



Article Impact of Multifocality on the Recurrence of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma

Joohyun Woo, Hyeonkyeong Kim and Hyungju Kwon *🗅

Department of Surgery, Ewha Womans University Medical Center, 1071 Anyangcheon-ro, Yangcheon-Gu, Seoul 07985, Korea; jwoo@ewha.ac.kr (J.W.); cathy6280@naver.com (H.K.) * Correspondence: htwon@ewha.ac.kr (J.H.); cathy6280@naver.com (H.K.)

* Correspondence: hkwon@ewha.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-2-2650-5025

Abstract: The incidence of thyroid cancer has dramatically increased over the last few decades, and up to 60% of patients have multifocal tumors. However, the prognostic impact of multifocality in patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) remains unestablished and controversial. We evaluate whether multifocality can predict the recurrence of PTC. A total of 1249 patients who underwent total thyroidectomy for PTC at the Ewha Medical Center between March 2012 and December 2019 were reviewed. In this study, multifocality was found in 487 patients (39.0%) and the mean follow-up period was 5.5 ± 2.7 years. Multifocality was associated with high-risk features for recurrence, including extrathyroidal extension, lymph node metastasis, and margin involvement. After adjustment of those clinicopathological features, 10-year disease-free survival was 93.3% in patients with multifocal tumors, whereas those with unifocal disease showed 97.6% (p = 0.011). Multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that male sex (HR 2.185, 95% CI 1.047–4.559), tumor size (HR 1.806, 95% CI 1.337–2.441), N1b LN metastasis (HR 3.603, 95% CI 1.207–10.757), and multifocality (HR 1.986, 95% CI 1.015–3.888) were independent predictors of recurrence. In conclusion, multifocality increased the risk of recurrence in patients with PTC. Patients with multifocal PTCs may need judicious treatment and follow-up approaches.

Keywords: papillary thyroid carcinoma; multifocality; recurrence

1. Introduction

Thyroid cancer is one of the more common cancers worldwide, and its incidence has rapidly increased over the last few decades [1]. In 2018, 567,233 patients were newly diagnosed with thyroid cancer, accounting for 3.1% of total cancer cases. Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) represents more than 80% of all thyroid malignancies, which usually have a favorable prognosis [2]. Nevertheless, up to 50% of patients experience cancer relapse, including loco-regional recurrences or distant metastases [2,3]. Many studies have attempted to differentiate these patients at high risk from the population with excellent outcomes [4,5]. Several clinicopathological factors, including tumor size, extrathyroidal extension (ETE), and multifocality of tumor have been investigated to predict recurrence.

Multifocality has been considered as a prognostic marker for the recurrence of PTC [6,7]. The latest American Thyroid Association (ATA) and the European Thyroid Association (ETA) guidelines included multifocality as a risk factor for recurrence [8,9]. Although multifocality alone without other risk factors was classified as a low-risk category, these guidelines indicated that multifocality could assist in proper risk stratification for predicting recurrence. A consensus report of the European Society of Endocrine Surgeons suggested that multifocality might have a prognostic impact in overt PTC [6]. Other risk stratification systems also addressed the prognostic role of multifocality on cancer-specific survival [10]. However, recent studies raised questions about the impact of multifocality on the recurrence of PTC [11,12].



Citation: Woo, J.; Kim, H.; Kwon, H. Impact of Multifocality on the Recurrence of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5144. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm10215144

Academic Editor: Giovanni Vitale

Received: 19 September 2021 Accepted: 31 October 2021 Published: 2 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). There is a controversy about the prognostic significance of multifocality in PTC. Several studies have suggested that multifocality is associated with a higher risk of recurrences and distant metastasis [13–15]. A few researchers further demonstrated that multifocal PTCs could decrease overall and cancer-specific survival [16]. On the contrary, other research has indicated that patients with multifocal disease showed a similar clinical course or comparable recurrence rates to those with unifocal disease [17,18]. A large multicenter study also suggested that multifocality of PTC had no independent impact on recurrences and mortality after adjustment of potential confounders [12]. Lim et al. further reported that patients with multifocal diseases might have lower risk of recurrences [19]. These conflicting data resulted from, at least in part, unadjusted clinicopathological characteristics or a limited number of patients.

