

Article

Trace Silicon Determination in Biological Samples by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS): Insight into the Volatility of Silicon Species in Hydrofluoric Acid Digests for Optimal Sample Preparation and Introduction to ICP-MS

Zikri Arslan *  and Heather Lowers 

U.S. Geological Survey, Denver Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225, USA; hlowers@usgs.gov

* Correspondence: zarslan@usgs.gov; Tel.: +1-303-236-1856

Abstract: A method for the determination of trace levels of silicon from biological materials by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) has been developed. The volatility of water-soluble silicon species, hexafluorosilicic acid (H_2SiF_6), and sodium metasilicate (Na_2SiO_3) was investigated by evaporating respective solutions (50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ silicon) in nitric acid (HNO_3), nitric acid + hydrochloric acid ($\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$), and nitric acid + hydrochloric acid + hydrofluoric acid ($\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$) at 120 °C on a hot-block to near dryness. The loss of silicon from H_2SiF_6 solutions was substantial (>99%) regardless of the digestion medium. Losses were also substantial (>98%) for metasilicate solutions heated in $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$, while no significant loss occurred in HNO_3 or $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$. These results show that H_2SiF_6 species were highly volatile and potential losses could confound accuracy at trace level determinations by ICP-MS if digestates prepared in HF are heated to eliminate HF. Among the various matrices comprising major elements, sodium appeared to be effective in reducing silicon loss from H_2SiF_6 solutions. Excess sodium chloride (NaCl) matrix provided better stability, improving silicon recoveries by up to about 80% in evaporated HF digestates of soil and mine waste samples, but losses could not be fully prevented. To safely remove excess acids and circumvent the adverse effects of excess HF (e.g., risk of high Si background signals), a two-step digestion scheme was adopted for the preparation of biological samples containing trace silicon levels. A closed-vessel digestion was performed either in 4 mL of concentrated HNO_3 and 1 mL of concentrated HCl or 4 mL of concentrated HNO_3 , 1 mL of concentrated HCl and 1 mL of concentrated HClO_4 on a hot plate at 140 °C. Digestates were then evaporated to incipient dryness at 120 °C to remove the acids. A second closed-vessel digestion was carried out to dissolve silicates in 0.5 mL of concentrated HNO_3 and 0.5 mL of concentrated HF at 130 °C. After digestion, digestates were diluted to 10 mL. The solution containing about 5% HNO_3 and 5% HF was directly analyzed by ICP-MS equipped with an HF-inert sample introduction system. The limit of detection was about 110 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ for ^{28}Si when using the Kinetic Energy Discrimination (KED) mode. The method was used to determine silicon in various plant and tissue certified reference materials. Data were acquired for ^{28}Si using KED and standard (STD) modes, and ^{74}Ge and ^{103}Rh as internal standard elements. There was not any significant difference between the accuracy and precision of the results obtained with ^{74}Ge and ^{103}Rh within the same measurement mode. Precision, calculated as relative standard deviation for four replicate analyses, varied from 5.3 (tomato leaves) to 21% (peach leaves) for plant and from 2.2 (oyster tissue) to 33% (bovine liver) for tissue SRM/CRMs. Poor precision was attributed to material heterogeneity and the large particle size distribution. An analysis of lung tissue samples from those with occupational exposure to silica dust revealed that tissues possessed substantial levels of water-soluble silicates, but the most silicon was present in the particulate matter fraction.

Keywords: silicon; volatility; digestion scheme; biological sample; inductively coupled mass spectrometry



Citation: Arslan, Z.; Lowers, H. Trace Silicon Determination in Biological Samples by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS): Insight into the Volatility of Silicon Species in Hydrofluoric Acid Digests for Optimal Sample Preparation and Introduction to ICP-MS. *Minerals* **2024**, *14*, 299. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min14030299>

Academic Editor: Manuel Jesús Gázquez

Received: 9 January 2024

Revised: 8 March 2024

Accepted: 11 March 2024

Published: 12 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Silicon (Si) is a ubiquitous element that represents a major fraction of the Earth's crust, mostly in the form of various silicate minerals [1]. It is an essential element to all biological systems [1–6]. Water-soluble forms of Si are referred to as silicic acid and include ortho (mono), meta, di, and tri-silicates, which are present in natural waters. Orthosilicic acid (H_4SiO_4) is the smallest unit that is bioavailable to living organisms owing to its small molecular size and lack of charge. Silicon is required for normal cell growth in diatoms, corals, and some sponges. It enhances nutrient availability in soils for root uptake, and reduces biotic and abiotic stresses in many plant species [2–4]. In humans, Si plays an important role in bone formation, enhancing bone calcification, connective tissue health, and immune system health, and reducing the risk of atherosclerosis and metal accumulation in Alzheimer's disease [5,6]. In addition, the dietary uptake of water-insoluble silicates such as silicon dioxide (SiO_2) and diatomaceous earth are reported to lower blood cholesterol in rats and humans, respectively [7,8].

Despite the health benefits, silicon and silicates do show adverse effects for humans that are ascribed to exposure, via inhalation, to insoluble silicates, such as asbestos and coal dust [9–13]. In particular, the inhalation of crystalline silica dust is associated with an increased risk for silicosis, tuberculosis, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), lung cancer, chronic kidney disease, and various autoimmune diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and systemic sclerosis (scleroderma) [11]. Amorphous silica is considered less hazardous [9,10], although evidence from toxicological studies on animals suggests that amorphous silica nanoparticles in food additives could pose health risks [14]. Furthermore, in a recent report, the increased kidney dysfunction observed among sugarcane workers in Guatemala was attributed to chronic exposure to ash and particulate matter ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$), of which about 17% was amorphous silica from the burning of dead foliage in sugarcane fields [13].

The determination of trace levels of Si in biological samples with atomic spectroscopy techniques has been difficult due to the challenges associated with sample preparation, spectral and matrix interferences, contamination, analyte loss via volatilization or incomplete recovery, and a lack of suitable quality control materials (e.g., standard reference materials (SRMs) or certified reference materials (CRMs)) [15–17]. The latter has been a long-standing hurdle in testing the accuracy of silicon measurements in biological samples. Often, quality control CRM has relied on determining the silicon content of surrogate SRMs that are certified for other elements [18]. To date, various analytical techniques and approaches have been used for the determination of Si in biological materials, including colorimetry [19,20], X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy [21], near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) [22], radiochemical neutron activation analysis (RNAA) [17], epithermal instrumental neutron activation analysis (EINAA) [21,23], laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) [24], electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy (ETAAS) [25,26], inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) [27–29], and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [18,30–34]. Colorimetric methods suffer from interference due to the phosphorus (P) that is found abundantly in biological samples [19,20]. Among the non-destructive techniques, XRF lacks the sensitivity or limit of detection (LOD) for low-level determinations (LOD ~ 100 $\mu\text{g/g}$) and has poor precision associated with particle heterogeneity and size variation [21]. Neutron activation analysis (EINAA and RNAA) can measure levels as low as 10 $\mu\text{g/g}$ Si but can only be performed at nuclear reactor facilities. In addition, NAA determinations suffer from the radiochemical interferences of coexisting phosphorus (P) and aluminum (Al) that require the complex chemical separation of Si prior to measurement [17]. LIBS and NIRS are reported to afford lower LODs: 0.16 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for LIBS [24] and 0.24 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for NIRS [22]). However, calibration has been difficult due to the lack of suitable standards and their applications are currently experimental, pending the establishment of appropriate calibration strategies/models. Likewise, ETAAS offers high sensitivity for the analysis of slurries (LOD ~ 0.2 $\mu\text{g/g}$) [25] and solid samples (LOD ~ 0.03 $\mu\text{g/g}$), with minimal sample treatment [26]. Unfortunately,

furnace atomization is susceptible to the loss of volatile organic Si species, in addition to its narrow dynamic range, which requires the close control of sample size for accurate measurements. At present, the use of ETAAS in trace analysis is scarce, as they have been virtually replaced by more advanced ICP-OES and ICP-MS instrumentation.

