



Article

(L)-Semigroup Sums †

John R. Martin

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, 106 Wiggins Road, 241 McLean Hall, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5E6, Canada; martin@math.usask.ca

† In this note, all spaces are Hausdorff, and the term map or mapping shall always mean continuous function.

Received: 12 November 2018; Accepted: 17 December 2018; Published: 22 December 2018



Abstract: An (L)-semigroup S is a compact n-manifold with connected boundary B together with a monoid structure on S such that B is a subsemigroup of S. The sum S+T of two (L)-semigroups S and T having boundary B is the quotient space obtained from the union of $S \times \{0\}$ and $T \times \{1\}$ by identifying the point (x,0) in $S \times \{0\}$ with (x,1) in $T \times \{1\}$ for each x in B. It is shown that no (L)-semigroup sum of dimension less than or equal to five admits an H-space structure, nor does any (L)-semigroup sum obtained from (L)-semigroups having an Abelian boundary. In particular, such sums cannot be a retract of a topological group.

Keywords: topological group; Lie group; compact topological semigroup; H-space; mapping cylinder; fibre bundle

MSC: 22A15; 54H11; 55P45; 55R10

1. Introduction

An H-space is a space X together with a continuous multiplication $m: X \times X \to X$ and an identity element $e \in X$ such that m(e,x) = m(x,e) = x for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, the multiplication is associative, then X is called a topological monoid. A space together with an associative continuous multiplication is called a topological semigroup. A compact n-manifold S with connected boundary B together with a topological monoid structure such that B is a subsemigroup of S is called an (L)-semigroup in [1], p. 117. Such a topological monoid S can be considered as a mapping cylinder MC(f) of a quotient morphism $f: X \to X/N$ of a compact connected Lie group X where N is a normal sphere subgroup of X (see [1–3]).

In [2], p. 315, it was shown that every commutative n-dimensional (L)-semigroup is a retract of a compact connected Lie group, and if $n \le 4$, then every n-dimensional (L)-semigroup is a retract of a compact connected Lie group. In this note, it is shown that the sum of two commutative (L)-semigroups cannot be a retract of a topological group, nor can the sum of two n-dimensional (L)-semigroups if $n \le 5$.

2. (L)-Semigroup Splitting

Let $\mathbb{I} = [0,1]$ denote the unit interval endowed with the operation of multiplication of real numbers. If $f: X \to Y$ is a mapping between compact spaces, then the mapping cylinder MC(f) is the quotient space obtained by taking the disjoint union of $X \times \mathbb{I}$ and Y and identifying each point $(x,0) \in X \times \mathbb{I}$ with $f(x) \in Y$. There are natural embeddings $i_X: X \to MC(f)$ and $i_Y: Y \to MC(f)$, so X and Y may be regarded as disjoint closed subspaces of MC(f), and it is easy to check that $i_Y(Y)$ is a strong deformation retract of MC(f). In the special case when Y consists of a single point v, the mapping cylinder is called the cone over X, denoted by cone(X).

Let \mathbb{S}^n denote the unit n-sphere in Euclidean n-space \mathbb{R}^n . Then, in the following result of Mostert and Shields [1], cone(\mathbb{S}^n), n = 0, 1, 3, is homeomorphic to the unit one-ball in the real line \mathbb{R}^1 , the unit

Axioms **2019**, 8, 1

disk \mathbb{E}^2 in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , the unit four-ball \mathbb{E}^4 in the quaternions \mathbb{H} , respectively, and is considered to be a topological monoid with the inherited multiplicative structure.

Proposition 1 (Mostert and Shields [1]; also see [2,3]). Let X be a compact connected Lie group with a closed normal subgroup N such that N is isomorphic to \mathbb{S}^n , n = 0, 1, 3, and let $f: X \to X/N = Y$ be the quotient morphism. Then:

- (1) S = MC(f) is a compact manifold with boundary $i_X(X)$ with S being a topological monoid such that $H(S) = i_X(X)$ is the group of units of S with identity $i_X(1_X)$ and $M(S) = i_Y(Y)$ is the minimal ideal of S with identity $i_Y(1_Y)$.
- (2) S = MC(f) is a locally-trivial fibre bundle over the Lie group Y = X/N as base with fibre F = cone(N), the unit n-ball for n = 1, 2, 4.