In the present study, therefore, we investigated the effect of multifocality to the recurrence of PTC in a large cohort, using propensity score matching for adjustment of confounders.

2. Materials and Methods

Our institutional review board approved this retrospective cohort study (Approval No. 2021-07-015) and waived the requirement for written informed consent. This study included 1249 consecutive patients with thyroid cancer who underwent total thyroidectomy from March 2012 to December 2019. Neck ultrasonography and computed tomography was performed preoperatively in all patients to evaluate tumor location, multifocality, and cervical lymph node (LN) metastasis. Patients with suspicious LN enlargement underwent therapeutic LN dissection in addition to total thyroidectomy.

Demographic data, pathologic characteristics including tumor size, ETE, resection margin involvement, coexisting Hashimoto thyroiditis, LN metastasis, multifocality, and adjuvant radioiodine treatment were recorded. On the histopathological examination, entire thyroid glands were serially sectioned and examined. The World Health Organization criteria for PTC variants and the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th edition were used for Tumor–Node–Metastasis (TNM) staging. Follow-up period and recurrence status were also collected and analyzed. The primary outcome measure was the recurrence-free survival (RFS).

To minimize selection bias and possible confounding effects, we performed 1:1 propensity score matching [20]. A propensity score measures the probability that a patient would have been treated using a covariates score. Thus, propensity score matching balances the covariates and increases the comparability between the patients with multifocal tumors and those with unifocal PTC. We selected 3 factors that could affect recurrences as follows: ETE, LN metastasis, and resection margin involvement. SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analyses. Comparison of continuous data was performed using Student's t-tests. Dichotomous data were compared using chisquared tests. RFS were assessed by using the log rank test and Kaplan–Meier plots. Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis was used to evaluate the relationship between prognostic factors and recurrence. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Clinicopathological Characteristics of 1249 PTC Patients

The baseline characteristics of the included patients are summarized in Table 1. Mean age was 47.4 ± 11.4 years at the time of surgery and 1095 (87.7%) were women. The mean follow-up period was 5.5 ± 2.7 years (range, 1.0–11.1 years). Most patients (93.5%) had classical subtype of PTCs, while the remaining 81 patients with PTC variants included 53 patients with follicular variants including 7 encapsulated forms, 10 tall cell variants, 9 encapsulated variants, 4 oncocytic variants, 3 diffuse sclerosing variants, 1 columnar cell variant, and 1 hobnail variant.

Characteristics	Multifocal ($n = 487$)	Unifocal (<i>n</i> = 762)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	47.8 ± 11.5	47.1 ± 11.4	0.330
Female sex	425 (87.3%)	670 (87.9%)	0.730
Pathologic characteristics			
Subtype			0.244
Classical	460 (94.5%)	708 (92.9%)	
Follicular	20 (4.1%)	33 (4.3%)	
Tall cell	3 (0.6%)	7 (0.9%)	
Encapsulated	1 (0.2%)	8 (1.0%)	
Oncocytic	0 (0.0%)	4 (0.5%)	
Diffuse sclerosing	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)	
Hobnail	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	
Columnar	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.1%)	
Tumor size (cm)	1.0 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.7	0.133
Microscopic ETE	327 (67.3%)	436 (57.3%)	< 0.001
Lymphovascular invasion	10 (2.1%)	17 (2.2%)	0.833
Perineural invasion	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.3%)	0.841
LN metastasis			0.007
N0	258 (53.0%)	463 (60.8%)	
N1a	171 (35.1%)	240 (31.5%)	
N1b	58 (11.9%)	59 (7.7%)	
Margin involvement	22 (4.5%)	18 (2.4%)	0.035
Coexisting HT	130 (26.7%)	214 (28.1%)	0.592
Postoperative management			
¹³¹ I remnant ablation	227 (46.6%)	313 (41.1%)	0.054
¹³¹ I dose (mCi)	135.4 ± 38.2	134.6 ± 31.9	0.790
Follow-up period (years)	5.3 ± 2.8	5.6 ± 2.6	0.042
Recurrence	21 (4.3%)	15 (2.0%)	0.016

Table 1. Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics between patients with multifocal PTCs and those with unifocal tumors.

PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; LN, lymph node; HT, Hashimoto thyroiditis.

Of the 1249 patients enrolled, 487 patients (39.0%) had multifocal PTCs and 762 (61.0%) had unifocal tumor. Thyroid cancer in patients with multifocal PTCs showed a higher rate of ETE (67.3% vs. 57.3%; p < 0.001) and a microscopic resection margin involvement (4.5% vs. 2.4%; p = 0.035) than in patients with unifocal tumor (Table 1). LN metastasis was also more common in the multifocality group than in the unifocality group (p = 0.007). Distant metastasis was not observed in all patients. Other clinicopathological factors, including age, sex, and tumor size, showed no significant differences between the groups.

Recurrences were found in 21 patients (4.3%) in patients with multifocal PTCs, and 15 patients (2.0%) with unifocal tumor developed recurrence (p = 0.013). A log-rank test indicated that 10-year RFS was significantly lower in the multifocality group (93.3% vs. 97.1%; p = 0.011) than in the unifocality group (Figure 1a).

3.2. Comparison of Recurrence Rates in the Matched Cohorts

As LN metastasis or resection margin involvement could affect the risk of recurrence, we performed 1:1 propensity score matching and yielded 487 matched pairs. Table 2 shows the clinicopathological comparison between the multifocality group and the 1:1 matched unifocality group. The matched cohorts did not differ in terms of clinicopathological features including microscopic ETE, margin involvement, and LN metastasis.



Figure 1. Recurrence-free survival according to the multifocality in patients with PTC, (**a**) before and (**b**) after propensity score matching.

Characteristics	Multifocal ($n = 487$)	Unifocal (<i>n</i> = 487)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	47.8 ± 11.5	47.0 ± 12.0	0.279
Female sex	425 (87.3%)	426 (87.5%)	0.923
Pathologic characteristics			
Subtype			0.996
Classical	460 (94.5%)	460 (92.9%)	
Follicular	20 (4.1%)	21 (4.3%)	
Tall cell	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.9%)	
Encapsulated	1 (0.2%)	1 (1.0%)	
Oncocytic	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.5%)	
Diffuse sclerosing	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)	
Hobnail	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	
Columnar	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.1%)	
Tumor size (cm)	1.0 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.7	0.864
Microscopic ETE	327 (67.3%)	319 (65.6%)	0.587
Lymphovascular invasion	10 (2.1%)	12 (2.5%)	0.666
Perineural invasion	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	1.000
LN metastasis			0.995
NO	258 (53.0%)	257 (52.8%)	
N1a	171 (35.1%)	171 (35.1%)	
N1b	58 (11.9%)	59 (12.1%)	
Margin involvement	22 (4.5%)	18 (3.7%)	0.518
Coexisting HT	130 (26.7%)	127 (26.1%)	0.827
Postoperative management			
¹³¹ I remnant ablation	227 (46.6%)	236 (48.6%)	0.543
¹³¹ I dose (mCi)	135.4 ± 38.2	136.3 ± 32.7	0.786
Follow-up period (years)	5.3 ± 2.8	5.6 ± 2.6	0.089
Recurrence	21 (4.3%)	8 (1.6%)	0.014

Table 2. Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics between patients with multifocal PTCs and those with unifocal tumor after propensity score matching.

PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; LN, lymph node; HT, Hashimoto thyroiditis.

The overall recurrence rate was higher in the multifocality group than in the matched unifocality group (4.3% vs. 1.6%; p = 0.014), after adjustment of potential confounders. The 10-year RFS was also lower in the multifocality group (93.3% vs. 97.6%; p = 0.011) than in the matched group (Figure 1b).

