

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Figure S1

438	YEPEETV ^E VPV ^L KKRREVL ^P V ^D ITTA ^K DACVNNS ^N ALGGE ^V YRLPPQ KEETQSCP ^N SLEDNNLQLEKSVI ^H TPV ^V SLSPHK ^N L ^P V DMQLKKEKKCVKL ^G V ^P A ^D A	542	Human SPINDLY
508	YEPEE ^K SEVP ^V PKKRREVL ^P M ^D V ^T TP ^{NN} VCAKNA ^V LGGEDYRLPPQQ ^V EAQCYPSS ^S EDNNLQLEKTVSINTLG ISLSPHK SLPMD IQPM ^K EKKCVKF ^L GV ^S ADS	610	Canis lupus familiaris SPINDLY
276	DENADEASTAELSKPTVQPWIAPP ^M PRAKENELQAGPWTGRSLEHRRPRGN ^T ASLIA ^V PAVLPSFTPYVEETA ^R QPVMTPCKIEPSINHILSTRKPGKEEGDPLQRVQSHQQ	387	Human BUBR1
371	DENADEASGAELFKPTVQPWIAPP ^V RAKENELQAGPWTGR ^P LEYRPHGGTAS ^V TTVPSLLPSFTPYVEETA ^Q QPVMTPCKIEPSINHILSTRKPGKEEGDL ^L QRVQSHQQ	482	Canis lupus familiaris BUBR1

Figure S1. Aminoacids (aa) alignment of the binding site of the SPINDLY and BUBR1 antibodies in the Human SPINDLY and BUBR1 proteins sequences with the possible binding site sequence of Canis lupus familiaris. Red represents no similar aa and blue similar aa. The lack of manufacture information regarding BUB3 peptide sequence recognized by the antibody didn't allow us to show the aa alignment for this antibody. However, because human and dog Bub3 proteins share 100% amino acid identity, the putative epitopes recognized by Bub3 antibody are expected to be the same.

Supplemental Table S1.

Table S1. Identity and similarity in percentage for the Human and Canis lupus familiaris protein sequences. For the alignment with the known antibody binding sequences the local alignment (Smith-Waterman) was performed. For the remaining alignments the global alignment (Needleman-Wunsch) was performed.

	Identity (%)	Similarity (%)
SPINDLY	70.37	77.07
SPINDLY (antibody sequence)	64.76	78.10
BUBR1	80.40	84.20
BUBR1 (antibody sequence)	86.61	91.96
BUB3 isoform 1	100	100
BUB3 isoform 2	99.39	99.39
BUB3 isoform 3	83.84	83.84

Supplemental Table S2. Clinicopathological characteristics and BubR1 expression comparison.

Variables	BubR1 Extent Score			BubR1 Intensity Score		
	$\leq 49\%$	$\geq 50\%$	P-Value	(0/Weak/Moderate)	(Strong)	P-Value
Gender	Female	13	15	0.784	14	14
	Male	16	16		16	16
Age (years)	<7	17	13	0.200	17	13
	≥ 7	12	18		13	17
Breed	Small	8	2	0.030 **	5	5
	Medium	3	2		5	0
	Large	2	10		6	6
	Undetermined	13	13		13	13