ICP-MS has become the standard in trace element analysis owing to its high sensitivity, large linear dynamic range (6–7 orders of magnitude), and multi-element analysis capability. A number of high-temperature alkaline fusion methods with sodium carbonate [28] and lithium borates (LiBO_2 and $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$) [29] were reported for the dissolution of silicates in biological samples for ICP-OES determination. Such methods, however, are not suitable for ICP-MS due to the increased salt content of sample solutions. Moreover, fusion methods risk contamination from high amounts of flux material, as well as the loss of volatile Si species during ashing. Alternatively, closed-vessel wet digestion methods are employed with and without hydrofluoric acid (HF) and strong acids, such as nitric acid (HNO_3) [18,27,31,34]. Tetramethylammonium hydroxide was used for digesting tissue samples in a high-pressure autoclave system for ICP-OES analysis. A limit of detection of 2 $\mu\text{g/g}$ was reported [27]. Hydrofluoric acid is a highly toxic acid that is also highly detrimental to the glass/quartz components of ICP-OES and ICP-MS instruments, which include a nebulizer, spray chamber, torch, and injector. In earlier studies, the HF-resistant sample introduction components, including a Ryton spray chamber, alumina injector, and PEEK nebulizer, were used to alleviate the effects of HF [29,31]. Boric acid (H_3BO_3) is often added to solutions to bind with excess HF to produce HBF_4 . However, the evidence suggests that adding H_3BO_3 is not sufficient to completely mask excess fluoride [29]. A mixture of tertiary amines had to be added to H_3BO_3 -treated solutions to deactivate excess HF prior to analysis of food samples by ICP-OES [29]. The limit of detection was around 1.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for H_3BO_3 -treated solutions and 0.075 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ when the amine mixture was added to H_3BO_3 -treated solutions [29]. In a follow-up ICP-MS analysis, the addition of tertiary amine mixture to solutions yielded unreliable results for a suite of elements, including Si, due to interference from carbon-based tertiary amines [31].

Despite the progress over the last three decades, the determination of trace amounts of Si in food and biological samples is still extremely challenging, as highlighted by the large variation in the reported results in an inter-laboratory comparison study [16]. Acid digestion/dissolution methods appear to be most suitable for total Si determinations by ICP-MS, provided that the difficulties associated with the use of HF in sample preparation and introduction to the ICP-MS instrument are resolved. In this study, we examined the volatility of Si species in HF solutions, the chemical/physical and instrumental conditions for optimal sample preparation, and the introduction of HF solutions to the ICP-MS for the determination of Si from biological samples. To avoid analyte losses and minimize HF concentrations in analysis solutions, a two-step digestion procedure was developed. A closed-vessel digestion was performed in a mixture of HNO_3 , HCl , and/or HClO_4 on a digestion block. After evaporating the digestates to incipient dryness, a second closed-vessel digestion in HNO_3 and HF was carried out to dissolve silicates. Finally, the digestates were diluted to volume with deionized water and directly analyzed by ICP-MS. The method that was developed was validated by the determination of Si in a number of different plant and tissue SRMs or CRMs, then used for Si determination in lung biopsy tissue samples from coal miners and military veterans.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Chemicals

Trace metal grade acids, including nitric acid (HNO_3 , Lot# 1119120, Fisher Scientific), Pittsburgh, PA, USA), hydrochloric acid (HCl , Lot# 188200, Thermo Scientific), perchloric acid (HClO_4 , Lot# T50588, J.T. Baker, St. Paul, MN, USA), and hydrofluoric acid (HF, Lot# 5118051, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), and ultra-pure water with a resistivity of 18.2 $\text{M}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ were used throughout the study. In-house deionized water passed through a 4-stage Barnstead™ E-Pure water-purification system (Model D4641, Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to produce high-purity water. All concentrations for the acid solutions used in the preparation of standard and sample solutions are the volumetric dilution (*v/v*) of their commercial concentrated solutions in deionized water.

Two forms of silicon standards were used for method development—sodium metasilicate (Na_2SiO_3 , 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si in water, Lot# K323-33, LabChem, Zelienople, PA, USA) and hexafluorosilicic acid (H_2SiF_6 , 10,000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si in 1% HNO_3 and 1.4% HF, Lot# P2SI675610, BDH Chemicals VWR, Radnor, PA, USA). Silicon hexafluoride (H_2SiF_6) stock was also used for preparing all instrument calibration standards. A set of secondary multi-element stock standard solutions were prepared from commercial 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ or 10,000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ single-element standard solutions (BDH Chemicals, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA). The first multi-element stock solution (Stock A) contained 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ of Al, Ag, As, B, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Rb, Se, Sr, Te, Tl, V, and Zn, and 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ of rare earth elements (REEs) (Ce, Dy, Er, Eu, Gd, Ho, La, Lu, Nd, Pr, Sm, Th, Tm, U, Y, and Yb) in 2% HNO_3 . Another 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ multielement stock solution (Stock B) contained Hf, Mo, Nb, P, S, Sb, Si, Sn, Ta, Ti, W, and Zr in 2% HNO_3 , and trace HF (0.1%). A third multielement stock solution (Stock C) of 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, P, S, Si, and Ti was prepared in 5% HNO_3 and trace HF (0.1%). External calibrators and all other working solutions were prepared using these stock solutions via volumetric dilution. An internal standard solution of Ge, In, Rh, and Re (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) was prepared from 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ single-element standards (BDH, VWR) of the elements in 2% HNO_3 .

2.2. Instrumentation

A Perkin Elmer NexION 2000B ICP-MS instrument (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) was used for all analyses. Argon gas was ultra-high purity (99.999%). The instrument was equipped with a dynamic reaction cell (DRC) utilizing helium (He, 99.999%), oxygen (O_2 , 99.999%) and anhydrous ammonia (NH_3 , reagent grade) gases, a PFA-cyclonic spray chamber with a 9 mm OD baffled exit port (Saville, Eden Prairie, MN, USA), an Ari-mist Teflon nebulizer (Texas Scientific Products, Justin, TX, USA), a quartz torch with removable sapphire injector, and standard nickel sampler and skimmer cones. The instrument operating performance was monitored/optimized daily using Syngistix v2.5 software package by aspirating 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ tuning solution for relative sensitivities and oxide ($\text{CeO}^+/\text{Ce}^+ < 4\%$) and doubly charged ion ($\text{Ce}^{++}/\text{Ce}^+ < 3\%$) ratios. Solutions were introduced to the instrument via an ESI SC-4 DX autosampler (Elemental Scientific, Omaha, NE, USA). Operating conditions for the ICP-MS instrument are summarized below in Table 1.

Table 1. NexION 2000B ICP-MS instrument operating conditions.

RF Power	1500 W
Plasma argon flow	13.0 L/min
Auxiliary argon flow	0.85 L/min
Nebulizer argon flow	0.86 L/min
Sample flow rate	0.5 L/min
Scan mode	Peak hopping
Dwell time	30 ms
Points/peak	1
Scans/peak	2
Scans/replicate	5
Measurement modes	Kinetic Energy Discrimination (KED) and Standard
KED cell gas/flow rate	Helium/5 mL/min

2.3. Calibration and Quality Control

Plastic-ware and Teflon-ware used for the preparation of samples and standards were soaked in 10% HNO_3 for at least 12 h and rinsed with deionized water prior to use. Eleven aqueous multi-element standard solutions were prepared in 2% HNO_3 to calibrate the ICP-MS instrument. The first seven calibrators contained all elements from Stocks A and B at 0.5, 1, 3, 10, 30, 100, and 250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$, along with 10-fold lower concentrations for REEs. The last

four standards contained the elements from Stock C at 1, 3, 5, 10, and 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ in 2% HNO_3 . The internal standards were added on-line. A running internal standard solution of 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ In, Rh, and Re, and 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ Ge in 2% HNO_3 was mixed continuously with calibration standards via a tee prior to nebulization. Both ^{74}Ge and ^{103}Rh were used as internal standard elements for Si during determinations. Data were acquired using ^{28}Si isotope. Calibration coefficients (r^2) for ^{28}Si varied between 0.997 and 0.999 within the calibration range. Quality control/assurance for the instrumental determinations was carried out using several USGS T-series (available at <https://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/index.html>, accessed on 8 March 2024) and National Institute of Standard Technology (NIST) natural water reference materials. These include T-215, T-221, T-239, and NIST SRM 1640 (Trace Elements in Natural Water). Sub-samples of the reference materials were analyzed by ICP-MS. Method blanks were also prepared and analyzed for digestion procedures. Instrumental concentrations were corrected for method blanks to calculate Si concentrations in the unknown samples.

