918 | 2509792088320970669250957680677753 |
| 7947 | 4271986533338402240952986091515568 | 4271986533315071146968456514703232 |
| 7848 | 7030977836115966289850178437041004 | 7030977836084542036709394169335632 |
| 7749 | 11192168800343594818507662954115382 | 11192168800302112216589382096345792 |
| 7650 | 17235939952524034981288300451784128 | 17235939952470353920645281657293988 |
| 7551 | 25684930125323990978539590227007264 | 25684930125255882540509198550606740 |
| 7452 | 37045572296133623677675596842206368 | 37045572296048886307617780234533304 |
| 7353 | 51724006602141374421656431169602456 | 51724006602037978956693506504856184 |
| 7254 | 69923194110294214696088434473985680 | 69923194110170466866523001472260968 |
| 7155 | 91535817744376799116378300971826720 | 91535817744231511058933713108728176 |
| 7056 | 116054340354469299983499331537508704 | 116054340354301950607134075303190832 |

Table 5. Cont.

| $[\lambda]$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 u}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6957 | 142522874119515507211110040589336016 | 142522874119326379203129178324865584 |
| 6858 | 169553074383553918092169927083932224 | 169553074383344188394338933483678944 |
| 6759 | 195417102679343529229105477101092448 | 195417102679115305059831028491385312 |
| 6660 | 218215764658594707645979394768009504 | 218215764658350990916595981027726176 |
| 6561 | 236102302745360626194077729265514496 | 236102302745105211277102095497067808 |
| 6462 | 247526607716907796799690373591361056 | 247526607716645097621485771818723552 |
| 6363 | 251455601490191198999846211143625568 | 251455601489926026768523441574344800 |

In order to generate a chiral coloring, at least 2 black colors are needed, as seen from Tables 4 and 5, and these numbers are 200 and 220, respectively for the number of chiral pairs. The chiral colorings explode combinatorially as a function of k culminating into every coloring becoming chiral. For example, there are 4,025,621,201,673,315,584,661,128,579,342,944 chiral pairs of colorings for 60 black and 60 white colors for the tube shown in Figure 4. Figures 6 and 7 show one such achiral and chiral coloring for the partition [100 20] for a tube with length 20; helical patterns of colorings can be seen in these figures. Such chiral helical patterns have received considerable interest in recent years in nanomaterials, as such chiral patterns exhibit interesting optoelectronic properties. Figures 8 and 9 show achiral and chiral isomers for the case of 21 blue colors (nitrogen) and remaining carbons for the case of $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ tube with length 21 . Figure 9 shows one of chiral isomers enumerated (Table 5) out of $17,889,827,492,074,590,075,716$ chiral pairs for the partition [105 21] whereas the corresponding achiral isomer is depicted in Figure 8. Figures 10 and 11 illustrate achiral colorings for equal number of grey and blue colors for the $C_{6}$ tubular nanotube of and even and odd lengths containing alternating arrangement of carbon and nitrogen atoms, respectively.


Figure 6. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of even length: One of achiral isomers enumerated in Table 4 for the partition [100 20].


Figure 7. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of even length: One of chiral isomers enumerated in Table 4 out of $1,227,592,588,139,680,180,808$ chiral pairs for the partition [100 20]. Reprinted with permission from [129] copyright (2021) Taylor \& Francis.


Figure 8. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of odd length: One of achiral isomers enumerated in Table 5 for the partition [105 21].


Figure 9. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of odd length: One of chiral isomers enumerated in Table 4 out of $17,889,827,492,074,590,075,716$ chiral pairs for the partition [105 21].


Figure 10. Tubular nanotube of cross section $C_{6}$ of even length with alternating arrangement of carbon and nitrogen atoms: One of achiral isomers enumerated in Table 4 for the partition [60 60].


Figure 11. Tubular nanotube of cross section $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ of odd length with alternating arrangement of carbon and nitrogen atoms: One of achiral isomers enumerated in Table 5 for the partition [63 63]. Reprinted with permission from [129] copyright (2021) Taylor \& Francis.