	Mouth (NOS)	6	7		6	7	
	Gingiva	12	8		13	7	
Tumour Location	Tongue	5	7	0.664	5	7	0.442
	Oropharynx	4	4		3	5	
	Palate	2	5		3	4	
Histological type	Papillary SCC	8	2	0.029	6	4	0.482
	Conventional SCC	21	29		24	26	
Bone Invasion	Yes	8	2	0.029	8	2	0.039
	No	21	29		22	28	
Vascular Invasion	Yes	1	3	0.430	1	3	0.305
	No	28	28		29	27	
Histological grade (Anneroth)	Well differentiated	10	9		7	12	
	Moderate differentiated	19	22	0.653	23	18	0.169
	Poor differentiated	-	-		-	-	
Histological grade (Bryne)	Well differentiated	14	11		12	13	
	Moderate differentiated	15	18	0.283	18	15	0.959
	Poor differentiated	0	2		0	2	
Mitosis	0-1/hpf	7	10		7	10	
	2-3/hpf	12	9	0.675	10	11	0.237
	4-5/hpf	8	8		9	7	
	>5/hpf	2	4		4	2	
Lymphoplasmacytic infiltration	Weak	8	10		10	8	
	Moderate	13	11	0.765	12	12	0.509
	Marked	8	10		8	10	
Necrosis	Yes	12	9	0.320	12	9	0.421
	No	17	22		18	21	
Pattern of invasion *	I	13	7		8	12	
	II	9	13	0.142	14	8	0.876
	III	7	8		8	7	
	IV	0	3		0	3	
Stage of invasion *	I	0	0		17	22	
	II	23	16	0.029 **		8	0.159
	III	5	15		12	0	
	IV	1	0		1		
Treatment *	Surgery	7	4		6	5	
	Chemotherapy	1	3	0.289	2	2	0.381
	Palliative treatment/support	14	21		14	21	
Tumour stage	I + II	12	7	0.034	10	9	0.611
	III + IV	10	21		14	17	

* Pattern of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.342$ and $P=0.576$ for extent and intensity scores respectively) and I + II + III vs IV ($P=0.070$ and $P=0.277$); stage of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.026$ and $P=0.180$); and treatment using the categorization of treatment in Surgery/Chemotherapy vs. Palliative treatment/support ($P=0.389$ and $P=0.389$). ** Pairwise multiple comparisons with bonferroni adjustment showed differences between small vs large breed ($P=0.020$), stage of invasion between stages II vs III ($P=0.043$); IV vs II ($P=0.003$) and IV vs III ($P=0.003$).

Supplemental Table S3. Clinicopathological characteristics and Bub3 expression comparison

Variables	Bub3 Extent Score			Bub3 Intensity Score		
	$\leq 74\%$	$\geq 75\%$	P-Value	(0/Weak/Moderate)	(Strong)	P-Value
Gender	Female	10	17	0.557	21	6
	Male	13	16		17	12
Age (years)	<7	9	19	0.178	18	10
	≥ 7	14	14		20	8
Breed	Small	4	3	0.622	7	0
	Medium	2	3		3	2
	Large	3	8		8	3
	Undetermined	12	14		16	10
Tumour Location	Mouth (NOS)	5	5	0.211	7	3
	Gingiva	5	14		14	5
	Tongue	6	6		8	4
	Oropharynx	2	6		4	4
	Palate	5	2		5	2
Histological type	Papillary SCC	3	5	0.863	7	1
	Conventional SCC	20	28		31	17
Bone Invasion	Yes	2	7	0.214	8	1
	No	21	26		30	17
Vascular Invasion	Yes	2	2	0.771	4	0
	No	21	31		34	18
Histological grade (Anneroth)	Well differentiated	5	13	0.168	13	5
	Moderate differentiated	18	20		25	13
	Poor differentiated	-	-		-	-
Histological grade (Bryne)	Well differentiated	11	13	0.770	18	6
	Moderate differentiated	11	19		18	12
	Poor differentiated	1	1		2	0
Mitosis	0-1/hpf	5	11	0.141	12	4
	2-3/hpf	6	12		12	6
	4-5/hpf	7	9		11	5
	>5/hpf	5	1		3	3
Lymphoplasmacytic infiltration	Weak	7	9	0.960	10	6
	Moderate	9	14		16	7
	Marked	7	10		12	5
Necrosis	Yes	9	10	0.496	14	5
	No	14	23		24	13
Pattern of invasion *	I	6	11	0.288	13	4
	II	12	9		11	10
	III	4	11		11	4
	IV	1	2		3	0
Stage of invasion *	I	0	0	0.461	0	0
	II	13	22		25	10
	III	10	10		13	7
	IV	0	1		0	1
Treatment *	Surgery	4	6	0.596	7	3
	Chemotherapy	2	2		2	2
	Palliative treatment/support	10	25		22	13

Tumour stage	I + II III + IV	7 10	9 21	0.442	14 19	2 12	0.065
---------------------	--------------------	---------	---------	-------	----------	---------	-------

* Pattern of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.168$ and $P=0.278$ for extent and intensity scores respectively) and I + II + III vs IV ($P=0.565$ and $P=0.367$); stage of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.445$ and 0.464); and treatment using the categorization of treatment in Surgery/ Chemotherapy vs Palliative treatment/support ($P=0.340$ and $P=0.926$).