A compact topological monoid *S* of the above type is called an (L)-semigroup in the literature and *S* is nonorientable if $N = \mathbb{S}^0$ and orientable if $N = \mathbb{S}^n$, n = 1, 3. (Theorem C in [1]).

Let S and T be two (L)-semigroups with boundary B, and let $h: B \to B$ be an autohomeomorphism of B. The quotient space obtained by taking the union of $S \times \{0\}$ and $T \times \{1\}$ and identifying the point (x,0) in $S \times \{0\}$ with (h(x),1) in $T \times \{1\}$ for each $x \in B$ is a closed (i.e., compact without boundary) connected n-manifold. Any manifold M obtained in this fashion is said to admit an (L)-semigroup splitting. In the case when h is the identity mapping, we call M the sum of S and T and denote it by S + T. If S = T, then S + S = 2S, the double of the manifold S.

A space *X* is said to be homogeneous if for every $a, b \in X$, there is an autohomeomorphism h of X such that h(a) = b.

Proposition 2. *If M admits an* (L)-*semigroup splitting, then M admits the structure of a topological monoid iff M is a Lie group.*

Proof. If M is a Lie group, then it is a topological monoid. Thus, suppose M is a topological monoid. A finite-dimensional homogeneous compact connected monoid admits the structure of a topological group [4]. If, in addition, it is locally contractible, then it must be a Lie group since a compact connected group is a Lie group iff it is locally contractible [5]. Since M is a closed connected n-manifold, the result follows.

Proposition 3. Let G be a compact connected Lie group. If M admits an (L)-semigroup splitting, then so does $M \times G$. In particular, if M is an (L)-semigroup sum, then so is $M \times G$.

Proof. Let M, S, T, and $h: B \to B$ be defined as in the definition of an (L)-semigroup splitting. Then, $S \times G$ and $T \times G$ are (L)-semigroups with $B \times G$ as a boundary, and the correspondence $(x,g) \mapsto (h(x),g)$ determines an autohomeomorphism of $B \times G$. It follows that $M \times G$ admits an (L)-semigroup splitting if M does. In the case when $h=1_B$, the identity mapping on B, we obtain $M \times G = (S \times G) + (T \times G)$.

Remark 1. It is well known that the fundamental group of an H-space is Abelian and that a covering space of an H-space admits an H-space structure (cf. p. 78 and p. 157 in [6]). According to a famous theorem of J.F.Adams [7], the only spheres that are H-space are \mathbb{S}^n , n=0,1,3,7, and it follows that \mathbb{RP}^n , n=0,1,3,7, are the only real projective n-spaces, which admit H-space structures. We also remark that if a product space is homogeneous, then it admits an H-space structure iff each factor does (Corollary 2.5 in [8]).

Proposition 4. Let B be a compact connected Abelian Lie group and let S, and T be (L)-semigroups with boundary B. Then, the sum S + T does not admit an H-space structure.

