



# Article The Effect of Quartz on the Flotation of Fine Wolframite with Octyl Hydroxamic Acid

# Qingyou Meng <sup>1,\*</sup> <sup>(D)</sup>, Zhitao Yuan <sup>1</sup>, Qiming Feng <sup>2,\*</sup> and Leming Ou <sup>2</sup>

- School of Resources and Civil Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China; yuanzhitao@mail.neu.edu.cn
- <sup>2</sup> School of Mineral Processing and Bioengineering, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China; olm@csu.edu.cn
- \* Correspondence: mqy19861107@163.com (Q.M.); feng\_309@csu.edu.cn (Q.F.); Tel.: +86-024-8367-3956 (Q.M.)

Received: 25 August 2017; Accepted: 28 September 2017; Published: 30 September 2017

Abstract: The influence of quartz on the flotation of fine wolframite using octyl hydroxamic acid (OHA) as the collector was investigated by micro-flotation tests, inductively coupled plasma (ICP) measurements, adsorption experiments, zeta potential, and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis. Micro-flotation tests showed that a large difference in floatability existed between fine wolframite and quartz in the pH range of 7.0 to 10.0. However, in a synthetic mixture, the flotation separation of fine wolframite from quartz became more difficult as the particle size of the latter decreased. When a dissolved solution of wolframite was used as the flotation medium, quartz floatability improved significantly. Zeta potentials of quartz particles shifted positively in the dissolved solution of wolframite compared to distilled water, especially at a pH level of 7.0–10.0, which was attributed to the metal ions dissolved from the wolframite being adsorbed onto the quartz surface. The surface activation of quartz led to an increase in the OHA adsorption and made the surface hydrophobic. FT-IR analysis further demonstrated that OHA could adsorb onto the activated quartz surface through a dominantly chemical process.

Keywords: quartz; wolframite; flotation; octyl hydroxamic acid

# 1. Introduction

Wolframite, the same-phase compounds of FeWO<sub>4</sub> and MnWO<sub>4</sub> tungstates crystallizing in a monoclinic structure, mainly occurs in high-temperature hydrothermal quartz veins and is the primary source of tungsten products. China is rich in tungsten reserves and produces the most tungsten in the world, but high-grade wolframite ores that are easily separated are decreasing each year as the industry demand increases. Therefore, the use of slimes produced by the comminution process, due to the brittle nature of wolframite, is urgently needed [1,2]. Froth flotation, in contrast with gravity and magnetic separation, is a surface chemistry-based process and takes advantage of the floatability difference between various minerals. Froth flotation is regarded as the most effective method for the separation and use of slimes and finely disseminated particles [3,4].

Anionic surfactants, such as fatty acids, phosphonic acid, sarcosine acid, and hydroxamic acid, are usually used as collectors for fine wolframite flotation. Previous studies indicate that these anionic collectors can interact with metal ions on the wolframite surface and increase the mineral hydrophobicity [5–8]. Quartz is the major gangue mineral associated with fine wolframite in the flotation concentrate. Si and O atoms occupy the entirety of the surface of quartz, but those atoms are not active sites for the adsorption of anionic surfactants. Additionally, quartz is a naturally hydrophilic oxide. It seems inconceivable that a relatively high content of quartz would be floated, affecting the grade and recovery of the wolframite concentrate [9]. In theory, the suspension containing

wolframite and quartz is a stable dispersion system because of their negatively charged surfaces, and quartz would not be floated due to heterocoagulation in alkaline conditions. In wolframite slimes, the naturally hydrophilic quartz is comprised of liberated particles, and the floated quartz is not due to under-liberated interlocked wolframite minerals.

The probable flotation mechanism, by which quartz is activated by the metal ions dissolved from mineral surfaces, has attracted increasing interest. Due to the slight dissolution of metallic oxides, unavoidable metal ions can activate quartz and significantly affect its flotation behavior with anionic collectors, which results in the unexpected presence of quartz in flotation concentrates [10,11]. Fornasiero and Ralston's work showed that quartz could be activated by  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  ions at a pH level of 7.0–10.0. The stable species adsorbed on the mineral surface, such as copper and nickel hydroxides, improved the floatability of quartz using xanthate as the collector [12]. The island-like precipitate structures of Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions on the quartz surface have been observed, and the hydrophobic species, in the form of lead amyl xanthate, was determined to improve the floatability of quartz [9]. The surface of quartz, with sodium oleate, switched from polar to non-polar in the presence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions, which adsorbed onto the mineral surface and resulted in an increase in sodium oleate adsorption [13]. Fuerstenau confirmed that Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions could also activate quartz and improve its floatability [14].

