

Communication



# **Comparison of RNAi Sequences in Insect-Resistant Plants to Expressed Sequences of a Beneficial Lady Beetle: A Closer Look at Off-Target Considerations**

## Margaret L. Allen

Biological Control of Pests Research Unit, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Stoneville, MS 38776, USA; meg.allen@ars.usda.gov; Tel.: +1-662-686-3647

Academic Editor: Brian T. Forschler Received: 21 October 2016; Accepted: 22 February 2017; Published: 1 March 2017

**Abstract:** Sequences obtained from transcriptomes of the lady beetle *Coleomegilla maculata* were compared to those designed for incorporation into crops. Searches of the transcriptomes identified sequences as the most likely to be closely similar to the sequences described in RNAi plant incorporated products. Some proposed prime RNAi pest management targets were also used to identify predicted orthologs from *C. maculata*. The lady beetle sequences were aligned with sequences from corn rootworms and Colorado potato beetles and, as appropriate in the case of targets, regions of similarity were compared with the genetic model organism for beetles, *Tribolium castaneum*. Some high levels of nucleotide identity were identified, particularly with an actin-derived sequence from Colorado potato beetle. This actin-derived sequence shared identical sequences with the lady beetle and a parasitic wasp.

Keywords: risk assessment; beneficial organism; genetic pest control; lady beetle

## 1. Introduction

One of the most promising emerging insect pest control technologies is based on molecular genetics, and is called RNA interference (RNAi). RNAi is a molecular mechanism that disrupts genes in a target insect prior to the construction of a critical protein, resulting in death of the insect. Double stranded RNA (dsRNA) designed to induce gene knock-down by RNAi in pest insects has been successfully demonstrated and is being developed for implementation in crop protection strategies [1]. RNAi was demonstrated targeting key beetle pests of maize, Zea mays L. (corn), the corn rootworms, and a devastating pest of potatoes, Solanum tuberosum L. the Colorado potato beetle (CBP), Leptinotarsa decemlineata Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) [2]. Specifically, for field implementation, a sequence from a gene in the genome of the western corn rootworm, Diabrotica virgifera virgifera LeConte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) was incorporated into genetically modified maize to combat the damage incurred by the larvae of this beetle and its close relatives to the roots of maize [3–6]. Another insect targeted for practical RNAi strategies was the Colorado potato beetle (CBP) [7,8]. The potential of RNAi as a tool for pest control is enormous because there are numerous pest targets with many critical genes that could be used in a crop protection, or other pest control, context. The critical genes of the target pest are very likely to be unique to the target because of the degenerate nature of the DNA coding sequence and the variation of genes between organisms. Therefore, a RNAi pest control strategy can be designed that is toxic only to the target insect.

On the other hand, some genes that are crucial to life are highly conserved, or very similar to one another. Genes that are vital to cellular structure and organization, often called "housekeeping genes" may be highly conserved between life forms. This conservation of genes has provided immense benefit to the field of genetics and medicine, because it allows scientists to study genetic mechanisms in one

organism, a model organism such as a mouse, a fly, a nematode, or a yeast, and predict mechanisms in humans or other non-model life forms. But if a gene has sufficient similarity at the nucleotide (nt) level, there exists the possibility of cross-species or non-target toxicity or other detrimental effect when RNAi is implemented for pest control. And while the quantity and availability of genomic sequencing data are increasing exponentially, beneficial organisms are infrequently sequenced. Thus, gene sequence-level comparisons for the purpose of predicting off-target effects are mostly unavailable.

The lady beetle *Coleomegilla maculata* De Geer (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) is a beneficial predator that feeds on the eggs of Colorado potato beetles [9] and also feeds on the pollen of maize [10] and is therefore specifically likely to come into direct contact with the RNAi applications associated with potatoes and maize. A transcriptome analysis of *C. maculata* was prepared in order to identify differences in gene expression based on adult utilization of foods: diets of pollen compared with insect eggs [11]. A primary rationale for selection of a highly inbred population and performing a sequence analysis on this insect was to establish a genetic foundation for further sequencing, with the aim of contributing to a fully sequenced genome of a representative non-target organism. The pair of transcriptomes were not annotated, and therefore could not be easily utilized for non-target analyses in silico when the RNAi products for maize and potato were in development.

This work describes direct comparison of some sequences obtained from the *C. maculata* transcriptomes to those designed for incorporation into crops [12]. Searches of the transcriptomes identified sequences as the most likely to be orthologous to the sequences described in RNAi plant incorporated products. Additionally, eleven proposed prime RNAi pest management targets [13] were used to search for similar sequences in the transcriptomes. The identified *C. maculata* sequences were aligned with sequences from corn rootworms and CPB as appropriate, and in the case of targets, regions of similarity were compared with the genetic model organism for beetles, *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae).

