

Article



# Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria as Tools to Improve the Growth of Kohlrabi (*Brassica oleracea* var. *gongylodes*) Plants in an Aquaponics System

María Carmen Piñero \*<sup>®</sup>, Jacinta Collado-González <sup>®</sup>, Ginés Otálora, Josefa López-Marín <sup>®</sup> and Francisco M. del Amor \*<sup>®</sup>

Department of Crop Production and Agri-Technology, Instituto Murciano de Investigación y Desarrollo Agrario y Medioambiental (IMIDA), C/Mayor s/n, 30150 Murcia, Spain; jacinta.collado@carm.es (J.C.-G.); gines.oralora@carm.es (G.O.); josefa.lopez38@carm.es (J.L.-M.)

\* Correspondence: mariac.pinero2@carm.es (M.C.P.); franciscom.delamor@carm.es (F.M.d.A.)

**Abstract:** The use of nitrogen as a fertilizer can be highly risky when used excessively, and it is therefore necessary to find novel techniques to reduce its use. Aquaponics reduces the use of synthetic fertilizers and water, and the leaching of nitrate into the environment. One way to avoid problems due to a reduction in nitrogen availability could be the use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). This study examines the effect of PGPR on kohlrabi plants grown with a traditional nutrient solution (100S), in combination with "fish water" (50F/50D), or with a supplement of synthetic fertilizers (50F/50D + S). Two formulations were used: T1 (*Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pantoea dispersa*) and T2 (*Azotobacter salinestris*). Irrigation with 50F/50D caused a reduction in several of the measured parameters. The combined application of 50F/50D with T1 attenuated the negative effects. T2 did not present significant effects on the parameters measured. The results obtained with 50F/50D + S hardly showed differences with the 100S. Thus, by irrigating with 50F/50D + S, we were able to maintain the yields while reducing fertilizer use and water. The combined use of T1 and 50F/50D was also positive; however, it would be necessary to continue adjusting the amount of nitrate supplied to maintain production.

**Keywords:** red tilapia; purple kohlrabi; nitrates; organic fertilization; aquaponics system; circular economy framework

## 1. Introduction

Nitrogen (N) is an important component of synthetic fertilizers, as it is one of the most utilized nutrients by plants, but it is also one of the most expensive inputs in economic and environmental terms [1]. Nevertheless, farmers usually provide it in large quantities, sometimes in excess, to maximize crop yields, which carries many risks at the levels of the environment and human health [1]. At an environmental level, the most frequent impact observed due to the misuse of nitrogenous fertilizers is the contamination of surface and underground water resources due to the leaching of nitrates (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), which causes the eutrophication of freshwater and marine ecosystems [2]. In addition, they can generate toxic emissions of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) when gaseous N oxides react with the ozone in the troposphere [3,4]. On the other hand, at the level of human health, the danger lies in the fact that once NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is ingested, it is quickly transformed into nitrites (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) and N-nitroso compounds. These compounds may be responsible for diseases such as methemoglobinemia, which is dangerous for children, and cancer, due to the transformation of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> into amines and amides inside the human body [2]. Therefore, it is necessary to find cultivation methods to correctly manage N fertilization.

Aquaponics could be a good option, as this technique reduces the use of synthetic fertilizers and water, as aquaculture products are re-utilized within a circular economy



Citation: Piñero, M.C.; Collado-González, J.; Otálora, G.; López-Marín, J.; del Amor, F.M. Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria as Tools to Improve the Growth of Kohlrabi (*Brassica oleracea* var. *gongylodes*) Plants in an Aquaponics System. *Plants* 2024, *13*, 595. https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants13050595

Academic Editors: George Lazarovits, Leo Sabatino and Pradeep Kumar

Received: 6 November 2023 Revised: 1 February 2024 Accepted: 20 February 2024 Published: 22 February 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). framework, thereby guaranteeing its low environmental impact and good sustainability [4]. Production through aquaponics systems would not only reduce the use of synthetic fertilizers, but it could also completely eliminate  $NO_3^-$  waste into the environment [5]. The most advanced aquaponics systems have a biological filter, where the  $NH_3$  excreted by the fish is converted into  $NO_2^-$  and then into  $NO_3^-$  [6]; however, one of the problems of this type of system is that some mineral nutrients (such as iron, calcium, potassium, phosphorus, or manganese) may be deficient for the correct growth of the crop, and some  $NO_3^-$  deficiencies have also been observed [6].

N is involved in many aspects of plant development, such as growth, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, the maximum potential quantum efficiency of photosystem II, and chlorophyll content [7]. Therefore, a reduction in the availability of N for plants could result in a reduction in their growth and yield [8]. One way to solve this problem could be through the use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), which fix atmospheric N and improve the absorption of water and minerals [4]. Ahemad and Kibret [9], listed some the functional activities of PGPR, among which we find (i) the ability to increase the availability of nutrients to plants, (ii) the promotion of plant growth, generally through phytohormones, (iii) the ability to degrade organic pollutants, and (iv) the control of diseases, through the production of antibiotics and antifungal metabolites. Thus, PGPR could be a good alternative to traditional mineral nutrition, as they contribute to plant growth and contamination avoidance.