Wolframite is a salt-type mineral, and metal ions on the wolframite surface commonly dissolve in suspension during the flotation process [15]. As a consequence, the objective of this study was to investigate the flotation behavior of quartz and its influence on the flotation of fine wolframite using an anionic octyl hydroxamic acid (OHA) collector. The flotation mechanism of quartz in a suspension of fine wolframite was analyzed through inductively coupled plasma (ICP) tests, zeta-potential measurements, and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

The pure samples of wolframite and quartz minerals were obtained from Yaogangxian, Hunan Province, China. The chemical composition of wolframite given in Table 1 shows that its purity was greater than 97%. XRD analysis of wolframite and quartz (see Figure 1) also confirm that the samples had little impurities.

The samples were crushed and ground using an agate mortar. Products were then sieved to obtain different size fractions, and  $-20 \ \mu m$  fraction was elutriated to attain a particle size of  $-10 \ \mu m$ . The prepared fine wolframite ( $-10 \ \mu m$ ) had 90% passing at 10.95  $\mu m$ , and quartz ( $-10 \ \mu m$ ) had 90% passing at 12.00  $\mu m$ . Five size fractions of quartz, namely,  $-74 + 55 \ \mu m$ ,  $-55 + 38 \ \mu m$ ,  $-38 + 20 \ \mu m$ ,  $-20 + 10 \ \mu m$ , and  $-10 \ \mu m$ , were collected for experiments. The quartz samples were washed with 2 M hydrochloric acid for 24 h to remove metal ions that might be present on the surface. After this period, the samples were washed with distilled water to a neutral pH, dried, and preserved for subsequent use.

Octyl hydroxamic acid (OHA) as the anionic collector was prepared in the laboratory. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) used for pH adjustments were of analytical grade. Distilled water was utilized for all experiments. The dissolved solution of wolframite was prepared for analyzing the flotation mechanism of quartz. A 2.0 g fine wolframite sample was conditioned with 40 mL of distilled water and stirred for 30 min at 25 °C. The suspension was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 10 min, and the liquid supernatant was collected for experiments.

Table 1. Chemical compositions of wolframite sample (Mass fraction, %).

WO <sub>3</sub>	MnO	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$Al_2O_3$	SiO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>3</sub>	Others
74.18	8.98	14.30	0.23	0.67	0.56	1.08



Figure 1. XRD diffraction patterns of wolframite and quartz.

### 2.2. Micro-Flotation Experiments

Micro-flotation experiments were conducted in a mechanical agitation flotation machine (XFG-II, Jilin Exploring Machinery Plant, Changchun, China). Pure mineral samples (2.0 g) were placed in a Plexiglas cell, and 40 mL of distilled water was then added. The mineral suspension was adjusted to the desired pH value by HCl or NaOH solutions for 2 min, and the collector was then added and mixed for 3 min. The micro-flotation was scraped out every 10 s for a total of 5 min. The floated and sank particles were weighed and assayed after drying separately, and the flotation recovery was calculated. Three measurements in the microflotation experiments were carried out, and their averages were taken as the results.

#### 2.3. Flotation Experiments of Artificially Mixed Minerals

The artificially mixed minerals were combined with 0.67 g of pure wolframite  $(-10 \ \mu\text{m})$  and 1.33 g of pure quartz of five different particle sizes, and the WO<sub>3</sub> grade of mixed minerals was around 24.72%. The flotation experiments of artificially mixed minerals were performed in a Plexiglas flotation cell. Each test was carried out with 2.0 g of artificially mixed minerals and 40 mL of distilled water. The suspension was adjusted to a pH of 8.5–9.0 with dilute hydrochloric acid or a sodium hydroxide solution and agitated for 2 min. Then, the collector was added to the suspension and conditioned

for 3 min. The flotation was carried out for 5 min. The concentrates and tailings were filtered, dried, weighted, sampled, and assayed for  $WO_3$  grade. The flotation recovery of wolframite concentrates was calculated based on the yield and  $WO_3$  grade. Three measurements of flotation experiments under the same conditions were obtained, and their averages were taken as the results of the artificially mixed mineral flotation.