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### Identification of C. maculata Sequences and Comparisons

Transcriptomes of two individual adult insects that were fed two different diets as adults were sequenced and assembled into contigs between 201 base pairs (bp) and >26,000 bp in length [11]. These assembled contigs were compared to National Center for Biological Information (NCBI) Reference RNA sequences (refseq\_RNA) [14] and transcriptome shotgun assemblies (TSA) in GenBank using translated BLAST (tBLASTx) algorithm [15]. The spreadsheet generated was sorted and searched to identify sequences that were potentially homologous or orthologous to those evaluated for RNAi pest control sequences targeting D. v. virgifera [12] and L. decemlineata [7], insects in the same order as *C. maculata*, Coleoptera, and likely to be present in the same North American agroecosystems, maize and potato. Similarly, sequences designated as prime RNAi targets [13] were compared with the paired C. maculata transcriptomes after matching the T. castaneum sequences to GenBank reference mRNA/cDNA sequences. Candidate C. maculata sequences from each assembly were aligned to one another to verify their identity using the NCBI BLAST comparison of two nucleotides setting. Identical or nearly identical sequences were consolidated and reverse transcribed using online sequence manipulation suite (SMS) [16]. Sequences were then loaded into DNAStar EditSeq and aligned using DNAStar MegAlign (Version 12.0. DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). The identical portions of the sequences were saved and checked for protein coding translations using the ExPASy Swedish Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB) bioinformatics resource portal translate tool [17]. The translated sequences were compared to characterized genes using the portal's protein BLAST tool.

#### 3. Results

A single *C. maculata* sequence with very high similarity to the translated *D. v. virgifera* sequence encoding *Snf7* was found in each of the two transcriptomes. The two putative *C. maculata Snf7* 

sequences (CmacSnf7) were identical for 1108 nt and encoded a predicted full length protein of 219 amino acids (aa). The translated sequence is shown in Figure 1A. The predicted CmacSnf7 was aligned to the 240 nt used to develop insect resistant transgenic maize. The sequences and alignments of both nt and aa sequences are shown in Figure 1B. The aa identity was 55/80 or 69% and the nt identity was 188/254 or 74%. The nt alignment did not result in any continuous nt identities of >17 nt, the expected length for predicting possible off-target effects [13,18].

A single *C. maculata* sequence with very high similarity to the translated sequence encoding the lethal actin dsRNA *ACT* from *L. decemlineata* was found in each of the two transcriptomes. The two putative *C. maculata* sequences were identical in nucleotide sequence for 1505 nt. The translation of the two sequences identified a predicted full length protein of 376 aa (Figure 2A). The predicted *C. maculata* actin sequence (CmacAct) was aligned with the 297 nt used to develop transgenic insect resistant potato plants. The sequences and alignments of nt sequences are shown in Figure 2B. The aa identity was 100% and the nt identity was 257/296 or 87%. The nt alignment resulted in four continuous nt identities of 17 nt or longer, and these longer regions were adjacent to other identical regions (separated by one non-identical nt) (Figure 2B). There were no identical regions longer than 20 nt.

	aatta aatti												gtcta ATG M							
GGT	GGC	AAA	AAG	GAT	GAA	GCA	CCT	AGT	ACA	GGA	GCT	GCT	ATC	caa	AAA	CTT	CGG	GAA	ACT	G
G	G	K	K	D	E	A	P	S	T	G	A	A	I	Q	K	L	R	E	T	
GAG	ATG	TTA	AAT	AAG	AAA	caa	GCA	TTT	TTG	GAA	AAG	AAA	ATA	GAG	caa	GAA	ATT	GTC	ATT	G
E	M	L	N	K	K	Q	A	F	L	E	K	K	I	E	Q	E	I	V	I	
<mark>AAA</mark>	CAA	AAC	GCT	ACT	AAG	AAT	AAG	AGA	GCT	GCT	ATT	CAG	GCC	TTG	AAA	AGG	AAG	AAG	CGA	Т
K	Q	N	A	T	K	N	K	R	A	A	I	Q	A	L	K	R	K	K	R	
<mark>GAA</mark>	AAG	CAA	CTC	CAA	CAA	ATT	GAT	GGC	ACC	CTC	ACT	ACT	TTA	GAG	TTA	CAA	AGA	GGA	ACA	Т
E	K	Q	L	Q	Q	I	D	G	T	L	T	T	L	E	L	Q	R	G	T	
<mark>GAG</mark>	GAA	GCT	GTA	ACA	AAT	ACT	GAT	GTC	ATT	CAA	ACA	ATG	AAA	GAT	GCT	GCG	<mark>GAT</mark>	GCA	ATT	A
E	E	A	V	T	N	T	D	V	I	Q	T	M	K	D	A	A	D	A	I	
CAC	GCT	CAT	AAA	CAT	ATG	AAT	GTT	<mark>GAT</mark>	CAA	GTG	CAC	GAT	ATA	ATG	GAT	GAT	ATA	GCT	GAG	С
H	A	H	K	H	M	N	V	D	Q	V	H	D	I	M	D	D	I	A	E	
caa	GAT	GTA	GCT	AAT	GAA	ATA	TCA	CAA	GCT	ATT	AGC	AAT	CCT	ATT	GGT	TTC	GGT	GAA	GAT	A
Q	D	V	A	N	E	I	S	Q	A	I	S	N	P	I	G	F	G	E	D	
GAT	GAA	GAT	GAA	TTG	AAC	AAG	GAA	CTT	GAA	GAC	CTC	GAA	CAA	GAA	ACA	TTA	GAT	AGT	GAA	Т
D	E	D	E	L	N	K	E	L	E	D	L	E	Q	E	T	L	D	S	E	
CTC	GAT	ATC	ACT	TTA	CCT	GCC	GAT	AAA	CTT	CCT	GAT	GTA	CCT	AAA	GAA	GCT	GTG	AAA	CCC	A
L	D	I	T	L	P	A	D	K	L	P	D	V	P	K	E	A	V	K	P	
CCA	ACT	TCA	TCC	AAA	AAA	GCT	GTT	GAA	GAT	GAT	GAG	GAT	ATG	AAG	GCC	TTA	GCA	GAA	TGG	G
P	T	S	S	K	K	A	V	E	D	D	E	D	M	K	A	L	A	E	W	