## 5. Applications to Tessellations of Kekulenes, Nanobands, $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ Polymers, Spectroscopy \& Topology

Tessellations of polyarenes such as kekulenes and octulenes are of considerable interest because they are excellent candidates for sequestering both anions such as $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(Figure 12 Left). Moreover heterosubstituted tessellations of these structures, for example, crown ether analogs and porphyrin analogs can be candidates for sequestration of toxic heavy metal ions such as $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ (Figure 12 Right) as well as actinyl ions in high level nuclear wastes such as $\mathrm{UO}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}{ }^{2+}$. Another variation to the heavy metal ion trap made possible by polyphenolic kekulene us shown in Figure 12 (bottom) and thus one could make tessellations of these structures possessing multiple cavities for efficient trapping of heavy metal ions. Consequently, such derivatives of graphenes with cavities have been proposed as molecular belts for the sequestration and transport of both anions and cations [25,32,35]. Furthermore phase transformations among various topological configurations such as the square, armchair and zigzag structures have been considered in previous studies [39-41] for both carbon nanotubes and various structures arising from graphene sheets. Furthermore enthalpies of formation and Gibbs free energies of such large systems are extremely challenging to compute from the ab initio techniques for example, Gaussian-3 theories. Our understanding of the phase transformations among various tessellations needs the Gibbs free energies of these systems which depend not only the enthalpies but also the entropies of different phases. As there are a large number of vibrational modes for tessellations of kekulenes, computations of thermodynamic properties by ab initio theory would be a mammoth task. Hence robust topological techniques [144] based on graph theory have been developed for large tessellations of kekulenes for the characterization of the structures and spectra using machine learning/artificial intelligence methods. Machine learning that
can integrate topology with ab initio techniques through by partitions into equivalence classes can be extremely valuable. Topological methods dissect such large tessellations into edge partitions of tessellations of cyclopolyarenes, which can then be harnessed for rapid and robust computations of enthalpies of formations through bond partitions. Analytical expressions for graph-theoretically based information theoretic entropies of such large tessellations have been derived [144]. In this process two different structures that exhibit the same graph entropies were discovered, and they are shown in Figure 13. The existence of isentropic tessellations for kekulenes is quite interesting as it appears to be previously unknown. Hence these structures were taken up for further studies [144] including computing measures of contrasts in their electronic and other spectra that we discus in the ensuing paragraphs.


Figure 12. (Left) A Tessellation of kekulene providing cavities to trap $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions; Octulenes have been proposed to have suitable pore size to transport $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Reprinted with permission from [144] copyright (2021) American Chemical Society. (Right) Porphyrin-analogs of Kekulene tessellations as complexation traps for metal ions such as $\mathrm{Cd} 2+, \mathrm{Hg}+2$, and $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{VI})$ ions. Figure shows a complex of Cd2+ with porphyrin-analog of kekulene; the distance between Cd and N is roughly $2.75 \AA^{\circ}$ providing an optimal cavity trap Cd2+. Reprinted with permission from [160] copyright (2021) Springer Nature. (Bottom) A polyphenolic compound derived from septulene as a marcocycle crown for toxic heavy metal ions. Figure shows a proposed complex with $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ and also intramolecular hydrogen bonds exhibited by the complex. Reprinted with permission from [160] copyright (2021) Springer Nature.

(a)

(b)

Figure 13. Two topological tessellations of kekulenes that exhibit the same graph entropies: (Left; (a)) $A H K(2)$ (Right; (b)) $R K(3,3)$. Reprinted with permission from [144] copyright (2021) American Chemical Society.

