

Black Hole Accretion in Gamma Ray Bursts

Agnieszka Janiuk ^{1,*}, Michal Bejger ², Petra Sukova ¹ and Szymon Charzynski ^{1,3}

¹ Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Al. Lotnikow 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland; agnes@cft.edu.pl (P.S.); szych@cft.edu.pl (S.C.)

² Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland; bejger@camk.edu.pl

³ Chair of Mathematical Methods in Physics, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

* Correspondence: agnieszka.janiuk@gmail.com

Academic Editors: Ioannis Contopoulos and Samantha Oates

Received: 31 August 2016; Accepted: 23 January 2017; Published: 13 February 2017

Abstract: We study the structure and evolution of the hyperaccreting disks and outflows in the gamma ray bursts central engines. The torus around a stellar mass black hole is composed of free nucleons, Helium, electron-positron pairs, and is cooled by neutrino emission. Accretion of matter powers the relativistic jets, responsible for the gamma ray prompt emission. The significant number density of neutrons in the disk and outflowing material will cause subsequent formation of heavier nuclei. We study the process of nucleosynthesis and its possible observational consequences. We also apply our scenario to the recent observation of the gravitational wave signal, detected on 14 September 2015 by the two Advanced LIGO detectors, and related to an inspiral and merger of a binary black hole system. A gamma ray burst that could possibly be related with the GW150914 event was observed by the Fermi satellite. It had a duration of about 1 s and appeared about 0.4 s after the gravitational-wave signal. We propose that a collapsing massive star and a black hole in a close binary could lead to the event. The gamma ray burst was powered by a weak neutrino flux produced in the star remnant's matter. Low spin and kick velocity of the merged black hole are reproduced in our simulations. Coincident gravitational-wave emission originates from the merger of the collapsed core and the companion black hole.

Keywords: black hole physics; accretion, accretion disks; gravitational waves; neutrinos

1. Introduction

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are energetic, transient events observed in the sky at high energies. Their known cosmological origin requires a physical process that causes them to be a cosmic explosion of great power. Proposed mechanisms involve the creation of a black hole (BH) in a cataclysmic event. This may either result from the collapse of a massive rotating star, or via the merger of compact objects' binary, e.g., neutron stars (NSs) or a BH and a neutron star. The so-called 'central engine' of this process is composed of a hot and dense accretion disk with a hyper-Eddington accretion rate (up to a few $M_{\odot} s^{-1}$) near a spinning BH.

In the hyperaccreting disks that are present around the BHs in the central engine, the densities and temperatures are so high, that the equation of state (EOS) can no longer be assumed to be of an ideal gas. The pressure and chemical balance is required by the nuclear reactions that take part between the free protons, neutrons, and electron-positron pairs abundant in the plasma. The charged particles must satisfy the total neutrality condition. In the β reactions, neutrinos are produced in three flavors and are subject to absorption and scattering processes. The partially trapped neutrinos contribute to the pressure in the plasma, together with nucleons, electron-positron pairs, radiation, and synthesized heavier particles (Helium).

Numerical computations of the structure and evolution of the accretion flows in the gamma ray bursts engine were historically first carried out with the use of steady-state, and later with the time-dependent models. These models were axially and vertically averaged, and used the classical α -parameter prescription for the viscosity [1–9]. More recently, accretion flows are described by fully relativistic MHD computations (e.g., [10,11]). This method has also been applied recently to describe the central engine of a putative gamma ray burst which could be associated with the event GW150914 [12]. Here we report on this work, and in addition, we also present new results on the nucleosynthesis of heavy elements in the accretion flow in this engine.

2. Accretion Flow in the GRB Engine

2.1. Equation of State

In the EOS, contribution to the pressure comes from free nuclei and $e^+ - e^-$ pairs, helium, radiation and trapped neutrinos:

$$P = P_{\text{nucl}} + P_{\text{He}} + P_{\text{rad}} + P_{\nu},$$

where P_{nucl} includes free neutrons, protons, and the electron-positrons:

$$P_{\text{nucl}} = P_{e^-} + P_{e^+} + P_n + P_p$$


with

$$P_i = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\pi^2} \frac{(m_i c^2)^4}{(\hbar c)^3} \beta_i^{5/2} \left[F_{3/2}(\eta_i, \beta_i) + \frac{1}{2} \beta_i F_{5/2}(\eta_i, \beta_i) \right].$$