# 2.4. ICP-AES Tests

The concentrations of metal ions dissolved from wolframite surfaces were measured using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, PS-6, Baird, Bedford, MA, USA). A 2.0 g wolframite sample was conditioned with 40 mL of distilled water solutions at a desired pH value and stirred for 30 min at 25 °C. The samples were centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 10 min, and then ion concentrations of the supernatant were measured by ICP-AES.

#### 2.5. Adsorption Measurements

A 1.0 g,  $-10 \mu m$  quartz sample was conditioned with 40 mL of OHA solution at a pH of 8.5–9.0 and stirred for 20 min at 25 °C. The suspension was then centrifuged at 9000 r/min for 10 min, and the supernatant was monitored by UV–Vis spectrophotometer (UV1901PC, Aoxi, China). OHA concentration was measured using UV absorbance at the wavelength of 507 nm based on the ferric hydroxamate method [16,17]. The adsorption amount of OHA on quartz surfaces in distilled water and the dissolved solution of wolframite was calculated from the difference between the initial and final concentrations, which was assumed to adsorb onto the quartz surface.

#### 2.6. Zeta-Potential Measurements

Zeta-potential measurements of quartz were performed in a  $1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  KCl background electrolyte solution using a Zeta Plus meter (Brookhaven Instruments, Upton, NY, USA). A 30 mg quartz sample ( $-5 \mu$ m) was mixed with 40 mL of distilled water or dissolved solution of wolframite. The pH value of the prepared suspension was adjusted by HCl or NaOH solutions for 3 min. Next, the suspension was conditioned for another 5 min with the addition of OHA. To ensure precise zeta potential determination, three measurements were conducted, and their average was calculated for the final value.

## 2.7. FT-IR Spectra Analysis

To prepare the samples for FT-IR analysis, a 2.0 g quartz sample  $(-5 \mu m)$  was mixed with 40 mL of aqueous solution with/without OHA at a pH of 9.0 and stirred for 20 min. Finally, the samples were filtered, washed three times using distilled water with the same pH value, then dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C prior to FT-IR analysis. The FT-IR spectra were recorded in the range 400–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> to characterize the interaction between OHA and quartz through an FT-IR spectrometer (Nicolet 740, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Approximately 1 mg of desired quartz sample was mixed with 100 mg of spectroscopic grade KBr and pressed into a pellet to record the spectrum.

# 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Micro-Flotation of Fine Wolframite and Quartz

The effect of pH value on the flotation behavior of  $-10 \,\mu\text{m}$  wolframite and quartz, with an OHA concentration of  $30 \,\text{mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ , is presented in Figure 2. The flotation recovery of fine wolframite increased with a pH value in the range from 4.0 to 9.0 and, beyond a pH of 9.0, decreased until pH reached approximately 11.0. The maximum recovery of fine wolframite, at 67.7%, was achieved at a pH of 9.0. Fine wolframite showed excellent floatability in a wide range of pH values, from 7.0 to 10.0. A previous study [18] indicated that the coadsorption process first occurs between OHA and wolframite, in the form of ferrous and manganous hydroxamate precipitates, and that the OHA molecule is then

physically adsorbed onto the first chemisorbed layer, which results in the firm adsorption of OHA, improving the wolframite floatability. Yet, the floation recovery of fine quartz was far lower than that of fine wolframite in the researched pH range, suggesting the weak floatability of quartz with OHA.



**Figure 2.** The effect of pH value on the flotation behavior of  $-10 \ \mu m$  wolframite and quartz ( $C_{OHA} = 30 \ mg \cdot L^{-1}$ ).

Figure 3 shows the flotation recovery of  $-10 \,\mu$ m wolframite and quartz of different size classes, as a function of initial OHA concentration, at a pH of 8.5–9.0. The recovery of fine wolframite increased rapidly with an increase in OHA concentration and reached a high level platform when the collector concentration was more than 60 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>. The recovery of fine wolframite was above 95% at an OHA concentration of 60 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>. Figure 3 also shows that the recovery of quartz of different size fractions was below 8%, even at high OHA concentrations, indicating that quartz cannot be floated when OHA is used as a collector.



**Figure 3.** The effect of octyl hydroxamic acid (OHA) concentration on the flotation behavior of  $-10 \,\mu\text{m}$  wolframite and quartz of different size fractions (pH = 8.5–9.0).