The role of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* as PGPR is due to two main mechanisms, nitrogen fixation and phytohormone production. Some of these hormones cause changes in the roots, thus improving the absorption of minerals and inducing higher yields, even with a lower contribution of synthetic fertilizers [10,11]; however, authors such as Muthukumar and Udaiyan [12] observed that co-inoculation of *Pantoea dispersa* with *Azospirillum* was more successful.

Given the above, the use of sustainable and environmentally friendly cultivation techniques, such as aquaponics and PGPR systems, is of great relevance. The combination of these two systems will not only have beneficial effects on the environment, but the reduction in the use of synthetic fertilizers and water will also result in economic benefits for the farmer.

To do this, this study will be carried out on kohlrabi plants, which belong to the *Brassicaceae* family. It is a vegetable with great nutritional value due to its high content of antioxidants such as vitamin C, glucosinolates, phenolic compounds and nutrients such as potassium, which have anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and anti-cancer properties [13].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on the use of PGPR in kohlrabi plants grown under an aquaponics system. Thus, the main goal of this work was to study the effect of the application of two different commercial formulations with PGPR to alleviate the stress caused by the low doses of N provided in aquaponics systems. For this, their effect on weight, anion concentration, gas exchange, content of chlorophylls, and sugar content, lipid peroxidation, and total phenols in kohlrabi leaves was analyzed. The results from this study could help produce kohlrabi plants using innovative aquaponics systems combined with the use of PGPR, to greatly reduce the environmental impacts of intensive horticulture.

## 2. Results

# 2.1. Plant Material and Growth Conditions

At the end of the experiment, the kohlrabi plants grown with the fish water and drainage water (50F/50D) mix were reduced in size, 247.2 g FW, with respect to control plants, which obtained a mean weight of 575.5 g FW (100S) (Figure 1A). The same result was observed in the fresh weight of the leaves, which decreased from 275.2 g to 110.3 g FW (Figure 1B); however, the 50F/50D + S treatment did not significantly affect either the plant weight or leaf weight (Figure 1A,B). On the other hand, the plants inoculated with T1 increased in weight both in combination with 100S, and with the 50F/50D treatment

(Figure 1A,B). In the case of the 100S treatment, the increase was 9.2% in the plant fresh weight, and 6.5% in the leaf fresh weight, and with the 50F/50D treatment, the increase was 18.4% in the plant fresh weight, and 27.6% in the leaf fresh weight, as compared to the plants irrigated with the same treatment but without PGPR (Figure 1A,B).



**Figure 1.** Plant fresh weight (**A**) and leaves (**B**) of kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between treatments.

#### 2.2. Ion Determination

The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in the tissues was drastically lower in the plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment, from 27.2 in the control, to 5.2 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> DW (Figure 2A). On the contrary, in the plants irrigated with the 50F/50D + S treatment, the values were significantly higher than in the control plants (38.5 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> DW) (Figure 2A). The PGPR had different effects depending on the irrigation treatment with which they were combined. In the case of T1, it only caused a significant increase when combined with the 50F/50D treatment (Figure 2A). And in T2, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration increased in combination with the 100S, but it was reduced in combination with the 50F/50D + S treatment (Figure 2A). The concentration of phosphates (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>) increased both in the 50F/50D irrigation treatment, and in T2 treatment in combination with the 100S treatment (Figure 2B). The rest of the treatments did not have significant effects on the PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> values in the leaves. On the other hand, the concentration of sulfates (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) showed a tendency to increase when combined with the 50F/50D treatment (Figure 2C). In the case of Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration, no significant effect was observed in any of the treatments applied (Figure 2D).



**Figure 2.** Anion concentrations: nitrate (**A**), phosphate (**B**), sulfate (**C**) and chlorides (**D**) in kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between treatments.

### 2.3. Gas Exchange

The Pn increased in the plants inoculated with PGPR. In the case of plants irrigated with the 100S treatment, Pn increased from 13.8  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 15.1  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the case of T1, and to 15.9  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the case of T2 (Figure 3A). In the plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment, the increase was from 12.9  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 14.5  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> when T1 was added. The rest of the combinations did not have significant effects on Pn (Figure 3A). The gs was reduced by 28.9% in the plants grown with the 50F/50D treatment, as compared to the control plants. However, when this irrigation treatment was combined with T2, this reduction disappeared, and the values of the control plants were maintained (Figure 3B). In Figure 3C, it can be observed that Ci showed a similar behavior to gs in all the treatments. The application of the 50F/50D treatment, the application of T1 increased the WUE in plants irrigated with the 100S treatment, from 6.8 to 8.1, and T2 increased it in plants irrigated with the 50F/50D + S treatment, from 5.8 to 9.4 (Figure 3D).



**Figure 3.** Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (Pn) (**A**), stomatal conductance (gs) (**B**), internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ci) (**C**) and WUEi (Pn/E) (**D**) in kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between treatments.