2.4. Biological Standard Materials and Tissue Samples

A number of plant and tissue standard/certified reference materials (SRMs or CRMs) from NIST and National Research Council Canada (NRCC) were used in this work for method development and validation. SRMs from NIST included tomato leaves (SRM 1573a), pine needles (SRM 1575), peach leaves (SRM 1547), citrus leaves (SRM 1572), oyster tissue (SRM 1566b) and bovine liver (SRM 1577b). CRMs from NRCC include dogfish liver (DOLT-3 and DOLT-4) and lobster hepatopancreas (TORT-1). With informed consent, lung tissue obtained from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical lung tissue blocks was provided by investigators at National Jewish Health (Denver, CO, USA). Three samples were from military personnel who underwent diagnostic evaluation for respiratory symptoms following deployment to Southwest Asia and Afghanistan between 2001 and 2017, and six samples were from coal miners with severe coal worker's pneumoconiosis [35–37]. All microtome-cut samples were 50 μm thick.

2.5. Methods Development

The experimental processes described here, and the resulting data are available in a USGS data release [38]. A series of experiments were conducted using Na_2SiO_3 and H_2SiF_6 standard solutions to examine the volatility of Si species (50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si) under different evaporation conditions and to elucidate the extent of losses from HF digestions. Three different evaporation schemes were implemented that included heating in concentrated solutions of HNO_3 , $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$ and $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$ mixtures. In a typical test, 0.5 mL of 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si as Na_2SiO_3 or 50 μL of 10,000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si as H_2SiF_6 was added to 30 mL Teflon vessels ($n = 5$) from their stock solutions, along with 0.5 mL of a multielement Stock C (without Si) and 100 μL of 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ multielement stock A. A volume of concentrated solutions of 1 mL HNO_3 , 1 mL $\text{HNO}_3 + 0.5$ mL HCl or 1 mL $\text{HNO}_3 + 0.5$ mL $\text{HCl} + 0.25$ mL HF was added into the vessels for HNO_3 , $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$ and $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$ schemes, respectively. The vessels were heated on a 30-well hot block (Analab, Hoenheim, France) at 130 $^\circ\text{C}$ and contents were evaporated to incipient dryness (ca. 0.1 mL liquid remained in vessels). At this stage, 1 mL of 10% HNO_3 was added to each vessel; the contents were heated briefly and then transferred to 15 mL plastic tubes and completed to 10 mL with deionized water for ICP-MS analysis. The solutions were expected to contain 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si, 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, P, and S, and 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ of the trace elements in Stock A in 2% HNO_3 .

In a similar experiment, after evaporating test solutions of sodium metasilicate (Na_2SiO_3) to incipient dryness, the residue was reheated in 4 mL of 10% HNO_3 and 0.5 mL HF in closed vessels at 120 $^\circ\text{C}$ for about 30 min, and then transferred to 15 mL tubes and completed to 5 mL. This experiment was conducted to verify whether Si losses were due to evaporative loss or the formation of insoluble Si species (e.g., SiO_2).

2.5.1. Examining Volatility/Stability of H_2SiF_6 under Partial Evaporation

In a third experiment, the effect of partial heating was investigated for test solutions ($n = 5$) prepared similarly as described above from H_2SiF_6 stock in an acid matrix composed of 1 mL of concentrated HNO_3 , 0.5 mL of concentrated HCl , and 0.25 mL of concentrated HF . Test solutions were heated at $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ on a hot block until about 0.6 to 0.7 mL of liquid remained in the vessels. They were then removed from the heating block, re-acidified with 1 mL of 10% HNO_3 , and diluted to 5 mL in 15 mL tubes for ICP-MS analysis.

2.5.2. Examining Effects of Sample Matrix on Stability of H_2SiF_6 in Solution

For ICP-MS determinations, the elimination of HF from solutions via evaporation is a simple approach to circumvent its adverse effects, provided that Si species (e.g., H_2SiF_6) are stable during evaporation. Attempts were made to use matrix combinations to stabilize H_2SiF_6 species against evaporative losses. To achieve this, sub-samples ($n = 3$) of pure silica (SiO_2 , 99.95% Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA, Lot# F15Y038) were spiked with a matrix of abundant elements found in silicate samples, including Al, Ca, Mg, Fe, K, and Na, prior to digestion. JLS-1 (Limestone, 55% CaO and 0.6% MgO) and JDo-1 (Dolomite, 33.9% CaO and 18.6% MgO) SRMs were used for producing the Ca and Ca + Mg matrix, respectively. About 20 mg of JLS-1 or JDo-1 was spiked into about 20 mg pure SiO_2 . The spiked samples were digested in 2 mL of concentrated HNO_3 , 0.5 mL of concentrated HCl , and 1 mL of concentrated HF in Teflon vessels (closed-vessel digestion) at $130\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 3 h on a hot block. The JLS-1 spike was equivalent to about 8 mg Ca matrix, while that of JDo-1 introduced about 4.8 mg Ca and 2.2 mg Mg to 20 mg SiO_2 (~8 mg Si). To examine the effects of Na, K, Fe, and Al, 5 mg of each element was spiked via the addition of 0.5 mL from their 10 mg/mL solutions (BDH Chemicals, VWR) to a mixture ($n = 3$) of 20 mg SiO_2 and 20 mg Dolomite (JDo-1). Digestions were performed similarly and all digestates were transferred to 15 mL tubes and diluted to 10 mL with water. For evaporation, 5 mL from each digestate was pipetted and heated in Teflon vessels at $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to incipient dryness. The residue was then redissolved in 2 mL of 10% HNO_3 and diluted to 5 mL in 15-mL tubes. A set of control samples (20 mg SiO_2 , $n = 5$) were digested at the same time as the test samples and similarly evaporated to incipient dryness. Both unevaporated and evaporated solutions were analyzed in 10-fold diluted solutions by ICP-MS to determine the stabilization efficacy of sample matrix or matrix ions on silicon.

In a follow-up experiment, about 50 mg sub-samples ($n = 3$) of NIST SRM 2709 (San Joaquin Soil) and SRM 2780a (Hard Rock Mine Waste) were spiked with about 0.1 g high-purity sodium chloride (NaCl , 99.999%, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, Lot# 11107TC) and digested in 4 mL concentrated HNO_3 and 1 mL concentrated HF in Teflon vessels at $130\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h on a hot block, and then diluted to 10 mL in 15 mL plastic tubes. Similarly, 5 mL of the digestates were evaporated to incipient dryness at $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The residue was redissolved in 1 mL HNO_3 , gently heated, and then diluted to 5 mL in 15 mL plastic tubes. Silicon determinations for these samples were carried out in 100-fold diluted solutions. No adverse effects (e.g., suppression) were noted from NaCl during the analysis of diluted solutions.

