						M/=:	
Study	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
PDADMAS 2011	18	100			[0.11; 0.26]	0.7%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2011	15	125			[0.07; 0.18]	0.9%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2011	43 81	100 844			[0.33; 0.53] [0.08; 0.12]	0.7% 5.8%	1.4% 1.4%
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2011	34	100			[0.08, 0.12]	0.7%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2014	5	65			[0.02; 0.16]	0.5%	1.3%
PDADMAS 2012	51	58			[0.78; 0.95]	0.4%	1.3%
Ahuja et al 2014	138	264			[0.46; 0.58]	1.8%	1.4%
Ahuja et al 2014 Bhaskar et al 2015	97 6	252 19			[0.33; 0.45] [0.12; 0.55]	1.7% 0.1%	1.4% 1.2%
Choori et al 2015	89	218		0.41		1.5%	1.4%
Lalthaswammawii 2008	20	350	*		[0.03; 0.08]	2.4%	1.4%
Mahajan et al 2011	18	49			[0.24; 0.51]	0.3%	1.3%
Mukherjee et al 2018* Mukherjee et al 2018*	382 193	500 292			[0.73; 0.80] [0.61; 0.71]	3.4% 2.0%	1.4% 1.4%
Mukherjee et al 2018*	282	1106			[0.23; 0.28]	7.6%	1.4%
Nandi et al 2011	10	11			[0.65; 1.00]	0.1%	1.1%
Nandi et al 2011	126	171			[0.67; 0.80]	1.2%	1.4%
Nandi et al 2011	40 157	46		0.87		0.3%	1.3%
Nandi et al 2011 Nandi et al 2011	43	296 70			[0.47; 0.59] [0.50; 0.73]	2.0% 0.5%	1.4% 1.4%
Nandi et al 2011	118	147			[0.73; 0.86]	1.0%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2015	54	1120	•		[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2015	38	100			[0.29; 0.48]	0.7%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2014	15	36			[0.26; 0.58]	0.3%	1.3%
PDADMAS 2014 PDADMAS 2014	25 16	87 51			[0.20; 0.39] [0.19; 0.45]	0.6% 0.4%	1.4% 1.3%
PDADMAS 2014	16	43			[0.23; 0.52]	0.3%	1.3%
PDADMAS 2014	35	52	· · · · ·		[0.54; 0.79]	0.4%	1.3%
PDADMAS 2014	22	46			[0.33; 0.62]	0.3%	1.3%
PDADMAS 2014	31	58			[0.40; 0.66]	0.4%	1.3%
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	1	5 1			[0.00; 0.68] [0.00; 1.00]	0.0% 0.0%	0.9% 0.5%
NIVEDI 2015	o	2			[0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7%
NIVEDI 2015	0	1			[0.00; 1.00]	0.0%	0.5%
NIVEDI 2015	15	25	·		[0.40; 0.79]	0.2%	1.3%
NIVEDI 2015	11	61			[0.09; 0.29]	0.4%	1.3%
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	0	27 8			[0.00; 0.06] [0.01; 0.62]	0.2% 0.1%	1.3% 1.1%
NIVEDI 2015	2	29			[0.00; 0.20]	0.2%	1.3%
NIVEDI 2015	26	100			[0.18; 0.35]	0.7%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2016	66	105	· · · ·		[0.53; 0.72]	0.7%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2016 NIVEDI 2016	44 142	59 192			[0.63; 0.85] [0.67; 0.80]	0.4% 1.3%	1.3% 1.4%
NIVEDI 2016	7	9			[0.44; 0.99]	0.1%	1.1%
NIVEDI 2016	0	2			[0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7%
NIVEDI 2016	0	2			[0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7%
NIVEDI 2017	15	73			[0.12; 0.31]	0.5%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2017 NIVEDI 2017	189 65	509 304		0.37	[0.33; 0.41] [0.17; 0.26]	3.5% 2.1%	1.4% 1.4%
NIVEDI 2017	119	270			[0.38; 0.50]	1.9%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2017	26	72			[0.25; 0.48]	0.5%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2017	11	104			[0.05; 0.17]	0.7%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2017	510	652 25			[0.75; 0.81]	4.5%	1.4%
NIVEDI 2018 NIVEDI 2018	0 17	35			[0.32; 0.65]	0.2% 0.2%	1.3% 1.3%