atttcgcctcttgattgaaaataaattgctttaacttgtaaatatgatgtacacattgagaaaaaactacaaaatattcgaaaact tggaattatatatcacaatgaaaaacatgaattgaaagtttctgatagttgtcaaatattatttcattattctaaaaagatggct tttgtaatggttaggagttcattaatatttttgctaactcataattatttgattatatgttgaagatct

Figure 1. Cont.

B. 240 nt Monsanto Snf7 sequence from Diabrotica virgifera virgifera: gca aag aaa aat gcg tcg aaa aat aaa aga gtt gca ctc caa gcc ctc aaa aag aag aaa cga TTG GAA AAG ACC CAA CTA CAA ATA GAT GGA ACC CTT ACA ACT ATT GAA ATG CAG AGG GAA GCC CTC GAA GGA GCT AGC ACA AAT ACT GCT GTA TTA GAT TCT ATG AAA AAT GCT GCA GAT GCC CTT AAG AAA GCT CAT AAG AAT TTG AAT GTA GAT GAT GAT GTT CAC GAT ATC ATG GAT 240 nt from Coleomegilla maculata predicted homolog: GCA AAA CAA AAC GCT ACT AAG AAT AAG AGA GCT GCT ATT CAG GCC TTG AAA AGG AAG AAG CGA TAT GAA AAG CAA CTC CAA CAA ATT GAT GGC ACC CTC ACT ACT TTA GAG TTA CAA AGA GGA ACA TTA GAG GAA GCT GTA ACA AAT ACT GAT GTC ATT CAA ACA ATG AAA GAT GCT GCG GAT GCA ATT AAG CAC GCT CAT AAA CAT ATG AAT GTT GAT CAA GTG CAC GAT ATA ATG GAT D. v. virgifera GCA AAG AAA AAT GCG TCG AAA AAT AAA AGA GTT GCA CTC CAA GCC CTC AAA 1 111 GCA AAA CAA AAC GCT ACT AAG AAT AAG AGA GCT GCT ATT CAG GCC TTG AAA C. maculata 0 + + + D. v. virgifera AAG AAG AAA CGA TTG GAA AAG ACC CAA CTA CAA ATA GAT GGA ACC CTT ACA 1 1 111 11 111 1 111 111 AGG AAG AAG CGA TAT GAA AAG CAA CTC CAA CAA ATT GAT GGC ACC CTC ACT C. maculata 0 0 0 0 D. v. virgifera ACT ATT GAA ATG CAG AGG GAA GCC CTC GAA GGA GCT AGC ACA AAT ACT GCT - 111 111 111 1 1 C. maculata ACT TTA GAG TTA CAA AGA GGA ACA TTA GAG GAA GCT GTA ACA AAT ACT GAT 0 0 0 0 D. v. virgifera GTA TTA GAT TCT ATG AAA AAT GCT GCA GAT GCC CTT AAG AAA GCT CAT AAG C. maculata GTC ATT CAA ACA ATG AAA GAT GCT GCG GAT GCA ATT AAG CAC GCT CAT AAA + 0 + 0 D. v. virgifera AAT TTG AAT GTA GAT GAT GTT CAC GAT ATC ATG GAT C. maculata CAT ATG AAT GTT GAT CAA GTG CAC GAT ATA ATG GAT 0 188/254 nt identities(74%) with 28/254 gaps (11%). D. v. virgifera AKKNASKNKRVALQALKKKKRLEKTQLQIDGTLTTIEMQREALEGASTNTAVLDSMKNAA AK+NA+KNKR A+QALK+KKR EK QIDGTLTT+E+QR LE A TNT V+ +MK+AA AKQNATKNKRAAIQALKRKKRYEKQLQQIDGTLTTLELQRGTLEEAVTNTDVIQTMKDAA C. maculata D. v. virgifera DALKKAHKNLNVDDVHDIMD DA+K AHK++NVD VHDIMD C. maculata DAIKHAHKHMNVDQVHDIMD 55/80 aa identities (69%) with 67/80 similarities (83%) and no gaps.

