

Editorial

Editorial for the Special Issue “Magmatic-Hydrothermal Fe Deposits and Affiliated Critical Metals”

Xiaowen Huang

State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China; huangxiaowen@vip.gyig.ac.cn

Steel is a foundation of national economic construction. Magnetite and hematite are the main minerals for the recovery of Fe to make steel. The main source of Fe deposits in most of the world is banded iron formation, but in China magmatic-hydrothermal Fe deposits are the main Fe-enriched (total Fe > 50%) type of deposit. Magmatic-hydrothermal Fe deposits include iron oxide-copper-gold, iron oxide-apatite (IOA), skarn Fe, and volcanic-hosted Fe deposits. In addition to iron resources, magmatic-hydrothermal Fe deposits also host economic resources of critical metals such as REE, Nb, Co, Ga, and Ge. In this special issue [1], a total of five papers are collected to better understand the genesis of magmatic-hydrothermal Fe deposits and are summarized below.

Xie et al. (Contribution 1) compiled trace element data of magnetite from different mineralization types of skarn deposits. They identified geochemical features for these mineralization types using the partial least squares-discriminant analysis. The complex data set can be used to constrain the factors controlling the different mineralization types.

Ye et al. (Contribution 2) constrained the genesis of the Mariela IOA deposit in the Peruvian Iron Belt, central Andes using magnetite textures and chemistry. Three types of magnetite were identified with different textures and chemical compositions: magnetite of magmatic or magmatic-hydrothermal origin, magnetite formed by hydrothermal processes due to evolved hydrothermal fluids and fluid replacement of the host rock. This study provides important evidence for that both magmatic and hydrothermal processes are involved in the formation of IOA deposits.

Guo et al. (Contribution 3) studied the role of evaporite layers in the formation of IOA deposits such as Luohe in China and El Lago in Chile using sulfur isotope composition of sulfide and sulfates. They concluded that two sulfur endmembers (magma and evaporite layers) contributed to the sulfur in the fluids. This study highlighted the importance of evaporite in the formation of IOA deposits.

Berdnikov et al. (Contribution 4) reported systematic petrographic, mineralogical, and geochemical data sets to constrain the origin of Fe-Mn deposits closely related to volcanic rocks in the Lesser Khingan Range of Russian Far East. Trace element and Sr-Nd isotope compositions were interpreted as associated hydrothermal processes. This study highlighted that pre-eruption magmatic processes are important for later hydrothermal processes responsible for Fe-Mn ore formation.

Tan et al. (Contribution 5) compiled published molybdenite laser ablation LA-ICP-MS trace element data and used partial least squares-discriminant analysis to discriminate types of porphyry deposits from other deposit types. The element assemblages of Au-Sb-Te-Pb-Bi can thus be used as a proxy for gold mineralization.

This special issue contributes to our understanding of the genesis of magmatic-hydrothermal Fe deposits. These papers demonstrate that additional studies of magmatic-hydrothermal Fe deposits are needed.

Funding: This study was funded by the CAS Hundred Talents Program to XWH, National Natural Science Foundation of China (42173070), and Special Fund of the State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry (202101).



Citation: Huang, X. Editorial for the Special Issue “Magmatic-Hydrothermal Fe Deposits and Affiliated Critical Metals”. *Minerals* **2024**, *14*, 112.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/min14010112>

Received: 9 January 2024

Revised: 19 January 2024

Accepted: 21 January 2024

Published: 22 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

List of Contributions

1. Xie, H.; Huang, X.; Meng, Y.; Tan, H.; Qi, L. Discrimination of Mineralization Types of Skarn Deposits by Magnetite Chemistry. *Minerals* **2022**, *12*, 608. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min12050608>.
2. Ye, Z.; Mao, J.; Yang, C.; Usca, J.; Li, X. Trace Elements in Magnetite and Origin of the Mariela Iron Oxide-Apatite Deposit, Southern Peru. *Minerals* **2023**, *13*, 934. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min13070934>.
3. Guo, D.; Li, Y.; Duan, C.; Fan, C. Involvement of Evaporite Layers in the Formation of Iron Oxide-Apatite Ore Deposits: Examples from the Luohe Deposit in China and the El Laco Deposit in Chile. *Minerals* **2022**, *12*, 1043. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min12081043>.
4. Berdnikov, N.; Kepezhinskas, P.; Nevstruev, V.; Krutikova, V.; Konovalova, N.; Savatenkov, V. Magmatic–Hydrothermal Origin of Fe–Mn Deposits in the Lesser Khingan Range (Russian Far East): Petrographic, Mineralogical and Geochemical Evidence. *Minerals* **2023**, *13*, 1366. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min13111366>.
5. Tan, M.; Huang, X.; Meng, Y.; Tan, H. Trace Element Composition of Molybdenite: Deposit Type Discrimination and Limitations. *Minerals* **2023**, *13*, 114. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min13010114>.

Reference

1. Huang, X.; Zhao, X. Special Issue “Magmatic-Hydrothermal Fe Deposits and Affiliated Critical Metals”. Available online: https://www.mdpi.com/journal/minerals/special_issues/MHFDACM (accessed on 30 September 2023).

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.