## 2.4. Chlorophylls

Chlorophyll *a* levels were increased from 0.51 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> FW to 0.55 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> FW in plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment with the application of T2, as compared to those irrigated with this same nutrient solution without PGPR (Figure 4A). No differences were found between the other treatments. Chlorophyll *b* levels were reduced by the 50F/50D and 50F/50D + S treatments, from 0.58 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> FW to 0.48 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> FW, in both cases, and by the application of T2 combined with the 100S treatment (from 0.58 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> FW to 0.49 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> FW) (Figure 4B). On the contrary, T1 combined with the 50F/50D + S treatment caused an increase of 27.5% as compared to plants irrigated with the same treatment but without PGPR (Figure 4B). However, the sum of chlorophyll *a* and *b* (*a* + *b*) was reduced only in plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment (9.5%), and those treated with 100S in combination

with T2 (10.2%) (Figure 4C). In addition, this value increased by the combination of T2 and treatment 50F/50D + S (8.8%), reaching the same values as the control plants (plants irrigated with the 100S treatment and without PGPR) (Figure 4C).



**Figure 4.** Chlorophyll *a*; (**A**) chlorophyll *b*; (**B**) chlorophyll a + b (**C**) in kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between treatments.

#### 2.5. Total Soluble Sugars

The total sugar content varied from 202.8 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW to 504.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW (Figure 5D). The sugar content in kohlrabi plants in the different treatments is shown in Figure 5. The application of the 50F/50D treatment increased the contents of glucose (70.0%), fructose (67.1%), sucrose (24.1%), and total free soluble sugars (58.2%), as compared to the control plants (Figure 5A–D). However, the 50F/50D + S treatment only increased the values of sucrose (27.7%) and total free soluble sugars (28.7%) (Figure 5C,D). The application of T1

had significant effects only when it was applied in combination with the 50F/50D treatment, causing a reduction in both glucose and fructose levels (38.6% and 38.7%, respectively), and therefore, in total free soluble sugars (25.0%) (Figure 5A,B,D). On the contrary, T2 combined with the 50F/50D treatment increased glucose (50.8%); and when combined with 50F/50D + S, it reduced sucrose levels and total free soluble sugars (22.0% and 18.7%, respectively) (Figure 5A,C,D).



**Figure 5.** Glucose (**A**), fructose (**B**), sucrose (**C**) and total free soluble sugars (**D**) in kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between treatments.

## 2.6. Lipid Peroxidation and Total Phenolic Compounds

The amount of TBARS detected in the plants grown with the 50F/50D treatment (4.21  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW) was significantly higher than that detected in the plants grown with the 100S treatment (2.94  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW) (Figure 6A). Inoculation with T1 caused a reduction in TBARS in plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment (11.4%) and the 50F/50D + S treatment (23.5%) (Figure 6A). Kohlrabi leaves showed values of total phenolic compounds (TPC) that ranged between 16.2 and 17.5 mg GAE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> FW (Figure 6B). The only significant differences in this parameter were observed in the plants grown with the 50F/50D + S treatment, which showed lower values than the control plants.



**Figure 6.** Lipid peroxidation (TBARS) (**A**) and total phenol compounds (TPC) (**B**) in kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between treatments.

## 3. Discussion

To date, this is the first study on the effect of PGPR, combined with an aquaponics system, on plant growth. Our results showed that the kohlrabi plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment had a reduced plant fresh weight as compared to the control. This reduction is an indicator that the restricted  $NO_3^-$  supply in the 50F/50D treatment caused stress in these plants. In addition, a positive linear correlation was observed between the leaf content of  $NO_3^-$  and fresh weight, which represents the contribution of  $NO_3^-$  to the growth of kohlrabi plants in this study (r = 0.9452) (Figure 7). It is known that the availability of nutrients, mainly N, has significant effects on the agronomic characteristics, crop yield, and photosynthetic capacity of the leaves of various plants [14]. When the plants were irrigated with the 50F/50D + S treatment, this previously mentioned negative effect disappeared, and the concentration of  $NO_3^-$  in these plants was even higher than in the control plants, while the weights were similar to those of the control plants. These data are extremely interesting, as this treatment maintained the levels of production at the same time that the consumption of water and fertilizers was reduced.



**Figure 7.** Relationship between plant fresh weight and  $NO_3^-$  concentration (**A**) and between lipid peroxidation and  $NO_3^-$  concentration (**B**) in kohlrabi plants irrigated with control solution (100S), 50% fish water + 50% drainage water (50F/50D) and 50% fish water + 50% drainage water + synthetic fertilizer (50F/50D + S); and inoculated with two formulations of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Control, T1 and T2). Data are presented as treatment means (*n* = 6).

Despite the strong reduction observed in the fresh weight of the plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment, the photosynthetic rate was not affected by the different N treatments. A similar result was observed by Cechin and Valquilha [14] in *Amaranthus* plants with a low N availability, which saw their shoot dry matter reduced by 74%, while photosynthesis was only reduced by 29%. This could be explained by the dependence of the photosynthetic capacity of plants on the photosynthetic rate and the photosynthetic area. Since the plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment were smaller (less photosynthetic rate. These data coincide with those observed by other researchers [15,16], who did not observe a significant correlation between crop yield and photosynthetic rate. Furthermore, Lawlor [17] revealed that leaf area development is much more sensitive to changing environmental conditions than photosynthesis.