Axioms **2019**, 8, 1

Proof. Let \mathbb{T}^n denote the n-torus, which is the product of n copies of the circle group \mathbb{S}^1 . In the case when $B = \mathbb{T}^1 = \mathbb{S}^1$, the normal sphere subgroups are \mathbb{S}^0 and \mathbb{S}^1 . For the two element subgroups \mathbb{S}^0 of \mathbb{S}^1 , the quotient morphism $f: \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 / \mathbb{S}^0$ yields $MC(f) = \mathbb{M}^2$, the classical Möbius band (see Example 2.3(b) in [2]). When the normal subgroup of \mathbb{S}^1 is \mathbb{S}^1 , the quotient morphism $f: \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 / \mathbb{S}^1 = \{1\}$ yields $MC(f) = \mathbb{E}^2$, the unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} (see Example 2.3(a) in [2]). Thus, the only two-dimensional (L)-semigroup splittings are $2\mathbb{E}^2 = \mathbb{S}^2$, $\mathbb{E}^2 + \mathbb{M}^2 = \mathbb{RP}^2$ and $2\mathbb{M}^2 = \mathbb{K}^2$, the Klein bottle. By Remarks 1, \mathbb{S}^2 and \mathbb{RP}^2 do not admit H-spaces structures, nor does \mathbb{K}^2 since its fundamental group $\Pi_1(\mathbb{K}^2)$ is not Abelian (this follows from the fact that the Abelianization of $\Pi_1(\mathbb{K}^2)$ is $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, the direct sum of the integers and a cyclic group of order two (see [6], p. 135), but $\Pi_1(\mathbb{K}^2)$ must contain a copy of $\Pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ since the two-torus \mathbb{T}^2 is a double covering space of the Klein bottle \mathbb{K}^2).

It follows from Proposition 2.3 that $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$, $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^n$, and $\mathbb{K}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$, $n=1,2,\cdots$, are (L)-semigroup sums. Since $\mathbb{E}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$ are the only (n+2)-dimensional (L)-semigroups with boundary $B = \mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ (see Corollaries 7.5.4 and 7.5.5 in [1]), it follows that the (L)-semigroup sum S+T must be one of the manifolds \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{RP}^2 , \mathbb{K}^2 , $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$, $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$ or $\mathbb{K}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^n$ for $n=1,2,\cdots$. However none of these manifolds admit on H-space structure since a homogeneous product space admits an H-space structure iff each of its factors does (see Corollary 2.5 in [8]).

We remark that a retract of a homogeneous H-space admits an H-space structure (cf. Proposition 2.4 in [8]). Consequently, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let B be a compact connected Abelian Lie group, and let S and T be* (L)-*semigroups with boundary B. Then, the sum S* + T *is not a retract of a topological group.*

Proposition 5. *If M is a manifold that admits an* (L)-semigroup splitting and is either two-dimensional or orientable and three-dimensional, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) *M* is a retract of a topological group.
- (2) *M* admits an H-space structure.
- (3) M is a Lie group.

Proof. In the two-dimensional case, the collection of (L)-semigroup sums coincides with the collection of spaces that admit (L)-semigroup splittings since the connected sum of two surfaces is independent of the homeomorphism h used to form the connected sum. Thus, the only surfaces that admit (L)-semigroup splittings are \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{RP}^2 , and \mathbb{K}^2 , and the result follows for surfaces.

The remark following the proof of Proposition 4 shows that (1) implies (2), and since the topological group is a retract of itself, (3) implies (1). Thus, it suffices to show that $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. As was noted in the proof of Proposition 4, the only orientable three-dimensional (L)-semigroup is the solid torus $\mathbb{E}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. It follows that M must be a (p,q)-lens space L(p,q) where the degenerate cases $L(0,1) = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and $L(1,q) = \mathbb{S}^3$ are included (see p. 234 in [9]). It follows from a theorem of William Browder (p. 140 in [10]) that only $L(1,q) = \mathbb{S}^3$ and $L(2,1) = \mathbb{RP}^3 = SO(3)$ admit H-space structures. Since each of these spaces is a Lie group, the result follows.

Lemma 1. Let X be a closed n-manifold, which is the total space of a locally-trivial \mathbb{S}^2 fibre bundle over a compact Lie group G. Then, X does not admit an H-space structure.