Supplemental Table S4. Clinicopathological characteristics and Spindly expression comparison

Variables	Spindly Extent Score			Spindly Intensity Score		
	≤49%	≥50%	P-Value	(0/Weak/Moderate)	(Strong)	P-Value
Gender	Female	10	16	0.139	23	3
	Male	17	12		26	3
Age (years)	<7	14	15	0.899	26	3
	≥7	13	13		23	3
Breed	Small	6	3	0.330	8	1
	Medium	3	2		5	0
	Large	7	4		10	1
	Undetermined	9	15		20	4
Tumour Location	Mouth (NOS)	5	6	0.297	10	1
	Gingiva	10	10		19	1
	Tongue	7	2		7	2
	Oropharynx	2	6		6	2
	Palate	3	4		7	0
Histological type	Papillary SCC	3	5	0.550	8	0
	Conventional SCC	24	23		41	6
Bone Invasion	Yes	5	5	0.950	10	0
	No	22	23		39	6
Vascular Invasion	Yes	1	3	0.405	3	1
	No	26	25		46	5
Histological grade (Anneroth)	Well differentiated	7	12	0.191	17	2
	Moderate differentiated	20	16		32	4
	Poor differentiated	-	-		-	-
Histological grade (Bryne)	Well differentiated	15	10	0.175	22	3
	Moderate differentiated	12	16		25	3
	Poor differentiated	0	2		2	0
Mitosis *	0-1/hpf	6	11	0.037**	15	2
	2-3/hpf	7	11		17	1
	4-5/hpf	8	6		12	2
	>5/hpf	6	0		5	1
Lymphoplasmacytic infiltration	Weak	4	12	0.046**	16	0
	Moderate	12	11		19	4
	Marked	11	5		14	2
Necrosis	Yes	10	10	0.920	18	2
	No	17	18		31	4
Pattern of invasion *	I	8	10	0.661	16	2
	II	12	8		18	2
	III	6	8		13	1

	IV	1	2		2	1
Stage of invasion *	I	0	0		0	0
	II	14	20	0.248	30	4
	III	12	8		18	2
	IV	1	0		1	0
Treatment *	Surgery	5	6		11	0
	Chemotherapy	2	2	0.988	3	1
	Palliative treatment/support	16	18		29	5
Tumour stage	I + II	8	8	0.602	15	1
	III + IV	13	18		27	4

* Pattern of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.436$ and $P=0.893$ for extent and intensity scores respectively) and I + II + III vs IV ($P=0.634$ and $P=0.054$); stage of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.139$ and $P=0.797$); and treatment using the categorization of treatment in Surgery/ Chemotherapy vs Palliative treatment/support ($P=0.980$ and $P=0.434$). ** Pairwise multiple comparisons with bonferroni adjustment showed differences between number of mitosis between >5 vs 0-1 ($P=0.042$), squamous differentiation between 5-20% vs 0-5% category ($P=0.034$), and for lymphoplasmacytic infiltration between strong vs weak categories ($P=0.043$).