3.3. Predictive Factors of Poor RFS in Patients with PTC

Univariate Cox proportional-hazards model indicated that male sex (HR 2.974, 95% CI 1.433–6.170), tumor size (HR 2.340, 95% CI 1.833–2.987), microscopic ETE (HR 2.708, 95% CI 1.186–6.182), LN metastasis (HR for N1a 3.858, 95% CI 1.587–9.380; HR for N1b 12.704, 95% CI 5.066–31.857), 131 I remnant ablation (HR 6.512, 95% CI 2.709–15.656), and multifocality (HR 2.294, 95% CI 1.183–4.451) were significantly associated with the recurrence (Table 3). Male sex (HR 2.185, 95% CI 1.047–4.559), tumor size (HR 1.806, 95% CI 1.337–2.441), N1b LN metastasis (HR 3.603, 95% CI 1.207–10.757), and multifocality (HR 1.986, 95% CI 1.015–3.888) retained statistical significance in multivariate analysis.

Table 3. Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics between patients with multifocal PTCs and those with unifocal tumor after propensity score matching.

Characteristics	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
Characteristics	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	0.982 (0.952-1.012)	0.239		
Male sex	2.974 (1.433-6.170)	0.003	2.185 (1.047-4.559)	0.037
Tumor size (cm)	2.340 (1.833-2.987)	< 0.001	1.806 (1.337-2.441)	< 0.001
Microscopic ETE	2.708 (1.186-6.182)	0.018	1.311 (0.551-3.122)	0.541
LN metastasis				
N1a	3.858 (1.587-9.380)	0.003	1.859 (0.677-5.102)	0.229
N1b	12.704 (5.066-31.857)	< 0.001	3.603 (1.207-10.757)	0.022
Margin involvement	2.071 (0.497-8.628)	0.317		
Hashimoto thyroiditis	0.643 (0.282-1.467)	0.294		
¹³¹ I remnant ablation	6.512 (2.709–15.656)	< 0.001	2.345 (0.807-6.811)	0.117
Multifocality	2.294 (1.183-4.451)	0.014	1.986 (1.015–3.888)	0.045

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; LN, lymph node.

4. Discussion

The present study demonstrates that multifocal PTCs are associated with a higher risk of recurrence. Multifocality is defined as the simultaneous presence of two or more anatomically separated foci within the thyroid gland [21]. Multifocal PTCs may result from intrathyroidal spread of original tumor or from multicentric independent PTCs [21]. The prevalence of multifocality in PTC ranges from 7.2 to 60.1% of the cases in the recent series [22,23]. The occurrence of multifocality varies according to the epidemiological and environmental factors [6]. Development of multifocal tumors can be associated with radiation, genetic disorders, or a family history of thyroid cancer [24,25]. A BRAF mutation also plays a role in inducing multifocality [26,27]. Furthermore, obese and overweight patients had a higher risk of multifocality [28].

Multifocality is associated with some high-risk features for the progression of PTC [7–9]. We demonstrated that multifocality was associated with higher ETE, LN metastasis, and microscopic resection margin involvement. Feng et al. showed that patients with multifocal PTCs had higher risk of large tumor size, ETE, vascular invasion, and LN metastasis [29]. Other researchers further indicated that multifocality was related with aggressive histologic subtype or higher ATA risk of recurrence [14,15]. A previous meta-analysis also suggested that multifocality was associated with an increased risk of tumor size >1 cm, ETE, and LN metastasis [13]. Hence, more radical treatments, including total thyroidectomy and radioactive iodine ablation, were commonly applied to patients with multifocal PTCs [6,7,30].

There is a controversy as to whether multifocality itself increases the risk of recurrence. Multifocality-associated high-risk features including ETE, LN metastasis, and margin involvement can affect the risk of recurrences. Previous studies further demonstrated that the impact of multifocality might be different according to the primary tumor size. In the present study, therefore, we performed propensity score matching to adjust potential confounders for minimizing biases. After propensity score matching, the overall recurrence rate was still higher in the multifocality group (4.3% vs. 1.6%; p = 0.014) than in the unifocality group. Survival analysis also indicated that patients with multifocal PTCs had a 1.986-fold higher risk of developing recurrences than those with unifocal tumors. Further validation studies may be helpful to confirm the impact of multifocality.