**Figure 1.** Predicted sequence and translation from *Coleomegilla maculata* transcriptomes most similar to *Diabrotica virgifera Virgifera Snf7* used to design rootworm resistant maize. (**A**) Complete cDNA sequence. Highlighted section is the portion of the sequence matching the maize RNAi transgene. Upper case indicates translated sequence, lower case untranslated; (**B**) Alignment of the RNAi transgene regions. Lines between nucleotides indicate identity, identical letters between amino acid sequences indicate identity. The symbol (+) indicates an amino acid substitution by a similar residue, while a (0) or a blank between nucleotide or amino acid letter, respectively, indicates a non-similar substitution. Bottom: amino acid alignment.

Α.

		ç	gtat	tcagt	tcagt	tege	caago	ctat	tcag	ctt <mark>c</mark>	gagti	tctt	tctg	tctg	tgcat	tctg	tgta	acgta	aaati	taga	catc
ATG	TGT	GAC	GAC	GAT	GTT	GCG	GCT	CTT	GTC	GTT	GAC	AAT	GGT	TCC	GGT	ATG	TGC	AAG	GCC	GGT	TTC
M	C	D	D	D	V	A	A	L	V	V	D	N	G	S	G	M	C	K	A	G	F
GCT	GGG	GAT	GAT		CCA		GCC	GTG		CCA			GTT		CGC	CCA		CAT	CAG	GGT	GTG
A	G	D	D	A	P	R	A	V	F	P	S	I	V	G	R	P	R	Н	Q	G	V
ATG	GTT	GGT	ATG	GGA	CAA	AAA	GAC	TCA	TAT	GTA	GGA	GAT	GAA	GCT	CAA	AGC	AAG	AGA	GGT	ATT	CTC
M	V	G	M	G	Q	K	D	S	Y	V	G	D	E	A	Q	S	K	R	G	I	L
ACC	TTG	AAA	TAC	CCC	ATC	GAA	CAT	GGA	ATC	ATC	ACC	AAC	TGG	GAT	GAT	AT <sub>G</sub>	GAA	AAA	ATC	TGG	CAC
Т	L	K	Y	Ρ	Ι	Ε	Η	G	I	I	Т	Ν	W	D	D	М	Е	K	Ι	W	Η
CAC	ACC	TTC	TAC	AAC	GAA	CTC	CGT	GTA	GCA	CCA	GAA	GAA	CAC	CCT	GTC	CTT	TTG	ACT	GAA	GCT	CC#
H	T	F	Y	N	E	L	R	V	A	P	E	E	H	P	V	L	L	T	E	A	P
TG	AAC	CCA	AAA	GCT	AAC	AGA	GAA	AAA	ATG	ACC	CAA	ATC	ATG	TTT	GAG	ACC	TTT	AAC	ACA	CCA	GCI
L	N	P	K	A	N	R	E	K	M	T	Q	I	M	F	E	T	F	N	T	P	A
ATG	TAT	GTC	GCC	ATC	CAA	GCT	GTA	CTA	TCT	TTG	TAT	GCC	TCT	GGT	CGT	ACC	ACC	GGT	ATC	GTT	TTO
M	Y	V	A	I	Q	A	V	L	S	L	Y	A	S	G	R	T	T	G	I	V	L
GAC	TCA	GGA	GAT	GGT	GTA	TCT	CAC	ACT	GTA	CCA	ATC	TAT	GAA	GGT	TAC	GCC	CTT	CCT	CAC	GCC	ATC
D	S	G	D	G	V	S	H	T	V	P	I	Y	E	G	Y	A	L	P	H	A	I
CTC	CGT	CTT	GAC	TTG	GCT	GGT	CGT	GAC	TTG	ACC	GAC	TAC	CTT	ATG	AAA	ATC	CTC	ACC	GAA	AGG	GGI
L	R	L	D	L	A	G	R	D	L	T	D	Y	L	M	K	I	L	T	E	R	G
TAC	TCA	TTC	ACC	ACC	ACC	GCT	GAG	AGG	GAA	ATC	GTT	CGT	GAC	ATC	AAG	GAG	AAA	CTT	TGC	TAT	GT(
Y	S	F	T	T	T	A	E	R	E	I	V	R	D	I	K	E	K	L	C	Y	V
CC	CTC	GAC	TTC	GAA	CAG	GAA	ATG	GCC	ACC	GCC	GCT	GCT	TCC	ACC	TCA	TTG	GAG	AAA	TCC	TAT	GAA
A	L	D	F	E	Q	E	M	A	T	A	A	A	S	T	S	L	E	K	S	Y	E
TT	CCC	GAC	GGT	CAA	GTT	ATC	ACC	ATC	GGT	AAC	GAA	AGA	TTC	CGT	TGC	CCT	GAA	GCC	CTC	TTC	CAI
L	P	D	G	Q	V	I	T	I	G	N	E	R	F	R	C	P	E	A	L	F	Q
CT	TCC	TTC	TTG	GGT	ATG	GAA	TCC	TGT	GGT	ATT	CAT	GAA	ACT	GTC	TAC	AAC	TCC	ATC	ATG	AAG	TG1
P	S	F	L	G	M	E	S	C	G	I	H	E	T	V	Y	N	S	I	M	K	C
AC	GTC	GAT	ATC	CGT	AAG	GAC	TTG	TAC	GCC	AAC	ACC	GTA	CTC	TCT	GGT	GGT	ACC	ACC	ATG	TAC	CC(
	V	D	I	R	K	D	L	Y	A	N	T	V	L	S	G	G	T	T	M	Y	P
					ATG M													AAG K		AAG K	
					AGG R	~							GGA G				GCT A	TCC	CTA L	TCC	ACO
TC	CAA	CAG	ATG	TGG	ATC	TCC	AAA	CAA	GAA	TAC	GAC	GAA	TCC	GGC	CCT	GGA	ATT	GTC	CAC	CGC	AAA
F TGC C	Q TTC F	Q TAA stop		W caati	I taati	S tttad	K catco	Q ettto	E gtca	Y tcato	D gttg	E	s gtati	G tata	P ctcaa	G aaaat	I tctt <sup>.</sup>	V tttta	H ataga	R atgco	K gact