#### 3.2. Flotation of Artificially Mixed Minerals

As the above flotation results indicate,  $-10 \mu m$  wolframite with OHA exhibited excellent floatability and selectivity to quartz at a pH of 9.0. To investigate the separation efficiency of fine wolframite from quartz gangue, flotation experiments of artificially mixed minerals were conducted,

and the results are shown in Figure 4. All recoveries of fine wolframite were within the range of 66%–68%. No differences were observed when wolframite was mixed with the quartz of five different size fractions. Nevertheless, the particle size of quartz had an impact on the concentrate grade of fine wolframite, which decreased with the reduction in quartz particle size. When fine wolframite was mixed with –10 µm quartz, the WO<sub>3</sub> grade of the concentrate only improved by 4.28%, from 24.72% to 29.00%. The flotation recovery remained the same for both the artificially mixed minerals and the pure minerals, while a high grade of wolframite concentrate was not achieved at the same pH value and OHA concentration, suggesting a failure in the flotation separation of fine wolframite from quartz.



**Figure 4.** Flotation separation of fine wolframite in artificially mixed minerals ( $C_{OHA} = 30 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ , pH = 8.5–9.0).

## 3.3. Flotation and OHA Adsorption in the Dissolved Solution of Wolframite

Wolframite is a salt mineral and is easily dissolved in water. The dissolved metal ions will inevitably affect the flotation behavior of quartz. Therefore, the amount of metal ions dissolved from the wolframite surface was measured at a pH of 8.0 to 10.0, in which better floatability of fine wolframite was achieved. The results are listed in Table 2.  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$  ions were found to slightly dissolve from wolframite surface, and their amounts were about  $10^{-5}$  orders of magnitude. These metal ions could not be ignored in the floation of quartz mixed with wolframite.

Solution pH	$Fe^{2+}$ (×10 <sup>-5</sup> mol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	${\rm Mn^{2+}}~( imes 10^{-5}~{ m mol}\cdot{ m L^{-1}})$
8.02	0.36	3.69
8.99	0.60	1.58
10.25	0.86	0.66

Table 2. The amount of metal ions dissolved from wolframite surfaces.

The flotation recovery of quartz of different size fractions, using the dissolved solution of wolframite as a flotation medium, is presented in Figure 5. The results show that the recovery of quartz of five different size fractions increased with collector concentration and decreasing particle size. When OHA concentration was 30 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>, the recoveries of  $-74 + 55 \mu m$ ,  $-55 + 38 \mu m$ ,  $-38 + 20 \mu m$ ,  $-20 + 10 \mu m$ , and  $-10 \mu m$  fractions increased by 35.90%, 41.51%, 57.32%, 57.90%, and 58.11%, respectively, compared to quartz in distilled water. We also found that the flotation recovery of quartz increased rapidly with OHA initial concentration, and the recovery of  $-38 \mu m$  quartz was close to that of  $-10 \mu m$  wolframite (Figure 5).

Figure 6 shows the adsorption behavior of OHA on quartz surfaces as a function of collector concentration. The results in Figure 6 indicate that significant differences existed in the adsorption behavior of OHA on quartz surfaces treated in the dissolved solution of wolframite compared to distilled water. OHA scarcely adsorbed onto the quartz surface in distilled water. Nevertheless, the adsorption of OHA on quartz increased with the rise in collector initial concentration at a pH of 8.5–9.0 in the dissolved solution of wolframite, which agreed with the flotation behavior of quartz shown in Figures 3 and 5. These results suggest that metal ions dissolved from wolframite surface would activate the quartz surface and improve the adsorption of OHA. Quartz, with induced hydrophobization, was floated out along with fine wolframite, which led to a decrease in the grade of wolframite concentrate.



**Figure 5.** Flotation recovery of quartz of different size fractions in the dissolved solution of wolframite (pH = 8.5-9.0).



**Figure 6.** Effect of collector concentration on the OHA adsorption on  $-10 \mu m$  quartz surface (pH = 8.5–9.0).

# 3.4. Zeta Potentials

To investigate the quartz activation mechanism by metal ions in the dissolved solution of wolframite, zeta potentials data were used to interpret the trend in flotation performance and the modification of quartz surface by metal ions and OHA. Zeta potentials of quartz, as a function of pH under distilled water and the dissolved solution of wolframite, with or without OHA ( $30 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ), are depicted in Figure 7. In distilled water, the point of zero charge (PZC) of quartz has been reported to

have a pH of around 2.0 [19]. Zeta potentials of quartz were all negative in the measured pH range in this study, and the values became more negative with increasing pH. With the addition of OHA, zeta potentials had an insignificant shift, indicating that OHA did not change the surface charge of quartz.