In the present study, male sex, tumor size, and N1b LN metastasis significantly increased the risk of recurrence, respectively. Data from the Canadian Collaborative Network for Cancer of the Thyroid indicated that men were at greater risk for recurrence than women (HR 2.31, 95% CI 1.48–3.60) [31]. Tumor size also has been widely accepted as a risk factor for recurrence in various risk stratification system, including AMES, AGES, and MACIS score [32]. N1b LN metastasis has been further recognized as a predictive factor for recurrence [33]. A meta-analysis suggested that a tumor size over 2 cm (OR 2.69, 95% CI 2.06–3.50) and LN metastasis (OR 3.24, 95% CI 2.61–4.02) were predictive factors for recurrence [34]. Wang et al. demonstrated that male sex, tumor size, and LN metastasis were associated with tumor recurrence of PTC in their large, multicenter study [12]. Our results are consistent with these previous reports.

This study has some limitations. First, our study was a retrospective cohort study, which was prone to a selection bias. Patient selection for receiving radioactive iodine ablation might be influenced by various factors and result in the difference of tumor recurrence. Second, we did not consider a family history or genetic mutation including BRAF mutation. Familial nonmedullary thyroid carcinoma can be more aggressive than the sporadic form [24,25]. However, because of the lack of data, we cannot evaluate the effect of family history or genetic mutation in the present study. Validation for the impact of multifocality is required in patients with family history or BRAF mutation. Third, we did not investigate the long-term prognosis including mortality. The mean follow-up period of 5.5 years was not sufficient for evaluating cancer-specific survival. Last, it is unclear whether patients with multifocal PTCs require aggressive treatment, although we demonstrated that multifocality increased the risk of recurrence. Further comparative studies are warranted to address these issues.

