OPEN ACCESS Journal of *Functional Biomaterials* ISSN 2079-4983 www.mdpi.com/journal/jfb

Article

Fabrication and Characterization of Nanoporous Niobia, and Nanotubular Tantala, Titania and Zirconia via Anodization

Sepideh Minagar¹, Christopher C. Berndt^{1,2} and Cuie Wen^{1,3,*}

- ¹ Faculty of Science, Engineering and Technology, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia; E-Mails: sminagar@swin.edu.au (S.M.); cberndt@swin.edu.au (C.B.)
- ² Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, NY 11794, USA
- ³ School of Aerospace, Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, RMIT University, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: cuie.wen@rmit.edu.au; Tel.: +61-3-9925-7290; Fax: +61-3-9925-6108.

Academic Editor: Antonella Sola

Received: 19 December 2014 / Accepted: 24 March 2015 / Published: 31 March 2015

Abstract: Valve metals such as titanium (Ti), zirconium (Zr), niobium (Nb) and tantalum (Ta) that confer a stable oxide layer on their surfaces are commonly used as implant materials or alloying elements for titanium-based implants, due to their exceptional high corrosion resistance and excellent biocompatibility. The aim of this study was to investigate the bioactivity of the nanostructures of tantala (Ta₂O₅), niobia (Nb₂O₅), zirconia (ZrO₂) and titania (TiO₂) in accordance to their roughness and wettability. Therefore, four kinds of metal oxide nanoporous and nanotubular Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅, ZrO₂ and TiO₂ were fabricated via anodization. The nanosize distribution, morphology and the physical and chemical properties of the nanoporous Ta₂O₅ exhibited an irregular porous structure, high roughness and high surface energy as compared to bare tantalum metal; and exhibited the most superior bioactivity after annealing among the four kinds of nanoporous structures. The nanoporous Nb₂O₅ showed a uniform porous structure and low roughness, but no bioactivity before annealing. Overall, the nanoporous and nanotubular layers of Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅, ZrO₂ and TiO₂ demonstrated

promising potential for enhanced bioactivity to improve their biomedical application alone or to improve the usage in other biocompatible metal implants.

Keywords: nanotube; tantala; niobia; zirconia; titania; wettability; roughness; hydroxyapatite

1. Introduction

Metals and alloys that are used as biomaterials also have a range of applications in industry and medicine due to their excellent mechanical, physical and chemical properties. Extensive studies have been carried out on titanium that has been coated by its natural oxide layer formed in air. Other biocompatible metals and their respective oxide layers are also of interest. For example, the protective oxide layer that forms naturally on a tantalum surface or that is fabricated on the surface of other metals by surface treatments such as chemical vapor deposition [1], electro deposition [2,3] and sol gel [4] methods have other applications. Tantalum pentoxide, Ta₂O₅, is used as a protective coating for chemical equipment due to its excellent corrosion resistance [1]. A thin film of Ta₂O₅, formed by radio frequency (RF) sputtering of SiO₂-Ta₂O₅ films, has been used in optical devices and memory devices [5]. The biocompatibility of the tantalum pentoxide layer suggests tantalum as a good candidate for bioengineering implant applications [6]. By anodization a nanoporous Ta₂O₅ can be formed on the metal in an acidic electrolyte containing hydrofluoric acid (HF) [7–9]. The organic electrolytes were also used for anodizing a nanoporous Ta₂O₅ layer at an applied potential of 10–40 V [10,11].

The application of niobium pentoxide (Nb₂O₅), which can be produced via different methods, such as reactive sputtering [12], sol-gel processes [13], templating techniques [14] and anodic oxidation [15,16], has been reported in applications for gas sensors [17], catalysts [18], both optical and electrochromic devices [12], solid state electrochemical devices [19] and biocompatible prostheses [13]. There are studies on the formation of a nanoporous layer of Nb₂O₅ by controlling the effects of mixed electrolytes, applied potential and anodization time. These nanoporous layers displayed different range of pore sizes and thickness formed mostly in an acidic electrolyte [15,20,21]. An important application for zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) is as an industrial catalyst, especially with its use as an acid catalyst and for NO_x reduction because it is more stable under hydrothermal conditions in comparison to zeolites. This metal oxide also exhibits large pores and a flexible mix in metal oxide composition [22,23]. Zirconia, ZrO₂, can have optoelectronic and biomedical applications due to its high mechanical, chemical, and thermal stability [24]. The formation of self-organized sponge-like porous ZrO₂ [25] and nanotube oxide layer with different nanoscale sizes and thicknesses [26] has been described in detail along with the effect of changing the condition of electrolyte, applied potential and time of anodization [26–30].

The composition of the substantial mineral form of hard tissue such as bone and dentin is apatite, which is secreted by bone cells on the implant surface during the process of attachment. The bioactive materials, which contained Si–OH, Ti–OH, Zr–OH, Nb–OH and Ta–OH, have been reported to exhibit the ability to induce apatite formation [31]. The effect of Ta–OH groups on the surface of alkaline treated bioactive tantalum metal to form bone like apatite was investigated and the Ca/P ratio of 1.59 was reported for crystalline apatite after immersing in simulated body fluid (SBF) [32]. The nanoporous niobium oxides, which were prepared by sol-gel method and coated on 316LSS enhanced hydroxyapatite

formation [33]. Nanosheets and nanofiber-like surface formed on niobium surface by hydrothermal alkaline treatment significantly influenced its apatite inducing ability [34]. The porous tantalum coating surface was reported to enhance osseoinductivity *in vitro* and promote new bone formation *in vivo* [35]. Amorphous nanoporous bioactive sodium niobate hydrogel layer formed on the surface of niobium by alkaline treatment induced the deposition of a CaP layer during soaking in SBF [36]. As formed ZrO₂ nanotubes have been reported to induce apatite formation [37], and annealing improved its bioactivity [38]. Using pre-treatment, such as effective dipping treatment, improved bioactivity of as formed ZrO₂ nanotube with diameter 35 to 80 nm [39]. The osseointegration was observed for the anodized surface of zirconium implanted in Wistar rats [40]. Although a few studies have investigated the bioactivity of ZrO₂ nanotubes, there is still a lack of research in this aspect for nanoporous Nb₂O₅ and Ta₂O₅, which is the object of this study.