Here, F_k are the Fermi-Dirac integrals of the order k , and η_e , η_p and η_n are the reduced chemical potentials, $\eta_i = \mu_i/kT$ is the degeneracy parameter, μ_i denoting the standard chemical potential. Reduced chemical potential of positrons is $\eta_{e^+} = -\eta_e - 2/\beta_e$. Relativity parameters are defined as $\beta_i = kT/m_i c^2$. The term P_{rad} , describing the pressure of radiation, scales with the temperature as T^4 , and is added here together with the pressure of neutrinos, P_{ν} , which is computed from the two-stream approximation (see details in [4]). The disk is fully opaque to photons, however the radiation pressure is still by several orders of magnitude smaller than the pressure of neutrinos. This EOS is computed numerically by solving the balance of nuclear reactions [3,4,13], (see also [14,15]).

2.2. Neutrino Cooling of the Accretion Flow in GRBs

In the hot and dense torus, with temperature of $\sim 10^{11}$ K and density $> 10^{10} \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$, neutrinos are efficiently produced. The main reactions that lead to their emission are the electron/positron capture on nucleons, as well as the neutron decay. Their nuclear equilibrium is described by the following reactions:



and the forward and backward reaction rates are equal. The reaction rates are given by the appropriate integrals [16] (see also Appendix A in [4]).

Other neutrino emission processes are: electron-positron pair annihilation, bremsstrahlung and plasmon decay:

$$\begin{aligned} e^- + e^+ &\rightarrow \nu_i + \bar{\nu}_i \\ n + n &\rightarrow n + n + \nu_i + \bar{\nu}_i \\ \tilde{\gamma} &\rightarrow \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate their rates numerically, with proper integrals over the distribution function of relativistic, partially degenerate species.

The neutrino cooling rate is finally given by the two-stream approximation, and includes the scattering and absorptive optical depths for neutrinos of all three flavors [2]:

$$Q_\nu^- = \frac{7}{8} \frac{\sigma T^4}{\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{i=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{1}{\frac{\tau_{a,\nu_i} + \tau_s}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{3\tau_{a,\nu_i}}} \times \frac{1}{H} \text{ [erg}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}\text{]}.$$

The neutrino cooling is self-consistently computed from the balance of the nuclear reactions, listed in Section 2.2, whose rates govern the values of the absorptive optical depths for electron (all reactions) and muon or tau neutrinos (pair annihilation and bremsstrahlung reactions). Also, the neutrino scattering optical depth is computed, using the values of proton and neutron number densities, and Cabbibo angle of $\sin^2 \theta_C = 0.23$ [4,13]. The emissivity is averaged over the disk height, instead of the integration over the neutrinosphere, whose shape is rather complex.

2.3. GR MHD Scheme

Our simulations of the accretion flow dynamics in the GRB central engine were performed with a 2D code *HARM* (High Accuracy Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamics; [17]). Our version of the code was extended to include the microphysics, described in the previous Section. The code provides a solver for the continuity and energy-momentum conservation equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho u^\mu)_{;\mu} &= 0 \\ T^\mu_{\nu;\mu} &= 0 \\ P &= K\rho^\gamma = (\gamma - 1)u \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= T_{\text{gaz}}^{\mu\nu} + T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu} \\ T_{\text{gaz}}^{\mu\nu} &= \rho h u^\mu u^\nu + p g^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + u + p) u^\mu u^\nu + p g^{\mu\nu} \\ T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu} &= b^2 u^\mu u^\nu + \frac{1}{2} b^2 g^{\mu\nu} - b^\mu b^\nu; \quad b^\mu = u_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

where u^μ is the four-velocity of gas, u is the internal energy density, $b^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_\nu F_{\rho\sigma}$ is the magnetic four-vector, and F is the electromagnetic stress tensor. The metric tensor is denoted by $g^{\mu\nu}$. Within the force-free approximation, we have $E_\nu = u_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

HARM-2D uses a conservative numerical scheme to obtain solutions of equations of the following type:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{P}) = -\partial_i \mathbf{F}^i(\mathbf{P}) + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{P}),$$

where \mathbf{U} denotes a vector of “conserved” variables (momentum, energy density, number density, taken in the coordinate frame), \mathbf{P} is a vector of “primitive” variables (rest mass density, internal energy), \mathbf{F}^i are the fluxes, and \mathbf{S} is a vector of source terms. In contrast to non-relativistic MHD, where $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ have a closed-form solution, in relativistic MHD, $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{P})$ is a complicated, nonlinear relation. Inversion $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{U})$ is calculated therefore numerically, at every time step. The inverse transformation requires

a solution to 5 non-linear equations, done here by means of a multi-dimensional Newton-Raphson routine (see, e.g., [18] for details).