Figure 2. Cont.

L. decemlineata	4	cgaggtttttctgtctagtg-agcagtgtccaacctcaaa-agacaacATGTGTGACGAC	61
C. maculata	4	cgagttctttctgtct-gtgcatctgtgtaacgtaaattagacatcATGTGTGACGAC	60
L. decemlineata	62	GATGTAGCGGCTCTTGTCGTAGACAATGGATCCGGTATGTGCAAAGCCGGTTTCGCAGGA	121
C. maculata	61	GATGTTGCGGCTCTTGTCGTTGACAATGGTTCCGGTATGTGCAAGGCCGGTTTCGCTGGG	120
L. decemlineata	122	GATGACGCACCCCGTGCCGTCTTCCCCTCGATCGTCGGTCG	181
C. maculata	121	GATGATGCCCCACGTGCCGTGTTCCCATCCATCGTTGGTCGCCCAAGGCATCAGGGTGTG	180
L. decemlineata	182	ATGGTCGGTATGGGACAAAAGGACTCATACGTAGGAGATGAAGCCCAAAGCAAAAGAGGT	241
C. maculata	181	ATGGTTGGTATGGGACAAAAAGACTCATATGTAGGAGATGAAGCTCAAAGCAAGAGAGGGT	240
L. decemlineata	242	ATCCTCACCCTGAAATACCCCATCGAACACGGTATCATCACCAACTGGGATGACAT 297	
C. maculata	241	ATTCTCACCTTGAAATACCCCATCGAACATGGAATCATCACCAACTGGGATGATAT 296	
257/296 nt ident	ities	(87%) with 5/296 gaps (1%).	

Identical translation: MCDDDVAALVVDNGSGMCKAGFAGDDAPRAVFPSIVGRPRHQGVMVGMGQKDSYVGDEAQSKRG ILTLKYPIEHGIITNWDD

**Figure 2.** Predicted sequence and translation from *Coleomegilla maculata* transcriptomes most similar to *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*  $\beta$ -actin used to design beetle resistant potato. (**A**) Complete cDNA sequence. Highlighted section is the portion of the sequence matching the potato RNAi transgene. Upper case indicates translated sequence, lower case untranslated. Start sites are underscored in unaligned nt sequences; (**B**) Alignment of the RNAi transgene regions. Translation start sites are boxed. Lines between nucleotides indicate identity, highlighting indicates continuous regions of nucleotide identity. Translated sequence is not included with nucleotides and translation is shown without alignment because all translated residues are identical.

Eleven sequences from the *T. castaneum* genome predicted as prime target genes for RNAi disruption [13] were used to seek similar sequences in the *C. maculata* transcriptomes. The search results are summarized in Table 1; three of the sequences did not result in a probable match, but the other eight were highly similar to both the aa and nt sequences from unique predicted genes. Each pair of predicted *C. maculata* sequence encoded at least one full length translation; one sequence predicted four isoforms (the contigs similar to L82/*gw*, predicted reference sequence XM\_015982857). Only one of the eight predicted gene sequences, the one similar to L55/*pp1 alpha-96a*, predicted reference sequence XM\_001813922, did not contain a region of 17 or more contiguous nucleotide identities.