In this study, a close observation has been carried out on the relationship between the nanoporous and nanotubular characteristics of Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅, ZrO₂ and TiO₂ such as roughness, wettability and surface energy and the bioactivity. The bioactive property of the surface layers is important in terms of the biomedical applications of these biocompatible metals. However, there are insufficient studies in this aspect, to date. The prime motivation for the current work is to elucidate the influence of the nano characteristics of the surface layer on the bioactivity of the substrate metals.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. The Dynamics of the Anodization Process for Tantala (Ta2O5), Niobia (Nb2O5) and Zirconia (ZrO2)

Figures 1a and 2a show a top view of a nanoporous Ta₂O₅ that exhibits irregularly distributed pores with a diameter range of 35–65 nm. The thickness of this layer was $1.17 \pm 0.05 \mu$ m, taking note that the sub-structure revealed multiple layers (Figures 1b and 2b), as reported previously [11], where every layer exhibited a closed bottom. Figure 1c shows the cross section of the multiple layers and the arrow indicates Ta₂O₅ nanotubes with a length of nearly 152 ± 1 nm that formed initially after several seconds during anodization. These long nanotubes transformed into nanoporosity after several seconds during anodization and exhibited similar morphological features to other layers. A top view of the multiple layers can be observed in Figure 1d.

Similar to the anodization of Ti as described previously [41], the anodization of Ta, Nb and Zr in the presence of F^- was observed in three steps: (i) formation of compact and protective metal oxide with a decay of current density (*vs.* time); (ii) chemical dissolution of the oxide in the presence of the fluoride anion and formation of metal oxide simultaneously with a rise of current density (*vs.* time); and (iii) reaching equilibrium between oxidation and dissolution with a steady state of current density (*vs.* time). The anodization conditions, such as applied potential and concentration of F^- , were reported to have an influence on the shape of current density *vs.* time curve [7,8,15,20,25,26]. When anodization starts, a compact oxide layer forms on the surface of tantalum for a finite thickness according to the decay characteristics of the current. Tantalum ions, Ta⁵⁺, arrive at the interface of the oxide layer and electrolyte by forming [TaF7]²⁻. Thus, pores formed at the interface between the oxide layer and electrolyte. These pores continued to develop during anodization because they

preferred sites that trap the necessary ions. Then, the growth rate of the metal oxide nanoporous and nanotubes may be determined by the diffusion rate of F^- ions and the soluble metal complex [42].

Although the formation mechanism for the nanoporosity of tantalum and other valve metals is uncertain, the nanoporosity could be related to the molecular dimension of $[TaF_7]^{2-}$ and the formation kinetics of Ta₂O₅. The standard electrode potential of Ta \rightarrow Ta⁵⁺ is 0.75 V, which is less than that of Ti \rightarrow Ti⁴⁺, 2.132 V [43]. Thus, Ta⁵⁺ oxidizes quickly. In addition, the standard enthalpies of formation for Ta₂O₅ and TiO₂ are -492.790 and -228.360 gram calories per mole, respectively [44]. It can be concluded that the oxidization process and the formation of a Ta₂O₅ layer on tantalum are faster than the processes on the titanium surface.



Figure 1. SEM image of nanoporous Ta₂O₅: (**a**) top view; (**b**) the bottom view and the cross section of multilayer; (**c**) shorted life nanotubes (arrow); (**d**) the top view of multilayer formed on a Ta after anodization for 120 min in 1 M H₂SO₄ + 3.3 wt % NH₄F, 20 V, SEM image of nanoporous Nb₂O₅; (**e**) top view and (**f**) cross section formed on niobium after anodization for 16 min in 1 M H₂SO₄ + 3.3 wt % NH₄F, 20 V and SEM image of ZrO₂ nanotubes (**g**) top view; (**h**) cross section and (**i**) bottom view formed on a zirconium after anodization for 95 min in 1 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ + 0.3 wt % NH₄F, pH = 5 and 20 V.



Figure 2. SEM images of nanoporous Ta₂O₅: (**a**) top view; (**b**) the middle of the layer; (**c**) nanoporous Nb₂O₅; (**d**) top view; (**e**) bottom view; (**f**) cross section of ZrO₂ nanotubes.

The mechanism and kinetics for the oxide layer formation can be calculated on the basis of the ionic radii for Ta⁵⁺ and F⁻ on a first principles basis. In this instance, the physical and chemical environment around the $[TaF_7]^{2^-}$ at the pore sites, which affect the molecular size of $[TaF_7]^{2^-}$, are ignored. The structure of the complex has been reported to be a two pentagonal pyramid. The volume of the circumscribed sphere of this structure can be calculated as 26.094×10^{-30} m³, which is smaller than the octahedral volume of $[TiF_6]^{2^-} = 29.182 \times 10^{-30}$ m³. It can be expected that the diffusion of $[TaF_7]^{2^-}$ is faster than $[TiF_6]^{2^-}$ and that the pore growth does not occur solely at the bottom, but also at the walls, thereby resulting in pores that merge and agglomerate. Over a period of several seconds, the pore was bottle-shaped, similar to that of nanotubes with a closed bottom where the diameter of tubes near the bottom was greater than that at the tube necks. Gaps are also created at the bottom of the layer when the pores merged, which permits the electrolyte to become available underneath the nanotubes. Thus, a new layer of nanotubes can form under the same mechanism, thereby resulting in the formation of multilayers of nanoporous layer over time. The nanotubes dissolve according to the dissolution rate of the oxide layer in the presence of the fluorine ions (F⁻).

The nanoporous Nb₂O₅ (as shown in Figures 1e and 2c) exhibited nearly uniform pore diameters of 22–42 nm. The thickness of this layer was 242 ± 33 nm (Figure 1f). The same formation mechanism can

be hypothesized for nanoporous Nb₂O₅ layer formation as has been described for the formation of the nanoporous Ta₂O₅ layer. Niobium (Nb) and Zr are in the same period and adjacent columns in periodic table of the elements. The standard electrode potential of Nb \rightarrow Nb⁵⁺ is 0.644 V, which is less than that of Zr \rightarrow Zr⁴⁺, 1.553 V [43]; Nb is, therefore, oxidized rapidly. In addition, the standard enthalpy of formation for Nb₂O₅ is -458.640 gram calories per mole, whereas the enthalpy of formation is -264.199 gram calories per mole for ZrO₂ [44].