NIVEDI 2018	2	8			[0.01; 0.62]	0.1%	1.1%
NIVEDI 2018	0	10	— I	0.00	[0.00; 0.17]	0.1%	1.1%
NIVEDI 2018	0	36			[0.00; 0.05]	0.3%	1.3%
NIVEDI 2018 NIVEDI 2019	0 668	36 1060	-		[0.00; 0.05] [0.60; 0.66]	0.3% 7.3%	1.3% 1.4%
PDADMAS 2011	126	498			[0.22; 0.29]	3.4%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2011	63	510	-		[0.10; 0.15]	3.5%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2011	36	166			[0.16; 0.28]	1.1%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2011	12	83			[0.08; 0.23]	0.6%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2012 PDADMAS 2012	0 16	9 21			[0.00; 0.18] [0.55; 0.92]	0.1% 0.1%	1.1% 1.2%
PDADMAS 2012	0	5			[0.00; 0.32]	0.0%	0.9%
PDADMAS 2012	22	64			[0.23; 0.47]	0.4%	1.3%
PDADMAS 2012	10	22			[0.25; 0.67]	0.2%	1.2%
PDADMAS 2012	111	254			[0.38; 0.50]	1.8%	1.4%
PDADMAS 2012 Rajbongshi 2015	32 186	51 325	· · · · ·		[0.49; 0.76] [0.52; 0.63]	0.4% 2.2%	1.3% 1.4%
Raut et al 2015	54	1120	*		[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4%
Rout et al 2013	65	110			[0.50; 0.68]	0.8%	1.4%
Sarma et al 2008	4	7		0.57	[0.19; 0.92]	0.1%	1.0%
Sarma et al 2008	172	7	<u> </u>		[0.77; 1.00]	0.1%	1.0%
Shivaraj et al 2013 Shivaraj et al 2013	173 20	517 113	_ <b>_</b>		[0.29; 0.38] [0.11; 0.25]	3.6% 0.8%	1.4% 1.4%
							1.470
Fixed effect model Random effects model		14480			[0.31; 0.32] [0.29; 0.43]	100.0%	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 98\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0.0869,	0 = 0	0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	1			

S1: Forest plot showing the prevalence of CSF in India

Study I	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weig (rando
<b>Test = ELISA</b> PDADMAS 2011	18	100		0.18	10 11: 0 261	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	15	125		0.12	[0.11; 0.26] [0.07; 0.18]	0.9%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	43	100		0.43	[0.33; 0.53]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2011	81 34	844 100		0.10	[0.08; 0.12] [0.25; 0.44]	5.8% 0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	5	65		0.08	[0.02; 0.16]	0.5%	1.3
PDADMAS 2012	51	58	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.88	[0.78; 0.95]	0.4%	1.3
Ahuja et al 2014	138 97	264 252		0.52	[0.46; 0.58]	1.8% 1.7%	1.4
Ahuja et al 2014 Choori et al 2015	89	252		0.38	[0.33; 0.45] [0.34; 0.47]	1.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2015	54	1120	•	0.05	[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2015	38	100		0.38	[0.29; 0.48]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014 PDADMAS 2014	15 25	36 87		0.42	[0.26; 0.58] [0.20; 0.39]	0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	16	51		0.31	[0.19; 0.45]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	16	43		0.37	[0.23; 0.52]	0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	35	52 46	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.67	[0.54; 0.79]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014 PDADMAS 2014	31	46		0.48	[0.33; 0.62] [0.40; 0.66]	0.3%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	1	5		0.20	[0.00; 0.68]	0.0%	0.9
NIVEDI 2015	1	1		· 1.00	[0.00; 1.00]	0.0%	0.5
NIVEDI 2015	0	2		0.00	[0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	0 15	1 25		0.00	[0.00; 1.00] [0.40; 0.79]	0.0% 0.2%	0.5