There exists greater variations in entropies among the various possible tessellations for relatively smaller tessellations whereas for larger tessellations, different configurations converge to the entropies of the 2D graphitic sheet with holes. Among the smaller tessellations, the zigzag tessellation exhibits the largest entropy whereas the armchair tessellation exhibits the lowest entropy. Liu et al. [39] have investigated the energy changes from the armchair to zigzag graphene structures when studying nanotube chirality selection and chemical control. Thess et al. [37] and Okada [41] have both independently shown that the armchair structure is more stable than the zigzag structure because of the possibility of a triple bond at the edges for the armchair structure. The binding energy/atom and the state density at the edge seems to compete resulting in an enhanced stability of about $15 \%$ for the armchair relative to the zigzag structure.

The stabilities of various phases are determined by the Gibbs free energies and thus bond enthalpies and entropies compete in the determination of their free energies. Consequently, the relative entropies of different phases can provide important insights into the relative stabilities of various tessellations or phases. Hence the topological entropies imply that the zigzag structure is more stable than the armchair but an opposite energetic trend is seen for the two structures. The two tessellations of kekulenes shown in Figure 13 contain the same number of vertices (360) and C-C edges (468), and identical topological edge partitions (Figure 13). Table 6 displays the various topological indices, graph spectra and energetics for the two isentropic kekulene structures. Note that the vertex degree based topological indices such as the Padmakar-Ivan, Zagreb-1, Zagreb-2, ABC, and Randić indices are identical for the two isentropic kekulene tessellations (Table 6). On the other hand, the distance-dependent topological distances such as the Wiener, hyper Wiener, Mostar, Szeged, Gutman, Harary and Balaban, indices differ for the two isentropic kekulene tessellations. The graph spectra and their spectral degeneracies were computed for the two tessellations (see Table 6). The two tessellations in Figure 13 yield different spectral patterns, as $\mathrm{AHK}(2)$ belongs to the nonabelian $D_{6 h}$ group and thus contains two-
dimensional irreducible representations whereas the $\mathrm{RK}(3,3)$ structure with a $D 2 h$ abelian symmetry contains only uni-dimensional irreducible representations. Thus the spectral difference index and the root mean square of the spectral difference for the two isentropic structures were computed to measure their contrasts. These spectral difference measures are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid \lambda_{i}^{I}-\lambda_{i}^{I I}\right\},  \tag{67}\\
& \text { RMS }=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\left(\lambda_{i}^{I}-\lambda_{i}^{I I}\right)^{2}} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 6. Comparison of various topological indices for the two isentropic structures: $A H K(2)$ and $R K(3,3)$. Reprinted with permission from [144] copyright (2021) American Chemical Society.

| TI | AHK(2) | $R K(3,3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zagreb-1 | 2520 | 2520 |
| Zagreb-2 | 3366 | 3366 |
| Randić | 177.8786 | 177.8786 |
| Atom-bond connectivity | 322.9188 | 322.9188 |
| Padmakar Ivan | 168,480 | 168,480 |
| Wiener | $1,140,348$ | $1,185,720$ |
| Hyper-Wiener | $12,876,702$ | $14,183,508$ |
| Schultz | 589,684 | $6,134,528$ |
| Gutman | $7,622,832$ | $7,934,112$ |
| Harary | 5635.002 | 5565.1216 |
| Balaban | 0.3237 | 0.3120 |
| Mostar | 80,256 | 79,920 |
| Szeged | $10,338,816$ | $10,325,272$ |
| Harmonic Szeged | $66,834.8034$ | $67,043.7870$ |
| Edge Partitions | $(22)^{18}(23)^{252}(33)^{198}$ | $(22)^{18}(23)^{252}(33)^{198}$ |
| Vertex Partitions | $6^{4} 12^{28}$ | $24^{4} 88$ |
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| Spectral Difference |  | 0.009612 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMS Spectral Difference | 0.013128 |  |  |
| HOMO-LUMO | $0.755 \beta^{\text {a }}$ |  | $0.744 \beta$ |
| E $\pi$ | $524.4053 \beta$ | $524.4139 \beta$ |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR | 32 signals | 92 signals |  |
|  |  | 38 signals |  |
| Proton NMR | 14 signals | 1:1:1:1:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2: |  |
|  | 1:1:1:1:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2 | 2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2: |  |
|  |  | $2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2:$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a The HOMO-LUMO gap obtained by Dias and coworkers [75], for kekulene is } 0.8744 \beta \text { is reproduced by the spectra }}$ computed here; the HOMO-LUMO gap of kekulene at $631 \mathrm{G}^{*}=3.58 \mathrm{eV}, 6-311 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~d})=3.55 \mathrm{eV}$ and $6-311 \mathrm{~g}(2 \mathrm{~d})=3.54 \mathrm{eV}$. Table reprinted with permission from [144] copyright (2021) American Chemical Society.