The processes of oxidization and formation of the oxide layer on the niobium surface are more rapid than those on the zirconium surface. Consider, for example, that the physical and chemical environment around the $[NbF_7]^{2-}$ ion within the pore structure are ignored. The volume of the ion complexes can then be calculated on the basis of the ionic radii for Nb⁵⁺ and F⁻ ions and assuming a lattice structure of a two pentagonal pyramid. The volume of the circumscribed sphere of the $[NbF_7]^{2-}$ ion is calculated as 32.02×10^{-30} m³, which is smaller than the volume of the $[ZrF_6]^{2-}$ ion, which is 36.08×10^{-30} m³, which is octahedral. It can be expected, therefore, that the diffusion of a $[NbF_7]^{2-}$ ion within a pore is more rapid than for a $[ZrF_6]^{2-}$ ion. The growth of the pore does not arise only at the bottom but also at the walls, which will result in merging of pores.

Figures 1g–i and 2d–f show the fabricated ZrO₂ nanotubes that exhibit: (i) uniform inner pore diameters of 21–35 nm; (ii) an outer diameter of 54–68 nm; and (iii) wall thickness of 10–16 nm. The thickness of this layer was about $24.52 \pm 0.74 \mu m$. As previously explained [45], the standard electrode potential of $Zr \rightarrow Zr^{4+}$, 1.553 V, is lower than that of Ti \rightarrow Ti⁴⁺, 2.132 V [43], which results in rapid oxidation. In addition, the standard enthalpy of formation for ZrO₂ is –264.199 gram calories per mole, whereas that for TiO₂ is –228.360 gram calories per mole [44]. The process of oxidation and the formation of the oxide layer on the surface of zirconium are faster than those for the titanium surface. However, the formation of the [ZrF6]^{2–} ion takes more time than for the [TiF6]^{2–} ion because the solubility equilibrium constant of (NH4)₂ZrF₆ is higher than that of (NH4)₂TiF₆. Based on the hypothesis described previously, the volume of the circumscribed sphere of the octahedral structure of [ZrF₆]^{2–} can be calculated as 36.08×10^{-30} m³ and 29.18×10^{-30} m³ for [TiF₆]^{2–}, which causes a lower diffusion rate inside the pore. It has been reported that nanotubes grow longer with a smaller diameter when the dissolution rate is slow compared to the oxidation process [46].

2.2. Physical Characteristics of Nanoporous Ta2O5 and Nb2O5 and Nanotubular ZrO2 Layers

Figure 3a demonstrates the influence of the formation of nanoporous Ta₂O₅ and the TiO₂ nanotubes on the S_a with respect to the bare metals. The nanoporous layer of Ta₂O₅ revealed a lower surface roughness than the TiO₂ nanotubes, with almost the same distribution of inner diameter (D_i), when the concentration of fluoride ion (C_F) was 0.5 wt % at 20 V. The roughness similarity arose because the TiO₂ nanotubes were separated from each other, whereas the nanoporous Ta₂O₅ formed a continuous layer. The surface area index (S_1) may be calculated by dividing the projected surface area, namely, the total exposed three-dimensional surface area being analyzed, including peaks and valleys, to the surface area measured in the lateral direction. The volume index (V_1) may be calculated by dividing the natural volume, namely, the amount of liquid that it would take to submerge the dataset to its highest point, to the normal volume that is measured in the lateral of the nanoporous layer. The S_1 and V_1 values of nanoporous Ta₂O₅ are shown in Table 1, with the corresponding roughness amplitude parameters, and verify that the Ta₂O₅ nanoporous layer did not significantly change the surface area and roughness of the bare metal. Although the distribution of nanoporous Ta₂O₅ was not uniform, there were no high peaks and low valleys according to the measured S_{skw} , which is close to zero. The peaks and valleys exhibited a platykurtic distribution that was almost uniform due to the S_{ku} being near to 3.

Figure 3b shows the change of hydrophilic properties of bare tantalum and titanium after fabrication of the nanoporous and nanotubular layers on their surfaces. The water contact angle measurement of a surface represents the wetting properties of the surface. The literature defines a surface as superhydrophobic when the water contact angle (θ_w) is more than 150° and hydrophobic when it is 90° < θ_w < 150°. A surface has superhydrophilic properties when θ_w < 10° and has hydrophilic properties with a water contact angle 10° < θ_w < 90° [47]. The as-formed nanoporous Ta₂O₅ exhibited hydrophilic properties that were similar to the TiO₂ nanotubes with the same distribution of inner diameters, D_i (under the conditions of $C_F = 0.5$ wt %, 20 V). Figure 4 presents photo images of a water droplet on the surface of nanoporous and nanotubular layers. Figure 3a,b also suggests a direct relationship between roughness and water contact angle. When the roughness increased there was a decrease in the water contact angle. The calculated surface energy for nanoporous Ta₂O₅ increased after anodization and also after annealing due to the completion of its crystallization. The water contact angle and surface energy have been detailed in Table 2 for nanoporous Ta₂O₅.



Figure 3. Demonstration of $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e})$ changes of roughness (S_a) and $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f})$ modification of water contact angle of bare tantalum, niobium, zirconium and titanium after fabrication of nanotubular and nanoporous layer. Each data point is an average of three measurements.

Material	Surface area index (<i>S</i> 1)	Volume index (V _I)	S _a (μm)	S _q (μm)	S _{skw}	S _{ku}
Nanoporous Ta ₂ O ₅ ; As formed	1.34 ± 0.01	18393 ± 86	0.27 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.01	0.60 ± 0.23	4.82 ± 0.52
Nanoporous Ta ₂ O ₅ ; Annealed (10 min at 290 °C)	1.27 ± 0.01	1838 ± 132	0.25 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.03	3.90 ± 0.17
Bare tantalum foil (0.1 mm)	1.06 ± 0.00	18229 ± 0	0.23 ± 0.00	0.29 ± 0.00	0.06 ± 0.00	2.79 ± 0.00
Nanoporous Nb2O5; As formed	1.50 ± 0.00	18398 ± 134	0.26 ± 0.00	0.34 ± 0.00	0.64 ± 0.03	3.78 ± 0.21
Nanoporous Nb ₂ O ₅ ; Annealed (10 min at 290 °C)	1.38 ± 0.00	18357 ± 144	0.26 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.02	3.28 ± 0.05
Bare niobium foil (0.05 mm)	1.04 ± 0.00	18327 ± 0	0.31 ± 0.00	0.38 ± 0.00	0.22 ± 0.00	2.68 ± 0.00
ZrO2 Nanotube; As formed	2.44 ± 0.30	18422 ± 12	0.56 ± 0.05	0.71 ± 0.05	-0.86 ± 0.018	3.75 ± 0.49
ZrO ₂ Nanotube; Annealed (10 min at 290 °C)	2.94 ± 0.15	18428 ± 79	0.61 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.00	-0.57 ± 0.20	3.30 ± 0.35
Bare zirconium foil (0.05 mm)	1.03 ± 0.00	18531 ± 0.00	0.12 ± 0.00	0.20 ± 0.00	-4.27 ± 0.00	39.31 ± 0.00

Table 1. Surface area and volume index and roughness amplitude parameters of nanoporous Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅ and nanotube ZrO₂. Each data point is an average of three measurements.