NIVEDI 2015	11	61	!	0.18	[0.09; 0.29]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	0	27	- 11	0.00	[0.00; 0.06]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	2	8		0.25	[0.01; 0.62]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2015	2 26	29	U	0.07	[0.00; 0.20]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2016	66	100 105		0.26 0.63	[0.18; 0.35] [0.53; 0.72]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	44	59		0.75	[0.63; 0.85]	0.4%	1.3
NIVEDI 2016	142	192		0.74	[0.67; 0.80]	1.3%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	7	9			[0.44; 0.99]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2016 NIVEDI 2016	0	2		0.00	[0.00; 0.70] [0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7
NIVEDI 2017	15	73		0.21	[0.12; 0.31]	0.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	189	509		0.37	[0.33; 0.41]	3.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	65	304		0.21	[0.17; 0.26]	2.1%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017 NIVEDI 2017	119 26	270 72		0.44 0.36	[0.38; 0.50] [0.25; 0.48]	1.9% 0.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	11	104		0.11	[0.05; 0.17]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	510	652	-	0.78	[0.75; 0.81]	4.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2018	0	25	- 1	0.00	[0.00; 0.07]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018	17	35		0.49	[0.32; 0.65]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018 NIVEDI 2018	2	10		0.25	[0.01; 0.62] [0.00; 0.17]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2018	õ	36	_	0.00	[0.00; 0.05]	0.3%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018	0	36			[0.00; 0.05]	0.3%	1.3
NIVEDI 2019	668	1060	_ : =	0.63		7.3%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2011	126 63	498 510	-	0.25	[0.22; 0.29] [0.10; 0.15]	3.4% 3.5%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	36	166	!	0.22	[0.16; 0.28]	1.1%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	12	83		0.14	[0.08; 0.23]	0.6%	1.4
PDADMAS 2012	0	9		0.00	[0.00; 0.18]	0.1%	1.1
PDADMAS 2012 PDADMAS 2012	16 0	21 5		0.76	[0.55; 0.92] [0.00; 0.32]	0.1%	1.2
PDADMAS 2012	22	64			[0.23; 0.47]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2012	10	22		0.45	[0.25; 0.67]	0.2%	1.2
PDADMAS 2012	111	254		0.44		1.8%	1.4
PDADMAS 2012 Fixed effect model	32	51 9224		0.63	[0.49; 0.76]	0.4% 63.7%	1.3
Random effects model					[0.30; 0.32] [0.22; 0.38]		74.9
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 98\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0.0820	p = 0					
Test = RT- PCR		10				0.404	
Bhaskar et al 2015	6 186	19 325		0.32	[0.12; 0.55]	0.1%	1.3
Rajbongshi 2015 Raut et al 2015	54	1120		0.05	[0.52; 0.63] [0.04; 0.06]	2.2% 7.7%	1.4
Sarma et al 2008	4	7		0.57	[0.19; 0.92]	0.1%	1.0
Shivaraj et al 2013	173	517		0.33	[0.29; 0.38]	3.6%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model		1988			[0.15; 0.18] [0.08; 0.64]	13.7%	6.4
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0.1083	<i>p</i> < 0.	1				
<b>Test = S-ELISA</b> Lalthaswammawii 2008	20	350	-	0.06	[0.03; 0.08]	2.4%	1.4
Sarma et al 2008	20	330			[0.77; 1.00]	0.1%	1.0
Fixed effect model		357	♦		[0.02; 0.07]	2.5%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$ , $\tau^2 = 10\%$	= 0.6397	p < 0.	1	0.52	[0.00; 1.00]		2.4