The above-defined spectral indices of these two structures reveal that the isentropic structures are energetically quite close but they are certainly not degenerate (Table 6). Moreover the HOMO-LUMO energy gaps are different for the two structures, in that the
armchair structure's HOMO-LUMO gap is greater, although by only $\sim 1.5 \%$ compared to the square structure; the armchair structure is kinetically more stable than the square tessellation. The total $\pi$-electronic energies imply that the rectangular tessellation is roughly a $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the armchair structure. The square tessellation exhibits a less symmetric D 2 h group compared to the armchair $\left(D_{6 h}\right)$ and thus the greater stability of the square tessellation is analogous to the energy stabilization induced by symmetry-breaking in $\mathrm{E} \otimes \mathrm{e}$ Jahn-Teller distortion [144]. This is a consequence of doubly-degenerate HOMO and LUMO of the armchair tessellation both of which transform as the two-dimensional E representations of the $D_{6 h}$ group. In contrast, the HOMO and LUMO of the rectangular structures are one dimensional. Consequently, the metamorphosis from the armchair to the rectangular tessellation leads to symmetry and hence stabilizing the rectangular structure.

Both ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and proton NMR spectral patterns can be generated for the two isentropic tessellations by combinatorial generation of their vertex partitions. The same technique can be employed to generate the ESR hyperfine structures and multiple quantum NMR spectra of these structures. In order to accomplish this, we invoke the distance degree sequence vectors (DDSV) of graphs introduced by Bloom et al. [158]. In general for any vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ can be assigned an integer sequence that corresponds to the number of vertices at distances $0,1,2, \ldots, e v$, where $e v$ is defined as the eccentricity of $v$ in $G$. Hence we can assign a $p$-tuple vector ( $D i 0, D i 1, D i 2, \ldots, D i j, \ldots$ Dip) for each vertex $v i$ in the graph where $D i j$ is defined as the number of vertices at distance $j$ from $v i$. This can be carried out by the use of the graph distance matrix generator. The DDSV is can be computed by the computer code developed by the author [159]. As the two tessellations shown in Figure 13 contain 360 vertices there are 360 such DDSV tuples of variable lengths. It can be seen that two equivalent vertices under the graph automorphism would have the same $D D S V$ although the converse is not true for all graphs. For tessellations of kekulene structures, the $D D S V$ offers a viable alternative to the graph vertex partitioning problem, which is in general a $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}!)$ problem as there are n ! ways to label a graph of n vertices in the most general case. The $D D S V$ vectors of variable lengths are concatenated in order to generate an integral label. As these integral labels rapidly grow, the labels are represented as real numbers in quadruple precision. In this setup the algorithm to generate vertex partitions simplifies to $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ where $n$ is the number of vertices.