## Insects 2017, 8, 27

0 1 101		Sequence Ident	ification from <i>C. macu</i>	ulata Transcriptomes	T. castaneum vs. C. maculata					
Symbol/Name	Refseq ID -	Pollen-Fed	Insect Egg-Fed	Similarity (C. mac)	aa Identities	nt Similarity	17+ nt Matches			
L10/cact	NM_001163711	not found	not found	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
L11/ <i>srp54k</i>	XM_962796	comp3017	comp3093	1558/1558	474/508	1104/1415 (78%)	2			
L44/rop	NM_001170684	comp12493	comp11584	2442/2449	528/590	1321/1781 (74%)	4			
L47/alpha snap	XM_968056	comp8167	comp5787	2338/2338	212/241	651/879 (74%)	1			
L50/shi	XM_008200600	not found	not found	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
L55/pp1alpha-96a	XM_001813922	comp10238	comp10443	1751/1752	318/327	781/986 (79%)	0			
L67/inr-a	XM_008194324	not found	not found	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
L76/hsc70-3	XM_008202764	comp14394	comp13114	2433/2488	598/645	1528/1924 (79%)	8/7			
L80/rpn7	XM_968550	comp12477	comp14743	1296/1301	322/389	849/1173 (72%)	1			
L82/gw	XM_015982857	comp8599	comp10202	4423/4424	967/1388	1781/2609 (68%)	11			
L84/rpt3	XM_962883	comp3229	comp12416	1406/1434	393/409	907/1211 (75%)	1			

**Table 1.** Eleven novel RNAi target genes from *Tribolium castaneum* compared with *Coleomegilla maculata* predicted homologue sequences.

#### 4. Discussion

RNAi was initially described as an intracellular, or cell autonomous, process. It was soon further elucidated as a systemic process within multicellular organisms, and then shown to function through external exposure via feeding or soaking an organism [12]. Introduction of dsRNA to an organism from an external source has been termed "environmental RNAi" (eRNAi) [19]. The potential for eRNAi as a crop protection strategy was recognized and tested in nematodes [20,21] and insects [2,22] soon after demonstration in model organisms. Progression to a practical field implementation against the target insect D. v. virgifera followed rapidly [3,4,6], and research progressed to include addressing concerns of impact to non-target organisms. A thorough set of studies to assess risk to non-target arthropods concluded in field tests that the presence of beneficials, identified as predatory earwigs, lacewings, lady beetles, minute pirate bugs, parasitic wasps, and spiders, in statistically similar quantities indicated no risk [23]. Plot sizes designated for the counts were less than 100  $m^2$ , and the life stage of arthropods counted was not specified. Studies have also included laboratory bioassays, and C. maculata was one of the laboratory test species for risk assessment. When treated with diet incorporating the Snf7 dsRNA, results conclusively demonstrated no ill effects [3]. For lady beetles, there is wide variation among species in dietary habits. Most are predatory, although the Mexican bean beetle, Epilachna varivestis Mulsant (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), is a serious pest and feeds on living plant tissue. While some predatory lady beetles specialize on a single prey species, some are more catholic in dietary choices. The North American native species (or species complex) C. maculata is known to consume pollen as a substantial portion of its diet [10,24]. This species, a logical choice for non-target testing in North America, was included as one of the non-target arthropods for environmental risk testing. The sequence comparison provided here and shown in Figure 1B provides further demonstration that the dsRNA used for transgenic corn rootworm resistant maize varieties should not interfere with the predicted CmacSnf7 gene. The nt alignment did not result in any continuous nt identities of >17 nt, a predicted minimal length suggested for predicting off-target effects [13,18]. In fact, the longest series of nt identities of the sequences was 9. While predicting off-target effects by searching for 17+ continuous nt similarity is not a certainty, particularly when considering diverse organisms such as insects, short RNAs as small as 17 nt may produce gene interference in some insects [13].

In a series of innovative experiments, dsRNA constructs were inserted into the genome of potato plant chloroplasts [7]. This strategy increased the likelihood of delivery of the effective long dsRNAs to the target pest, L. decemlineata, and was shown to be highly lethal [7]. A dsRNA similar to the D. v. virgifera sequence Snf7, SHR, was less lethal than a dsRNA from a portion of the sequence from an actin gene [7]. The CmacSnf7 sequence had even less identity to the 220 nt sequence tested in L. decemlineata, SHR, 142/212 or 67% (compare to Figure 1B). The longest identical nt segment was 12 nt (not shown). However, the actin gene used in the experiments was very similar to the CmacAct gene identified from transcriptomes. While there were no identical regions longer than 21 nt, the canonical effective siRNA length, the region between nt 60 and nt 115 has only four mismatches. This similarity warrants further risk assessment studies if the actin gene sequence is further implemented as a crop protection strategy. C. maculata is known to consume the eggs of L. decemlineata, and in a potato agroecosystem it is possible that the pest eggs could constitute substantial portion of the beneficial lady beetles' diet. While it could be argued that eggs have not fed and could not contain plant-derived dsRNA, it could also be possible that a female adult L. decemlineata feeding on dsRNA could transfer some portion of the dsRNA to eggs during oogenesis, exposing the beneficial insect. More disturbingly, the L. decemlineata ACT sequence is closely identical to a sequence on file in GenBank for a species of commercially produced beneficial generalist parasitoid, Trichogramma pretiosum Riley (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammidae), with continuous nt identity regions up to 32 nt long (sequence XM\_014379004.1).