In the literature, conflicting data have been found on the effect of organic fertilizers on photosynthetic parameters. Authors such as Efthimiadou et al. [18] reported increases in the photosynthetic rate when organic fertilizers were applied; however, the opposite or a null effect was observed by other authors such as Zhang et al. [19] and Liu et al. [20]. In our case, the 50F/50D + S treatment did not show significant differences, although there seemed to be a slight tendency for photosynthesis to increase in these plants as compared to the control plants. Therefore, we may think that the slight increase in the

concentration of  $NO_3^-$  in the 50F/50D + S treatment, which was slightly lower than the concentration in the control solution, favored photosynthesis. These data should be studied in future experiments.

In addition, as expected, the lower  $NO_3^-$  supply to the plants led to a lower concentration of photosynthetic pigments (chlorophylls) in the kohlrabi leaves, which coincides with what was observed in other studies [14]. In general, the irrigation treatments had a greater impact on chl *b* than on chl *a*. Our results are also supported by Zhang et al. [19], who reported a lower leaf chlorophyll content in *Actinidia chinesis* when treatments with organic and inorganic fertilizers were tested, with N being the limiting nutrient instead of P or K, as indicated by Jiang et al. [21] and Zhao et al. [22]; however, in our case, the observed reduction in chl *b* again seemed to have been so slight that it had no effect on the photosynthetic rate.

It has been observed that some bioactive compounds (phenols and vitamin C) and soluble sugars tend to increase with a lower application of N [1]. These findings support our results, as the 50F/50D treatment, which contributed the lowest N concentration, resulted in kohlrabi plants with the highest foliar glucose, fructose, and sucrose content. This increase was due to the fact that soluble sugars participate in different biological processes and are important components in abiotic stress signaling, increasing the plant's resistance [5]. Therefore, we suggest that the response of some sugars under N-deficient conditions in kohlrabi leaves is similar to that observed under other abiotic stresses.

However, interestingly in the case of total phenols, the reduction was only observed in the plants irrigated with the 50F/50D + S treatment, which barely showed differences in the concentration of  $NO_3^-$  supplied with respect to the control. Conversely, lipid peroxidation was found to be negatively correlated with leaf  $NO_3^-$  concentration (r = 0.8785) (Figure 7). This increase in lipid peroxidation, due to a lack of  $NO_3^-$ , was due to oxidative damage that appeared as a response to abiotic stress, which is consistent with what was observed by our group in another experiment carried out on cauliflower [23]. The stress that occurs in plants after exposure to  $NO_3^-$ -deficient nutrition induces the generation of reactive oxygen species, which can damage cell membranes, leading to lipid peroxidation. Therefore, plants have developed different defense mechanisms such as synthesis of protective enzymes (ascorbate peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, catalase and peroxidase) and antioxidant compounds (xanthophylls, carotenoids, polyphenols) in their cell membrane systems [23].

On the other hand, when we studied the  $NO_3^-$  deficiency treatment combined with PGPR, we observed that T1 obtained the best results. Numerous studies with PGPR have observed a stimulating effect on the weight of the plant, and especially on the weight of the root, which could be attributed to the production of growth-promoting substances (ABA, IAA and various gibberellins) [24]. Authors such as Eduardo et al. [25] postulated that plants inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense* had greater resistance to light, water and/or nutrient deficiencies among other abiotic stresses, which coincides with our results when applying a nitrogen deficiency to kohlrabi plants. It has been observed that this root growth could be responsible for the greater acquisition of both water and nutrients. These findings support our results, as we observed that the kohlrabi plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment and inoculated with T1 had increased concentrations of  $NO_3^{-1}$  and  $SO_4^{2-1}$  in the leaves. Since N plays a crucial role in photosynthetic efficiency [26], this increase in  $NO_3^$ concentration in the leaves of plants inoculated with T1 led to a higher photosynthetic rate of these plants, and consequently, a greater fresh weight of plants. Therefore, we can say that the application of T1 attenuated the negative effects caused by the 50F/50D treatment. In addition, the reduction in the glucose and fructose content, and the lipid peroxidation in plants irrigated with the 50F/50D treatment and inoculated with T1, corroborate the effect of this formulation in the attenuation of stress due to N deficiencies. These results are very interesting, because achieving a balance between the reduction in N input and the effect of the application of PGPB could translate into a reduction in the economic cost of the crop.

On the contrary, the inoculation with T2 did not generate any notable effects on the N-deficient plants. This could suggest that in the case of kohlrabi, it is necessary to select the

genotype of the plant, because, as also observed by Lara et al. [27], in the case of sugarcane, the plant–bacteria interaction is associative, that is, there is a specific bacteria–cultivar interaction [28]. Something similar was observed by Sun et al. [29], who observed different responsiveness when inoculating two different varieties of corn with *Azospirillum*. In this case, there was a greater interaction between *Azospirillum brasilense* strain M3 and *Pantoea disperse* strain C3 with kohlrabi plants, than between *Azotobacter salinestris* and kohlrabi plants. Other authors such as Ahemad and Kibret [9] have associated the lack of effect of the PGPR with variable environmental conditions, such as climatic variations. Traits that promote plant growth do not work independently of each other, but rather additively or synergistically. Multiple mechanisms, such as phosphate solubilization, dinitrogen fixation, l-aminocyclopropane-l-carboxylate deaminase and antifungal activity, indole acetic acid and siderophore biosynthesis, etc. are responsible for the promotion of plant growth and increased yield [10].