2.6. Sample Digestion Procedure

2.6.1. Digestion of Plant and Tissue SRM/CRMs

All digestions were performed on a 30-well hot block digester equipped with a digital temperature controller (Analab, France). About 0.1 g sub-samples ($n = 4$) of the plant and tissue SRM/CRMs and 0.3 g of SRM 1577b (Bovine Liver) were weighed into 30 mL Teflon vessels (Savillex) and digested either in a mixture of 4 mL of concentrated HNO_3 + 1 mL of concentrated HCl + 0.5 mL of concentrated HF or 4 mL of concentrated HNO_3 + 1 mL of concentrated HCl + 1 mL of concentrated HClO_4 + 0.5 mL of concentrated HF . Single-step digestion in closed-vessels is perceived to be most viable approach to prevent potential silicon loss. Nevertheless, closed-vessel digestion using the indicated volumes of concentrated acids yields solutions with about 40% HNO_3 , 10% HCl , 10%

HClO₄, and 5% HF in 10 mL volume. The introduction of such highly acidic solutions is not practical as it is detrimental to ICP-MS sample introduction components (e.g., pump tubing and sampling cones). A dilution could be made if the silicon concentration is sufficiently high to reduce acid content. However, in this study, most tissue SRM/CRMs possessed a low level of silicon. Further, lung biopsy tissue samples were expected to possess even lower silicon levels due to their small sample mass, meaning that dilution would not be a feasible approach for silicon determination. Thus, digestion was carried out in two steps to produce sample solutions that could be directly analyzed by ICP-MS in concentrated solutions/digestates. In the first step, 4 mL of concentrated HNO₃ and 1 mL of concentrated HCl were added to all vessels. Foaming/overboiling occurs when the HNO₃ + HCl mixture is heated immediately. To avoid this, samples were allowed to digest at room temperature for about 20 min until foaming subsided. Then, they were heated gently at 120 °C on the hot block. Once foaming completely ceased, screw-lids were tightly closed, and the contents were digested at 140 °C for 5 h. For those digested in HNO₃ + HCl + HClO₄ + HF, 1 mL of concentrated HClO₄ was added after foaming ceased and was similarly digested. After the first digestion, lids were removed, and digestates were evaporated to incipient dryness at 130 °C. The second step involved HNO₃ + HF digestion to dissolve the silicates. With the residue at incipient dryness, 0.5 mL of concentrated HNO₃ and 0.5 mL of concentrated HF were added, lids were closed tightly, and the contents were heated at 130 °C for 2 h. At the end, vessels were removed from the hot block, cooled to room temperature and the digestates were diluted to 15 mL with deionized water in 15-mL test tubes. Procedural blanks (n = 6) were prepared similarly.

2.6.2. Digestion of Surgical Lung Tissue Samples

The tissue mass in paraffin embedded surgical lung tissue scrolls was low, ranging between 2 and 3 mg. Thus, the lung tissue samples were digested with a modified procedure to determine soluble and insoluble silicates contained in the tissue. First, paraffin-embedded tissue scrolls, along with three procedural blanks, were placed in 2 mL xylene in 4 mL Teflon tubes (Savillex) for 15 min to dissolve/remove the paraffin layer. Then, 2 mL of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, ≥30% w/w, ultra-trace grade, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, Lot# BCC0535) was added to each tube to enhance the separation of tissue and soluble species into the aqueous phase. Approximately 15 min later, the xylene layer was removed via pipetting, and the tubes were screw-capped and heated on a block digester (DigiPrep Cube, SCP Science, Baie-d'Urfé, QC, Canada) for 2 h at 40 °C. The temperature was then raised to 90 °C and held for about 2 h until all tissue was solubilized. The tubes were then cooled to room temperature, an additional 1 mL of H₂O₂ was added, and each sample was reheated at 90 °C for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the contents in the tubes were sonicated for 30 min in an ultrasonic bath. A volume of 400 µL was taken for microscopy analysis.

Digestion of H₂O₂ Solubilized Tissue Extracts

The remaining H₂O₂ extracts in 4 mL Teflon tubes were first evaporated slowly at 100 °C on the hot block digester until about 1 mL of liquid remained in the vials. The contents were then transferred to 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes. Teflon tubes were vigorously rinsed with deionized water into the centrifuge tubes. Volume was completed to 2 mL with deionized water, and then samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm (16.2 g) for 30 min to settle insoluble particulate matter. The supernatant was gently transferred into 30 mL Teflon vials. A volume of 0.25 mL concentrated HNO₃ was added, and the contents were digested at 130 °C for 1 h (closed-vessel digestion). After digestion, the contents were transferred to 15 mL polypropylene test tubes and diluted to 5 mL with deionized water. Procedural blanks (n = 3) were prepared similarly.

Digestion of Particulate Matter from Tissue Extracts

A volume of 1 mL concentrated HNO_3 and 0.5 mL of concentrated HCl was added to the microcentrifuge tubes containing undigested particles from above section (Digestion of H_2O_2 Solubilized Tissue Extracts). Contents were shaken gently to suspend the particulate matter and allowed to react/dissolve for 30 min, then poured into their original 4 mL Teflon tubes from Section 2.6.2 for digestion. A volume of 0.5 mL concentrated HClO_4 was also added to the tubes, and the contents were digested at $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h on the Digi-Prep Cube hot block digester. After digestion, contents were evaporated to incipient dryness at $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to eliminate the excess acids. At this stage, 0.25 mL of concentrated HNO_3 and 0.25 mL of concentrated HF were added to the tubes. Lids were closed and contents were digested at $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h. At the end of the test, digestates were cooled to room temperature and transferred to 15 mL polypropylene test tubes. Volumes were completed to 5 mL with deionized water. Procedural blanks ($n = 3$) were prepared similarly.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The data acquired for replicate measurements were recorded as mean and standard deviation. *t*-tests were performed for paired comparisons. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's and Dunnett's (if controls were available) were used to detect significant differences among the different experimental conditions and groups. Statistical calculations were performed with Minitab software package (v. 19.2020.1). A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results and Discussion

Silicon is a ubiquitous element, and thus contamination could readily occur from the sample introduction setup of an ICP-MS instrument if quartz components are exposed to HF or its vapors. This phenomenon was investigated at the beginning of the experiments by aspirating dilute HF solutions through both fully HF -compatible and partly incompatible sample introduction setups. The former consisted of a perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) spray chamber, a Teflon nebulizer, and a quartz torch with a removable sapphire injector. In the latter, the sapphire injector was replaced with a quartz injector. Background silicon concentrations were relatively low for the HF -compatible setup, varying between 68 and $98\text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$ from nebulization of 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20% HF solutions. In the HF -incompatible setup, the impact of HF on quartz surfaces was remarkable. The silicon background was around $2.3\text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$ for 1% HF (about four orders of magnitude higher than that for HF -compatible setup) and increased to 9.2, 23 and $40\text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$ for 2, 5, and 10% HF solutions, respectively. It should be noted that the injector unit is located at the end of the sample introduction system, which is exposed to small mist of HF from nebulization, while most aspirated solution (ca. 90%) is lost to waste. These results clearly show that, even in the presence 1% HF , accurate determinations would not be feasible without a fully HF -inert sample introduction system, since the high silicon background signals could overwhelm the analytical signals at low parts per million levels ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) from plant and tissue digestates containing much higher levels of HF .

3.1. Effect of HF on Volatility of Silicon Species

As a proof of concept, Table 2 summarizes Si recoveries after the evaporation of various acid digestion treatments of sodium metasilicate (Na_2SiO_3) and hexafluorosilicic acid (H_2SiF_6) solutions ($n = 5$). Control samples contained the same concentrations of Si in 2% HNO_3 as the experimental samples but were not heated. For H_2SiF_6 , Si was lost almost completely to evaporation, regardless of the digestion medium. This result was expected since Si was already present as a hexafluoride complex, which is converted to gaseous silicon tetrafluoride (SiF_4) upon heating to dryness [18,39,40]. Interestingly, losses were also noted for Na_2SiO_3 solutions in all schemes. As expected, SiO_3^{2-} would be converted to SiF_6^{2-} in the $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$ medium and consequently lost as gaseous SiF_4 under heating. Contrary to this, losses in HNO_3 and $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$ were thought to occur due

to the formation of silicon dioxide (SiO_2) when Na_2SiO_3 (e.g., H_2SiO_3 in acidic medium) was heated to dryness ($\text{H}_2\text{SiO}_3 \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 (\text{s}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}$). The low recoveries for the silicon point could be due to the fact that the resulting SiO_2 residue adhered to the surfaces of Teflon vessels and could not be transferred to analysis tubes during redissolution with 2 mL of 10% HNO_3 . The results of the follow-up experiment verified this conclusion. When the SiO_2 residue from the evaporation of metasilicate solution (50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si) was heated in closed vessels in 0.5 mL concentrated HF and 4 mL of 10% HNO_3 , the Si recoveries for both the HNO_3 and $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$ schemes were 112% and 110%, respectively (see Table 2). An additional set of metasilicate solutions ($n = 5$) in 1 mL HNO_3 was dried completely at 130 °C and kept dry for about 10 min. The recovery was also similar, indicating that metasilicate species were stable during evaporation in HNO_3 and $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$ media, but care should be taken for the effective redissolution of the SiO_2 residue to avoid inaccuracies in determinations.