The procedure is simple, if the pressure-density relation is adiabatic. However, for a general EOS, one must also compute dp/dw , dp/dv^2 and $p(W, v^2, D)$, where $w = p + u + \rho$ denotes the enthalpy, $W = \gamma^2 w$, $D = \sqrt{-g}\rho u^t$, and $v^2 = g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu$. In our scheme, we have tabulated $(p, u)(\rho, T)$ values and then interpolate over this table. The Jacobian $\partial\mathbf{U}/\partial\mathbf{P}$ is computed numerically. The conserved variables are then evolved in time.

HARM-2D has been MPI-parallelized for the hydro-evolution, and also implemented with the shared memory hyperthreading for the EOS-table interpolation. Our 2D simulations typically run up to $t = 2000$ – 3000 M (within a couple weeks of computations on the local cluster, or a few days on Cray XC40 with 1k nodes). The computations are performed in the polar coordinate system, with resolution of 256×256 points in the r and θ directions.

2.4. Example Simulation Results

Parameters used in our computations are: BH mass, with a fiducial value of $M = 10M_\odot$, BH spin $a = 0.6$ – 0.98 , and accretion disk mass $M_d \approx 1M_\odot$, which gives the scaling for the density in physical units, needed for the EOS computations. To describe the rotationally-supported torus around a spinning BH we use the initial condition given by the equilibrium solution, first developed analytically by [19,20]. We adopt the polar coordinate system, $r - \theta$, and use the Kerr-Schild coordinates to avoid the coordinate singularity on the BH horizon. Initially, a poloidal configuration of the field is assumed, with the vector potential $A_\phi = (\rho/\rho_{max})$, and initial normalization of $P_{gas}/P_{mag} = 50$. Magnetic turbulences develop within the dense matter torus and the field is advected with the infalling gas under the BH horizon. For a rapidly-spinning BH, the open magnetic field lines form along the rotation axis, and the magnetically driven jets can be produced. Energy extracted from the rotating BH through the Blandford-Znajek process [21] gives then a substantial contribution to the jet luminosity. In addition, annihilation of neutrino-antineutrino pairs produced in the torus is yet another source of power to the jets. In Figure 1, we show the result of an exemplary simulation, which shows a snapshot taken from evolved run, with the distribution of magnetic field lines, magnetic and gas pressure ratio, and neutrino emissivity, in the region close to a black hole.




Figure 1. Magnetisation $\beta = P_{gas}/P_{mag}$ and magnetic field lines topology (**left**), and neutrino emissivity distribution (**right**) in the evolved accretion flow. Snapshots taken at time $t = 2000$ M. Black hole spin is $a = 0.98$.

3. Nucleosynthesis of Heavy Elements in the GRB Engine

In the astrophysical plasma, thermonuclear fusion occurs due to the capture and release of particles (n , p , α , γ). Reaction sequence produces further isotopes. The nuclear reactions may proceed with one (decays, electron-positron capture, photodissociation), two (encounters), or three (triple alpha reactions) nuclei. Therefore, the change of abundance of the i -th isotope is in general given by:

$$\dot{Y}_i = \sum_j N_j^i \lambda_j Y_j + \sum_{j,k} N_{j,k}^i \rho N_A Y_j Y_k + \sum_{j,k,l} N_{j,k,l}^i \rho^2 N_A^2 Y_j Y_k Y_l$$

Abundances of the isotopes are calculated under the assumption of nucleon number and charge conservation for a given density, temperature and electron fraction ($T \leq 1$ MeV). Integrated cross-sections depending on temperature kT are determined with the Maxwell-Boltzmann or Planck statistics, and the background screening and degeneracy of nucleons must be taken into account. The set of resulting non-linear differential equations is solved using the Euler method [22].