#### 4. Materials and Methods

# 4.1. Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Purple kohlrabi (*Brassica oleracea* var. *gongylodes*), cv. Ukza seedlings were obtained from a commercial nursery (Baby plant, S.L., Santomera, Spain), when the plants were 8–10 cm in height. They were grown in 1.2 m long bags ( $38.4 \text{ m}^3$ ) filled with coconut fiber (Pelemix, Alhama de Murcia, Murcia, Spain). Each bag contained 3 plants and 3 drippers (2 L h<sup>-1</sup>). Excess nutrient solution was applied to produce a minimum of 30% drainage to avoid salt accumulation and nutrient imbalance in the rhizosphere [30]. Fourteen days after transplanting, 3 irrigation treatments were applied: (1) control solution (100S), which is a traditional solution used by local farmers and with 100% synthetic fertilizers; (2) 50% fish water/50% drainage water (reuse) (50F/50D); and (3) 50% fish water/50% drainage water + nutritional supplementation through the roots (50F/50D + S). The nutrient composition of the different solutions is shown in Table 1.

Nutrient (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Control	50F/50D	50F/50D + S
NO <sub>3</sub> -	$606.70\pm54.19$	$249.74\pm38.27$	$550.22\pm36.31$
$PO_{4}^{3-}$	$367.73\pm10.50$	$290.54\pm8.09$	$445.75\pm7.97$
$SO_4^{2-}$	$380.46 \pm 4.24$	$338.76\pm2.19$	$392.04 \pm 4.48$
$K^+$	$458.44\pm12.32$	$193.32\pm7.59$	$429.89\pm7.15$
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	$76.70\pm3.07$	$80.61 \pm 2.86$	$81.06 \pm 2.84$
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	$65.68 \pm 0.97$	$66.20\pm0.96$	$68.60 \pm 1.29$

**Table 1.** Nutritional composition of macronutrients of the different solutions used for kohlrabi plants growth.

In addition, before transplanting, 15 g of *Azospirillum brasilense* strain M3 and *Pantoea dispersa* strain C3, immobilized in a solid support, with  $10^9$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> (colony-forming units) (T1: Biopron<sup>®</sup>, Probelte SA., Murcia, Spain) was added to the substrate per each plant. The 15 g of T1 was applied to the first 15 cm of the substrate, right in the area where the plant was later placed. Thus, guaranteeing the proximity of the inoculum to the roots of the plant. In the same way, 10 mL of *Azotobacter salinestris* (at 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>), with  $10^7$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> (T2: Nutribio N<sup>®</sup>, Ceres Biotics Tech, S.L., San Fernando de Henares, Madrid, Spain) was applied per plant, and the application was repeated every 2 weeks until the end of the experiment, following the manufacturer's recommendation. This formulation was applied via a syringe to the substrate next to the stem of the plant (coinciding with the root zone). A total of 9 treatments were applied: 3 irrigation treatments (100S, 50F/50D, 50F/50D + S), and 3 PGPR treatments (control, T1 and T2) (see Table 2). Each treatment had a total of 27 plants, with a randomized distribution in 3 blocks inside the greenhouse. To carry out the analysis, 6 plants were harvested per treatment, taking 2 from each of the blocks.

Irrigation	PGPR	Treatments
	0	1005
100S	T1	100S + T1
	T2	100S + T2
	0	50F/50D
50F/50D	T1	50F/50D + T1
	T2	50F/50D + T2
50F/50D + S	0	50F/50D + S
	T1	50F/50D + S + T1
	T2	50F/50D + S + T2

Table 2. Irrigation and PGPR treatment applied to kohlrabi plants.

This trial was carried out using a Recirculating Aquaponic System (RAS) installed in an existing greenhouse at the CIFEA facilities in Torre Pacheco (Murcia, Spain). The RAS produced fish for commercial purposes (*Oreochromis niloticus*), and the water was reused to irrigate the plants. The RAS was composed of 3 fish tanks of approximately 900 L each, a filter tank, a biofilter, a clarifier, and a collection tank where the drainage was collected (Scheme 1). The biological nitrification processes took place in the biofilter, due to the action of aerobic bacteria (genera *Nitrobacter* spp. and *Nitrosomonas* spp.), which oxidized the toxic products excreted by the fish (NH<sub>3</sub>) to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.



Scheme 1. Schematic drawing of the aquaponics system.

A total of 213 fish distributed among the 3 tanks were used to carry out the experiment. The fish at the beginning of the experiment had an average weight of approximately 157 g, weighing 324 g at the end. For feeding, an amount of feed equivalent to 2.2–1.9% of the fish weight was provided daily (feed composition can be found in Supplementary Table S1).

Thirty-seven days after transplanting, kohlrabi plants were harvested and weighed to determine the fresh weight (PF) of the whole plant and of the leaves alone.

## 4.2. Ion Determination

The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations were measured from lyophilized and ground kohlrabi leaves (0.4 g) with 20 mL of deionized water. The mixture was shaken for 30 min. They were determined in an ion chromatograph (METROHM 861 Advanced Compact IC, Herisau, Switzerland; METROHM 838 Advanced Sampler, Herisau, Switzerland), and the column used was a METROHM Metrosep A Supp7 250/4.0 mm (METROHM, Herisau, Switzerland). The flow rate was  $0.7 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$ , and the column temperature was kept at 45 °C. The solvent system consisted of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 3.6 mM, with an isocratic gradient.