Table 2. Volatility/stability of hexafluorosilicic acid (H_2SiF_6) and sodium metasilicate (Na_2SiO_3) under different evaporation schemes. Values are mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 5$). Values in parenthesis are mean recoveries (%).

Silicon Species	Silicon Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)				Remarks
	Control	Treatment/Medium			
		HNO_3	$\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl}$	$\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$	
H_2SiF_6	50.5 \pm 3.1 (103)	0.04 \pm 0.10 (0.1)	Nd	nd	After evaporation, residue redissolved in 10% HNO_3
Na_2SiO_3	52.1 \pm 1.4 (106)	14.6 \pm 2.3 (29.9)	12.9 \pm 1.4 (26.4)	0.62 \pm 0.92 (1.3)	After evaporation, residue redissolved in 10% HNO_3
Na_2SiO_3	54.5 \pm 4.1 (111)	54.7 \pm 3.0 (112)	53.9 \pm 2.8 (110)	3.0 \pm 2.7 (6.2)	After evaporation, residue redissolved by heating in closed vessels in HF and 10% HNO_3
H_2SiF_6	50.4 \pm 4.6 (103)	n/a	n/a	1.7 \pm 3.4 (3.5)	Partially evaporated and reacidified with 10% HNO_3

nd: not detected. n/a: no experiment performed.

3.2. Volatility/Stability of H_2SiF_6 under Partial Evaporation

Our initial results were consistent with the literature that indicates that gaseous SiF_4 forms largely when solutions of H_2SiF_6 are evaporated to dryness [18,33,39]. Nonetheless, there are no concrete data to date regarding the extent of volatility or stability of H_2SiF_6 (boiling point, 108.5 °C) in heated solutions. As a result, silicon in HF digestates (i.e., H_2SiF_6) has long been perceived to be largely stable during evaporative heating unless solutions are completely dried. In this study, we investigated the stability of H_2SiF_6 species under partial evaporation by heating a set of H_2SiF_6 solutions (50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Si, $n = 5$) in 1 mL of concentrated $\text{HNO}_3 + 0.5$ mL of concentrated $\text{HCl} + 0.25$ mL of concentrated HF at 120 °C. Evaporation was carried out until about 0.5–0.7 mL of the liquid remained in Teflon vessels. The results are provided in Table 2 (last row). More than 96% of Si was lost, most likely as H_2SiF_6 vapor. This clearly demonstrates the high volatility of H_2SiF_6 and that digestions with HF must be carried out in closed vessels to prevent potential losses.

3.3. Effects of Sample Matrix on Stability of H_2SiF_6 against Heating

The results for pure SiO_2 digested with $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$ in the presence of common matrix elements (Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, K, and Na) are summarized in Table 3. Digestates were split. One split was evaporated in a Teflon vessel to incipient dryness, redissolved in 2 mL of 10% HNO_3 and made up to volume. The other split was not evaporated, for which recoveries varied between 82.6 and 93.0% (forth column). Losses were substantial for the evaporated solutions of all matrix combinations (third column). The concentration of Ca matrix in digestates was calculated to be about 800 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ from the addition of 20 mg

limestone (JLs-1). Spikes in 20 mg dolomite (JDo-1) introduced about 480 µg/mL Ca and 220 µg/mL Mg in 10 mL digestates, but neither Ca nor Ca + Mg matrices exhibited any stabilizing action on H₂SiF₆ during heating. Similarly, total loss occurred in dolomite + K (500 µg/mL) and dolomite + Al (500 µg/mL) matrices. Some stability was noted when Na (500 µg/mL) was present along with dolomite (~22.4% recovery). The recoveries for dolomite + Na, K, Al (12.0%) and dolomite + Na, K, Al, Fe (13.9%) were relatively lower than those with dolomite + Na, but the results supported the idea that H₂SiF₆ was stabilized to some extent in the presence of Na, perhaps via the formation of insoluble sodium fluorosilicate or complex sodium fluorosilicates.

Table 3. Effect of sample matrix on stability of Si during the evaporation of digestates of SiO₂ with HNO₃ + HCl + HF. Na, K, Al, and Fe were added as nitrates. Expected silicon concentration was approximately 950 µg/mL in 10 mL solution from the digestion of about 20 mg pure SiO₂.

Matrix	Matrix Element (Concentration, µg/mL)	Si Recovery (%)	
		Evaporated	Unevaporated
None (SiO ₂ only)	None	0.26 ± 0.06	92.7 ± 3.8
Limestone (JLs-1)	Ca (800)	0.31 ± 0.23	82.6 ± 4.5
Dolomite (JDo-1)	Ca (480) and Mg (220)	0.39 ± 0.14	87.2 ± 3.8
Dolomite + K	Ca, Mg + K (500)	0.28 ± 0.07	93.0 ± 3.2
Dolomite + Al	Ca, Mg + Al (500)	0.11 ± 0.02	90.5 ± 2.3
Dolomite + Na	Ca, Mg + Na (500)	22.4 ± 2.6	88.7 ± 4.0
Dolomite + Na, K, Al	Ca, Mg + Na, K, Al (500 each)	12.0 ± 1.9	92.0 ± 1.3
Dolomite + Na, K, Al, Fe	Ca, Mg + Na, K, Al, Fe (500 each)	13.9 ± 4.6	91.2 ± 3.3

The stabilization observed in the presence of Na was further examined with SRM 2709—San Joaquin Soil (26.66% Si by mass) and SRM 2780a—Hard Rock Mine Waste (24.1% Si by mass). The results for the SRM samples digested with 0.1 g NaCl matrix are summarized in Table 4, along with those digested without the NaCl matrix. Recoveries for unevaporated solutions were quantitative, ranging between 90.9 and 98.8%. Silicon loss was substantial (>99%) for the evaporated SRM digestates that did not contain any NaCl. The presence of NaCl matrix (0.1 g) greatly improved the recoveries (>78%), which were significantly higher than those for SiO₂ samples spiked with dolomite + Na (~12.0–22.4%, with or without K, Al, Fe). These results are also in agreement with those in our previous work [39], where recoveries for Si ranged between 72 and 83% when soil and sediment digestates in NaCl matrix were evaporated to dryness at a much higher temperature of 200 °C.

Table 4. Effect of the NaCl matrix on the stability of silicon in soil and rock digestates. Mean silicon concentration (n = 3) is around 1500 µg/mL (0.054 mole/L) for SRM 2709 and 1270 µg/mL (0.045 mole/L) for SRM 2780a in 10 mL solution for a sample size of about 50 mg.