Test = AGID							
Mahajan et al 2011	18	49			[0.24; 0.51]	0.3%	1.3
Nandi et al 2011	118	147		0.80	[0.73; 0.86]	1.0%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model		196			[0.64; 0.77] [0.18; 0.95]	1.4%	2.7
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0.0998	p < 0.	1				
Test = I-ELISA					10 75	-	assort
Mukherjee et al 2018*	382 193	500 292			[0.73; 0.80]	3.4% 2.0%	1.4
Mukherjee et al 2018* Mukherjee et al 2018*	282	1106		0.66	[0.61; 0.71]	2.0%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011	10	11		- 0.91	[0.65; 1.00]	0.1%	1.1
Nandi et al 2011	126	171		0.74	[0.67; 0.80]	1.2%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011	40	46	· · · · ·	0.87	[0.75; 0.95]	0.3%	1.3
Nandi et al 2011 Nandi et al 2011	157	296			[0.47; 0.59]	2.0%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011 Shivaraj et al 2013	43 20	70 113	_		[0.50; 0.73] [0.11; 0.25]	0.5% 0.8%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model	20	2605	\$	0.48	[0.46; 0.50] [0.42; 0.78]	18.0%	12.1
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0.0769	p < 0.	1	0.61	[0.42, 0.78]		12.1
Test = IIP	20000	groupe					254
Rout et al 2013	65	110	· · · ·		[0.50; 0.68]	0.8%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model		110	$\diamond$		[0.50; 0.68] [0.50; 0.68]	0.8%	1.4
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Fixed effect model		14480	<b>0</b> :		[0.31; 0.32]		

S2. Forest plot showing the diagnostic test wise prevalence of CSF in India

Study E	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weig (randor
<b>Test = ELISA</b> PDADMAS 2011	18	100		0.18	[0.11; 0.26]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	15	125		0.12	[0.07; 0.18]	0.9%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2011	43 81	100 844		0.43 0.10	[0.33; 0.53] [0.08; 0.12]	0.7% 5.8%	1.4 1.4
PDADMAS 2011	34	100		0.34	[0.25; 0.44]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	5	65		0.08	[0.02; 0.16]	0.5%	1.3
PDADMAS 2012	51 138	58 264		0.88	[0.78; 0.95] [0.46; 0.58]	0.4%	1.3
Ahuja et al 2014 Ahuja et al 2014	97	252		0.52	[0.33; 0.45]	1.8%	1.4
Choori et al 2015	89	218	( <u>+</u>	0.41	[0.34; 0.47]	1.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2015	54	1120	+	0.05	[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2015 PDADMAS 2014	38 15	100		0.38	[0.29; 0.48] [0.26; 0.58]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	25	87		0.29	[0.20; 0.39]	0.6%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	16	51		0.31	[0.19; 0.45]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	16	43 52		0.37	[0.23; 0.52]	0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014 PDADMAS 2014	35 22	52 46		0.67	[0.54; 0.79] [0.33; 0.62]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	31	58	i — • — •	0.53	[0.40; 0.66]	0.4%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	1	5		0.20	[0.00; 0.68]	0.0%	0.9
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	1	1 2		- 1.00 0.00	[0.00; 1.00]	0.0%	0.5
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	0	1		- 0.00	[0.00; 0.70] [0.00; 1.00]	0.0%	0.5