## 4.3. Gas Exchange

The net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (Pn), internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ci), transpiration rate (E) and stomatal conductance (gs) were measured in the youngest fully open leaf of each plant, using a CIRAS-2 Portable Photosynthesis system (Amesbury, MA, USA) with a PLC6 (U) Automatic Universal Leaf Cuvette, measuring both sides of the leaves. The cuvette provided light (LED) with a photon flux density of  $1300 \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ , a [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 400 ppm, a leaf temperature of 28 °C, and 70% relative humidity. The water use efficiency (WUE) of the leaf gas exchange was calculated from the gas exchange data as Pn/E, where Pn is the carbon assimilated through photosynthesis, and E is the amount of water lost via transpiration.

## 4.4. Chlorophylls

To obtain chlorophylls, 0.5 g of frozen leaf was homogenized with an acetone-hexane solution (2:3) (25 mL). They were then centrifuged at  $3500 \times g$  for 6 min at 4 °C, and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured with a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800 model with the CPS-240 cell holder, Shimadzu Europa GmbH, Duisburg, Germany) at 663, 645, 505 and 453 nm. The concentration of chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), *b* (Chl *b*) and *a* + *b* (Chl *a* + *b*) were obtained using the formulas of Nagata and Yamashita [31].

## 4.5. Total Soluble Sugars

Soluble sugars were extracted according to Balibrea et al. [32], with slight modifications. Lyophilized kohlrabi leaf samples (50 mg) were incubated twice in 1.5 mL of methanol (80%, v/v), for 30 min each time, at 4 °C. During that time, the mixture was shaken thrice. Subsequently, each extract was centrifuged for 15 min at  $3500 \times g$ , at 4 °C, and the supernatant was filtered through a C18 Sep-Pak cartridge (Waters Associates, Milford, MA, USA), previously activated with 20 mL of methanol/water (80/20). Then, the two supernatants from the double extraction were combined and filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The concentration of glucose, fructose, and sucrose in the extracts were determined directly by ion chromatography, using an 817 Bioscan system (Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland) equipped with a pulsed amperometric detector (PAD) and a gold electrode. The column used was a METROHM Metrosep Carb 1–150 IC column (METROHM, Herisau, Switzerland) (4.6 × 250 mm), which was heated to 32 °C.

## 4.6. Lipid Peroxidation and Total Phenolic Compounds

Lipid peroxidation was measured as the amount of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS), as determined by the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reaction [33]. Lyophilized samples (0.1 g) were homogenized in 3 mL of 20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The homogenate was centrifuged at 3500× g for 20 min. To a 1.5 mL aliquot of the supernatant, 1.5 mL of 20% (w/v) TCA containing 0.5% (w/v) TBA and 0.15 mL of 4% (w/v) butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) in ethanol were added. The mixture was heated at 95 °C for 30 min and then quickly cooled on ice. Then, it was centrifuged at 10,000× g for 15 min, and the absorbance measured at 532 nm. The value for non-specific absorption at 600 nm was subtracted. The concentration of TBARS was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 155 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> [34].

The total phenolic compounds (TPC) were extracted from 0.5 g of the youngest fullsized leaves (frozen at -80 °C) with 5 mL of 80% acetone. The homogenate was centrifuged at  $10,000 \times g$  at 4 °C, for 10 min. The Folin–Ciocalteu reagent was used, diluted with Milli-Q water (1:10): 1 mL of the diluted reagent was mixed with 100 µL of supernatant and 2 mL of Milli-Q water, to which 5 mL of sodium carbonate (20%) was then added. The mixture was kept for 30 min in the dark. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm, according to the methodology of Kahkonen et al. [35]. The total phenolic content was expressed as gallic acid equivalents, in mg  $g^{-1}$  dry weight. Analyses were carried out in 4 replicates.

## 4.7. Statistical Analysis

Data were tested for homogeneity of variance and normality of distribution. The significance of the treatment effects was determined using the SPSS 13.0 software package (IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0, Armonk, NY, USA), with an ANOVA Duncan's multiple range test ( $p \le 0.05$ ), using the treatments as a statistical variable to determine significant differences between means. For the quantification of different parameters, 6 samples per treatment were analyzed. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to explore the statistical relationship between the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration and plant fresh weight and lipid peroxidation.

#### 5. Conclusions

The results discussed in this work clearly indicate that combining T1 and irrigation with organic (fish water) and inorganic (drainage water) fertilizers attenuated the negative effects caused by the N deficiency. These plants presented a higher foliar  $NO_3^-$  concentration, which was shown as a higher photosynthetic rate, less sugar accumulation, less lipid peroxidation, and therefore, greater growth; however, we consider it necessary to continue studying different proportions of the mixture of fish water and drainage water, to not cause such a severe reduction in growth. On the contrary, T2, at least in this experiment, did not have significant effects on kohlrabi plants.