Sample	Silicon Recovery (%)			
	Digested without NaCl Matrix		Digested with 0.1 g NaCl Matrix	
	Evaporated	Unevaporated	Evaporated	Unevaporated
SRM 2709 San Joaquin Soil	0.03 ± 0.004	90.9 ± 1.7	78.3 ± 9.6	102 ± 3.7
SRM 2780a Hard Rock Mine Waste	0.06 ± 0.05	98.8 ± 3.9	82.6 ± 9.9	96.5 ± 6.4

Sodium fluorosilicate (Na₂SiF₆) forms when the solutions of H₂SiF₆ species are evaporated in the presence of NaCl according to the following reaction: H₂SiF₆ (aq) + NaCl (aq) → Na₂SiF₆ (aq) + HCl (aq) + heat → Na₂SiF₆ (s) + NaCl (excess). Obviously, the improved recoveries for Si in SRM digestates were largely due to the effective formation and/or

stabilization of Na_2SiF_6 by the excess Na matrix. The sodium concentration from 0.1 g NaCl was about 3900 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (ca. 0.170 mole/L), which was about 3.1- and 3.8-fold higher than the Si concentrations for SRM 2709 (0.054 mole/L) and SRM 2780a (0.045 mole/L), respectively. In contrast, the Na/Si molar ratio for the SiO_2 digestates spiked with dolomite and Na was about 0.64, which was stoichiometrically insufficient to convert all H_2SiF_6 to nonvolatile Na_2SiF_6 (Table 3). Despite the improved stabilization achieved with the NaCl addition, Si loss was still substantial (ca. 20%) in SRM solutions. This loss was attributed to the evaporation of the remaining H_2SiF_6 that had not been converted to Na_2SiF_6 . To completely prevent Si loss during evaporation from solutions containing HF, all H_2SiF_6 species must completely be converted to Na_2SiF_6 . Refining this approach was beyond the scope of this study and requires additional research. Thus, we opted for a two-step procedure for digesting plant and tissue samples, as described in Section 2.5, to achieve the direct analysis of digestates in HNO_3 and HF medium by ICP-MS.

3.4. Analysis Tissue and Plant SRM/CRMs

The results for the Si concentrations determined in the plant and tissue SRMs are presented in Tables 5 and 6, respectively, along with the values reported in the literature. Data were acquired for the most abundant ^{28}Si isotope with KED and standard modes. The limit of detection (LOD) was about 110 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ for KED mode and 62 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ for the standard mode. For plant SRMs, values were mostly in good agreement with those reported previously, especially with those of EINAA, which is more sensitive (LOD $\sim 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{g}$) and interference-free than XRF (LOD $\sim 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{g}$). Variability (%RSD) for replicate measurements was 5.3%–5.7% for tomato leaves (SRM 1573a) but was higher for pine needles (SRM 1575b, 13%–17%), peach leaves (SRM 1547, 18%–21%), and citrus leaves (SRM 1572, 21%–22%). This variability is also reflected in some of the XRF and EINAA determinations [21] and was attributed to their material heterogeneity and large particle size distribution. Our results for pine needles (SRM 1575b) and peach leaves (SRM 1547) were more consistent with the XRF results reported by Landsberger et al. [23], who utilized Compton suppression and cadmium filters to reduce spectral interference at 1267.8 keV from ^{28}Al γ -line on ^{29}Al 1273.4 keV.

Table 5. Silicon concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$) measured in HF digestates of plant certified reference materials by ICP-MS. Values are mean \pm standard deviation of four replicate analyses ($n = 4$).

Sample	This Study			Reported Value	Literature	
	Internal Standard	KED Mode	Standard Mode		Technique	Reference
SRM 1573a Tomato Leaves	^{74}Ge	2966 \pm 158	2391 \pm 136	3120 \pm 106	EINAA	[22]
	^{103}Rh	3111 \pm 179	2541 \pm 137			
SRM 1575 Pine Needles	^{74}Ge	1758 \pm 283	1550 \pm 201	1630 \pm 37	EINAA	[22]
	^{103}Rh	1813 \pm 308	1576 \pm 215	1310 \pm 200	XRF	[20]
				1300 \pm 200	EINAA	[20]
1410 \pm 139	Colorimetry	[18]				
SRM 1547 Peach Leaves	^{74}Ge	950 \pm 198	750 \pm 136	1067 \pm 20	EINAA	[22]
	^{103}Rh	1012 \pm 216	792 \pm 145	2160 \pm 293	Colorimetry	[18]
SRM 1572 Citrus Leaves	^{74}Ge	2346 \pm 198	1855 \pm 233	1900 \pm 400	XRF	[20]
	^{103}Rh	2495 \pm 173	1957 \pm 222	2100 \pm 400	EINAA	[20]
SRM 1572 Citrus Leaves *	^{74}Ge	2120 \pm 753	1680 \pm 403	1900 \pm 400	XRF	[20]
	^{103}Rh	2283 \pm 800	1803 \pm 425	2100 \pm 400	EINAA	[20]

* Digestion was performed with $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HClO}_4 + \text{HF}$.

Table 6. Silicon concentrations ($\mu\text{g/g}$) determined in HF digestates of tissue certified reference materials by ICP-MS. Values are mean \pm standard deviation of four replicate analyses ($n = 4$).

Tissue SRM/CRM	This Study			Reported Value	Literature	
	Internal Standard	KED Mode	Standard Mode		Technique	Reference
SRM 1566b Oyster Tissue	^{74}Ge	1014 ± 23	769 ± 44	1110 ± 71	ICP-OES	[28]
				1100 ± 20	ICP-MS	[30]
	^{103}Rh	1054 ± 22	796 ± 37	1300 ± 10	ICP-OES	[30]
SRM 1577b Bovine Liver				BDL, nd	EINAA	[22]
	^{74}Ge	13.9 ± 4.6	13.5 ± 4.5	2.1 ± 0.3	RNAA	[16]
				5.6 ± 0.5	ETAAS	[25]
	^{103}Rh	16.4 ± 4.9	15.1 ± 4.9	3.9 ± 0.3	ICP-OES	[26]
				4.8 ± 0.2	ICP-OES	[30]
				520 ± 260	ICP-MS	[30]
DOLT-4 Dogfish Liver	^{74}Ge	1102 ± 217	795 ± 164			
	^{103}Rh	1172 ± 219	845 ± 167			
DOLT-4 * Dogfish Liver	^{74}Ge	1062 ± 223	998 ± 216			
	^{103}Rh	1103 ± 222	1015 ± 188			
TORT-1 * Lobster Hepatopancreas	^{74}Ge	258 ± 70	218 ± 62	252 ± 13	ICP-OES	[30]
	^{103}Rh	267 ± 65	221 ± 56	1250 ± 866	ICP-MS	[30]
DOLT-3 Dogfish Liver *	^{74}Ge	154 ± 17	151 ± 13			
	^{103}Rh	162 ± 18	159 ± 16			

* Digestion was performed with $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HClO}_4 + \text{HF}$. BDL = below detection limit; nd = not detected.

For the tissue SRMs, the literature values for Si are limited to a handful of reference materials from determinations by atomic spectroscopy and mass spectrometry techniques, including ETAAS, ICP-OES, and ICP-MS [26,27,29,31]. Likewise, the results in Table 6 for oyster tissue (SRM 1566b) and lobster hepatopancreases (TORT-1) showed good agreement with the reported values for ICP-OES determinations [28,30]. The precision for four replicate measurements (%RSD) was better for SRM 1566b (2.1%–5.6%) and DOLT-3 (9%–11%) but was poor for SRM 1577b (33%), DOLT-4 (18%–21%) and TORT-1 (24%–28%), which could be indicative of potential material heterogeneity for the sample size that was used. The results for bovine liver (SRM 1577b) were higher than those reported in the literature (2.1 to 5.6 $\mu\text{g/g}$) [16,25,26,30]. The large discrepancy is thought to be due to the very low Si concentration in SRM 1577b solutions, which was about 3–4 times more than the LOD values. The ICP-MS results reported by Krushevska et al. [31] for 1577b and TORT-1 do exhibit a large bias and variation, which also supports the fact that low-level Si determination by ICP-MS is a challenging task and accuracy could substantially degrade as the concentrations approach LODs.