Figure 3c indicates the progression in roughness for the nanoporous Nb₂O₅ layer and TiO₂ nanotubes while exhibiting almost the same distribution of D_i (under the conditions of $C_F = 0.5$ wt %, 15 V) with respect to the corresponding bare metals. The roughness of the titanium increased upon the creation and separation of TiO₂ nanotubes that give rise to gaps in the surface architecture, whereas the roughness of the niobium decreased upon the growth of nanoporous Nb₂O₅. The S_{skw} of the Nb₂O₅ nanoporous surface is higher than zero, indicating the presence of high peaks and low valleys with a leptokurtic distribution. The surface area and volume indices of nanoporous Nb₂O₅ are shown in Table 1, as well as the corresponding roughness amplitude parameters. Figure 3d shows the changes of hydrophilic properties of the bare niobium and titanium after fabrication of the nanoporous and nanotubular layers on their surfaces. The nanoporous surface revealed a water contact angle in the range of almost the same distribution of D_i (under the conditions of $C_F^- = 0.5$ wt %, 15 V) for TiO₂ nanotubes that showed hydrophilic properties. This data also implies that there is a relationship between roughness and the water contact angle. The surface energy of this layer was higher than bare niobium. The water contact angle and surface energy are listed in Table 2 for the nanoporous Nb₂O₅.

Table 2. Water contact angle and surface energy of as formed and annealed nanoporous Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅ and nanotube ZrO₂ in comparison to its bare metal. Each data point is an average of three measurements.

Material	Contact angle (θ/°)	γ_S^d (mJ·m ⁻²)	γ_S^p (mJ·m ⁻²)	$\gamma_S = \gamma_S^d + \gamma_S^p$ (mJ·m ⁻²)
Nanoporous Ta ₂ O ₅ ; As formed	26.0 ± 5.0	11.8	55.2	67.0
Nanoporous Ta ₂ O ₅ ; Annealed (10 min at 290 °C)	12.1 ± 1.6	15.2	56.7	71.9
Bare tantalum foil (0.1 mm)	47.9 ± 1.2	5.1	49.5	54.6
Nanoporous Nb ₂ O ₅ ; As formed	25.0 ± 7.0	11.02	56.94	67.96
Nanoporous Nb ₂ O ₅ ; Annealed (10 min at 290 °C)	15.5 ± 1.4	18.11	52.20	70.31
Bare niobium foil (0.05 mm)	61.0 ± 0.0	6.44	34.94	41.38
ZrO ₂ Nanotube; As formed	17.0 ± 1.0	11.56	60.04	71.60
ZrO ₂ Nanotube; Annealed (10 min at 290 °C)	8.5 ± 1.0	12.84	60.77	73.61
Bare zirconium foil (0.05 mm)	58.7 ± 1.3	5.82	38.042	43.87

Figure 3e shows the effect of ZrO_2 and TiO_2 nanotube formation with respect to the roughness, S_a , of the bare metals. The presence of the nanotubular ZrO_2 has increased the roughness of the bare metal and also revealed a higher roughness than the nanotubular layer on the TiO_2 nanotubes surface with almost the same distribution of D_i (under the conditions of $C_F = 0.5$ wt %, 10 V). The S_{skw} of the ZrO₂ nanotubular layer is lower than zero, which signifies deep valleys, such as scratches, with a leptokurtic distribution. The surface area and volume indices of ZrO₂ nanotube are shown in Table 1, along with their roughness amplitude parameters.

Modification of the hydrophilic properties of bare zirconium and titanium after fabrication of nanotubular layer on their surfaces is shown in Figure 3f. Although both nanotubular layers fabricated on the zirconium and titanium surfaces are hydrophilic, the ZrO_2 nanotubes exhibited a lower water contact angle in comparison to TiO₂ with nearly the same distribution of D_i ($C_F = 0.5$ wt %, 10 V), which led to a high surface energy. The water contact angle and surface energy is detailed in Table 2 for ZrO_2 nanotubes. A direct relationship between roughness and the water contact angle can be observed in Figure 3f.

Nanoporous Ta₂O₅ with a mixture of amorphous and hexagonal phase was fabricated via anodization under the conditions of 1 M H₂SO₄ + 3.3 wt % NH₄F, 20 V for 120 min. The amorphous nanoporous Ta₂O₅ transformed into hexagonal Ta₂O₅ after annealing at 290 °C for 10 min, as indicated by the XRD patterns in Figure 5a. After annealing the pore size decreased to within the range of 23–49 nm as a result of completion of crystallization. Annealing increased the hydrophilic properties of nanoporous Ta₂O₅ layer but as much as TiO₂ nanotubes because of their different porosity due to their structures.



Figure 5. XRD patterns of (a) the nanoporous Ta_2O_5 and bare tantalum foil; (b) the nanoporous Nb₂O₅ and bare niobium foil; (c) the ZrO₂ nanotubes and bare zirconium foil; and (d) the TiO₂ nanotubes and bare titanium foil.

Nanoporous Nb₂O₅ with a mixture of amorphous and monoclinic phase was fabricated via anodization under the conditions of 1 M H₂SO₄ + 3.3 wt % NH₄F, 20 V for 16 min. The amorphous nanoporous Nb₂O₅ transformed into base-centered monoclinic Nb₂O₅ after annealing at 290 °C for 10 min, as indicated by the XRD patterns in Figure 5b. The pore size, after annealing, was irregular and laid in the range of 26–60 nm. The pore size was determined by the crystallization process during the heat treatment. Annealing did not influence the roughness of the nanoporous Nb₂O₅ but decreased the water contact angle of the layer.