For future RNAi pest control development, a robust screen of the genetic model insect *T. castaneum* indicated some categories of genes and eleven specific candidate genes for use [13]. Direct comparison of the eleven candidate genes clearly identified eight predicted orthologs in *C. maculata* (Table 1). While some of the identified transcriptome sequences were not precisely alike in the two specimen

assemblies, the variations could be explained by minor sequencing error, different alleles containing nucleotide polymorphisms, or diet-induced expression variation. The three genes that were not found could be present in the *C. maculata* genome but were not expressed in the adult stage that was used for transcriptome sequencing. The 17+ nt identity occurrences between two distantly related beetles, *T. castaneum* and *C. maculata*, suggests that careful analysis of non-target species when choosing target genes is warranted. That being said, the eight predicted potential target genes compared in Table 1 were long enough to provide ample portions of sequence for dsRNA construction while avoiding those sites with non-target nt identity. Sequencing of the genomes or transcriptomes of an increasing number of non-target species should be undertaken to support good decision making. Decisions concerning non-targets for sequencing or bioassays could be assisted by tools such as the database described for use in portions of Europe [25]. An elegant tool designed to compare RNAi targets for one species against other species was developed [26]. However, without available sequences from beneficial and benign species the program has limited utility.

### 5. Conclusions

The analyses performed here, sequence comparisons based on transcriptome data from a limited, highly inbred sample, can neither guarantee biosafety nor prove environmental risk. Nonetheless, the close identity of actin sequences demonstrated by this analysis may serve as an illustration for selection of target genes intended for commercialization. There is an enormous quantity of potential RNAi targets for use in both research and agricultural implementation. The selectivity and specificity of RNAi has great potential, as future research and development will doubtless prove. Further sequencing of non-target organisms will speed up and enhance target gene choice and support risk assessments.