Proof. Suppose X does admit an H-space structure, and consider the fibre bundle $\mathbb{S}^2 \to X \to G$. This sequence extends to a fibration sequence $\cdots \Omega G \to \mathbb{S}^2 \to X \to G$ (cf. [11], p. 409). Since X is a (compact metric) ANR-space (see [12]), it has the homotopy type of a finite complex ([13], Corollary 44.2), and it follows from a theorem of W.Browder ([14]) that $\Pi_2(X) = 0$, where $\Pi_2(X)$ denotes the second homotopy group of X. Exactness yields a surjection from $\Pi_2(\Omega G)$ onto $\Pi_2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. An element of $\Pi_2(\Omega G)$ mapping to a generator of $\Pi_2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is represented by a map $\mathbb{S}^2 \to \Omega G$ whose

Axioms **2019**, 8, 1 4 of 5

composition with the map $\Omega(G) \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is homotopic to the identity mapping $1_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ on \mathbb{S}^2 . Consequently, there is a homotopy retraction $r: \Omega G \to \mathbb{S}^2$ (i.e., $r|\mathbb{S}^2$ is homotopic to $1_{\mathbb{S}^2}$). Since a loop space admits an H-space structure, we may assume that ΩG is an H-space with identity e, and we may assume that $e \in \mathbb{S}^2$ (since ΩG is a homogeneous space when viewed as a loop group).

Define a mapping $m: \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ by m(x,y) = r(xy) for $x,y \in \mathbb{S}^2$, where xy denotes the product of x and y in the H-space ΩG . The maps $\mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ given by $x \mapsto m(x,e)$ and $x \mapsto m(e,x)$ are homotopic to the identity mapping $1_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, and therefore, e is a homotopy identity of \mathbb{S}^2 . For CW complexes the existence of a homotopy identity can be used as the definition of an H-space (see [11], p. 291). Consequently, \mathbb{S}^2 admits an H-space structure, and this contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

Proposition 6. Let S = MC(f) be an (L)-semigroup as defined in Proposition 1 where X is a compact connected Lie group and $f: X \to X/N = Y$ is a quotient morphism with N being a closed normal subgroup of X, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 . Then, the double 2S does not admit an H-space structure.

Proof. By Proposition 1 S = MC(f) is a locally-trivial \mathbb{E}^2 bundle over the compact connected Lie group Y, and it follows that its double is a locally-trivial \mathbb{S}^2 bundle over Y. Consequently, by Lemma 1, 2S does not admit an H-space structure.

Corollary 2. Let $f: U(n) \to U(n)/\mathbb{Z}U(n) = PU(n)$ denote the quotient morphism where U(n) is the unitary group, $\mathbb{Z}(U(n))$ is its centre, and PU(n) is the projective unitary group. Then, if n > 1 and S = MC(f), the double 2S does not admit an (H)-space structure.

Proof. The elements of U(n) are the complex $n \times n$ unitary matrices, and its centre $\mathbb{Z}(U(n))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 since its elements are diagonal matrices equal to $e^{i\theta}$ multiplied by the identity matrix. It follows from Proposition 6 that 2S does not admit an H-space structure.

Theorem 1. No (L)-semigroup sum of dimension $n \le 5$ admits an H-space structure.

Proof. Proposition 4 shows that the result is true for all n-dimensional (L)-semigroup sums of the form S+L where both S and L have a compact connected Abelian Lie group boundary B. Thus, we need only consider admissible n-dimensional non-Abelian boundaries B with n=3,4. Hence, B must be one of \mathbb{S}^3 , $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times SO(3)$ and U(2) (we note that SO(3) does not qualify as an admissible boundary for an (L)-semigroup since it does not contain normal subgroups of the form \mathbb{S}^n , n=0,1,3).

In [2], it is shown that the (L)-semigroups with boundary \mathbb{S}^3 are \mathbb{E}^4 and the four-dimensional Möbius manifold \mathbb{M}^4 (which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{RP}^4 with the interior of a four-dimensional Euclidean ball removed). It follows (see [2]) that the (L)-semigroups with boundaries \mathbb{S}^3 , $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times SO(3)$ are \mathbb{E}^4 , \mathbb{M}^4 , $\mathbb{E}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^4$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{M}^4$, $\mathbb{E}^2 \times SO(3)$, $\mathbb{M}^2 \times SO(3)$, and the corresponding (L)-semigroup sums are \mathbb{S}^4 , \mathbb{RP}^4 , $2\mathbb{M}^4$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{RP}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{K}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^4$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{RP}^4$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times (2\mathbb{M}^4)$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times SO(3)$, $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times SO(3)$, $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times SO(3)$. Since a retract of a homogeneous H-space admits an H-space structure (cf. [8], Prop. 2.4), it follows that no product containing a copy of \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{S}^4 , \mathbb{RP}^2 , \mathbb{RP}^4 of \mathbb{K}^2 as a factor can admit an H-space structure. This leaves only $2\mathbb{M}^4$ for consideration. However, its fundamental group $\Pi_1(2\mathbb{M}^4)$ is the free product of $\Pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^4) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ with itself, which is non-Abelian, so $2\mathbb{M}^4$ does not admit an H-space structure. Finally, the only five-dimensional (L)-semigroup sum with boundary U(2) is the manifold 2U(2) in Corollary 2, which does not admit an H-space structure. \square