The cubic ZrO₂ nanotube was fabricated via anodization under the conditions of 1 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ + 0.3 wt % NH₄F (with the addition of H₂SO₄ to attain a pH = 5) at 30 V for 95 min, as indicated by the XRD patterns in Figure 5c. After annealing, the inner diameter of the nanotubes laid in the range of 20–36 nm, whilst the nanotube outer diameter (D_0) was 48–68 nm and the wall thickness (W_t) was in the range of 9–11 nm. The roughness (S_a) and hydrophilic properties of ZrO₂ nanotubes increased after annealing. Figure 6a shows the pore sizes of nanoporous Ta₂O₅ and Nb₂O₅, compared to TiO₂ nanotube with nearly the same D_i . Figure 6b,c,d shows the D_i , D_o and W_t of ZrO₂ nanotubes compared to TiO₂ nanotube with nearly the same pore sizes as a visual illustration.



Figure 6. Illustration of pore size of the nanoporous and nanotubular layer.

2.3. Bioactivity of Nanoporous and Nanotubular Metal Oxide Layer

Artificial implant materials upon implantation *in vivo* are encapsulated by fibrous tissues that isolate them from the surrounding bone. This is not the ideal healing mechanism, whereas a bioactive material is preferred which bonds to living bone by forming a carbonated apatite layer on their surfaces similar to hydroxyapatite (HA) of bone composition [48]. The bioactivity of the biocompatible metals with the as-formed and annealed conditions of the nanoporous and nanotubular metal oxides was assessed by immersion in the m-SBF for a period up to three weeks. The response of the oxide layers to the m-SBF immersion was observed after one day and three weeks. After one-day immersion, no growth of HA was detectable on the surfaces of the as-formed and annealed nanoporous Ta₂O₅ and Nb₂O₅ and the nanotubular TiO₂ and ZrO₂. However, after three weeks immersion as shown in Figure 7, HA were deposited onto the surfaces of the nanoporous and nanotubular layers. The atomic ratio of calcium to phosphate calculated using EDS results after three weeks is indicated in Figure 8.

The M–OH groups located on the surface of biocompatible metal oxides are favored sites for apatite nucleation [48–51]. First, Ca^{2+} ions are absorbed onto the hydrolyzed nanoporous and nanotubular oxide surface by Coulomb attraction forces. Then, existing phosphate groups inside the m-SBF are adsorbed to the positively charged surface, resulting in the formation of calcium phosphate. The stoichiometric Ca/P (at.%) of octacalcium phosphate [Ca₈H₂(PO₄)₆ × 5H₂O], tricalcium phosphate [Ca₃(PO₄)₂] and hydroxyapatite [Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂] are 1.33, 1.5 and 1.67, respectively. According to the obtained Ca/P ratio for the metal oxides, annealed nanoporous Ta₂O₅ had a high value of Ca/P ratio, which may indicate the presence of a mixture of calcium and phosphate in abovementioned compositions. Other nanoporous and nanotubular metal oxide layers induce calcium phosphate and need more time or pre-treatment to induce crystalline hydroxyapatite. Ta₂O₅ reaches an isoelectric point at a pH of 2.7–3.0, which can be compared to Nb₂O₅ that attains an isoelectric point at a pH of 4.0 [52,53]. Thus, the Ta₂O₅ surface, compared to the Nb₂O₅ surface, becomes more negatively charged in m-SBF at a pH of 7.4. Therefore there is an appropriate chemical environment for a higher Ca/P atomic ratio to be attained for Ta₂O₅ in

comparison to Nb₂O₅. After annealing, the hydrophilic properties and surface energy of both nanoporous Ta₂O₅ and Nb₂O₅ increased along with no considerable change on their roughness. It can be concluded that a direct link exists between the hydrophilic properties and the completing crystalline phase of nanoporous layer for inducing the formation and growth of HA. The lower value of *S*_{ku} of the nanoporous Nb₂O₅ plus low tendency to form Nb–OH, because of its isoelectric point, made the as-formed nanoporous layer non-bioactive in this study. In addition, the isoelectric point of TiO₂ has been reported at a pH of 3.9 and the corresponding value for ZrO₂ is 5.5 [52,53], which would be expected to lead to a higher Ca/P ratio for TiO₂. After annealing, the hydrophilic properties and surface energy of both ZrO₂ and TiO₂ nanotubes increased along with the roughness. The roughness of the nanotubular layer and capillary force of nanotubes influenced the initial reaction sites for apatite nucleation and formation.



Figure 7. SEM images with $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{g})$ low and (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{h}) high magnification of HA on as formed nanoporous Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅ and ZrO₂; and (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{i}) low and $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{j})$ high magnification of hydroxyapatite (HA) on annealed nanoporous Ta₂O₅, Nb₂O₅ and ZrO₂.



Figure 8. Bioactivity of biocompatible nanoporous and nanotubular oxide metals after 3 weeks in m-SBF at 37 °C.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Substrate Preparation

Tantalum, 99.95% (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.1 mm), niobium, 99.95% (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.05 mm), zirconium, 99.60% (10 mm ×10 mm × 0.05 mm) and titanium, 99.95% (10 mm ×10 mm × 0.05 mm) foils (Baoji Boxin Metal Materials Co. Ltd., Shaanxi, China) were degreased by sonication in methanol, isopropanol, acetone and ethanol for 15 min each, progressively. The substrates were then washed with deionized water and dried using a nitrogen gas stream. Two electrode configurations were employed using a DC power supply with a 1 cm² platinum plate acting as the counter electrode and placed 4 cm from the working electrode. Electrochemical experiments were performed at room temperature with the electrolyte composed of 1 M H₂SO₄ + 3.3 wt % NH₄F for tantalum and niobium at 20 V for 120 min and 16 min, respectively; 1 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ + 0.3 wt % NH₄F (with the addition of H₂SO₄ to attain pH 5) for zirconium at 30 V for 95 min and 1 M H₂SO₄ + 0.5 wt % NH₄F for titanium at 10, 15 and 20V for 120 min. The electrolytes were prepared from reagent grade chemicals (Sigma Aldridge, Castle Hill, Australia) and deionized water. After the electrochemical treatment, the samples were rinsed with deionized water for 5 min and dried with a nitrogen stream. Annealing of the samples was performed in air at 290 °C for 10 min in a conventional muffle furnace (Nabertherm LT15/13/P330; Nabertherm GmbH, Lilienthal, Germany).