**Acknowledgments:** An earlier version of this manuscript was reviewed by William Rodney Cooper, C. Doug Boyette, and Michael Grodowitz, and the comments and suggestions provided helpful improvement. Additional suggestions were provided by anonymous reviewers. The United States Government has the right to retain a non-exclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright of this article. This article reports the results of research only; mention of a commercial or proprietary product does not constitute an endorsement of the product by the United States Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Poelchau, M.F.; Coates, B.S.; Childers, C.P.; Peréz de León, A.A.; Evans, J.D.; Hackett, K.; Shoemaker, D. Agricultural applications of insect ecological genomics. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2016, 13, 61–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baum, J.A.; Bogaert, T.; Clinton, W.; Heck, G.R.; Feldmann, P.; Ilagan, O.; Johnson, S.; Plaetinck, G.; Munyikwa, T.; Pleau, M.; et al. Control of coleopteran insect pests through RNA interference. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2007, 25, 1322–1326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bachman, P.M.; Bolognesi, R.; Moar, W.J.; Mueller, G.M.; Paradise, M.S.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Tan, J.; Uffman, J.P.; Warren, J.; Wiggins, B.E.; et al. Characterization of the spectrum of insecticidal activity of a double-stranded RNA with targeted activity against western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera LeConte*). *Transgenic Res.* **2013**, *22*, 1207–1222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bolognesi, R.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Anderson, J.; Bachman, P.; Clinton, W.; Flannagan, R.; Ilagan, O.; Lawrence, C.; Levine, S.; Moar, W.; et al. Characterizing the mechanism of action of double-stranded RNA activity against western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte). *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e47534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koci, J.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Bolognesi, R.; Segers, G.; Flannagan, R.; Park, Y. Ultrastructural changes caused by Snf7 RNAi in larval enterocytes of western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte). PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e83985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Ramaseshadri, P.; Segers, G.; Flannagan, R.; Wiggins, E.; Clinton, W.; Ilagan, O.; McNulty, B.; Clark, T.; Bolognesi, R. Physiological and cellular responses caused by RNAi- mediated suppression of *Snf7* orthologue in western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*) larvae. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e54270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Zhang, J.; Khan, S.A.; Hasse, C.; Ruf, S.; Heckel, D.G.; Bock, R. Full crop protection from an insect pest by expression of long double-stranded RNAs in plastids. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 991–994. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Zhu, F.; Xu, J.J.; Palli, R.; Ferguson, J.; Palli, S.R. Ingested RNA interference for managing the populations of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2011**, 67, 175–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Mallampalli, N.; Gould, F.; Barbosa, P. Predation of Colorado potato beetle eggs by a polyphagous ladybeetle in the presence of alternate prey: Potential impact on resistance evolution. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **2005**, *114*, 47–54. [CrossRef]
- 10. Lundgren, J.G.; Wiedenmann, R.N. Nutritional suitability of corn pollen for the predator *Coleomegilla maculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *J. Insect Physiol.* **2004**, *50*, 567–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Allen, M.L. Characterization of adult transcriptomes from the omnivorous lady beetle *Coleomegilla maculata* fed pollen or insect egg diet. *J. Genom.* **2015**, *3*, 20–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ivashuta, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wiggins, B.E.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Segers, G.C.; Johnson, S.; Meyer, S.E.; Kerstetter, R.A.; McNulty, B.C.; Bolognesi, R.; et al. Environmental RNAi in herbivorous insects. *RNA* 2015, 21, 840–850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ulrich, J.; Dao, V.A.; Majumdar, U.; Schmitt-Engel, C.; Schwirz, J.; Schultheis, D.; Strohlein, N.; Troelenberg, N.; Grossmann, D.; Richter, T.; et al. Large scale RNAi screen in *Tribolium* reveals novel target genes for pest control and the proteasome as prime target. *BMC Genom.* 2015, *16*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Pruitt, K.D.; Tatusova, T.; Brown, G.R.; Maglott, D.R. NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): Current status, new features and genome annotation policy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2012**, *40*, D130–D135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Boratyn, G.M.; Camacho, C.; Cooper, P.S.; Coulouris, G.; Fong, A.; Ma, N.; Madden, T.L.; Matten, W.T.; McGinnis, S.D.; Merezhuk, Y.; et al. BLAST: A more efficient report with usability improvements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013, 41, W29–W33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Stothard, P. The sequence manipulation suite: JavaScript programs for analyzing and formatting protein and DNA sequences. *BioTechniques* **2000**, *28*, 1102–1104. [PubMed]
- 17. Artimo, P.; Jonnalagedda, M.; Arnold, K.; Baratin, D.; Csardi, G.; de Castro, E.; Duvaud, S.; Flegel, V.; Fortier, A.; Gasteiger, E.; et al. Expasy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2012**, *40*, W597–W603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schmitt-Engel, C.; Schultheis, D.; Schwirz, J.; Strohlein, N.; Troelenberg, N.; Majumdar, U.; Dao, V.A.; Grossmann, D.; Richter, T.; Tech, M.; et al. The iBeetle large-scale RNAi screen reveals gene functions for insect development and physiology. *Nat. Commun.* 2015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Whangbo, J.S.; Hunter, C.P. Environmental RNA interference. *Trends Genet.* 2008, 24, 297–305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Huang, G.Z.; Allen, R.; Davis, E.L.; Baum, T.J.; Hussey, R.S. Engineering broad root-knot resistance in transgenic plants by RNAi silencing of a conserved and essential root-knot nematode parasitism gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 14302–14306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yadav, B.C.; Veluthambi, K.; Subramaniam, K. Host-generated double stranded RNA induces RNAi in plant-parasitic nematodes and protects the host from infection. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 2006, 148, 219–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Mao, Y.B.; Cai, W.J.; Wang, J.W.; Hong, G.J.; Tao, X.Y.; Wang, L.J.; Huang, Y.P.; Chen, X.Y. Silencing a cotton bollworm p450 monooxygenase gene by plant-mediated RNAi impairs larval tolerance of gossypol. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *25*, 1307–1313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahmad, A.; Negri, I.; Oliveira, W.; Brown, C.; Asiimwe, P.; Sammons, B.; Horak, M.; Jiang, C.; Carson, D. Transportable data from non-target arthropod field studies for the environmental risk assessment of genetically modified maize expressing an insecticidal double-stranded RNA. *Transgenic Res.* 2016, 25, 1–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lundgren, J.G.; Huber, A.; Wiedenmann, R.N. Quantification of consumption of corn pollen by the predator *Coleomegilla maculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) during anthesis in an Illinois cornfield. *Agric. For. Entomol.* 2005, 7, 53–60. [CrossRef]

- 25. Romeis, J.; Meissle, M.; Alvarez-Alfageme, F.; Bigler, F.; Bohan, D.A.; Devos, Y.; Malone, L.A.; Pons, X.; Rauschen, S. Potential use of an arthropod database to support the non-target risk assessment and monitoring of transgenic plants. *Transgenic Res.* **2014**, *23*, 995–1013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Good, R.T.; Varghese, T.; Golz, J.F.; Russell, D.A.; Papanicolaou, A.; Edwards, O.; Robin, C. Offtarget Finder: A web tool for species-specific RNAi design. *Bioinformatics* **2016**, *32*, 1232–1234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2017 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).