



## Supplementary Materials: Sonophotocatalytic Degradation of Malachite Green by Nanocrystalline Chitosan-Ascorbic Acid@NiFe2O4 Spinel Ferrite

Imran Hasan <sup>1</sup>, Akshara Bassi <sup>1</sup>, Khadijah H. Alharbi <sup>2</sup>, Ibtisam I BinSharfan <sup>3</sup>, Rais Ahmad Khan <sup>3</sup> and Ali Alslame <sup>3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Environmental Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab 140301, India; imranhasan98@gmail.com (I.H.); bassiakshara@gmail.com (A.B.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Science and Arts College, Rabigh Campus, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21911, Saudi Arabia; khalharbe@kau.edu.sa
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; 437202977@ksu.edu.sa (I.I.B.); krais@ksu.edu.sa (R.A.K.)
- \* Correspondence: aalsalme@ksu.edu.sa



**Figure S1.** UV-Vis Spectra with time profile to observe the growth of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel nuclei under the influence of CT-AS biopolymer blend.



Figure S2. XRD spectra CTAS@NIFE nanocomposite.



Figure S3. TEM image of CTAS@NIFE bio nanocomposite at 50 nm magnification.

S.N.	MG Concentration (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	% Degradation values
1	10.00	86.57 ± 5.79
2	15.00	$92.42 \pm 0.06$
3	20.00	$88.64 \pm 3.72$
4	25.00	$90.08 \pm 2.28$
5	30.00	$82.44 \pm 9.92$
6	35.00	$94.69 \pm 2.33$
7	40.00	$94.32 \pm 1.96$
8	45.00	$93.11 \pm 0.75$
9	50.00	$94.63 \pm 2.27$
10	55.00	$96.81 \pm 4.45$
11	60.00	95.35 ± 2.99
12	65.00	$94.44 \pm 2.08$
13	70.00	97.20±4.84

**Table S1.** Concentration vs. percent degradation data with standard deviation for MG degradation using 20 mg catalyst under 6.35 W·mL<sup>-1</sup> ultrasonic power.

**Table S2.** Ce/Co values with standard deviation with respect to variable pH conditions for MG degradation using 20 mg catalyst under  $6.35 \text{ W}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$  ultrasonic power.

S.N.	pH of the solution –	Ce/Co value		
		35 mg·L⁻¹ MG	55 mg·L⁻¹ MG	70 mg·L⁻¹ MG
1	1.00	$0.124\pm0.085$	$0.135\pm0.082$	$0.097 \pm 0.053$
2	2.00	$0.065 \pm 0.026$	$0.111 \pm 0.058$	$0.077 \pm 0.032$
3	3.00	$0.041 \pm 0.002$	$0.098 \pm 0.045$	$0.069 \pm 0.025$
4	4.00	$0.027 \pm 0.012$	$0.028\pm0.025$	$0.066 \pm 0.022$
5	5.00	$0.018 \pm 0.021$	$0.023 \pm 0.030$	$0.019 \pm 0.025$
6	6.00	$0.015 \pm 0.024$	$0.017\pm0.036$	$0.013 \pm 0.031$
7	7.00	$0.012\pm0.027$	$0.011 \pm 0.042$	$0.012 \pm 0.033$
8	8.00	$0.011 \pm 0.028$	$0.001 \pm 0.052$	$0.001 \pm 0.043$

**Table S3.** Ce/Co values with standard deviation with respect to variable pH conditions for MG degradation using 20 mg catalyst under  $6.35 \text{ W}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$  ultrasonic power.

S.N.	Irradiation Time (min)	Ce/Co value		
		35 mg·L⁻¹ MG	55 mg·L⁻¹ MG	70 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> MG
1	5	$0.044\pm0.017$	$0.041 \pm 0.018$	$0.012\pm0.001$
2	15	$0.035 \pm 0.008$	$0.032 \pm 0.009$	$0.011 \pm 0.002$
3	30	$0.024 \pm 0.003$	$0.021\pm0.002$	$0.011 \pm 0.002$
4	45	$0.024\pm0.003$	$0.021\pm0.002$	$0.011 \pm 0.002$
5	60	$0.021 \pm 0.006$	$0.019\pm0.004$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
6	75	$0.021 \pm 0.006$	$0.014\pm0.009$	$0.010\pm0.002$
7	90	$0.018 \pm 0.009$	$0.014 \pm 0.003$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$

S.N.	Ultrasonic Power	% MG Degradation		
	(W⋅mL-1)	35 mg·L⁻¹ MG	55 mg·L⁻¹ MG	70 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> MG
1	4.85	$87.77 \pm 7.62$	$92.54 \pm 2.85$	$95.34 \pm 0.05$
2	5.05	$97.25 \pm 1.86$	$98.13 \pm 2.74$	$98.57 \pm 3.18$
3	5.85	$98.17 \pm 2.78$	$98.94 \pm 3.55$	$99.16 \pm 3.77$
4	6.35	$98.37 \pm 2.98$	99.35 ± 3.96	$99.94 \pm 4.55$

**Table S4.** Ultrasonic power vs. % MG degradation with standard deviation data for MG degradation using 20 mg catalyst at 90 min of irradiation, pH 8 for 35, 55 and 70 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> MG concentration.

**Table S5.** -Ln (Ce/Co) values with standard deviation with respect to irradiation time for pseudo first order kinetics for 35, 55 and 70 mg L<sup>-1</sup> MG concentration using 20 mg catalyst at pH 8 and 6.35 W·mL<sup>-1</sup> ultrasonic power.

S.N.	Irradiation Time (min)	-Ln (Ce/Co)		
		35 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> MG	55 mg·L⁻¹ MG	70 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> MG
1	5	$3.117 \pm 0.522$	$3.186 \pm 0.622$	$4.390 \pm 0.136$
2	15	$3.271 \pm 0.368$	$3.384 \pm 0.424$	$4.490\pm0.036$
3	30	$3.456 \pm 0.183$	$3.660 \pm 0.149$	$4.490\pm0.036$
4	45	$3.686 \pm 0.047$	$3.880 \pm 0.071$	$4.531 \pm 0.005$
5	60	$3.830 \pm 0.191$	$4.015 \pm 0.207$	$4.531 \pm 0.005$
6	75	$3.980 \pm 0.341$	$4.230 \pm 0.421$	$4.587\pm0.061$
7	90	$4.134\pm0.495$	$4.303 \pm 0.495$	$4.662\pm0.136$

**Table S6.** 1/Ce values with standard deviation with respect to irradiation time for pseudo second order kinetics for 35, 55 and 70 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> MG concentration using 20 mg catalyst at pH 8 and 6.35 W·mL<sup>-1</sup> ultrasonic power.

S.N.	Irradiation Time (min)	1/Ce		
		35 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> MG	55 mg·L⁻¹ MG	70 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> MG
1	5	$0.645 \pm 0.533$	$0.440\pm0.474$	$1.152 \pm 0.172$
2	15	$0.807\pm0.372$	$0.569 \pm 0.345$	$1.274 \pm 0.050$
3	30	$1.210\pm0.031$	$0.880 \pm 0.034$	$1.274 \pm 0.050$
4	45	$1.210\pm0.031$	$0.880 \pm 0.034$	$1.326 \pm 0.002$
5	60	$1.383\pm0.204$	$0.958 \pm 0.044$	$1.326 \pm 0.002$
6	75	$1.383 \pm 0.204$	$1.326\pm0.412$	$1.403 \pm 0.079$
7	90	$1.613 \pm 0.435$	$1.344 \pm 0.430$	$1.513 \pm 0.189$

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).