In the dissolved solution of wolframite, zeta potentials of quartz were still negative in the measured pH range, but the surface charge of quartz became less negative compared to quartz in distilled water, especially within the pH range of 7.0–10.0. Therefore, we confirmed that the surface property of quartz could be modified by metal ions dissolved from wolframite. Figure 8 shows the distributions of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> species as a function of pH, under ion concentrations of  $10^{-5}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup>, which were calculated according to the stability constants and solubility products [14,20]. The system was unsaturated concerning any insoluble or precipitated species at all pH values. The correlation of metal ion species distributions with zeta potential results indicates that the positively charged  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  and  $Fe(OH)^+/Mn(OH)^+$  species were the dominant species when pH value was below 10.0, resulting in a positive shift of the zeta potential due to electrostatic attraction. At pH > 10.0,  $Fe(OH)_3^-/Mn(OH)_3^-$  and  $Fe(OH)_4^{2-}/Mn(OH)_4^{2-}$  species increased, and the zeta potentials of quartz dropped. Meanwhile, within the pH range of 6.0–9.0, the concentration of Fe(OH)<sup>+</sup>/Mn(OH)<sup>+</sup> species became significant. The zeta potential of quartz increased sharply due to the specific adsorption via Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Mn<sup>2+</sup> hydroxyl compounds coating onto the quartz surface. The electrokinetic behavior of quartz in the dissolved solution of wolframite was attributed to metal ions being adsorbed onto the negatively charged surface of quartz [9,11].



**Figure 7.** Relationship between zeta potentials of quartz and pH value ( $C_{OHA} = 30 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ).



Figure 8. Cont.



**Figure 8.** Species distribution diagrams of metal ions: (a)  $Fe^{2+}$ ; (b)  $Mn^{2+}$ ;  $C_{Fe/Mn} = 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ .

After that, in the presence of OHA, the negative charge of quartz significantly decreased in alkaline conditions. At a pH of 9.0, the zeta potential of quartz decreased by –20.4 mV, from –27.8 mV to –48.2 mV. When quartz was treated with OHA in the dissolved solution of wolframite, the extent of variation of zeta potentials was more obvious than that in distilled water, which was ascribed to the increase in OHA adsorption on quartz surfaces (see Figure 6). These results indicate that metal ions dissolved from wolframite could activate the surface of quartz and further stimulate the interaction between OHA and activated quartz. OHA is an anionic collector, and the activated quartz emerged with a negative charge. The presence of OHA made quartz more negatively charged in the dissolved solution of wolframite, suggesting that specific adsorption of OHA on the activated-quartz surface might be involved [13].

# 3.5. FT-IR Spectra Analysis

The adsorption mechanism of OHA with quartz in the dissolved solution of wolframite was investigated by FT-IR spectroscopy. FT-IR spectra of OHA and quartz conditioned with OHA at a pH of 9.0, with distilled water or dissolved wolframite solution, are shown in Figures 9 and 10. In the OHA spectrum (Figure 9), the peak at 3260 cm<sup>-1</sup> was associated with the overlapping peak of the N–H stretching vibration and the O–H stretching vibration. The peaks at 2955 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2918 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2849 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 724 cm<sup>-1</sup> referred to stretching vibrations and bending vibrations of  $-CH_3/-CH_2$ – groups. Additionally, the peaks at 1662 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1623 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to the characteristic peaks of the C=O stretching vibration and the C=N stretching vibration, respectively [21,22]. In the quartz spectrum (Figure 10a), the peaks at 3427 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1620 cm<sup>-1</sup> belonged to the stretching vibration of -OH and the bending vibration of -OH from Si–OH silanol groups, respectively. The characteristic peaks ranging from 900 to 1200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and around 797 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 693 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to the symmetrical stretching and bending vibrations of Si–O bonds [23,24].