NIVEDI 2015	15	25		0.60	[0.40; 0.79]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	11	61		0.18	[0.09; 0.29]	0.4%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	0	27		0.00	[0.00; 0.06]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	2	29		0.25	[0.01; 0.62] [0.00; 0.20]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2015	26	100		0.26	[0.18; 0.35]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	66	105		0.63	[0.53; 0.72]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	44	59		0.75	[0.63; 0.85] [0.67; 0.80]	0.4%	1.3
NIVEDI 2016 NIVEDI 2016	142 7	192 9		0.74	[0.67; 0.80] [0.44; 0.99]	1.3%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	ó	2		0.00	[0.44, 0.99] [0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7
NIVEDI 2016	0	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	[0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7
NIVEDI 2017	15	73		0.21	[0.12; 0.31]	0.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017 NIVEDI 2017	189 65	509 304		0.37	[0.33; 0.41] [0.17; 0.26]	3.5% 2.1%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	119	270		0.21	[0.38; 0.50]	1.9%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	26	72		0.36	[0.25; 0.48]	0.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	11	104		0.11	[0.05; 0.17]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	510	652	-	0.78	[0.75; 0.81]	4.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2018 NIVEDI 2018	0 17	25 35		0.00	[0.00; 0.07] [0.32; 0.65]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018	2	8		0.25	[0.01; 0.62]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2018	0	10	——————————————————————————————————————	0.00	[0.00; 0.17]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2018	0	36		0.00	[0.00; 0.05]	0.3%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018 NIVEDI 2019	0 668	36 1060		0.00	[0.00; 0.05] [0.60; 0.66]	0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2011	126	498		0.25	[0.22; 0.29]	3.4%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	63	510	·	0.12	[0.10; 0.15]	3.5%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	36	166		0.22	[0.16; 0.28]	1.1%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2012	12 0	83 9		0.14	[0.08; 0.23] [0.00; 0.18]	0.6%	1.4
PDADMAS 2012	16	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.76	[0.55; 0.92]	0.1%	1.2
PDADMAS 2012	0	5	· ·	0.00	[0.00; 0.32]	0.0%	0.9
PDADMAS 2012	22	64		0.34	[0.23; 0.47]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2012 PDADMAS 2012	10 111	22 254		0.45	[0.25; 0.67] [0.38; 0.50]	0.2%	1.2
PDADMAS 2012	32	51	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.63		0.4%	1.3
Fixed effect model		9224	•		[0.30; 0.32]	63.7%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 98\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	= 0.0820	p = 0		0.30	[0.22; 0.38]		74.9
<b>Test = RT- PCR</b> Bhaskar et al 2015	6	19		0.33	[0.12; 0.55]	0.1%	1.2
Rajbongshi 2015	186	325		0.57	[0.52; 0.63]	2.2%	1.4
Raut et al 2015	54	1120	-	0.05	[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4
Sarma et al 2008	4	7		0.57	[0.19; 0.92]	0.1%	1.0
Shivaraj et al 2013	173	517	· ·	0.33	[0.29; 0.38]	3.6%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 99\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.000$	= 0 1083	1988	×		[0.15; 0.18] [0.08; 0.64]	13.7%	6.4
Test = S-ELISA	0.1000	, p . o.					