Supplemental Table S5. Clinicopathological characteristics and Ki-67 expression comparison

Variables	Ki-67 Extent Score			Ki-67 Intensity Score		
	$\leq 49\%$	$\geq 50\%$	P-Value	(0/Weak/Moderate)	(Strong)	P-Value
Gender	Female	21	6	0.646	16	11
	Male	21	8		20	9
Age (years)	<7	20	9	0.284	19	10
	≥ 7	22	5		17	10
Breed	Small	9	0		7	2
	Medium	4	1	0.136	4	1
	Large	6	5		5	6
	Undetermined	19	6		17	8
Tumour Location	Mouth (NOS)	8	2		8	2
	Gingiva	16	4		13	7
	Tongue	7	4	0.697	7	4
	Oropharynx	5	3		3	5
	Palate	6	1		5	2
Histological type	Papillary SCC	8	0	0.08	6	2
	Conventional SCC	34	14		30	18
Bone Invasion	Yes	7	3	0.690	8	2
	No	35	11		28	18
Vascular Invasion	Yes	3	1	1.000	4	0
	No	39	13		32	20
Histological grade (Anneroth)	Well differentiated	15	3		9	9
	Moderate differentiated	27	11	0.326	27	11
	Poor differentiated	-	-		-	-
Histological grade (Bryne)	Well differentiated	19	5		13	11
	Moderate differentiated	22	8	0.632	21	9
	Poor differentiated	1	1		2	0
Mitosis *	0-1/hpf	13	4	0.580	8	9
	2-3/hpf	12	6		16	2

	4-5/hpf	13	2		9	6	
	>5/hpf	4	2		3	3	
Lymphoplasmacytic infiltration	Weak	8	0		3	5	
	Moderate	16	7	0.213	14	9	0.133
	Marked	18	7		19	6	
Necrosis	Yes	14	5	0.872	13	6	0.646
	No	28	9		23	14	
Pattern of invasion *	I	18	0		10	8	
	II	14	7	0.007 **	14	7	0.517
	III	7	7		9	5	
	IV	3	0		3	0	
Stage of invasion *	I	0	0		0	0	
	II	29	6	0.148	23	12	0.693
	III	12	8		12	8	
	IV	1	0		1	0	
Treatment *	Surgery	9	2		7	4	
	Chemotherapy	1	3	0.092	3	1	0.725
	Palliative treatment/support	25	9		19	15	
Tumour stage	I + II	13	3	0.332	13	3	0.116
	III + IV	21	10		18	13	

* Pattern of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.067$ and $P=0.520$) for extent and intensity scores respectively) and I + II + III vs IV ($P=0.003$ and $P=0.352$); stage of invasion also evaluated using the categorization of I + II vs III + IV ($P=0.082$ and $P=0.775$); and treatment using the categorization of treatment in Surgery/ Chemotherapy vs Palliative treatment/support ($P=0.628$ and $P=0.484$). ** Pairwise multiple comparisons with bonferroni adjustment showed differences between pattern of invasion evaluated using the categorization of I vs III ($P=0.008$).

Supplemental Table S6. Univariate analysis of cancer-specific survival (CSS) of clinical and histopathological variables.

Factors	Factors	N	Dead	CSS 1-Year *	CSS 2-Years *	CSS Mean CI 95% **	P-Value
Gender	Female	22	12	43.4	32.5	22.60±6.49 (9.88-35.33)	0.202
	Male	28	21	21.1	15.8	6.59±1.73 (3.19-9.98)	
Age (years)	<7	25	16	28.8	23.1	16.31±5.3 (5.84-26.78)	0.890
	≥7	25	17	31.9	21.2	8.85±2.11 (4.72-12.97)	
Breed †	Small	8	4	34.3	34.3	9.72±3.78 (2.30-17.14)	0.247
	Medium	3	1	66.7	66.7	11.32±3.81 (3.83-18.81)	
	Large	10	8	20.0	20.0	7.70±4.16 (0.00-15.86)	
	UB	22	14	28.7	28.7	14.73±5.73 (3.50-25.95)	
Tumour Location	Mouth (NOS)	7	4	33.3	33.3	9.01±3.17 (2.79-15.23)	0.643
	Gingiva	18	9	40.0	40.0	24.98±7.34 (10.58-39.38)	
	Tongue	10	8	25.0	0.00	6.26±2.69 (0.98-11.52)	
	Oropharynx	8	7	0.00	0.00	4.02±1.09 (1.89-6.16)	
	Palate	7	5	28.6	28.6	8.39±3.98 (0.60-16.18)	
Histological type	Papillary SCC	6	1	80.0	80.0	48.30±9.30 (30.07-66.53)	0.013
	Conventional SCC	44	32	24.1	13.4	6.94±1.43 (4.13-9.75)	
Bone Invasion	Yes	8	4	35.0	35.0	21.35±11.33 (0.00-43.56)	0.856
	No	42	29	30.4	20.2	10.45±2.20 (6.14-14.77)	
Vascular Invasion	Yes	4	2	50.0	50.0	11.71±5.29 (1.34-22.09)	0.689
	No	46	31	29.6	19.8	15.08±3.97 (7.30-22.87)	
Histological grade	Well differentiated	16	10	33.9	33.9	21.55±6.89 (8.04-35.06)	0.543