As shown in Figure 10b, when quartz was treated with OHA in distilled water, no peak was observed in the quartz spectrum. However, the FT-IR spectrum for quartz treated with OHA in the dissolved solution of wolframite (see Figure 10c) had new peaks at around 2956 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2919 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2851 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 1649 cm<sup>-1</sup>, of which the peak at 2956 cm<sup>-1</sup> was attributed to the asymmetrical stretching vibrations of  $-CH_3$ , and the peaks at 2919 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2851 cm<sup>-1</sup> were related to the asymmetrical and symmetrical stretching vibrations of  $-CH_2$ . The new peak at 1649 cm<sup>-1</sup>, with an offset of 13 cm<sup>-1</sup>, was attributed to the stretching vibrations of C=O in the OHA molecule, which could be attributed to the iron and manganese hydroxamate precipitates [25]. These changes indicate that a chemisorption process was occurring during the interaction of OHA onto the quartz surface in the dissolved solution of wolframite. The chelating group of OHA was able to bond with metal ions

coated onto the quartz surface and formed stable  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  chelate compounds, which was similar to the interaction between wolframite and OHA previously documented [6,26]. Comparing the spectra of quartz in the dissolved solution of wolframite to distilled water, the metal–OHA complexes occurred on the quartz surface. This result shows that  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  ions dissolved from wolframite were able to specifically adsorb onto the quartz surface and interact with OHA.



Figure 9. FT-IR spectrum of OHA.



**Figure 10.** FT-IR spectra of quartz at a pH of 9.0: (a) Quartz; (b) Quartz with OHA in distilled water; (c) Quartz with OHA in the dissolved solution of wolframite.

## 3.6. Flotation Mechanism of Quartz

Quartz is comprised of an infinite three-dimensional framework of silica–oxygen tetrahedra. When a quartz crystal is crushed, the fracture orientation occurs in the Si–O bond direction. Broken Si–O bonds create reactive sites on which water molecules can chemisorb to cover the surface with hydroxyl groups. These surface hydroxyl groups adsorb/dissociate hydrogen ions, depending on the pH value [27]. The chemical reactions are illustrated in Scheme 1. At a pH of 7.0–10.0 for the flotation of wolframite with OHA, the quartz surface consists mainly of silanol groups (Si–OH) and silicic acid groups (Si–O<sup>–</sup>), which are naturally hydrophilic in water and do not respond to flotation even with the addition of an ionic collector.

 $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  ions could dissolve from wolframite surfaces and modify the surface property of quartz, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 7.  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  and  $Fe(OH)^+/Mn(OH)^+$  species were the dominant species responsible for the positive shift of zeta potentials. These species might

The results of adsorption and flotation experiments indicate that the activation of  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  ions dissolved from wolframite surfaces increased OHA adsorption on quartz surfaces, thereby improving its floatability. With the addition of OHA, the zeta potentials of activated quartz shifted to more negative charges, which implied that the attractive force between OHA and quartz was larger than their electrostatic repulsion force. Therefore, OHA might chemisorb on activated quartz surfaces through a covalent bond, which is consistent with the results revealed by FT-IR analysis. The oxygen atoms from carbonyl (C=O) and hydroxyl (N–OH) of OHA had a high affinity to bonding with the adsorbed  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  ions on quartz surfaces, and formed stable iron and manganese hydroxamate complexes [6,25]. The potential interactions are presented in Scheme 3. It was believed that, during the flotation of wolframite, the dissolved  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  ions and OHA reacted with the quartz surface in sequence, which made the quartz hydrophobic. A two-step sequential adsorption mechanism for the modification of quartz surfaces with metal ions and OHA is depicted in Figure 11.

Acid Environments: (Quartz surface)  $\equiv$  Si – OH + H<sup>+</sup>  $\Leftrightarrow \equiv$  Si – OH<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>

Alkaline Environments: (Quartz surface)  $\equiv$  Si – OH + OH<sup>+</sup>  $\Leftrightarrow \equiv$  Si – O<sup>-</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>O

Scheme 1. The hydrolysis of silanol groups on quartz surfaces.

Electrostatic Attraction: (Quartz surface) =  $Si - O^- + Me^{2+} \iff = Si - O^- \cdots Me^{2+}$ 

 $(Quartz surface) \equiv Si - O^{-} + MeOH^{+} \iff \equiv Si - O^{-} \cdots MeOH^{+}$ 

Chemisorption: (Quartz surface)  $\equiv$  Si – OH + MeOH<sup>+</sup>  $\Leftrightarrow \equiv$  Si – O – Me<sup>+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>O

Scheme 2. The adsorption of  $Fe^{2+}/Mn^{2+}$  ions onto quartz surfaces.