Lalthaswammawii 2008	20	350	-		[0.03; 0.08]	2.4%	1.4
Sarma et al 2008 Fixed effect model	7	7 357	<u>م</u>		[0.77; 1.00]	0.1%	1.0
Random effects model		557	÷	- 0.52	[0.02; 0.07] [0.00; 1.00]	2.570	2.4
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	= 0.6397	, p < 0.	01				
<b>Test = AGID</b> Mahajan et al 2011	18	49		0.37	[0.24; 0.51]	0.3%	1.:
Nandi et al 2011	118	147			[0.73; 0.86]	1.0%	1.4
Fixed effect model		196			[0.64; 0.77]	1.4%	12000
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	= 0.0998	p < 0.	01	0.60	[0.18; 0.95]		2.7
Test = I-ELISA					10 70 0 0 0 0	0.10	-
Mukherjee et al 2018* Mukherjee et al 2018*	382 193	500 292			[0.73; 0.80]	3.4%	1.4
Mukherjee et al 2018* Mukherjee et al 2018*	282	1106		0.66	[0.61; 0.71] [0.23; 0.28]	7.6%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011	10	11		- 0.91	[0.65; 1.00]	0.1%	1.1
Nandi et al 2011	126	171		0.74	[0.67; 0.80]	1.2%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011	40	46	· · · ·		[0.75; 0.95]	0.3%	1.3
Nandi et al 2011 Nandi et al 2011	157 43	296 70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		[0.47; 0.59]	2.0% 0.5%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011 Shivaraj et al 2013	43	113			[0.50; 0.73] [0.11; 0.25]	0.5%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model		2605	*	0.48	[0.46; 0.50] [0.42; 0.78]	18.0%	12.1
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	= 0.0769	, <i>p</i> < 0.	01				
Test = IIP Rout et al 2013	65	110		0.59	[0.50; 0.68]	0.8%	1.4
Fixed effect model	00	110	$\sim$	0.59	[0.50; 0.68]	0.8%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: not applicable	9		$\sim$		[0.50; 0.68]		1.4
Constant of the second s							
Fixed effect model		14480	•	0.31	[0.31; 0.32]	100.0%	

S3. Forest plot showing the region wise prevalence of CSF in India

Study	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weigl (randon
Yearcat = 2011-15	10	100	1	0.40		0.70	
PDADMAS 2011	18 15	100 125			[0.11; 0.26]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011 PDADMAS 2011	43	100			[0.07; 0.18] [0.33; 0.53]	0.9% 0.7%	1.4 1.4
PDADMAS 2011	81	844	-		[0.08; 0.12]	5.8%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	34	100			[0.25; 0.44]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	5	65	II		[0.02; 0.16]	0.5%	1.3
PDADMAS 2012	51	58			[0.78; 0.95]	0.4%	1.3
Ahuja et al 2014	138	264			[0.46; 0.58]	1.8%	1.4
Ahuja et al 2014	97	252		0.38	[0.33; 0.45]	1.7%	1.4
Bhaskar et al 2015	6	19			[0.12; 0.55]	0.1%	1.2
Choori et al 2015	89	218			[0.34; 0.47]	1.5%	1.4
Lalthaswammawii 2008	20	350	*		[0.03; 0.08]	2.4%	1.4
Mahajan et al 2011	18	49		0.37		0.3%	1.3
Nandi et al 2011	10	11			[0.65; 1.00]	0.1%	1.1
Nandi et al 2011 Nandi et al 2011	126 40	171 46		0.74	[0.67; 0.80] [0.75; 0.95]	1.2% 0.3%	1.4 1.3
Nandi et al 2011	157	296	-		[0.47; 0.59]	2.0%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011	43	70			[0.50; 0.73]	0.5%	1.4
Nandi et al 2011	118	147		0.80		1.0%	1.4
NIVEDI 2015	54	1120	+		[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2015	38	100			[0.29; 0.48]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	15	36	- <u>1</u>		[0.26; 0.58]	0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	25	87		0.29	[0.20; 0.39]	0.6%	1.4
PDADMAS 2014	16	51			[0.19; 0.45]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	16	43		0.37		0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	35	52			[0.54; 0.79]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	22	46			[0.33; 0.62]	0.3%	1.3
PDADMAS 2014	31	58			[0.40; 0.66]	0.4%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	1	5			[0.00; 0.68]	0.0%	0.9