3.2. Surface Characterization

Metallographic characterization of the samples was carried out using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, ZEISS SUPRA 40 VP, Los Angeles, CA, USA). The characterization of the phase structures was performed by means of XRD using Cu K α incident radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA (Bruker D8; Bruker Pte Ltd., Singapore). The diffraction patterns were recorded over a 2 θ range from 10° to 90° scanned at a step size of 0.02°. For the nanoporous Ta₂O₅ and Nb₂O₅ layers, the films were scratched off and characterized using XRD.

Roughness parameters were measured using a 3D-Profilometer (Bruker, Contour GT-K1; Bruker Pte Ltd., Singapore) and analyzed using the SurfVision software (Veeco Instruments Inc.; Plainview, NY, USA). Surface topography was characterized by four parameters. Mean Roughness (S_a) and Root Mean Square (RMS) Roughness (S_q) were used to evaluate the vertical characteristics of surface deviation over the 3D surface [54]. The third central moment of profile amplitude, skewness of a 3D surface texture (S_{skw}) was used to assess the dominant nature of topography. Thus, a value of $S_{skw} > 0$ indicates high peaks about the mean plane and $S_{skw} < 0$ indicates deep valleys such as would be formed from scratches [54]. The sharpness of the height distribution was indicated by a fourth central moment of profile amplitude; that is the kurtosis (S_{ku}) of the 3D surface texture where $S_{ku} = 3.0$ for a normal distribution of heights. However, when a few high peaks are spread out over the 3D surface, then the distribution is defined as "platykurtic" and $S_{ku} < 3.0$; whereas $S_{ku} > 3.0$ in the instance of the surface exhibiting a high proportion of high peaks and low valleys and this distribution is described as being "leptokurtic" [54].

The water contact angle was measured using a goniometer (NRL C.A. Goniometer, Ramé-hart, Inc.; Succasunna, NJ, USA). Surface energy is calculated based on Owens-Wendt (OW) method [55], as in the following equation:

$$(1+\cos\theta)\gamma_L = 2\left(\sqrt{\gamma_L^d \gamma_S^d} + \sqrt{\gamma_L^p \gamma_S^p}\right) \tag{1}$$

where γ_L is the liquid surface tension (water = 72.8 mJ·m⁻², glycerol = 63.4 mJ·m⁻²); γ_L^d and γ_S^d are the liquid and solid dispersive component (water =21.8 mJ·m⁻², glycerol = 37.0 mJ·m⁻²); γ_L^p and γ_S^p are the liquid and solid polar component (water = 51.0 mJ·m⁻², glycerol = 26.4 mJ·m⁻²), and γ_S is the sum of γ_S^d and γ_S^p [56].

Bioactivity assessments were carried out by soaking the as-formed and annealed metal oxides samples in modified simulated body fluid (m-SBF) and incubating them at 37 °C for 1 day and 3 weeks. A modified simulated body (m-SBF) [57] with an ion composition nearly equal to blood plasma was prepared by dissolving 5.403 g NaCl, 0.504 g NaHCO₃, 0.426 g Na₂CO₃, 0.225 g KCl, 0.230 g K₂HPO₄.3H₂O, 0.311 g MgCl₂.6H₂O, 0.293 g CaCl₂, and 0.072 g Na₂SO₄ in deionized water as previously reported [57]. The m-SBF was buffered at pH 7.4 at 37 °C using 2-(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1piperazinyl) ethane sulfonic acid (aka HEPES) and 1 M NaOH. An amount of 17.892 g HEPES was dissolved in 100 mL 0.2 M NaOH. The ability of the nanostructural surfaces to form apatite was evaluated in a static m-SBF environment. The samples were removed after incubation for 1 day and 3 weeks in m-SBF; then rinsed with deionized water and dried for 24 h at room temperature. The atomic percentage of calcium to phosphorous (Ca/P) ratio was calculated using EDS results.

The nano size distribution measurements were generated from 100 nano-pores or nanotubes at different positions for each sample using the line tool of ImageJ software. An average of three readings per sample was acquired for the roughness parameters, water contact and surface energy measurements.

4. Conclusions

Nanoporous oxide layers on the surfaces of tantalum and niobium and nanotubular layers on the surfaces of zirconium and titanium were fabricated via anodization. The bioactivity of the nanoporous and nanotubular layers was evaluated. The prime conclusions are as follows.

- 1. The nanoporous Ta₂O₅ layer and nanotubular ZrO₂ and TiO₂ layer exhibited a higher roughness than their respective bare metals but the nanoporous Nb₂O₅ layer exhibited a lower roughness than its bare metal.
- 2. The nanoporous layers of Ta_2O_5 and Nb_2O_5 and the nanotubular layers of ZrO_2 and TiO_2 revealed an increase in hydrophilic property and surface energy compared to their respective bare metals.
- 3. The hydrophilic property and the surface energy of the nanoporous layers of Ta₂O₅ and Nb₂O₅ and the nanotubular layers of ZrO₂ and TiO₂ were increased after annealing.
- 4. After annealing the pore size of nanoporous Ta₂O₅ decreased, whereas the pore size of nanoporous Nb₂O₅ increased. This is due to their different crystalline phases before and after annealing which possess different lattice parameters. The inner diameter of ZrO₂ nanotubes did

not show any obvious change after annealing because the crystalline phase did not change, whilst annealing led to an increase in the inner diameter of anatase TiO₂ nanotubes.

5. As formed Ta₂O₅ and ZrO₂ exhibited a good bioactivity similar to TiO₂. Annealed Ta₂O₅ showed a high level of bioactivity, which is promising for biomedical applications. Nb₂O₅ did not show bioactivity before annealing; but was bioactive after annealing.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) through the ARC Discovery Project DP110101974. SM would like to acknowledge the State Government of Victoria in Australia for supporting and funding this research project through a Victorian International Research Scholarship.