The vertex partitions for both carbon and hydrogen atoms were computed using the DDSV algorithm for the two kekulene tessellations in Figure 13 with the objective of generating their ESR hyperfine and NMR patterns. Through the application of the DDSV computational technique, the vertices of $R K(3,3)$ are partitioned into $2^{4} 4^{88}$ partition of 360 vertices whereas the vertices of $A H K(2)$ are partitioned into the $6^{4} 12^{28}$ partition. Consequently, there are 92 equivalence classes of vertices for $R K(3,3)$ whereas there are 32 equivalence classes for $A H K(2)$. Hence these carbon vertex partitions yield the numbers of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal signals for the two tessellations shown in Figure 13. In an analogous manner, the number of proton NMR signals for the $A H K(2)$ tessellation is obtained as 14. That is, 144 protons are divided 14 classes with the partition $6^{4} 12^{10}$ for $\mathrm{AHK}(2)$. On the other hand, for the $\operatorname{RK}(3,3)$ structure the DDSV technique partitions the protons into 38 classes with the partition $2^{4} 4^{34}$ for the $R K(3,3)$ (Figure 13), as shown in Table 6 for the two structures.

The machine generation of the ESR hyperfine patterns of the two tessellations can be made possible by combinatorial generating functions analogous to the ones obtained in the previous sections. For example, for the $A H K(2)$ structure, the ESR GF can be constructed from the equivalence class partitions assuming that all ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei are coupled equally to the unpaired electron. Such radicals can be generated by a single deprotonation of the kekulene tessellation. Suppose we include only the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclear-electron coupling in order to devise techniques for the machine learning of the ESR hyperfine pattern. As there are 4 equivalence classes of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei with each class containing 6 members, and 28 classes with 12 nuclei in each class, the net ESR generating function for the hyperfine structure arising from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-e coupling is given by Equation (69):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{GF}\left(\operatorname{ESR}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{AHK}(2)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)^{6} \prod_{j=1}^{28}\left(\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}\right)^{12} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an analogous manner we obtain the combinatorial ESR for the $\operatorname{RK}(3,3)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{GF}\left(\operatorname{ESR}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{RK}(3,3)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{88}\left(\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}\right)^{4} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the above GFs are binomially expanded for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei-electron couplings we arrive at too many lines for graphical representation of the hyperfine pattern. Furthermore the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-e- hyperfine coupling constant depends on the Euclidian distance between the unpaired electron density and the geometrical position of the nuclei. Hence one can reduce the combinatorial complexity by considering only equivalence class of nuclei that are nearest to the unpaired electron of the radical. Thus if the radical is generated at the inner periphery of the kekulene ring via deprotonating one of the six protons, we obtain a more amenable ESR hyperfine structure. In this event we generate the equivalence class partition for the $A H K(2)$ as $6^{2} 12^{3}$ whereas for $R K(3,3)$ it is $2^{2} 4^{11}$ yielding the ESR GFs shown below as Equations (71) and (72) for the two tessellations of kekulenes, respectively (Figure 13):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{GF}\left(\operatorname{ESR}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{AHK}(2)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)^{6} \prod_{j=1}^{3}\left(\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}\right)^{12}  \tag{71}\\
& \operatorname{GF}\left(\operatorname{ESR}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{RK}(3,3)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{11}\left(\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}\right)^{4} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

These combinatorial GFs for the two tessellations generate $7^{2} 13^{3}$ lines for the $A H K(2)$ structure and $3^{2} 5^{11}$ lines for the $R K(3,3)$ structure, respectively. The computed ESR hyperfine patterns for the two kekulene tessellations are displayed in Figure 14a,b, respectively. One can also obtain the proton ESR hyperfine structures for the two tessellations as well as the multiple quantum NMR patterns in a manner analogous to the techniques demonstrated in the previous sections.

The other set of structures for which Euler totient based combinatorial techniques would apply are nanobands or a necklace-choker composed of hexagons as displayed in Figure 15. The structures can be tailored to various pore sizes so that they serve as sequestering agents for the complexation of metal anions or halide ions. These materials also offer optimal sites for functionalization or substitutions of carbon sites with heteroatoms such as nitrogen atoms in order to synthesize nanobands that could complex with metal ions analogous to porphyrins.

(a)

Figure 14. Cont.