Another interesting finding was the 50F/50D + S treatment, which hardly showed any differences with the 100S treatment. This could indicate that this type of farming system (aquaponics) is viable for producing kohlrabi plants with a lower consumption of fertilizers and water, without reducing production.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/plants13050595/s1, Table S1: The fish feed composition.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.C.P.; methodology, M.C.P., J.C.-G., G.O. and F.M.d.A.; formal analysis, M.C.P., J.C.-G. and G.O.; investigation, M.C.P., J.C.-G., G.O. and J.L.-M.; resources, F.M.d.A.; writing—original draft preparation, M.C.P.; writing—review and editing, M.C.P.; supervision, M.C.P. and F.M.d.A.; project administration, F.M.d.A.; funding acquisition, F.M.d.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) 80%—Región de Murcia (FEDER 1420-30).

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article and supplementary materials.

**Acknowledgments:** We want to thank José Manuel Gambín, Miguel Marín, Marta Durán and José Sáez-Sironi, for technical assistance, and Mario G. Fon, for assistance with the correction of the English.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Di Mola, I.; Cozzolino, E.; Ottaiano, L.; Nocerino, S.; Rouphael, Y.; Colla, G.; El-Nakhel, C.; Mori, M. Nitrogen use and uptake efficiency and crop performance of baby spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) and Lamb's Lettuce (*Valerianella locusta* L.) grown under variable sub-optimal N regimes combined with plant-based biostimulant application. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 278. [CrossRef]
- Navarro-León, E.; Javier López-Moreno, F.; Borda, E.; Marín, C.; Sierras, N.; Blasco, B.; Ruiz, J.M. Effect of l-amino acid-based biostimulants on nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) in lettuce plants. Wiley Online Libr. 2022, 102, 7098–7106. [CrossRef]
- Sutton, M.; Howard, C.; Erisman, J.; Billen, G. The European Nitrogen Assessment; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2011; ISBN 9780511976988.
- Waqas, M.; Hawkesford, M.; Geilfus, C. Feeding the world sustainably: Efficient nitrogen use. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2023, 28, 505–508. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Goddek, S.; Alyssa, J.; Kotzen, B.; Burnell, G.M. Aquaponics Food Production Systems Combined Aquaculture and Hydroponic Production Technologies for the Future. In *Aquaponics Food Production Systems*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 353–378. [CrossRef]
- Piñero, M.C.; Otálora, G.; Collado-González, J.; López-Marín, J.; del Amor, F.M. Effects triggered by foliar selenium application on growth, enzyme activities, mineral nutrients and carbohydrates in lettuce under an aquaculture system. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2022, 180, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, C.F.; Zhou, L.H.; Zhang, Y.D.; Zhu, Z.; Chen, T.; Zhao, Q.Y.; Yao, S.; Yu, X.; Wang, C.L. QTL mapping for seedling traits associated with low-nitrogen tolerance using a set of advanced backcross introgression lines of rice. *Plant Breed.* 2014, 133, 189–195. [CrossRef]
- Anas, M.; Liao, F.; Verma, K.K.; Sarwar, M.A.; Mahmood, A.; Chen, Z.L.; Zeng, X.-P.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.R. Fate of nitrogen in agriculture and environment: Agronomic, eco-physiological and molecular approaches to improve nitrogen use efficiency. *Biol. Res.* 2020, 53, 47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Ahemad, M.; Kibret, M. Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Current perspective. *J. King Saud Univ.-Sci.* 2014, 26, 1–20. [CrossRef]
- Cassán, F.; Coniglio, A.; López, G.; Molina, R.; Nievas, S.; Le Noir De Carlan, C.; Donadio, F.; Torres, D.; Rosas, S.; Olivera Pedrosa, F.; et al. Everything you must know about *Azospirillum* and its impact on agriculture and beyond. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 2020, 56, 461–479. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sharma, S.D.; Kumar, P.; Raj, H.; Bhardwaj, S.K. Isolation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Azotobacter chroococcum* from local litchi orchards and evaluation of their activity in the air-layers system. *Sci. Hortic.* **2009**, *123*, 117–123. [CrossRef]
- 12. Muthukumar, T.; Udaiyan, K. Growth of nursery-grown bamboo inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in two tropical soil types with and without fertilizer application. *New For.* **2006**, *31*, 469–485. [CrossRef]
- 13. Soltan, H.A.H.; Osman, S.A.M.; Tantawy, I.A.A. The Role of New Transformants Phosphate Bio-Stimulates (PBS) Bacteria Inoculates on Growth, Yield and Quality of Kohlrabi Plants. *J. Plant Prod.* **2021**, *12*, 541–551. [CrossRef]
- 14. Cechin, I.; Valquilha, É.M. Nitrogen effect on gas exchange characteristics, dry matter production and nitrate accumulation of *Amaranthus cruentus* L. *Rev. Bras. Bot.* **2019**, *42*, 373–381. [CrossRef]
- 15. Hu, W.; Lu, Z.; Meng, F.; Li, X.; Cong, R.; Ren, T.; Sharkey, T.D.; Lu, J. The reduction in leaf area precedes that in photosynthesis under potassium deficiency: The importance of leaf anatomy. *New Phytol.* **2020**, *227*, 1749–1763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Long, S.P.; Long, S.P.; Zhu, X.-G.; Naidu, S.L.; Ort, D.R. Can improvement in photosynthesis increase crop yields? *Wiley Online Libr.* **2006**, *29*, 315–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Lawlor, D.W. Photosynthesis, productivity and environment. J. Exp. Bot. 1995, 46, 1449–1461. [CrossRef]
- Efthimiadou, A.; Bilalis, D.; Karkanis, A.; Froud-Williams, B. Combined organic/inorganic fertilization enhance soil quality and increased yield, photosynthesis and sustainability of sweet maize crop. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 2010, *4*, 722–729. Available online: https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.859484046375351 (accessed on 21 February 2023).
- Zhang, J.; Xie, J.; Gan, Y.; Coulter, J.A.; Dawuda, M.M.; Yu, J.; Lv, J.; Li, J.; Zhang, X.; Tang, C.; et al. Promoting pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) photosynthesis via chloroplast ultrastructure and enzyme activities by optimising the ammonium to nitrate ratio. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 2020, 47, 303. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liu, X.; Herbert, S.J.; Jin, J.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, G. Responses of photosynthetic rates and yield/quality of main crops to irrigation and manure application in the black soil area of Northeast China. *Plant Soil* **2004**, *261*, 55–60. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, H.M.; Yang, J.C.; Zhang, J.F. Effects of external phosphorus on the cell ultrastructure and the chlorophyll content of maize under cadmium and zinc stress. *Environ. Pollut.* 2007, 147, 750–756. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zhao, D.; Oosterhuis, D.M.; Bednarz, C.W. Influence of potassium deficiency on photosynthesis, chlorophyll content, and chloroplast ultrastructure of cotton plants. *Photosynthetica* **2001**, *39*, 103–109. [CrossRef]
- Collado-González, J.; Piñero, M.C.; Otálora, G.; López-Marín, J.; Del Amor, F.M. Effects of different nitrogen forms and exogenous application of putrescine on heat stress of cauliflower: Photosynthetic gas exchange, mineral concentration and lipid peroxidation. *Plants* 2021, 10, 152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Ayuso-Calles, M.; Flores-Félix, J.D.; Rivas, R. Overview of the Role of Rhizobacteria in Plant Salt Stress Tolerance. *Agronomy* **2021**, 11, 1759. [CrossRef]
- Boleta, E.H.M.; Shintate Galindo, F.; Jalal, A.; Santini, J.M.K.; Rodrigues, W.L.; de Lima, B.H.; Arf, O.; da Silva, M.R.; Buzetti, S.; Teixeira Filho, M.C.M. Inoculation With Growth-Promoting Bacteria *Azospirillum brasilense* and Its Effects on Productivity and Nutritional Accumulation of Wheat Cultivars. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 2020, 4, 607262. [CrossRef]
- Muhammad, I.; Yang, L.; Ahmad, S.; Farooq, S.; Al-Ghamdi, A.A.; Khan, A.; Zeeshan, M.; Elshikh, M.S.; Mehmood Abbasi, A.; Zhou, X.-B. Nitrogen fertilizer modulates plant growth, chlorophyll pigments and enzymatic activities under different irrigation regimes. *Agronomy* 2022, 12, 845. [CrossRef]
- Lara, T.S.; de Lima Lessa, J.H.; de Souza, K.R.D.; Corguinha, A.P.B.; Martins, F.A.D.; Lopes, G.; Guilherme, L.R.G. Selenium biofortification of wheat grain via foliar application and its effect on plant metabolism. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2019, *81*, 10–18. [CrossRef]
- 28. Bashan, Y.; De-Bashan, L.E. How the plant growth-promoting bacterium azospirillum promotes plant growth-a critical assessment. *Adv. Agron.* **2010**, *108*, 77–136. [CrossRef]