Author Contributions

Sepideh Minagar conceived (90%) and designed the experiments (95%), analyzed the data (100%) and wrote (95%) and edited the paper (80%); Cuie Wen conceived (10%) and designed the experiments (5%), wrote (10%) and edited the paper (10%) and Christopher C. Berndt edited the paper (10%).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ishikawa, M.; Sugimoto, K. Multiple layer protective coating composed of Ta₂O₅, Cr₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ produced by the MO-CVD technique. *Corros. Eng.* **1989**, *38*, 619–630.
- Arnould, C.; Delhalle, J.; Mekhalif, Z. Corrigendum to "Multifunctional hybrid coating on titanium towards hydroxyapatite growth: Electrodeposition of tantalum and its molecular functionalization with organophosphonic acids films" [electrochim. Acta 53 (2008) 5632–5638] (doi:10.1016/j.Electacta. 2008.03.003). *Electrochim. Acta* 2009, *54*, 2402.
- 3. Arnould, C.; Delhalle, J.; Mekhalif, Z. Multifunctional hybrid coating on titanium towards hydroxyapatite growth: Electrodeposition of tantalum and its molecular functionalization with organophosphonic acids films. *Electrochim. Acta* **2008**, *53*, 5632–5638.
- 4. Arnould, C.; Volcke, C.; Lamarque, C.; Thiry, P.A.; Delhalle, J.; Mekhalif, Z. Titanium modified with layer-by-layer sol-gel tantalum oxide and an organodiphosphonic acid: A coating for hydroxyapatite growth. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2009**, *336*, 497–503.
- 5. Cava, R.F.; Peck, W.F.; Krajewski, J.J. Enhancement of the dielectric-constant of Ta₂O₅ through substitution with TiO₂. *Nature* **1995**, *377*, 215–217.
- 6. Levine, B.R.; Sporer, S.; Poggie, R.A.; Della Valle, C.J.; Jacobs, J.J. Experimental and clinical performance of porous tantalum in orthopedic surgery. *Biomaterials* **2006**, *27*, 4671–4681.
- Sieber, I.; Kannan, B.; Schmuki, P. Self-assembled porous tantalum oxide prepared in H₂SO₄/HF electrolytes. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 2005, *8*, J10–J12.
- 8. Sieber, I.; Hildebrand, H.; Friedrich, A.; Schmuki, P. Initiation of tantalum oxide pores grown on tantalum by potentiodynamic anodic oxidation. *J. Electroceram.* **2006**, *16*, 35–39.

- 9. Sieber, I.V.; Schmuki, P. Porous tantalum oxide prepared by electrochemical anodic oxidation. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2005**, *152*, C639–C644.
- 10. Wei, W.; MacAk, J.M.; Shrestha, N.K.; Schmuki, P. Thick self-ordered nanoporous Ta₂O₅ films with long-range lateral order. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2009**, *156*, K104–K109.
- 11. Wei, W.; Macak, J.M.; Schmuki, P. High aspect ratio ordered nanoporous Ta₂O₅ films by anodization of Ta. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2008**, *10*, 428–432.
- 12. Aagard, R.L. Optical waveguide characteristics of reactive DC-sputtered niobium pentoxide films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1975**, *27*, 605–607.
- 13. Velten, D.; Eisenbarth, E.; Schanne, N.; Breme, J. Biocompatible Nb₂O₅ thin films prepared by means of the sol-gel process. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2004**, *15*, 457–461.
- Mozalev, A.; Sakairi, M.; Saeki, I.; Takahashi, H. Nucleation and growth of the nanostructured anodic oxides on tantalum and niobium under the porous alumina film. *Electrochim. Acta* 2003, *48*, 3155–3170.
- 15. Sieber, I.; Hildebrand, H.; Friedrich, A.; Schmuki, P. Formation of self-organized niobium porous oxide on niobium. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2005**, *7*, 97–100.
- Lu, Q.; Hashimoto, T.; Skeldon, P.; Thompson, G.E.; Habazaki, H.; Shimizu, K. Nanoporous anodic niobium oxide formed in phosphate/glycerol electrolyte. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 2005, *8*, B17–B20.
- Chambon, L.; Maleysson, C.; Pauly, A.; Germain, J.P.; Demarne, V.; Grisel, A. Investigation, for NH₃ gas sensing applications, of the Nb₂O₅ semiconducting oxide in the presence of interferent species such as oxygen and humidity. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 107–114.
- 18. Tanabe, K.; Okazaki, S. Various reactions catalyzed by niobium compounds and materials. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **1995**, *133*, 191–218.
- 19. Pawlicka, A.; Atik, M.; Aegerter, M.A. Synthesis of multicolor Nb₂O₅ coatings for electrochromic devices. *Thin Solid Films* **1997**, *301*, 236–241.
- 20. Choi, J.; Lim, J.H.; Lee, S.C.; Chang, J.H.; Kim, K.J.; Cho, M.A. Porous niobium oxide films prepared by anodization in HF/H₃PO₄. *Electrochim. Acta* **2006**, *51*, 5502–5507.
- 21. Choi, J.; Lim, J.H.; Lee, J.; Kim, K.J. Porous niobium oxide films prepared by anodizationannealing-anodization. *Nanotechnology* **2007**, *18*, doi:10.1088/0957-4484/18/5/055603.
- 22. Yamaguchi, T. Application of ZrO₂ as a catalyst and a catalyst support. *Catal. Today* **1994**, *20*, 199–217.
- Bethke, K.A.; Kung, M.C.; Yang, B.; Shah, M.; Alt, D.; Li, C.; Kung, H.H. Metal oxide catalysts for lean NO_x reduction. *Catal. Today* 1995, *26*, 169–183.
- Hahn, R.; Berger, S.; Schmuki, P. Bright visible luminescence of self-organized ZrO₂ nanotubes. J. Solid State Electrochem. 2010, 14, 285–288.
- 25. Tsuchiya, H.; Schmuki, P. Thick self-organized porous zirconium oxide formed in H₂SO₄/NH₄F electrolytes. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2004**, *6*, 1131–1134.
- 26. Tsuchiya, H.; Macak, J.M.; Taveira, L.; Schmuki, P. Fabrication and characterization of smooth high aspect ratio zirconia nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2005**, *410*, 188–191.
- 27. Schmuki, P.; Tsuchiya, H.; Macak, J.; Taveira, L. Formation of self-organized zirconia nanostructure. *ECS Trans.* **2006**, *1*, 351–357.