(b)

Figure 14. Computed ESR hyperfine patterns of (Top; (a)) AHK(2) (Bottom; (b)) RK(3,3). Reprinted with permission from [144] copyright (2021) American Chemical Society.


Figure 15. A 3D geometrical structure of a nanoband or a necklace-choker made of 16 hexagons.
In a recent study Sabirov et al. [161] have considered various arrangements arising from $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ polymers such as the zigzag and linear configurations of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ polymers. These authors have studied the topological indices such as the Wiener indices, roundness and graph entropies of these structures such as the ones in Figure 16. Combinatorial tools developed herein can be applied for the enumeration of heteronuclear fullerene polymers arising from different configurations shown in Figure 16. Analogous to the spectroscopic
studies that were outlined for the tessellations of kekulenes, future research should be devoted for the machine generation of the spectra of fullerene polymers (Figure 16). By extending the linkers between the two fullerenes with small alkane chains, one can obtain longer polymers. The longer linkers would then provide sufficient flexibility to generate necklaces of fullerenes where each bead would be a $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ cage. For such structures, the techniques outlined here on the basis of Euler's totient functions can become extremely useful and applicable.

$\left(C_{60}\right)_{3}\left(C_{S}\right)$

$\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$

$\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{4}\left(C_{2 h}\right)$

$\left(C_{60}\right)_{6}\left(C_{2 h}\right)$
(Top)

$\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{2}\left(D_{2 h}\right)$

$\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{4}\left(D_{2 h}\right)$

$\left(C_{60}\right)_{3}\left(D_{2 h}\right)$

(Bottom)

Figure 16. (Top) Zigzag versus (Bottom) Linear Arrangements of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$, Reproduced under creative commons License from Ref. [161].
6. Conclusions, Helical Structures, Fullerene Polymers and Other Structural Derivatives \& Future Perspectives

This review considered combinatorics and topology of circum-polycycloarenes, heteronanotubes and tessellations of cyclopolyarenes such as kekulenes in different configurations, for example, armchair, zigzag and square, etc. We showed the power of Sheehan's modifica-
tion of Pólya's theorem when generalized to all the characters of the point symmetry groups of nanostructures. Topologically-derived edge partition techniques revealed the existence of isentropic kekulene tessellations, that is, the existence of two kekulene tessellations with the same graph entropies. These structures were also shown to be quite close in their energy separations. Consequently, their spectral differences, ESR hyperfine patterns and NMR signal patterns were combinatorially constructed using the DDSV techniques followed by the generating function methods. While these combinatorial techniques were shown to be very powerful in their applications to novel nanomaterials that were considered here, there are several emerging structures comprising of fullerenes.

The emerging field of reticular metal organic frameworks, mesoporous cages, zeolites, sodalite materials, other nanomaterials such nanobelts 2D-nanosheets and other nanomaterials [25,32,35,60,61,144-150] could all be benefited by such robust graph-theoretical and combinatorial tools for the rapid computations of their properties and creation of combinatorial libraries of these structures. Consequently, the advent of these novel materials has rekindled our research interest in such interesting applications of combinatorics, group theory, graph theory and topological indices. Such techniques would offer robust and rapid computational tools for the computations of their thermodynamic, optoelectric, spectroscopic, phase transformation and chiral properties. We envisage several nanowires and 2D-sheets of such molecules [25,32,35,47-49,59-61,144-150] to be synthesized in the future, which would also provide a platform for the combinatorics of big data pertinent to these structures. Finally we believe that combinatorial and graph-theoretical techniques would be of considerable value for the enumeration and computation of electronic properties of materials such as gallium arsenide, GaN nanowires, topological characterization of 2D materials, zeolites, their helical structures made from kekulenes, and the emerging novel expanded kekulenes, and so forth [9,17,23,36-59]. There are a number of combinatorial techniques and applications of variants of Pólya's theorem and related applications to graphs and chemical enumerations, and readers are referred to references [162-171].
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