The data in Tables 5 and 6 also summarize the silicon concentrations acquired by using two different internal standard elements, ^{74}Ge (7.89 eV) and ^{103}Rh (7.45 eV). Technically, ^{74}Ge is best-suited for use as the internal standard for ^{28}Si (8.15 eV) since it is closer in ionization potential and m/z ratio. The results indicate that both Ge and Rh are equally suitable as an internal standard for Si determinations. Within same measurement mode (KED or standard mode), the values determined using ^{74}Ge and ^{103}Rh were not significantly different (t -test $p > 0.05$). In general, results for standard mode were lower than those for KED mode, and were significantly lower (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) for some samples, including SRM 1573a, SRM 1572, and SRM 1566b. However, the results obtained with the standard mode for SRM 1572 were more consistent with the reported values [21], while those for SRM 1573a and 1566b were much lower than the literature values [23,29,31]. It is difficult to delineate the sources of this discrepancy because of the limited data. It could be related to an over-correction in standard mode for potential molecular ion interferences of carbon

(^{12}C) and oxygen (^{16}O) or ion transport efficiency differences at m/z 28 between KED and the standard modes associated with the sample matrix.

Citrus leaves (SRM 1572) and dogfish liver (DOLT-4) were digested with a four-acid procedure of $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HClO}_4 + \text{HF}$, in addition to the three-acid procedure ($\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{HF}$). The results are presented in Tables 5 and 6 for SRM 1572 and DOLT-4, respectively. No statistical differences were detected between these two digestion procedures (ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc test, $p > 0.05$). Perchloric acid (HClO_4) is often utilized in combination with HNO_3 for the effective digestion of plant and tissue samples. However, the results indicate that effective digestion/dissolution could be achieved with a three-acid procedure without HClO_4 . This is advantageous for reduced acid consumption and the elimination of ICP-MS interferences associated with perchlorates.

3.5. Analysis of Surgical Lung Tissue Samples

The silicon concentrations determined in lung tissue samples are presented in Table 7. Values are reported for ^{28}Si isotope using KED mode and ^{74}Ge as the internal standard. Of the ten samples, one was from a healthy person (control), six were from coal miners, and three were from military deployers. For all samples, the differences between the soluble fraction and insoluble fractions were significant (paired t -test, $p < 0.005$). The levels of water-soluble Si and silicates were substantial; however, most Si was present in the particulate matter (insoluble) fraction. The mean Si concentration in particulate matter was higher by a factor of 4.6 and 2.8 for coal miners and deployers, respectively. Mean Si concentrations in both water-soluble and insoluble fractions were higher in deployers than in coal miners. However, ANOVA did not detect any significant differences among the groups (Dunnett's post hoc test, $p > 0.05$) due to the large within-group variation in a small set of samples, particularly controls. More conclusive results could be obtained from an analysis of larger numbers of lung tissue samples which, along with mineralogical and morphological particle characterization, may provide a powerful tool to understand the health risks from exposure to silica dust and similar particulate matter in a variety of occupational settings.

Table 7. Silicon concentration in lung tissue samples obtained from healthy control, coal miners ($n = 6$), and military deployers ($n = 3$). Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) are for tissue volume and are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. Values in parenthesis are concentration ranges.

Lung Tissue Source	Tissue Silicon Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)	
	Soluble Silicon (H_2O_2 Extract)	Insoluble Silicon (Particulate Matter)
Control ($n = 1$)	256	387
Coal miners ($n = 6$)	309 ± 102 (207–437)	1417 ± 1090 (482–1497)
Military deployers ($n = 3$)	724 ± 289 (413–983)	2077 ± 1084 (1355–3323)

4. Conclusions

This study investigated the issues associated with ICP-MS analysis of Si in HF digestions to determine the trace levels of Si from plant and tissue samples obtained by ICP-MS. It is concluded that the use of a fully HF-inert sample introduction system is essential to minimize the Si background to determine low levels of silicon. The results clearly demonstrate that the hexafluorosilicates that form in HF-based digestions are highly volatile. Even partial or gentle evaporation procedures to eliminate excess HF and other acids (e.g., HNO_3 , and HCl) result in significant Si losses. The addition of Na to HF digests resulted in the formation of sodium hexafluorosilicate and provided some stabilization of Si during evaporation but was not fully effective. A two-step, closed-vessels digestion

procedure starting with HNO₃ + HCl and followed by HNO₃ + HF dissolution was optimal for digesting biological samples to avoid losses and minimize acids in analysis solutions.

Silicon determinations were performed with both KED mode and standard mode using ²⁸Si. Both approaches are equally suitable for Si determination, but care must be taken to minimize increased background and potential interferences in standard mode. Both Ge and Rh are equally suitable internal standard elements for Si, but it should be noted that Ge has a number of isotopes that could be prone to molecular ion interferences (e.g., ⁷²Ge from ⁴⁰Ar³²S) in plant and tissue samples. In this work, such hurdles were circumvented by using a higher concentration of Ge (100 µg/L) in the internal standard solution. The results from the analysis of several plant and tissue reference materials were in good agreement with those available from the literature, suggesting that the developed digestion/sample introduction approach offers accurate determinations. The next steps would be to further stabilize silicon as a sodium fluorosilicate and to completely eliminate HF from digests for ICP-MS analysis. It is expected that better LODs could be achieved to determine the low levels of Si in samples like bovine liver.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.A.; methodology, Z.A.; validation, Z.A.; formal analysis, Z.A. and H.L.; investigation, Z.A. and H.L.; resources, Z.A. and H.L. writing—original draft preparation, Z.A.; writing—review and editing, Z.A. and H.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, through the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program under Award No. W81XWH-16-2-0018. Supported was also provided by U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Mineral Resources Program (MRP) Research Chemistry project (RK00M2C) and Alpha Foundation for the Improvement of Mine Safety and Health grant (AFC417-1). Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the Department of Defense. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the Alpha Foundation for the Improvement of Mine Safety and Health, Inc. This journal article has been peer reviewed and approved for publication consistent with USGS Fundamental Science Practices (<https://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1367/>, accessed on 08 March 2024).

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are openly available in USG ScienceBase-Catalog at <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9VLL9VJ>, reference number [38].

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the intellectual contributions and provision of lung tissue samples from National Jewish Health investigators Cecile Rose and Lauren Zell-Baran. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Greenwood, N.N.; Earnshaw, A. (Eds.) Silicon. In *Chemistry of the Elements*; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, UK, 1997; pp. 328–366, ISBN 978-0-7506-3365-9.
2. Pavlovic, J.; Kostic, L.; Bosnic, P.; Kirkby, E.A.; Nikolic, M. Interactions of Silicon With Essential and Beneficial Elements in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 697592. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Farooq, M.A.; Dietz, K.J. Silicon as Versatile Player in Plant and Human Biology: Overlooked and Poorly Understood. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 994. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Neu, S.; Schaller, J.; Dudel, E.G. Silicon Availability Modifies Nutrient Use Efficiency and Content, C:N:P Stoichiometry, and Productivity of Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 40829. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Martin, K.R. The Chemistry of Silica and Its Potential Health Benefits. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* **2007**, *11*, 94–97. [[PubMed](#)]
6. Martin, K.R. Silicon: The Health Benefits of a Metalloid. *Met. Ions Life Sci.* **2013**, *13*, 451–473. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Peluso, M.R.; Schneeman, B.O. A Food-Grade Silicon Dioxide Is Hypocholesterolemic in the Diet of Cholesterol-Fed Rats. *J. Nutr.* **1994**, *124*, 853–860. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Wachter, H.; Lechleitner, M.; Artner-Dworzak, E.; Hausen, A.; Jarosch, E.; Widner, B.; Patsch, J.; Pfeiffer, K.; Fuchs, D. Diatomaceous Earth Lowers Blood Cholesterol Concentrations. *Eur. J. Med. Res.* **1998**, *3*, 211–215.
9. Ding, M.; Chen, F.; Shi, X.; Yucesoy, B.; Mossman, B.; Vallyathan, V. Diseases Caused by Silica: Mechanisms of Injury and Disease Development. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2002**, *2*, 173–182. [[CrossRef](#)]