5. Conclusions

Multifocality increased the risk of recurrence in patients with PTC. Patients with multifocal PTCs may need judicious treatment and follow-up approaches.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.W. and H.K. (Hyungju Kwon); methodology, H.K. (Hyeonkyeong Kim); validation, H.K. (Hyungju Kwon); formal analysis, J.W. and H.K. (Hyeonkyeong Kim); resources, H.K. (Hyeonkyeong Kim); data curation, H.K. (Hyeonkyeong Kim); writing—original draft preparation, H.K. (Hyeonkyeong Kim); writing—review and editing, J.W. and H.K. (Hyungju Kwon); project administration, H.K. (Hyungju Kwon). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Ewha University Medical Center (Approval No. 15 July 2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived by the institutional review board, because (1) this research involved no more than minimal risk to subjects, and (2) this research could be carried out practicably without the waiver.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to institutional policy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R.L.; Torre, L.A.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **2018**, *68*, 394–424. [CrossRef]
- Cho, B.Y.; Choi, H.S.; Park, Y.J.; Lim, J.A.; Ahn, H.Y.; Lee, E.K.; Kim, K.W.; Yi, K.H.; Chung, J.-K.; Youn, Y.-K.; et al. Changes in the Clinicopathological Characteristics and Outcomes of Thyroid Cancer in Korea over the Past Four Decades. *Thyroid* 2013, 23, 797–804. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Tuttle, R.M.; Ball, D.W.; Byrd, D.; Dilawari, R.A.; Doherty, G.M.; Duh, Q.Y.; Ehya, H.; Farrar, W.B.; Haddad, R.I.; Kandeel, F.; et al. Thyroid carcinoma. *J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Netw.* **2010**, *8*, 1228–1274. [CrossRef]
- Suh, Y.J.; Kwon, H.; Kim, S.J.; Choi, J.Y.; Lee, K.E.; Park, Y.J.; Park, D.J.; Youn, Y.K. Factors Affecting the Locoregional Recurrence of Conventional Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma After Surgery: A Retrospective Analysis of 3381 Patients. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2015, 22, 3543–3549. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, X.; Kwon, H. The Impact of BRAF Mutation on the Recurrence of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 2056. [CrossRef]
- 6. Iacobone, M.; Jansson, S.; Barczynski, M.; Goretzki, P. Multifocal papillary thyroid carcinoma—A consensus report of the European Society of Endocrine Surgeons (ESES). *Langenbecks Arch. Surg.* **2014**, *399*, 141–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Filetti, S.; Durante, C.; Hartl, D.; Leboulleux, S.; Locati, L.; Newbold, K.; Papotti, M.; Berruti, A. Thyroid cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 2019, 30, 1856–1883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Haugen, B.R.; Alexander, E.K.; Bible, K.C.; Doherty, G.M.; Mandel, S.J.; Nikiforov, Y.E.; Pacini, F.; Randolph, G.W.; Sawka, A.M.; Schlumberger, M.; et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* 2016, 26, 1–133. [CrossRef]
- 9. Pacini, F.; Schlumberger, M.; Dralle, H.; Elisei, R.; Smit, J.W.; Wiersinga, W. European consensus for the management of patients with differentiated thyroid carcinoma of the follicular epithelium. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **2006**, *154*, 787–803. [CrossRef]
- Momesso, D.P.; Tuttle, R.M. Update on Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Staging. *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. N. Am.* 2014, 43, 401–421. [CrossRef]
- Geron, Y.; Benbassat, C.; Shteinshneider, M.; Or, K.; Markus, E.; Hirsch, D.; Levy, S.; Ziv-Baran, T.; Muallem-Kalmovich, L. Multifocality Is not an Independent Prognostic Factor in Papillary Thyroid Cancer: A Propensity Score–Matching Analysis. *Thyroid* 2019, 29, 513–522. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wang, F.; Yu, X.; Shen, X.; Zhu, G.; Huang, Y.; Liu, R.; Viola, D.; Elisei, R.; Puxeddu, E.; Fugazzola, L.; et al. The Prognostic Value of Tumor Multifocality in Clinical Outcomes of Papillary Thyroid Cancer. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2017, 102, 3241–3250. [CrossRef]
- 13. Joseph, K.R.; Edirimanne, S.; Eslick, G.D. Multifocality as a prognostic factor in thyroid cancer: A meta-analysis. *Int. J. Surg.* 2018, 50, 121–125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vuong, H.G.; Duong, U.N.P.; Pham, T.Q.; Tran, H.M.; Oishi, N.; Mochizuki, K.; Nakazawa, T.; Hassell, L.; Katoh, R.; Kondo, T. Clinicopathological Risk Factors for Distant Metastasis in Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma: A Meta-analysis. *World J. Surg.* 2018, 42, 1005–1017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Qu, N.; Zhang, L.; Lu, Z.W.; Ji, Q.H.; Yang, S.W.; Wei, W.J.; Zhang, Y. Predictive factors for recurrence of differentiated thyroid cancer in patients under 21 years of age and a meta-analysis of the current literature. *Tumor Biol.* **2016**, *37*, 7797–7808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Markovic, I.; Goran, M.; Besic, N.; Buta, M.; Djurisic, I.; Stojiljkovic, D.; Zegarac, M.; Pupic, G.; Inic, Z.; Dzodic, R. Multifocality as independent prognostic factor in papillary thyroid cancer—A multivariate analysis. *J. BUON* **2018**, *23*, 1049–1054.
- 17. Guo, K.; Wang, Z. Risk factors influencing the recurrence of papillary thyroid carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **2014**, *7*, 5393–5403.
- 18. Kim, J.K.; Kim, M.J.; Choi, S.H.; Choi, S.M.; Choi, H.R.; Lee, C.R.; Kang, S.; Lee, J.; Jeong, J.J.; Nam, K.; et al. Cystic Lateral Lymph Node Metastases From Papillary Thyroid Cancer Patients. *Laryngoscope* **2020**, *130*. [CrossRef]
- Lim, Y.C.; Liu, L.; Chang, J.W.; Koo, B.S. Lateral lymph node recurrence after total thyroidectomy and central neck dissection in patients with papillary thyroid cancer without clinical evidence of lateral neck metastasis. *Oral Oncol.* 2016, 62, 109–113. [CrossRef]
- Lee, K.E.; Koo, D.H.; Im, H.J.; Park, S.K.; Choi, J.Y.; Paeng, J.C.; Chung, J.-K.; Oh, S.K.; Youn, Y.-K. Surgical completeness of bilateral axillo-breast approach robotic thyroidectomy: Comparison with conventional open thyroidectomy after propensity score matching. *Surgery* 2011, 150, 1266–1274. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kuhn, E.; Teller, L.; Piana, S.; Rosai, J.; Merino, M.J. Different Clonal Origin of Bilateral Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma, with a Review of the Literature. *Endocr. Pathol.* **2012**, *23*, 101–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, Z.; Xiang, J.; Gui, Z.; Qin, Y.; Sun, W.; Huang, J.; He, L.; Dong, W.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, T.; et al. Unilateral Tnm T1 and T2 thyroid papillary carcinoma with lateral Cervical lymph node metastasis: Total thyroidectomy or lobectomy? *Endocr. Pract.* 2020, 26, 1085–1092. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Qu, N.; Zhang, L.; Ji, Q.-H.; Zhu, Y.-X.; Wang, Z.-Y.; Shen, Q.; Wang, Y.; Li, D.-S. Number of tumor foci predicts prognosis in papillary thyroid cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2014, *14*, 914. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Lupoli, G.; Vitale, G.; Caraglia, M.; Fittipaldi, M.R.; Abbruzzese, A.; Tagliaferri, P.; Bianco, A.R. Familial papillary thyroid microcarcinoma: A new clinical entity. *Lancet* **1999**, *353*, *637–639*. [CrossRef]