Chemisorption: (Quartz surface)  $\equiv$  Si - O<sup>-</sup> ... Me<sup>2+</sup> + OHA  $\Leftrightarrow \equiv$  Si - O<sup>-</sup> ... Me - OHA

 $(Quartz surface) \equiv Si - O^{-} \cdots MeOH^{+} + OHA \iff \equiv Si - O^{-} \cdots Me - OHA$ 

 $(Quartz surface) \equiv Si - O - Me^+ + OHA \iff \equiv Si - O - Me - OHA$ 

Scheme 3. The adsorption of OHA onto activated quartz surfaces.



**Figure 11.** Proposed adsorption model of OHA on a quartz surface in the dissolved solution of wolframite.

# 4. Conclusions

This study systematically investigated the involvement of quartz in the flotation of fine wolframite using octyl hydroxamic acid as a collector. Micro-flotation tests showed that wolframite resulted in better floatability than quartz. However, the floatability of quartz was increased in the dissolved solution of wolframite, and the flotation recovery of  $-38 \,\mu\text{m}$  quartz was close to that of fine wolframite. The flotation separation between fine wolframite and quartz did not work, and the grade of wolframite concentrate decreased as the size of the quartz particles reduced. The ICP and zeta potential results suggest that metal ions dissolved from wolframite changed the surface charge of quartz, especially at a pH of 7.0–10.0, and activated quartz surfaces for inducing OHA adsorption. The FT-IR analysis indicates that the chemisorption process was responsible for the adsorption of OHA onto the activated quartz surface. OHA reacted with the adsorbed Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions on the quartz can be activated and floated with OHA in the flotation of fine wolframite, which results in a decrease in the grade of wolframite concentrate.

Acknowledgments: This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51704058), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (N160103004) and Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M611249).

Author Contributions: Q.M., Q.F. and L.O. conceived and designed the experiments; Q.M. and Z.Y. performed the experiments and analyzed the data; Z.Y. contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; Q.M. wrote the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Song, Z.G.; Sun, C.Y.; Wang, Z.M.; Cheng, X.C.; Ru, Q. Current situation and prospects of China tungsten mineral processing technology. *Min Metall.* 2011, 20, 1–7. (In Chinese)
- 2. Wang, M.Y.; Jia, M.X.; Xiao, Y.W.; Sun, C.Y.; Li, Y.F.; Jin, J.W. The present situation and countermeasures of sustainable development of tungsten resources in China. *Nonferrous Metals Eng.* **2014**, *4*, 76–80. (In Chinese)
- 3. Nguyen, A.V. Flotation. In *Encyclopedia of Separation Science*; Wilson, I.D., Ed.; Academic Press: Oxford, UK, 2007.
- 4. Pradip. Recent advances in the recovery of tungsten values in the fine and ultrafine size range. *B. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *19*, 267–293.
- Yang, S.Y.; Feng, Q.M.; Qiu, X.Y.; Gao, Y.D.; Xie, Z.F. Relationship between flotation and Fe/Mn ratio of wolframite with benzohydroxamic acid and sodium oleate as collectors. *Physicochem. Probl. Miner. Process.* 2014, 50, 747–758.
- 6. Hu, Y.H.; Wang, D.Z.; Xu, Z.H. A study of interactions and flotation of wolframite with octyl hydroxamate. *Miner. Eng.* **1997**, *10*, 623–633. [CrossRef]
- 7. Srinivas, K.; Sreenivas, T.; Padmanabhan, N.P.H.; Venugopal, R. Studies on the application of alkyl phosphoric acid ester in the flotation of wolframite. *Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev.* **2004**, *25*, 253–267. [CrossRef]
- 8. Luo, X.P.; Feng, B.; Zhu, X.W.; Luo, L.Y.; Xu, Z.H. Flotation separation of wolframite from quartz using *N*-oleoyl sarcosine acid as collector. *Physicochem. Probl. Miner. Process.* **2017**, *53*, 34–41.
- 9. Liu, B.; Wang, X.M.; Du, H.; Liu, J.; Zheng, S.L.; Zhang, Y.; Miller, J.D. The surface features of lead activation in amyl xanthate flotation of quartz. *Int. J. Miner. Process.* **2016**, *151*, 33–39. [CrossRef]
- 10. Zhu, Y.G.; Zhang, G.F.; Feng, Q.M.; Yan, D.C.; Wang, W.Q. Effect of surface dissolution on flotation separation of fine ilmenite from titanaugite. *Trans. Nonferr. Metal. Soc.* **2011**, *21*, 1149–1154. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ejtemaei, M.; Irannajad, M.; Gharabaghi, M. Role of dissolved mineral species in selective flotation of smithsonite from quartz using oleate as collector. *Int. J. Miner. Process.* **2012**, *114–117*, 40–47. [CrossRef]
- 12. Fornasiero, D.; Ralston, J. Cu(II) and Ni(II) activation in the flotation of quartz, lizardite and chlorite. *Int. J. Miner. Process.* **2005**, *76*, 75–81. [CrossRef]
- 13. Zhang, J.; Wang, W.Q.; Liu, J.; Huang, Y.; Feng, Q.M.; Zhao, H. Fe(III) as an activator for the flotation of spodumene, albite, and quartz minerals. *Miner. Eng.* **2014**, *61*, 16–22.