In our computations, we used the thermonuclear reaction network code, <http://webnucleo.org>, and we computed the nuclear statistical equilibria established for the fusion reactions, for which the data were taken from the *JINA reaclib* online database [23]. This network is appropriate for temperatures below 1 MeV, which is the case at the outer radii of accretion disks in GRB engines. The mass fraction of the isotopes is solved for converged profiles of density, temperature and electron fraction in the disk.

We find that the free nuclei are present mostly below 100–200 gravitational radii, and the plasma there is rather neutron rich. Then, most abundant heavy elements formed in the accretion tori in GRBs are Nickel, Iron and Cobalt, as well as Argonium, Titatnium, Cuprum, Zinc, Silicon, Sulphur, Clorium, Manganium, Titatnium, and Vanadium. Figure 2 shows the integrated abundance distribution of elements synthesized in the accretion disk.




Figure 2. Volume integrated abundance distribution of elements synthesized in the accretion disk, based on the 2D simulation. Parameters: disk to BH mass ratio of $M_d/M_{BH} = 0.25$, BH spin $a = 0.9$. Abundances were calculated from the density, temperature, and electron fraction profiles, as evolved to the time $t = 2000$ M (solid line) and $t = 3000$ M (dashed line), while the long-dashed line shows the distribution at time $t = 0$.

As a consequence of the heavy element formation, the enhanced emission in the lower energy bands, i.e., ultraviolet or optical, due to the decay of species, may accompany the GRB (the “macronova”; e.g., [24]). Also, the radio flares, occurring months to years after the GRB can be observed [25]. Furthermore, certain isotopes decay should be detectable via emission lines. For the *NuSTAR* satellite, sensitive in the 5–80 keV range, it’s in principle possible to detect the photons from Ti, Co, Mn, Cu, Zn, Ga, Cr unstable isotopes decays. Additionally, the EPIC detector onboard the

XMM-Newton, could be able to see lines below 15 keV, e.g., ^{45}Ti , ^{57}Mn , or ^{57}Co [26]. In Figure 3, we plot an example result of the nucleosynthesis computations, showing the volume integrated abundance distribution of elements, in the function of their mass number. The input was taken from the simulation of the GRB central engine, as designed to model the putative GRB that could possibly be associated with GW 150914 (see next Section).




Figure 3. Visualization of the spacetime curvature just after the black hole merger, described by the Weyl scalar ψ_4 , which shows the outgoing radiation for asymptotically flat spacetime (left), and an exemplary GW signal that can be detected in the instrument, shown by the time dependence of the real part of the $l = 2, m = 2$ components of ψ_4 (right).

4. Gravitational Wave Source GW150914

Data from Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) satellite suggested that the recently registered gravitational wave event GW150914 [27], a coalescing binary BH system, is potentially related to a GRB. The electromagnetic radiation in high energy (above 50 keV) originated from a weak transient source and lasted for about 1 s [28]. Its localization is broadly consistent with the sky location of GW150914.

We speculate here on the possible scenario for the formation of a GRB accompanied by the gravitational wave (GW) event. Even though the presence of the GRB was recently questioned by other instruments measurements (e.g., [29]), we envisage the possibility of a GRB coincident with the GW event from the BH merger to be worth exploring, in the context of the future observations.

Our model invokes coalescence of a collapsing star's nucleus that forms a BH, with its companion BH in a binary system [12,30]. We find that the recoil velocity acquired by the final BH through the GW emission allows it to take only a small fraction of matter from the host star, provided specific configuration of the binary spin vectors with the orbital angular momentum. The GRB is produced on the cost of accretion of this remnant matter onto the final BH. The moderate spin of this BH accounts for the GRB jet to be powered by a weak neutrino emission rather than the Blandford-Znajek mechanism, and hence agrees with the low power observed in the Fermi GRB signal.

The semi-analytical treatment of the BH core collapse and the star's envelope spin-up (see [31], for details) is followed by the general-relativistic simulations of the binary BH merger. Then, the GRB central engine evolution is carried numerically, to find the energy output from the magnetized, neutrino-cooled torus that powers the electromagnetic burst.