10. Merget, R.; Bauer, T.; Küpper, H.; Philippou, S.; Bauer, H.; Breitstadt, R.; Bruening, T. Health Hazards Due to the Inhalation of Amorphous Silica. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2002**, *75*, 625–634. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Sellamuthu, R.; Umbright, C.; Li, S.; Kashon, M.; Joseph, P. Mechanisms of Crystalline Silica-Induced Pulmonary Toxicity Revealed by Global Gene Expression Profiling. *Inhal. Toxicol.* **2011**, *23*, 927–937. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Jones, D.G.; Wilbur, S.B.; Roney, N.; Faroon, O.; Williams, M.; Williams, R.; Klotzbach, J.M.; Zaccaria, K.; Kawa, M. *Toxicological Profile for Silica*; Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): Atlanta, GA, USA, 2019; Volume 349.
13. Schaeffer, J.W.; Adgate, J.L.; Reynolds, S.J.; Butler-Dawson, J.; Krisher, L.; Dally, M.; Johnson, R.J.; James, K.A.; Jaramillo, D.; Newman, L.S. A Pilot Study to Assess Inhalation Exposures among Sugarcane Workers in Guatemala: Implications for Chronic Kidney Disease of Unknown Origin. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 5708. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. van Kesteren, P.C.E.; Cubadda, F.; Bouwmeester, H.; van Eijkeren, J.C.H.; Dekkers, S.; de Jong, W.H.; Oomen, A.G. Novel Insights into the Risk Assessment of the Nanomaterial Synthetic Amorphous Silica, Additive E551, in Food. *Nanotoxicology* **2015**, *9*, 442–452. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Lugowski, S.J.; Smith, D.C.; Lugowski, J.Z.; Walter, P.; John, S. A Review of Silicon and Silicone Determination in Tissue and Body Fluids—A Need for Standard Reference Materials. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. J Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *360*, 486–488. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Van Dyck, K.; Robberecht, H.; Van Cauwenbergh, R.; Deelstra, H.; Arnaud, J.; Willemyns, L.; Benijts, F.; Centeno, J.A.; Taylor, H.; Soares, M.E.; et al. Spectrometric Determination of Silicon in Food and Biological Samples: An Interlaboratory Trial. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **2000**, *15*, 735–741. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Kuera, J.; Zeisler, R. Low-Level Determination of Silicon in Biological Materials Using Radiochemical Neutron Activation Analysis. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **2005**, *263*, 811–816. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Arslan, Z.; Ertas, N.; Tyson, J.F.; Uden, P.C.; Denoyer, E.R. Determination of Trace Elements in Marine Plankton by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *366*, 272–282. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Bell, P.F.; Simmons, T.F. Silicon Concentrations of Biological Standards. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **1997**, *61*, 321–322. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Elliott, C.L.; Snyder, G.H. Autoclave-Induced Digestion for the Colorimetric Determination of Silicon in Rice Straw. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1991**, *39*, 1118–1119. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Gladney, E.S.; Neifert, P.E.; Bower, N.W. Determination of Silicon in National Institute of Standards and Technology Biological Standard Reference Materials by Instrumental Epithermal Neutron Activation and X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **1989**, *61*, 1834–1836. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Smis, A.; Ancin Murguzur, F.J.; Struyf, E.; Soininen, E.M.; Herranz Jusgado, J.G.; Meire, P.; Bråthen, K.A. Determination of Plant Silicon Content with near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 496. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Landsberger, S.; Peshev, S.; Becker, D.A. Determination of Silicon in Biological and Botanical Reference Materials by Epithermal INAA and Compton Suppression. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* **1994**, *353*, 601–605. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. De Souza, P.F.; Santos, D.J.; De Carvalho, G.G.A.; Nunes, L.C.; Da Silva Gomes, M.; Guerra, M.B.B.; Krug, F.J. Determination of Silicon in Plant Materials by Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. *Spectrochim. Acta Part B At. Spectrosc.* **2013**, *83–84*, 61–65. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Hornung, M.; Krivan, V. Determination of Silicon in Biological Tissue by Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometry Using Slurry Sampling of Original and Pre-Ashed Samples. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12*, 1123–1130. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Huang, M.D.; Krivan, V. A Direct Solid Sampling Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometry Method for the Determination of Silicon in Biological Materials. *Spectrochim. Acta Part B At. Spectrosc.* **2007**, *62*, 297–303. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Hauptkorn, S.; Pavel, J.; Seltner, H. Determination of Silicon in Biological Samples by ICP-OES after Non-Oxidative Decomposition under Alkaline Conditions. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* **2001**, *370*, 246–250. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Lichte, F.E.; Hopper, S.; Osborn, T.W. Determination of Silicon and Aluminum in Biological Matrices by Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **1980**, *52*, 120–124. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Krushevska, A.P.; Barnes, R.M. Determination of Low Silicon Concentrations in Food and Coral Soil by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1994**, *9*, 981–984. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Fridlund, S.; Littlefield, S.; Rivers, J. The Use of Modified Microwave Digestion/Dissolution for the Quantitative Determination of Aluminum, Silicon, and Iron in Biological Materials by Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectrometry. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1994**, *25*, 933–941. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Krushevska, A.; Lasztity, A.; Kotrebai, M.; Barnes, R.M. Addition of Tertiary Amines in the Semiquantitative, Multi-Element Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Biological Materials. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11*, 343–352. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Klemens, P.; Heumann, K.G. Development of an ICP-HRIDMS Method for Accurate Determination of Traces of Silicon in Biological and Clinical Samples. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2001**, *371*, 758–763. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Taber, H.G.; Shogren, D.; Gang, L. Extraction of Silicon from Plant Tissue with Dilute HCl and HF and Measurement by Modified Inductive Coupled Argon Plasma Procedures. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **2002**, *33*, 1661–1670. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Aureli, F.; Ciprotti, M.; D'amato, M.; da Silva, E.D.N.; Nisi, S.; Passeri, D.; Sorbo, A.; Raggi, A.; Rossi, M.; Cubadda, F. Determination of Total Silicon and SiO₂ Particles Using an ICP-MS Based Analytical Platform for Toxicokinetic Studies of Synthetic Amorphous Silica. *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 888. [[CrossRef](#)]

35. Rose, C.S.; Moore, C.M.; Zell-Baran, L.M.; Krefft, S.; Wolff, J.; Pang, K.; Parr, J.; Cool, C. Small Airways and Airspace Inflammation and Injury Distinguish Lung Histopathology in Deployed Military Personnel from Healthy and Diseased Lungs. *Hum. Pathol.* **2022**, *124*, 56–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Cohen, R.A.; Rose, C.S.; Go, L.H.T.; Zell-Baran, L.M.; AlMBERG, K.S.; Sarver, E.A.; Lowers, H.A.; Iwaniuk, C.; Clingerman, S.M.; Richardson, D.L.; et al. Pathology and Mineralogy Demonstrate Respirable Crystalline Silica Is a Major Cause of Severe Pneumoconiosis in U.S. Coal Miners. *Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc.* **2022**, *19*, 1469–1478. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Lowers, H.; Zell-Baran, L.; Arslan, Z.; Moore, C.M.; Rose, C. Particle Morphology and Elemental Analysis of Lung Tissue from Post-9/11 Military Personnel with Biopsy-Proven Lung Disease. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2024**, *21*, 91. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Arslan, Z.; Lowers, H.A. Method Development for Determination of Trace Amounts of Silicon in Hydrofluoric Acid Digests of Biological Samples and Lung Biopsy Tissues by ICP-MS. U.S. Geological Survey Data Release. 2024. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9VLL9VJ> (accessed on 8 March 2024).
39. Arslan, Z.; Tyson, J.F. Determination of Trace Elements in Siliceous Samples by ICP-MS after Precipitation of Silicon as Sodium Fluorosilicate. *Microchim. Acta* **2008**, *160*, 219–225. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Quevauviller, P.; Vercoutere, K.; Muntau, H.; Griepink, B. Certified Reference Material (CRM 414) for the Quality Control of Trace Element Analysis in Plankton. *Fresenius. J. Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *345*, 12–17. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.