- Cirello, V. Familial non-medullary thyroid carcinoma: Clinico-pathological features, current knowledge and novelty regarding genetic risk factors. *Minerva Endocrinol.* 2020, 46, 5–20.
- 26. Wang, Z.; Chen, J.Q.; Liu, J.L.; Qin, X.G. Clinical impact of BRAF mutation on the diagnosis and prognosis of papillary thyroid carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* **2016**, *46*, 146–157. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zhang, Q.; Liu, S.Z.; Zhang, Q.; Guan, Y.X.; Chen, Q.J.; Zhu, Q.Y. Meta-Analyses of Association Between BRAF(V600E) Mutation and Clinicopathological Features of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* **2016**, *38*, 763–776. [CrossRef]
- 28. Kaliszewski, K.; Diakowska, D.; Rzeszutko, M.; Rudnicki, J. Obesity and Overweight Are Associated with Minimal Extrathyroidal Extension, Multifocality and Bilaterality of Papillary Thyroid Cancer. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 970. [CrossRef]
- Feng, J.W.; Qu, Z.; Qin, A.C.; Pan, H.; Ye, J.; Jiang, Y. Significance of multifocality in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Eur. J. Surg.* Oncol. 2020, 46, 1820–1828. [CrossRef]
- Kim, K.J.; Kim, S.M.; Lee, Y.S.; Chung, W.Y.; Chang, H.S.; Park, C.S. Prognostic significance of tumor multifocality in papillary thyroid carcinoma and its relationship with primary tumor size: A retrospective study of 2309 consecutive patients. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2015, 22, 125–131. [CrossRef]
- Zahedi, A.; Bondaz, L.; Rajaraman, M.; Leslie, W.D.; Jefford, C.; Young, J.E.; Pathak, K.A.; Bureau, Y.; Rachinsky, I.; Badreddine, M.; et al. Risk for Thyroid Cancer Recurrence Is Higher in Men Than in Women Independent of Disease Stage at Presentation. *Thyroid* 2020, 30, 871–877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dean, D.S.; Hay, I.D. Prognostic Indicators in Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. *Cancer Control.* 2000, 7, 229–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Kwon, H.; Moon, B.-I. Prognosis of papillary thyroid cancer in patients with Graves' disease: A propensity score-matched analysis. *World J. Surg. Oncol.* 2020, *18*, 266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Suh, S.; Goh, T.S.; Kim, Y.H.; Oh, S.-O.; Pak, K.; Seok, J.W.; Kim, I.J. Development and Validation of a Risk Scoring System Derived from Meta-Analyses of Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinol. Metab.* **2020**, *35*, 435–442. [CrossRef]