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	1	1			[0.00; 1.00]	0.0%	0.5
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	0	2			[0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7
NIVEDI 2015 NIVEDI 2015	15	25			[0.00; 1.00] [0.40; 0.79]	0.0%	0.5
NIVEDI 2015	11	61			[0.09; 0.29]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	0	27	_ 1		[0.00; 0.06]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	2	8			[0.01; 0.62]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2015	2	29			[0.00; 0.20]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2015	26	100			[0.18; 0.35]	0.7%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	126	498			[0.22; 0.29]	3.4%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	63	510	*	0.12	[0.10; 0.15]	3.5%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	36	166	- <b>*</b>	0.22	[0.16; 0.28]	1.1%	1.4
PDADMAS 2011	12	83			[0.08; 0.23]	0.6%	1.4
PDADMAS 2012	0	9	\:		[0.00; 0.18]	0.1%	1.1
PDADMAS 2012	16	21			[0.55; 0.92]	0.1%	1.2
PDADMAS 2012	0	5	1		[0.00; 0.32]	0.0%	0.9
PDADMAS 2012	22	64			[0.23; 0.47]	0.4%	1.3
PDADMAS 2012 PDADMAS 2012	10 111	22 254			[0.25; 0.67]	0.2% 1.8%	1.2
PDADMAS 2012 PDADMAS 2012	32	51			[0.38; 0.50] [0.49; 0.76]	0.4%	1.3
Rajbongshi 2015	186	325			[0.52; 0.63]	2.2%	1.4
Raut et al 2015	54	1120	•		[0.04; 0.06]	7.7%	1.4
Rout et al 2013	65	110		0.59		0.8%	1.4
Sarma et al 2008	4	7			[0.19; 0.92]	0.1%	1.0
Sarma et al 2008	7	7		1.00	[0.77; 1.00]	0.1%	1.0
Shivaraj et al 2013	173	517	-	0.33	[0.29; 0.38]	3.6%	1.4
Shivaraj et al 2013	20	113			[0.11; 0.25]	0.8%	1.4
Fixed effect model		9019	٥		[0.20; 0.22]	62.3%	-
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 98\%$ , $\tau^2$	2 = 0.0725	<i>p</i> = 0	<b>(</b>	0.36	[0.28; 0.43]	-	70.9
Yearcat = 2016-19							
Mukherjee et al 2018*	382	500			[0.73; 0.80]	3.4%	1.4
Mukherjee et al 2018*	193	292	-		[0.61; 0.71]	2.0%	1.4
Mukherjee et al 2018*	282	1106			[0.23; 0.28]	7.6%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	66	105			[0.53; 0.72]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2016	44	59			[0.63; 0.85]	0.4%	1.3
NIVEDI 2016 NIVEDI 2016	142	192			[0.67; 0.80]	1.3% 0.1%	1.4 1.1
NIVEDI 2016 NIVEDI 2016	7 0	9 2			[0.44; 0.99] [0.00; 0.70]	0.1%	0.7
NIVEDI 2016	0	2			[0.00; 0.70] [0.00; 0.70]	0.0%	0.7
NIVEDI 2017	15	73			[0.12; 0.31]	0.0%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	189	509			[0.33; 0.41]	3.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	65	304			[0.17; 0.26]	2.1%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	119	270			[0.38; 0.50]	1.9%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	26	72			[0.25; 0.48]	0.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	11	104			[0.05; 0.17]	0.7%	1.4
NIVEDI 2017	510	652	÷ =		[0.75; 0.81]	4.5%	1.4
NIVEDI 2018	0	25	- 1		[0.00; 0.07]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018	17	35	<u> </u>		[0.32; 0.65]	0.2%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018	2	8			[0.01; 0.62]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2018	0	10	- 1		[0.00; 0.17]	0.1%	1.1
NIVEDI 2018	0	36			[0.00; 0.05]	0.3%	1.3
NIVEDI 2018	0	36			[0.00; 0.05]	0.3%	1.3
NIVEDI 2019	668	1060	目,■		[0.60; 0.66]	7.3%	1.4
Fixed effect model Random effects model		5461			[0.48; 0.51] [0.24; 0.47]	37.7%	29.1
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 98\%$ , $\tau^2$			1				
Fixed effect model		14480	٥	0.31	[0.31; 0.32]	100.0%	- 100.0

S4. Forest plot showing the year wise prevalence of CSF in India