#### S1 of S6

# Supplementary Materials: Wing Geometry and Kinematic Parameters Optimization of Flapping Wing Hovering Flight

Xijun Ke and Weiping Zhang

## S.I. Flapping Wing Morphological Parametrization

Items	Value	Units	Items	Value	Units	
$R_{ m eff}$	3.004	mm	$\rho_{wing} \ ^a$	1.2	mg/mm <sup>3</sup>	
$C_{\mathrm{aver}}$	0.8854	mm	<i>m</i> <sub>wing,orig</sub> *, b	0.002237	mg	
$A_{ m w}$	2.66	$mm^2$	$M_{ m insect}$	1.8	mg	
AR	3.4	-	I <sub>xx,com,orig</sub> *	0.000215	mg∙mm²	
$\chi_{ m r}$	0.3289	mm	$I_{zz, {\rm com, orig}}$ *	0.001129	mg∙mm²	
x <sub>com,orig</sub> *	1.9202	mm	<sup>z</sup> <sub>com,orig</sub> *	-0.1498	mm	

Table S1. The morphological parameters of fruit fly wing.

\* Calculated from the three dimensional CAD model constructed by original wing geometry data of fruit fly after translation operation [1]; <sup>a</sup> Referring to the data reported by Lehmann and Dickinson [2]; <sup>b</sup> This value is approximate to the data from Sun and Tang [3,4].

Table S2. Actual leading	ng-edge profiles	$(z_{le}(r))$ and t	railing-edge p	rofiles $(z_{tr}(r))$ for	fruit fly wing.
--------------------------	------------------	---------------------	----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

Actual Leading-Edge Profiles (y <sub>le</sub> (r))								
polynomial coefficient	ao	$a_1$	<i>a</i> 2	аз	<b>a</b> 4	<b>a</b> 5	<b>a</b> 6	
value	-1.1879	5.674	-10.06	8.872	-4.04	0.9167	-0.08249	
$z_{\rm le}(r) = a_0 + a_1r + a_2^*r^2 + a_3^*r^3 + a_4^*r^4 + a_5^*r^5 + a_6^*r^6$								
Actual Trailing-Edge Profiles ( $y_{tr}(r)$ )								
polynomial coefficient	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	bз	$b_4$	$b_5$	$b_6$	
value	-0.6467	3.739	-8.769	7.258	-2.795	0.504	-0.0333	
$z_{\rm tr}(r) = b_0 + b_1^* r + b_2^* r^2 + b_3^* r^3 + b_4^* r^4 + b_5^* r^5 + b_6 r^6$								

# S.II. Wing Geometry Parameters (WGP) Optimization Results and Sensitivity Analysis



S.A. WGP Optimization Result

**Figure S1.** The wingbeat motion (**a**), pitch and flapping power of single wing output (**b**,**c**) for the optimal wing geometry parameters (WGP) with 2D  $C_{F,trans}(\alpha)$ .





**Figure S2.** (**a**–**d**) Single-parameter sensitivity analyses for optimal WGP with 2D  $C_{F,trans}(\alpha)$ . The layout is identical to Figure 8.

# S.III. Combined Optimization Results for WGP and Wing Kinematics Parameters (WKP) and Sensitivity Analysis

- 100 ard stro (a) **\$**(t) **W**(t) 80 Flapping angle and pitch angle (°) 60 40 20 -20 40 -60 -80 -100 (b) Pitch power output (  $\mu W$  ) -20 P<sub>Z,trans</sub> (c) P Z,rot Flapping power output ( $\mu W$ ) add. . Z.inerti P Z,total -2 0.25 0.35 0.45 0.55 0.65 0.75 0.85 Normalized time 0.95 1.05 1.15 1.25
- S.A. Combined Optimization Result for WGP and WKP

**Figure S3.** The wingbeat motion (**a**), pitch and flapping power output of single wing (**b**,**c**) for the combined optimal WGP and wing kinematics parameters (WKP) with 2D  $C_{F,trans}(\alpha)$ .





**Figure S4.** (**a**–**j**) Single-parameter sensitivity analyses for the combined optimal WGP and WKP with 2D  $C_{F,trans}(\alpha)$ . The layout is identical to Figure 8.

### Reference

- 1. Muijres, F.T.; Elzinga, M.J.; Melis, J.M.; Dickinson, M.H. Flies evade looming targets by executing rapid visually directed banked turns. *Science* **2014**, *344*, 172–177.
- 2. Lehmann, F.-O.; Dickinson, M.H. The changes in power requirements and muscle efficiency during elevated force production in the fruit fly drosophila melanogaster. *J. Exp. Biol.* **1997**, 200, 1133–1143.
- 3. Sun, M.; Tang, J. Unsteady aerodynamic force generation by a model fruit fly wing in flapping motion. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2002**, *205*, 55–70.
- 4. Sun, M.; Tang, J. Lift and power requirements of hovering flight in drosophila virilis. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2002**, 205, 2413–2427.