4.1. Merger of Binary BHs

The GW150914 event was interpreted to be a merger of two BHs of the masses of $36^{+5}_{-4} M_{\odot}$ and $29^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}$ [27]. The final BH parameters were estimated to be of $62^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}$ and $0.67^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$ for its mass and spin. Probabilities that the angles between spins and the normal to the orbital plane are between 45° and 135° are about 0.8 for each component BH. Spin magnitudes are constrained to be smaller than 0.7 and 0.8 at 90% probability. Assumption of a strict co-alignment of spins with the orbital angular momentum results in an upper limit of 0.2 and 0.3 for the spins. Distance to the source was that of 410^{+160}_{-180} Mpc, corresponding to a redshift of about $z = 0.09$ (assuming standard cosmology).

We made several runs for BH mass and range of spins, constrained from the Advanced LIGO data. In Figure 3, we plot the example results from the run with BH mass ratio of 0.82, and aligned spins of 0.2 and 0.3. The waveform is plotted using the Weyl scalar ψ_4 ¹. The final BH spin obtained in this simulation was 0.68.

These runs were performed with the Einstein Toolkit computational package [32]. The numerical methods used here are based on finite difference computations on a gridded mesh and follow the inspiral, merger and ringdown phases of the binary black hole evolution, using the technique of the adaptive mesh refinement. The Cartesian grid covers the volume of $48 \times 48 \times 48$ M. In AMR we use 7 levels of refinement, and the resolution ranges from $\Delta x = 2.0$ M for the coarsest grid to $\Delta x = 0.03125$ M for the finest grid. From the simulation runs, we constrained not only the spin of the merger black hole (it is then used to be a parameter in the GRB engine model), but also the velocity of the gravitational recoil. This turned out to be on the order of ~ 700 km/s. Following [33], we argue that it is likely that accretion of the accumulated matter onto the final BH is triggered while it moves towards the circumbinary disk after the merger.

4.2. Weak GRB Powered by Neutrino Emission

The Fermi GRB coincident with the GW150914 event had a duration of about 1 sec and appeared about 0.4 s after the GW signal. Within the limit of uncertainty of the Advanced LIGO and Fermi detectors' capabilities it could also be associated spatially. The GRB fluence in the range 1 keV–10 MeV, is of 2.8×10^{-7} erg·cm⁻². The implied source luminosity in gamma rays equals to $1.8_{-1.0}^{+1.5} \times 10^{49}$ erg/s.

We modeled the GRB engine, constrained by the post-merger conditions. Using the code *HARM-2D*, with the numerical EOS and neutrino cooling implemented into MHD evolution, we estimated the neutrino and Blandford-Znajek luminosities available to power the GRB jet in this source. In particular, the mass of the accreting torus, created from the circumbinary matter, was tuned to produce adequately low neutrino luminosity for a weak GRB. Example parameters for the BH mass of $M = 62M_\odot$, the BH spin of $a = 0.7$, and disk mass $M_d = 15M_\odot$ are consistent with the weak GRB luminosity. Assuming low efficiencies of conversion between neutrino annihilation, and weak conversion between the jet kinetic and radiative power, this scenario is able to meet quantitatively the limits put by the Fermi data. The disk mass is here just an order of magnitude estimate. We first checked, that a much larger mass, on the order of the mass of the merged black hole, is too large to be consistent with the observed limitations for luminosity. On the other hand, the supernova explosion which might have left a 30 Solar mass black hole, should have originated from at least 80 Solar mass star on zero-age main sequence, in a low-metallicity environment [34,35]. Even if a significant amount of the star's mass was ejected during the evolution and explosion, some remnant of this order is plausible.

The luminosity L_ν , emitted in neutrinos is simply equal to their volume integrated emissivity. To compute the energy flux through the horizon of the BH and the Blandford-Znajek luminosity, L_{BZ} , we need the electromagnetic part of the stress tensor,

$$T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu} = b^2 u^\mu u^\nu + \frac{b^2}{2} g^{\mu\nu} - b^\mu b^\nu, \quad (1)$$

¹ Curvature of spacetime is described by curvature tensor—the Riemann tensor (20 independent components), which can be decomposed into sum of Ricci tensor (10 independent components) and Weyl tensor (10 independent components). For vacuum solutions of Einstein equations Ricci tensor vanishes, so curvature is described by Weyl tensor only. In the Newman-Penrose formalism components of Weyl tensor are encoded as five complex Weyl scalars Ψ_0, \dots, Ψ_4 . These are different components of curvature tensor, which have different physical interpretation. Ψ_4 describes the outgoing radiation for an asymptotically flat spacetime.

where the four-vector b^μ with $b^t \equiv g_{i\mu} B^i u^\mu$ and $b^i \equiv (B^i + u^i b^t)/u^t$. We then evaluate the radial energy flux as the power of the Blandford-Znajek process:

$$L_{\text{BZ}} \equiv \dot{E} = 2\pi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sqrt{-g} F_E \quad (2)$$

where $F_E \equiv -T_t^r$. This can be subdivided into a matter $F_E^{(MA)}$ and electromagnetic $F_E^{(EM)}$ part, although in the force-free limit the matter part vanishes [36].

