

Table S1. Development (mean \pm standard deviation) at 60 days after transplanting of tomato plants infested with *Meloidogyne enterolobii* and under different nematicide treatments.

Treatments	Height cm	Stem diameter cm	Foliage fresh weight g	Flowers number	Chlorophyll content index	Root length cm	Root weight g
<i>Metarhizium carneum</i>	92 \pm 3 ab	7.0 \pm 0.9 a	86.6 \pm 5.7 bc	10.3 \pm 3.9 b	18.7 \pm 2.5 b	55.4 \pm 5.5	29.2 \pm 1 a
<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i>	82.7 \pm 11 b	6.5 \pm 0.2 abc	72.7 \pm 10 c	5.7 \pm 3 b	17.4 \pm 2 b	44.0 \pm 11	29.4 \pm 0.4 a
Metabolites from <i>M. verrucaria</i> fermentation	106.7 \pm 6.7 a	6.7 \pm 0.5 ab	114.9 \pm 4.2 a	20.7 \pm 4.4 a	28.9 \pm 6.6 a	63.3 \pm 16	33.4 \pm 3 a
Metam sodium + <i>Metarhizium carneum</i>	79.3 \pm 6.5 b	5.2 \pm 0.4d	75.5 \pm 5.8 c	5.0 \pm 0.8 b	21.8 \pm 3.7 b	48.7 \pm 6	8.5 \pm 0.7 b
Fluensulfone	97.0 \pm 22 ab	5.7 \pm 0.5 cd	100.2 \pm 26 ab	10.3 \pm 6.1 b	18.7 \pm 1.1 b	56.2 \pm 35	11.1 \pm 3.1 b
Metam sodium + abamectina	89.7 \pm 2.7 ab	5.4 \pm 0.5 d	82.3 \pm 8.1 bc	4.7 \pm 1.3 b	18.9 \pm 3.2 b	55.3 \pm 13	8.9 \pm 2.1 b
Control	97.3 \pm 17 ab	5.8 \pm 0.6 bcd	82.6 \pm 20 bc	9.0 \pm 4.5 b	18.4 \pm 4.2 b	53.5 \pm 9.9	28.3 \pm 8.1 a
p	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.34	<0.01

Different letters in each column denote significant differences between treatments indicated by a Tukey test $p \leq 0.01$.

Table S2. Development (mean \pm standard deviation) at 120 days after transplanting of tomato plants infested with *Meloidogyne enterolobii* and under different nematicide treatments.

Treatments	Height cm	Stem diameter cm	Foliage fresh weight g	Flowers number	Chlorophyll content index	Root length cm	Root weight g
<i>Metarhizium carneum</i>	161.8 \pm 18.1 bc	9.3 \pm 0.2 ab	218.6 \pm 17 c	14.7 \pm 1.8 b	12.3 \pm 3.5 bc	55.1 \pm 19 bc	169.6 \pm 28 a
<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i>	164.7 \pm 35 bc	8.5 \pm 1.5 ab	177.2 \pm 95 c	8.7 \pm 3.5 b	14.3 \pm 2.5 abc	43.8 \pm 19 c	105.7 \pm 36 ab
Metabolites from <i>M. verrucaria</i> fermentation	115.7 \pm 24 d	8.4 \pm 1.1 ab	126.9 \pm 84 c	12.3 \pm 7 b	10.2 \pm 3.3 c	38.7 \pm 14 c	71.2 \pm 35 bc
Metam sodium + <i>Metarhizium carneum</i>	159.0 \pm 27 cd	7.8 \pm 0.1 b	500.6 \pm 156 b	16.3 \pm 8.6 b	16.3 \pm 2.5 ab	82.0 \pm 12 a	50.6 \pm 15 bc
Fluensulfone	206.0 \pm 33 ab	8.6 \pm 0.5 ab	788.4 \pm 40 a	41.7 \pm 20 a	17.7 \pm 2.8 a	71.0 \pm 13 b	31.0 \pm 5.9 c
Metam sodium + abamectina	208.5 \pm 29 a	9.5 \pm 0.8 a	808.0 \pm 173 a	53.3 \pm 14.5 a	13.3 \pm 0.8 abc	78.4 \pm 13 ab	107.1 \pm 33 ab
Control	149.5 \pm 13 cd	8.5 \pm 1.3 ab	130.9 \pm 72 c	9.3 \pm 8.7 b	11.7 \pm 3.8 bc	43.6 \pm 2.7 c	90.9 \pm 89.1 bc
p	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Different letters in each column denote significant differences between treatments indicated by a Tukey test $p \leq 0.01$.