- Berger, S.; Faltenbacher, J.; Bauer, S.; Schmuki, P. Enhanced self-ordering of anodic ZrO₂ nanotubes in inorganic and organic electrolytes using two-step anodization. *Phys. Status Solidi RRL* 2008, *2*, 102–104.
- 29. Berger, S.; Jakubka, F.; Schmuki, P. Formation of hexagonally ordered nanoporous anodic zirconia. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2008**, *10*, 1916–1919.
- 30. Shin, Y.; Lee, S. A freestanding membrane of highly ordered anodic ZrO₂ nanotube arrays. *Nanotechnology* **2009**, *20*, doi:10.1088/0957-4484/20/10/105301.
- 31. Kokubo, T.; Kim, H.-M.; Kawashita, M. Novel bioactive materials with different mechanical properties. *Biomaterials* **2003**, *24*, 2161–2175.
- 32. Kim, H.-M.; Kaneko, H.; Kokubo, T.; Miyazaki, T.; Nakamura, T. Mechanism of apatite formation on bioactive tantalum metal in simulated body fluid. *Key Eng. Mater.* **2003**, *240–242*, 11–14.
- 33. Nagarajan, S.; Raman, V.; Rajendran, N. Synthesis and electrochemical characterization of porous niobium oxide coated 316l ss for orthopedic applications. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *119*, 363–366.
- 34. Wang, X.J.; Xiong, J.Y.; Li, Y.C.; Hodgson, P.D.; Wen, C.E. Apatite formation on nano-structured titanium and niobium surface. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **2009**, *614*, 85–92.
- 35. Tang, Z.; Xie, Y.; Yang, F.; Huang, Y.; Wang, C.; Dai, K.; Zheng, X.; Zhang, X. Porous tantalum coatings prepared by vacuum plasma spraying enhance bmscs osteogenic differentiation and bone regeneration *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e66263.
- 36. Godley, R.; Starosvetsky, D.; Gotman, I. Bonelike apatite formation on niobium metal treated in aqueous NaOH. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2004**, *15*, 1073–1077.
- 37. Guo, L.; Zhao, J.; Wang, X.; Xu, R.; Lu, Z.; Li, Y. Bioactivity of zirconia nanotube arrays fabricated by electrochemical anodization. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2009**, *29*, 1174–1177.
- 38. Guo, L.; Zhao, J.; Wang, X.; Xu, X.; Liu, H.; Li, Y. Structure and bioactivity of zirconia nanotube arrays fabricated by anodization. *Int. J. Appl. Ceram. Technol.* **2009**, *6*, 636–641.
- Wang, L.N.; Adams, A.; Luo, J.L. Enhancement of the capability of hydroxyapatite formation on Zr with anodic ZrO₂ nanotubular arrays via an effective dipping pretreatment. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater.* 2011, 99, 291–301.
- Gomez Sanchez, A.; Ballarre, J.; Orellano, J.C.; Duffó, G.; Ceré, S. Surface modification of zirconium by anodisation as material for permanent implants: *In vitro* and *in vivo* study. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* 2013, 24, 161–169.
- 41. Minagar, S.; Berndt, C.C.; Wang, J.; Ivanova, E.; Wen, C. A review of the application of anodization for the fabrication of nanotubes on metal implant surfaces. *Acta Biomater.* **2012**, *8*, 2875–2888.
- 42. Macak, J.M.; Tsuchiya, H.; Ghicov, A.; Yasuda, K.; Hahn, R.; Bauer, S.; Schmuki, P. TiO₂ nanotubes: Self-organized electrochemical formation, properties and applications. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2007**, *11*, 3–18.
- 43. Vanysek, P. Chemical properties of materials. In *CRC Materials Science and Engineering Handbook*, 3rd ed.; Shackelford, J.F., Alexander, W., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2000.
- 44. Lynch, C.T. Thermodynamic and kinetic data. In *CRC Materials Science and Engineering Handbook*, 3rd ed.; Shackelford, J.F., Alexander, W., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2000.
- Minagar, S.; Berndt, C.C.; Gengenbach, T.; Wen, C. Fabrication and characterization of TiO₂-ZrO₂-ZrTiO₄ nanotubes on TiZr alloy manufactured via anodization. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2014, 2, 71–83.

- Yasuda, K.; MacAk, J.M.; Berger, S.; Ghicov, A.; Schmuki, P. Mechanistic aspects of the self-organization process for oxide nanotube formation on valve metals. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2007, 154, C472–C478.
- 47. *Guidance on the Measurement of Wettability of Insulator Surfaces*; SAI Global: Sydney, NSW, Australia, 2005; p. 15.
- 48. Kokubo, T. Apatite formation on surfaces of ceramics, metals and polymers in body environment. *Acta Mater.* **1998**, *46*, 2519–2527.
- Kim, H.M.; Himeno, T.; Kawashita, M.; Kokubo, T.; Nakamura, T. The mechanism of biomineralization of bone-like apatite on synthetic hydroxyapatite: An *in vitro* assessment. *J. Royal Soc. Interface* 2004, *1*, 17–22.
- 50. Ma, Q.; Li, M.; Hu, Z.; Chen, Q.; Hu, W. Enhancement of the bioactivity of titanium oxide nanotubes by precalcification. *Mater. Lett.* **2008**, *62*, 3035–3038.
- Kunze, J.; Muller, L.; Macak, J.; Greil, P.; Schmuki, P.; Muller, F. Time-dependent growth of biomimetic apatite on anodic TiO₂ nanotubes. *Electrochim. Acta* 2008, *53*, 6995–7003.
- Kosmulski, M. Points of zero charge. In *Chemical Properties of Material Surfaces*; Hubbard, A.T., Ed.; CRC Press: New York, NY, USA, 2001; pp. 731–744.
- 53. Kosmulski, M. Surface charging in absence of strongly adsorbing species. In *Chemical Properties* of *Material Surfaces*; Hubbard, A.T., Ed.; CRC Press: New York, NY, USA, 2001; pp. 65–309.
- 54. Gadelmawla, E.S.; Koura, M.M.; Maksoud, T.M.A.; Elewa, I.M.; Soliman, H.H. Roughness parameters. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 2002, 123, 133–145.
- 55. Owens, D.K.; Wendt, R.C. Estimation of the surface free energy of polymers. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1969**, *13*, 1741–1747.
- 56. Dann, J.R. Forces involved in the adhesive process. I. Critical surface tensions of polymeric solids as determined with polar liquids. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1970**, *32*, 302–320.
- Oyane, A.; Onuma, K.; Ito, A.; Kim, H.M.; Kokubo, T.; Nakamura, T. Formation and growth of clusters in conventional and new kinds of simulated body fluids. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* 2003, 64, 339–348.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).