In Figure 4, left panel, we plot the values of L_{BZ} as it varies with time for various simulations, depending on the black hole spin. In the right panel, we show the corresponding evolution of the neutrino luminosity. The maximum of the neutrino luminosity obtained in our simulations is reached about 0.4 s after an equilibrium torus, prescribed by our initial conditions, had formed. This may tentatively give the lower limit for the timescale when the jet appears after the BH merger. The Blandford-Znajek luminosity is on the order of 10^{50} – 10^{51} ergs/s, and only occasionally non-zero for low spins (the jet is powered by magnetic field only episodically). We find therefore, that the GRB luminosity inferred from our simulations can be reconciled with the observational upper limits, for moderate spins of the final BH ($a = 0.6$ – 0.8).




Figure 4. Power available in the GRB engine through the neutrino emission (right), and through the magnetic field advected under the BH horizon (left).

The connection of our computation to the particular event GW150914 and the corresponding Fermi GRB is arguable because of several facts. At first, the flare produced by our simulation is longer than the reported very short duration of the GRB (1 s). However, the observed burst was close to the sensitivity limit of the instrument, so it is likely that it could observe just the very top of the flare and left the rest of the burst undetected. Moreover, if our line of sight is offset with respect to the jet axis and we can see only the edge of the jet, the detailed shape of the flare would be affected by the behaviour of the propagating jet. The time delay between the burst and gravitational signal can be significantly longer, if there is a massive star remnant, through which the jet has to propagate. The state of the remnant matter after the second BH collapse is very uncertain and needs to be studied in details in future works. However, we can speculate that the collapse and subsequent orbiting of the two BHs is violent enough to destroy the star, expel part of the matter away and form a dead circumbinary disc of the remnant matter, in which the accretion has stopped, around the BH binary. Then after the merger the new born black hole can receive a substantial kick towards the circumbinary disc (see our BH merger simulations in Section 4.1), can meet the matter in fraction of second and produce the burst. In that case, there is only little material left along the axis, through which the jet has to propagate,

and so the time delay can be quite short, on the order of a second. However, this speculative scenario needs both more theoretical modeling and mainly more future observations of coincident gravitational and electromagnetic signals, which are well above the instrumental limits.

5. Conclusions

- We have carried out numerical GR MHD simulations of the magnetized torus accreting onto BH in the GRB central engine. The EOS is no longer assumed to be of an ideal gas, but accounts for the proper microphysics and neutrino cooling of the GRB engine.
- The neutrino emission and absorption processes account for an additional pressure component in the plasma, and the species are partially degenerate. The resulting density and temperature, as well as the electron fraction, are then taken into account when determining the nuclear equilibrium conditions.
- We determine the abundances of heavy elements (above Helium, up to the mass number of ~ 100), which are synthesized in the accretion disk in GRB engine, and in the winds that are magnetically launched from its surface. The observational signatures of radioactive decay of some of the unstable isotopes can be, for instance, the emission lines seen in the X-rays (in principle, in the *NuSTAR* range), and also in the faint emission in lower energy band continuum (i.e., “kilonova” or “macronova” scenario).
- We compute and compare the efficiencies of the GRB jet powered through the neutrino annihilation, and the Blandford-Znajek mechanism. We find that the constraints of a moderate BH spin and a small disk mass to the BH mass ratio, are in tentative agreement with the Advanced LIGO and Fermi data, if the latter was really coincident with the GW event.
- A definite answer and a test for our scenario will be possible if further searches for the GW events will provide more data, also for their electromagnetic counterparts, in both gamma rays and lower energies.