- Sun, N.; Huang, L.; Zhao, H.; Zhang, N.; Lin, X.; Sun, C. Beneficial Bacterium Azospirillum brasilense Induces Morphological, Physiological and Molecular Adaptation to Phosphorus Deficiency in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2022, 63, 1273–1284. [CrossRef]
- 30. Pinero, M.C.; Perez-Jimenez, M.; Lopez-Marin, J.; del Amor, F.M. Changes in the salinity tolerance of sweet pepper plants as affected by nitrogen form and high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2016**, 200, 18–27. [CrossRef]
- Nagata, M.; Yamashita, I. Simple Method for Simultaneous Determination of Chlorophyll and Carotenoids in Tomato Fruit. J. Jpn. Soc. Food Sci. Technol. Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi 1992, 39, 925–928.
- 32. Balibrea, M.E.; Cuartero, J.; Bolarín, M.C.; Pérez-Alfocea, F. Sucrolytic activities during fruit development of *Lycopersicon* genotypes differing in tolerance to salinity. *Physiol. Plant.* 2003, 118, 38–46. [CrossRef]
- 33. Heath, R.L.; Packer, L. Photoperoxidation in Isolated Chloroplasts: I. Kinetics and Stoichiometry of Fatty Acid Peroxidation. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1968**, *125*, 189–198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Balestrasse, K.B.; Gallego, S.M.; Tomaro, M.L. Aluminium stress affects nitrogen fixation and assimilation in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plant Growth Regul.* 2006, 48, 271–281. [CrossRef]
- Kahkonen, M.P.; Hopia, A.I.; Vuorela, H.J.; Rauha, J.P.; Pihlaja, K.; Kujala, T.S.; Heinonen, M. Antioxidant activity of plant extracts containing phenolic compounds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1999, 47, 3954–3962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.