- 14. Fuerstenau, M.; Martin, C.; Bhappu, R. The role of hydrolysis in sulfonate flotation of quartz. *Trans. Metall. Soc. AIME* **1963**, *226*, 449–454.
- Marinakis, K.I.; Kelsall, G.H. Adsorption of dodecyl sulfate and decyl phosphonate on wolframite, (Fe, Mn)WO<sub>4</sub>, and their use in the two-liquid flotation of fine wolframite particles. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1985, 106, 517–531. [CrossRef]
- 16. Roncucci, R.; Simon, M.J.; Briesen, V. Automatic colorimetric determination of hydroxamic acids at the microgram. *Anal. Lett.* **1971**, *4*, 197–203. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhang, B.Y.; Zhong, H. Determination of hydroxamic acids by direct spectrophotometry of coloured complex in acidic solution. *Res. Chem. Intermediat.* **2010**, *36*, 495–501. [CrossRef]
- 18. Meng, Q.Y.; Feng, Q.M.; Shi, Q.; Ou, L.M. Studies on interaction mechanism of fine wolframite with octyl hydroxamic acid. *Miner. Eng.* **2015**, *79*, 133–138. [CrossRef]
- 19. Fuerstenau, D.W.; Pradip. Zeta potentials in the flotation of oxide and silicate minerals. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2005**, 114–115, 9–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Wang, D.Z.; Hu, Y.H. *Solution Chemistry of Flotation*; Hunan Science & Technology Press: Changsha, China, 1988; pp. 134–144. (In Chinese)
- 21. Hope, G.A.; Woods, R.; Parker, G.K.; Buckley, A.N.; McLean, J. A vibrational spectroscopy and XPS investigation of the interaction of hydroxamate reagents on copper oxide minerals. *Miner. Eng.* **2010**, 23, 952–959. [CrossRef]
- 22. Cui, J.L.; Hope, G.A.; Buckley, A.N. Spectroscopic investigation of the interaction of hydroxamate with bastnaesite (cerium) and rare earth oxides. *Miner. Eng.* **2012**, *36–38*, 91–99. [CrossRef]
- 23. Chaikina, M.V.; Kryukova, G.N. Structural transformations in quartz and apatite on mechanical activation. *J. Struct. Chem.* **2004**, *45*, 121–126. [CrossRef]
- 24. Zhou, Y.S.; He, C.R.; Yang, X.S. Water contents and deformation mechanism in ductile shear zone of middle crust along the Red River fault in southwestern China. *Sci. Chin. Series D Earth Sci.* **2008**, *51*, 1411–1425. [CrossRef]
- 25. Meng, Q.Y.; Feng, Q.M.; Ou, L.M. Flotation behavior and adsorption mechanism of fine wolframite with octyl hydroxamic acid. *J. Cent. South. Univ.* **2016**, *23*, 1339–1344. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhu, Y.S.; Zhu, J.G. *The Chemical Principle of Flotation Reagents*; Central South University of Technology Press: Changsha, China, 1996. (In Chinese)
- 27. Huang, P.C.; Fuerstenau, D.W. The effect of the adsorption of lead and cadmium ions on the interfacial behavior of quartz and talc. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* **2001**, *177*, 147–156. [CrossRef]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).