(Anneroth)	Moderate differentiated	34	23	28.7	16.4	7.97±1.75 (4.55-11.40)	
	Poor differentiated	0	0	0	0	0	
Histological grade (Bryne)	Well differentiated	22	16	55.8	16.7	12.20±4.88 (2.63-21.77)	
	Moderate differentiated	26	15	44.7	26.1	10.95±2.21 (6.62-15.28)	0.112
	Poor differentiated	2	2	0.00	0.00	1.35±0.15 (1.06-1.64)	
Mitosis	0-1/hpf	16	11	31.3	31.3	20.19±6.51 (7.43-32.94)	
	2-3/hpf	15	10	23.5	0.00	6.58±2.28 (2.10-11.05)	0.934
	4-5/hpf	13	7	46.0	34.5	13.85±4.75 (4.54-23.16)	
	>5/hpf	6	5	16.7	16.7	6.88±3.41 (8.53-23.65)	
Lymphoplasmacytic infiltration	Weak	15	13	31.0	31.0	6.18±1.87 (2.51-10.15)	
	Moderate	21	11	40.3	32.3	21.56±6.66 (8.51-34.61)	0.448
	Marked	14	9	20.0	10.0	6.33±1.95 (2.51-9.85)	
Necrosis	Yes	18	11	26.6	26.6	18.05±6.64 (5.05-31.06)	
	No	32	22	32.0	18.3	9.94±2.53 (4.98-14.90)	0.547
Pattern of invasion	I	15	5	61.9	61.9	37.74±7.40 (23.24-52.25)	
	II	19	16	13.0	0.00	4.79±1.50 (1.84-7.74)	0.011
	III	13	10	20.0	0.00	4.15±1.44 (1.33-6.97)	
	IV	3	2	33.3	33.3	7.84±5.90 (0.00-19.41)	
Stage of invasion	I	0	0	0	0	0	
	II	31	17	42.9	25.0	19.58±5.77 (8.27-30.88)	0.009
	III	18	15	12.3	12.3	4.75±1.90 (1.01-8.48)	
	IV	1	1	0.00	0.00	76.0±0.00 (76.00-76.00)	
Treatment	Surgery	11	4	55.6	55.6	20.74±4.95 (11.03-30.44)	
	Chemotherapy	4	4	0.00	0.00	3.46±1.60 (0.33-6.58)	0.048
	Palliative treatment/support	35	25	26.9	14.4	11.97±4.12 (3.89-20.05)	
Tumour stage †	I	6	1	100	66.7	45.47±10.80 (24.29-66.64)	
	II	9	4	50.8	50.8	12.73±3.45 (5.97-19.48)	0.001
	III	12	8	13.9	13.9	4.50±1.41 (1.73-7.26)	
	IV	17	16	0.00	0.00	2.23±0.53 (1.20-3.27)	
Tumour stage †	I + II	15	5	64.6	48.5	32.53±8.61 (15.66-49.40)	
	III + IV	29	24	99.0	99.0	3.45±0.74 (2.00-4.89)	0.001

Legend: UB, undetermined breed (including mixed breeds); NOS, not otherwise specified; SSC, squamous cell carcinoma; hpf, high power field. * Cumulative proportion (%) of survival time; ** mean for survival time in months (cancer-specific survival); † Information not available for some patients.