Corollary 3. *No* (L)-semigroup sum of dimension $n \le 5$ is a retract of a topological group.

Proof. It was noted above that every retract of a homogeneous H-space admits an H-space structure. Since a topological group is an H-space, the result follows from Theorem 1. \Box

Axioms **2019**, 8, 1 5 of 5

In [15], a space homeomorphic to a retract of a topological group is called a GR-space (often referred to as a retral space in the literature). Clearly AR-spaces and topological groups themselves are GR-spaces, and in [2], it was shown that \mathbb{M}^2 and \mathbb{M}^4 are GR-spaces. Since GR-spaces are preserved by topological products, it follows that products of \mathbb{E}^2 , \mathbb{E}^4 , \mathbb{M}^2 , \mathbb{M}^4 , and topological groups are GR-spaces. This will include all the (L)-semigroups mentioned in this note excluding (L)-semigroups with boundary U(n), $n \geq 2$. This suggests two questions.

- (a) Is every (L)-semigroup a retract of a topological group?
- (b) Does every (L)-semigroup sum fail to admit an H-space structure?

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: I am very indebted to Karl H. Hofmann who introduced and explained (L)-semigroups to me and to John Harper and Allen Hatcher for private communications involving H-spaces.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Mostert, P.S.; Shields, A.L. On the structure of semigroups on a compact manifold with boundary. *Ann. Math.* **1957**, 65, 117–143. [CrossRef]
- 2. Hofmann, K.H.; Martin, J.R. Möbius manifolds, monoids, and retracts of topological groups. *Semigroup Forum* **2015**, 90, 301–316. [CrossRef]
- Hofmann, K.H.; Mostert, P.S. Elements of Compact Semigroups; Merrill Publishing Company: Columbus, OH, USA, 1966.
- 4. Hudson, A.; Mostert, P.S. A finite-dimensional clan is a group. Ann. Math. 1963, 78, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- 5. Hofmann, K.H.; Kramer, L. Transitive actions of locally compact groups on locally contractible spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **2015**, 702, 227–243; Erratum in **2015**, 702, 245–246.
- 6. Massey, W.S. Algebraic Topology: An Introduction; Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA, 1987.
- 7. Adams, J.F. On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one. Ann. Math. 1960, 72, 20–104. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hofmann, K.H.; Martin, J.R. Topological Left-loops. Topol. Proc. 2012, 39, 185–194.
- 9. Rolfsen, D. Knots and Links; Mathematics Lecture Series 7; Publish or Perish, Inc.: Berkely, CA, USA, 1976.
- 10. Browder, W. The cohomology of covering spaces of H-spaces. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1959**, *65*, 140–141. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hatcher, A. Algebraic Topology; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2002.
- 12. Borsuk, K. Theory of Retracts. In Monografie Matematyczne; PWN: Warsaw, Poland, 1967; Volume 44.
- 13. Chapman, T.A. Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds; American Mathematical Soc.: Providence, RI, USA, 1976.
- 14. Browder, W. Torsion in H-spaces. *Ann. Math.* **1961**, 74, 24–51. [CrossRef]
- 15. Hofmann, K.H.; Martin, J.R. Retracts of topological groups and compact monoids. *Topol. Proc.* **2014**, 43, 57–67.



© 2018 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).