We claim, that even though the observations are still subject to debate, the possible GRB-BBH merger coincidence is worth to investigate. The timescales obtained in our scenario are possibly more plausible for a long gamma ray burst scenario than for a short one (see also [37,38]), unless the Fermi burst itself was much longer, but below the detection limit. On the other hand, the model proposed by [39] can satisfy the duration and delay timescales, but the interpretation of a charged black hole and its observational signatures also needs further investigations.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in part by the grants no. DEC-2012/05/E/ST9/03914 and UMO-2014/14/M/ST9/00707 from the Polish National Science Center. We also acknowledge support from the Interdisciplinary Center for Mathematical Modeling of the Warsaw University, through the computational grant GB66-3.

Author Contributions: Agnieszka Janiuk and Szymon Charzynski designed and performed the numerical simulations presented in this work. Michal Bejger and Petra Sukova contributed to the discussion and conclusions. Agnieszka Janiuk wrote the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Chen, W.X.; Beloborodov, A.M. Neutrino-cooled Accretion Disks around Spinning Black Holes. *Astrophys. J.* **2007**, *657*, 383–399.
2. Di Matteo, T.; Perna, R.; Narayan, R. Neutrino Trapping and Accretion Models for Gamma-Ray Bursts. *Astrophys. J.* **2002**, *579*, 706–715.
3. Janiuk, A.; Yuan, Y.F. The role of black hole spin and magnetic field threading the unstable neutrino disk in gamma ray bursts. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2010**, *509*, A55.
4. Janiuk, A.; Yuan, Y.F.; Perna, R.; Di Matteo, T. Instabilities in the Time-Dependent Neutrino Disk in Gamma-Ray Bursts. *Astrophys. J.* **2007**, *664*, 1011–1025.
5. Janiuk, A.; Perna, R.; Di Matteo, T.; Czerny, B. Evolution of a neutrino-cooled disc in gamma-ray bursts. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2004**, *355*, 950–958.

6. Kohri, K.; Mineshige, S. Can Neutrino-cooled Accretion Disks Be an Origin of Gamma-Ray Bursts? *Astrophys. J.* **2002**, *577*, 311–321.
7. Kohri, K.; Narayan, R.; Piran, T. Neutrino-dominated Accretion and Supernovae. *Astrophys. J.* **2005**, *629*, 341–361.
8. Popham, R.; Woosley S.E.; Fryer, C. Hyperaccreting Black Holes and Gamma-Ray Bursts. *Astrophys. J.* **1999**, *518*, 356–374.
9. Reynoso, M.M.; Romero, G.E.; Sampayo, O.A. Precession of neutrino-cooled accretion disks in gamma-ray burst engines. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2006**, *454*, 11–16.
10. Barkov, M.V.; Baushev, A.N. Accretion of a massive magnetized torus on a rotating black hole. *New Astr.* **2011**, *16*, 46–56.
11. Janiuk, A.; Mioduszewski, P.; Moscibrodzka, M. Accretion and Outflow from a Magnetized, Neutrino Cooled Torus around the Gamma-Ray Burst Central Engine. *Astrophys. J.* **2013**, *776*, 105.
12. Janiuk, A.; Bejger, M.; Charzynski, S.; Sukova, P. On the possible gamma-ray burst-gravitational wave association in GW150914. *New Astr.* **2017**, *51*, 7–14.
13. Yuan, Y.-F. Electron-positron capture rates and a steady state equilibrium condition for an electron-positron plasma with nucleons. *Phys. Rev. D* **2005**, *72*, 013007.
14. Lattimer, J.M.; Swesty, D.F. A generalized equation of state for hot, dense matter. *Nucl. Phys. A* **1991**, *535*, 331–376.
15. Setiawan, S.; Ruffert, M.; Janka, H.-Th. Non-stationary hyperaccretion of stellar-mass black holes in three dimensions: Torus evolution and neutrino emission. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2004**, *352*, 753–758.
16. Reddy, S.; Prakash, M.; Lattimer, J.M. Neutrino interactions in hot and dense matter. *Phys. Rev. D* **1998**, *58*, 013009.
17. Gammie, C.F.; McKinney, J.C.; Tóth, G. HARM: A Numerical Scheme for General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamics. *Astrophys. J.* **2003**, *589*, 444–457.
18. Noble, S.C.; Gammie, C.F.; McKinney, J.C.; Del Zanna, L. Primitive Variable Solvers for Conservative General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamics. *Astrophys. J.* **2006**, *641*, 626–637.
19. Abramowicz, M.; Jaroszynski, M.; Sikora, M. Relativistic, accreting disks. *Astron. Astrophys.* **1978**, *63*, 221–224.
20. Fishbone, L.G.; Moncrief, V. Relativistic fluid disks in orbit around Kerr black holes. *Astrophys. J.* **1976**, *207*, 962–976.
21. Blandford, R.D.; Znajek, R.L. Electromagnetic extraction of energy from Kerr black holes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **1977**, *179*, 433–456.
22. Wallerstein, G.; Iben, I., Jr.; Parker, P.; Boesgaard, A.M.; Hale, G.M.; Champagne, A.E.; Barnes, C.A.; Käppeler, F.; Smith, V.V.; Hoffman, R.D.; et al. Synthesis of the elements in stars: Forty years of progress. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1997**, *69*, 995–1084.
23. Hix, W.R.; Meyer, B.S. Thermonuclear kinetics in astrophysics. *Nucl. Phys.* **2006**, *777*, 188–207.
24. Li, L.X.; Paczynski, B.P. Transient Events from Neutron Star Mergers. *Astrophys. J.* **1998**, *507*, L59.
25. Piran, T.; Nakar, E.; Rosswog, S. The electromagnetic signals of compact binary mergers. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2013**, *430*, 2121–2136.
26. Janiuk, A. Nucleosynthesis of heavy elements in gamma ray burst engines. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2014**, *568*, A105.
27. Abbott, B.P.; Abbott, R.; Abbott, T.D.; Abernathy, M.R.; Acernese, F.; Ackley, K.; Adams, C.; Adams, T.; Addesso, P.; Adhikari, R.X.; et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2016**, *116*, 061102.
28. Connaughton, V.; Burns, E.; Goldstein, A.; Blackburn, L.; Briggs, M.S.; Zhang, B.-B.; Camp, J.; Christensen, N.; Hui, C.M.; Jenke, P.; et al. Fermi GBM Observations of LIGO Gravitational-wave Event GW150914. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2016**, *826*, L6.
29. Greiner, J.; Burgess, J.M.; Savchenko, V.; Yu, H.-F. On the Fermi-GBM Event 0.4 s after GW150914. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2016**, *827*, L38.
30. Janiuk, A.; Charzynski, S.; Bejger, M. Long gamma ray bursts from binary black holes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2013**, *560*, A25.
31. Janiuk, A.; Proga, D.; Moderski, R. On the Duration of Long GRBs: Effects of Black Hole Spin. *Astrophys. J.* **2008**, *687*, 433–442.

32. Löffler, F.; Faber, J.; Bentivegna, E.; Bode, T.; Diener, P.; Haas, R.; Hinder, I.; Mundim, B.C.; Ott, C.D.; Schnetter, E.; et al. The Einstein Toolkit: A community computational infrastructure for relativistic astrophysics. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2012**, *29*, 115001.
33. Kocsis, B.; Haiman, Z.; Loeb, A. Gas pile-up, gap overflow and Type 1.5 migration in circumbinary discs: General theory. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2012**, *427*, 2660–2679.
34. Abbott, B.P.; Abbott, R.; Abbott, T.D.; Abernathy, M.R.; Acernese, F.; Ackley, K.; Adams, C.; Adams, T.; Addesso, P.; Adhikari, R.X.; et al. Astrophysical Implications of the Binary Black-hole Merger GW150914. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2016**, *818*, L22.
35. Spera, M.; Mapelli, M.; Bressan, A. The mass spectrum of compact remnants from the PARSEC stellar evolution tracks. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2015**, *451*, 4086–4103.
36. McKinney, J.C.; Gammie, C.F. A Measurement of the Electromagnetic Luminosity of a Kerr Black Hole. *Astrophys. J.* **2004**, *611*, 977–995.
37. Loeb, A. Electromagnetic Counterparts to Black Hole Mergers Detected by LIGO. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2016**, *819*, L21.
38. Perna, R.; Lazzati, D.; Giacomazzo, B. Short Gamma-Ray Bursts from the Merger of Two Black Holes. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2016**, *821*, L18.
39. Zhang, B. Mergers of Charged Black Holes: Gravitational-wave Events, Short Gamma-Ray Bursts, and Fast Radio Bursts. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2016**, *827*, L31.



© 2017 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).